

I would like to submit the following:

- a. The responsiveness and effectiveness of the APVMA's process for reviewing and reassessing the safety of agricultural chemicals in Australia, including glyphosate, should be done by INDEPENDENT qualified experts in that field.
- b. The funding arrangements of the APVMA to carry out the APVMA's review should not incorporate any funds from any parties/companies being investigated or have business interests in any product under review or being reassessed.
- c. The roles and responsibilities of relevant departments and agencies of Commonwealth, state and territory governments in relation to the regulation of pesticides and veterinary chemicals should not be influenced by companies or petitions involved with any product under review or being reassessed.
- d. The need to ensure Australia's farmers have timely access to safe, environmentally sustainable and productivity enhancing products;
- e. The APVMA must undertake chemical reviews in a timely manner; but be thorough and not withhold any relevant information or be influenced by any company or parties that have products under review.
- f. It is very important that any products that have been reviewed and are still accessible on the open market be labelled with any health risks associated with the product so that buyers and consumers have a clear understanding of what they are exposing themselves to.