

*"A world in which government cannot be bothered to investigate potential illegal medical assault on its citizens, in which those with no authority feel free to make decisions which are blatantly against the law and to carry out serious and irreversible procedures on those with little or no capacity to give or withhold consent, is a world in which people with disabilities can have no certainty or confidence about their human being or their future"<sup>1</sup>*

Dedicated to

- **Diane Welfare 1951-2008 –who believed in me**
- **Irene Kelly – my mother 1940 – 1992 whom I could never disclosed what was done to in the Aryan Racial experiment under Commonwealth Law and practice - in the name of “being adopted”**

## **Submission into the Senate Inquiry - Commonwealth contribution to former forced adoption policies and practices**

*Michael O'Meara - AN ADOPTEES RESPONSE*

*The removal & sterilization program of the Illegitimates in the  
1960's and 1970's associated with the Colonies Racial decay  
policy in published historical aspects*

*“As treatment doses and frequency were varied, he was effectively castrated; with his testes so damaged that puberty was then delayed to such an extent that he was treated with anabolic steroids to induce puberty”* Another Anne Hamilton –Byrne experience

*In Malcolm Turnbull's response to the FA Apology, you were  
“abandoned and betrayed”. You were from “good white stock” (not  
correct, it was “Eugenics” – (Racial Hygiene cleansing)*

*“You're our mothers' worst nightmare”. Elizabeth Edwards, Origins  
Victoria. - Stolen from my mother and experimented in Aryanism*

**The “Aryan Experiment” in past forced adoption  
practices and by infusing Master Glands to produce a  
Master Race similar to the Hitler Régime with infusion.**

**Social control of the *illegitimate* bastard child with family history of epilepsy,  
short stature, nervousness, feeble mindedness and physical disabilities**

**Never to forget the day as a 10 year old (1972) when I awoke from an induced coma and the  
doctor stated to my mother “his testicles are missing”. The reply - “does that matter?”**

**...”your treatment was not to encourage growth, nor to induce puberty”. Dr Helena Teede**

I, Michael Anthony O'Meara am a legitimized citizen of the Commonwealth of Australia resident in the State of Victoria

As a citizen of the Commonwealth of Australia I have an inalienable right to protection under the Australian Constitution and the Common Law of this country

As an Australian citizen, the Commonwealth affords me protection from the unlawful and harmful actions that threaten my right to life, liberty and justice from those who would deny me these rights, within and without, the borders of Australia. I am afforded protection under WHISTLEBLOWERS PROTECTION ACT 2001 (Vic)

(...)

19th day of March 2011

Respect needs to be restored beginning with unblocking names in an inquiry that endeavors to honestly establish truth. Forced adoption, forced eugenics, forced castration and forced sterilization.

### **Section 1.01 Preamble**

I am not telling this story for revenge or because it is sensational. I am telling it because it is essential in the process of me growing away from my past even though the damage is irreparable. A past so bizarre, it's almost incomprehensible. This is a story that I think needs to be told. It is in part also, to come to terms with the reality that this, like it or not, it's my past – and as bizarre as it was, it created me into the person I am today – a survivor of childhood torture<sup>1</sup> bestowed upon me by the Commonwealth and it's agencies in a eugenics program for *The Aryan Race*. In short, a 'Caucasian' in this context comes to be the White Man “*par excellence*”, so that this term has taken on something of the meaning which in Hitler's time attached to 'Aryan.'<sup>2</sup>

Abducted at birth, my story is in part the same as what the Anne Hamilton-Byrne's kids endured - but to a degree, even more bizarre. Most of those kids have suicided, and although I have the “scar of remembrance” still to deal with, I survived Aryanism, torture and Nazism.

I had been raised as a '*scientific experiment*' and to believe I was not like everyone else. But  
**I had stayed quiet....until now.**

Margaret Sanger published "The Birth Control Review." In that magazine she openly supported the "infanticide program" promoted by Nazi Germany in the 1930s, and publicly championed Adolf Hitler's goal of Aryan white supremacy. In the years prior to World War II Margaret Sanger commissioned Ernst Rudin, a member of the Nazi Party, and director of the dreaded German Medical Experimentation Programs, to serve as an advisor to her organization. In his excellent book "Killer Angel," George Grant chronicles the life and writings of Margaret Sanger, and painstakingly documents Sanger's plans for the genetic engineering of the human race. George Grant noted that in the 1920s Margaret Sanger wrote "The Pivot of Civilization" in which she called for: "The '*elimination of 'human weeds,'*' for the '*cessation of charity*' because it prolonged the lives of the unfit, for the segregation of 'morons, misfits, and the maladjusted,' and for the sterilization of genetically inferior races."<sup>3</sup>

It is only through a national inquiry that Australians will learn of the nationwide extent of the crimes acknowledged as the "*kidnapping*" as described by Family Court Justice Richard Chisholm in evidence he gave at the NSW Parliamentary Inquiry into past adoption practices in 1999<sup>4</sup>. Now, a story of what happens to a kidnapped child, can be told, retold and never forgotten, for we weren't from "*good white stock*" at all, – we had endured a **Racial Hygiene Program**. Adoption was targeted, not to the bad, nor the mad, but "*upon the sad*" – the sadness of the unacceptable situations endured *out of wedlock*. If they didn't break your mind, then they would break your body. We had been denied the most basic human right....mother and child, that is the true scenario of "*as if born to them*"

Under the Adoption of Children Act 1958 (Vic) and The Health Act 1958 (Cth) I was abducted and presumed a "foundling" (nobody's child) even though I was still in the legal custody of my mother, the woman whom gave me life and to endure unlawful medical procedures conducted without my mothers' consent. My mother was denied her parental and lawful rights to her first born child. **I was not *fillus nullus***. My mother was a married woman whilst I lay lingering in a foundling home, with the "*view of adoption*"<sup>5</sup>. I was a child of my mother under the Adoption of Children Act 1958, and I was **abducted**<sup>6</sup>.

The act of a pillow stuffed over her face during childbirth along with no contact and with no consent for removal - is abduction, an act of *intent*<sup>7</sup> resulting in abducting. The report to the Commonwealth enacting a change in the 1964 Act confirms the Commonwealth was aware

of abductions under the 1958 Act, eliminating my mothers' consent and within a further Act, the Status of Children Act 1974 (retrospective), confirms **I was not *illegitimate***. The 1964 Act revised procedures so babies couldn't be abducted. Our "*illegitimacy*" records need to be changed to reflect the correct legal status of the time – I was not *illegitimate* as labelled.

I was abducted in the Royal Women's Hospital Carlton, a registered institution of the Commonwealth, by registered medical practitioners before the placenta was expelled never to see my mother, never to be held by my mother and never to be seen, held or nurtured by my mother. I had been abducted<sup>8</sup> six days before the consent was "*questionably*" signed, in circumstances *which appear unlawful* – the same consent that breaches common law and legislative procedures. The evidence is recorded.

I had already been alerted to the testimony of my own mother when I met her for the first time in 28 years in the late 1980's. – The abduction of her baby boy – me. But when I met her I was no longer a baby boy, I had been created a "*Eunuch*" – a program funded by the Commonwealth upon "*poor orphaned boys*" in the 1970's. I am not a part of a stolen generation, or a white stolen generation, but an abducted<sup>9</sup> generation – a generation in the "*social engineering of eugenics*" of Aryanism, for Church and State.

A eunuch is a castrated man, usually one castrated early enough to have major hormonal consequences. The term usually refers to those castrated (without their consent) in order to perform a specific social function, as was historically common in many societies, including Australia.

What my mother told me was astonishing – totally inhumane and unbelievable. No woman could have been treated like that – was my initial thought, back in 1989. Firstly, I didn't believe her, secondly, I thought she was making up a story to negate the way I felt about being "rejected" by her, but as time went on, I heard the same stories from other mothers, and then I felt guilty for not believing in my own mother. The story of my birth horrified me – torn out by forceps, the scar still evident on my temple, whisked away, never to be seen for nearly 30 years.

How could they manipulate these human rights atrocities? But there was no way I would tell my mother what was done to me. I couldn't tell her that there would be no grandchildren for her, for what the Commonwealth had done to me. Our genes were never to be passed on.

She died in 1992 never know her baby boy was tortured. Adoption in the era I was born wasn't being about a stolen generation, by more akin to the abducted and kidnapped generation, and tortured in Aryanism. Not all were abducted, but for me, the evidence is unquestionable.

My testimony of my upbringing is not dissimilar to the same treatment as The Family, *the Great White Brotherhood* of Anne Hamilton-Byrne – the same or similar druggings and/or regime and the same period of time - the 1970's, but the treatment was to become even worse. But there's a dark side to western medicine that few want to acknowledge: The horrifying *medical experiments* performed on impoverished people and their children all in the name of scientific progress.

Many of these medical experiments were conducted on people without their knowledge, nor any consent. Hundreds of us (the kids) were treated this way. *"If they appear among us, do not let them have children"* *"We have laws to keep Australia white, but we have no laws to keep Australians from degenerating"* *"Germany and Russia are doing wonders, and so the Commonwealth, with everything in her favour, must surely follow. We have the finest eugenic outlook of all if we can only keep out the unfit or forbid them from mating."*<sup>10</sup> *When an Australian migration delegation was in Oslo, Norway, it was suggested that Australia should take more than 8,000 illegitimate children of German soldiers and Norwegian mothers. Mr Leslie Haylen. MHR is necessarily non-committal. When the delegation arrived in Stockholm Sweden, Mr Haylen said that married men with families from Sweden were, preferred as migrants because they would more easily be absorbed into Australian life. He pointed out that there would be no immigration to Australia for at least a year, and then only 70,000 would be accepted annually. In church later, one priest said: "This is tantamount to the last sacrament"*<sup>11</sup> It was the teachings of the day. My reproductive system was butchered with the psychical assaults, where *"very careful treatment"* advocated of the era.

This is a testimony of The Commonwealth's sanctioned Aryan Racial Hygiene Program in adoption - a class war! It was a class war to cleanse *Racial Hygiene* - to eliminate perceived mental illnesses; just as unbelievable until research showed what *"past adoption practises"* was all about, "Nazism" Racial Cleansing – for I am such an Aryan of the era (1970's) for a *"better class of citizens"* – the Commonwealth teachings, and the teachings from the University of Melbourne. It was a continuing period where *Nazi experiments* continued in Melbourne upon vulnerable boys, in the name of adoption. The Commonwealth supported it, and funded such actions without question, and once the subject was hospitalized into the

eugenics program, it meant that they (the kids) were to become “*financially not dependent on the state*”. (Refer reference 64) – For they needed to be turned into “*useful members of society with or without their testes*”.

Following the revelations in the Nuremberg trials of the extent to which Nazi doctors had experimented on children; a code of conduct was developed for obtaining consent in medical research.<sup>12</sup> (*Excerpt Melbourne University – Forgotten Australians, attachment*) These experiments happened well after the Nuremberg code was enacted in Australia, and as such, the Commonwealth and their agencies elected to ignore the Code. The Government failed to protect, the State failed to protect and the Social Welfare system failed to protect. My Scottish heritage was destroyed. Genocide has occurred by breach of the **Genocide Convention Act 1949**.

Often poor orphan boys weren't wanted in society. *These babies were among Australia's best immigrants, and everything should be done to enable them to become good citizens.*<sup>13</sup> *More and healthier babies will be part of the fitter nation drive soon to be begun*<sup>14</sup>.

*This country badly needed an increase of population, and the best immigrants we could get would be those from Australian cradles,* said Archbishop Mannix., at Broadmeadows Foundling Home.<sup>15</sup>

Infused to the point of unconsciousness in a hospital bed, 4 hours later coming out of a coma, I learned as a 10 year old, ***my testes were missing*** – for I had been chemically castrated through funding of the Commonwealth, under the Health Act 1958. History had repeated itself from decades past and centuries ago. If you thought the Nazi experiments concluded at the end of WW2, think again, as it was to become the beginning to the end - the end of “*degenerates*”, and the start of the “**Great White Brotherhood**”.

*The real rise comes at the end of World War 2; it has to do with eugenics taking an enormous hit, obviously, in the aftermath of Nazi Germany, but more importantly the really strong pro-natalism of that post-war period*<sup>16</sup>

I was stolen from my mother, (refer to chapter – The Reunion) used in Nazi style experiments, have never had a relationship, never married and never had children, for the name my mother had me baptized with, along with the amended certificate, means “*God like warrior*”. I was being indoctrinated.

How could such a testimony of Aryanism be allowed to occur by the Commonwealth? Furthermore, such was funded in such institutions and included Commonwealth bodies and agencies. The Agencies included Monash University (Dept. of Anatomy) and Melbourne University and numerous Hospitals in Melbourne were also involved, such as Prince Henry's Hospital, Royal Children's Hospital, Royal Melbourne and St Vincent's Hospitals.

The agencies, both Commonwealth and State, include the National Health and Medical Research Council<sup>17</sup>, CSL, Howard Florey Institute of Physiology and Experimental Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne University, Walter and Eliza Institute, *et al.* who's funding from the Commonwealth participated in the cleansing of racial decay for the *feeble*. The mothers were deemed "*feeble*", and so were their children - and they labelled us (kids) as "*bastards*", and treated us accordingly.

*If you had a child out of wedlock, the child was stamped with the label of 'bastard' and that was a deeply discrediting term*<sup>18</sup>.

*One is eugenic concerns about the probable quality or lack of quality (it's a horrible thing to say) of the child who might be available for adoption and it goes something like this; the idea is that if a woman has become pregnant and had a child out of wedlock it is probably or possibly...more like 'probably' in that era, likely that she is what would be known then as feeble-minded and that the weakness of her mind is likely to have been passed on to that child, therefore the child is a questionable product, to use those uncomfortable terms*<sup>19</sup>

*The general aim of Eugenics Societies is therefore to bring about a state of affairs where persons of superior natural endowments shall have a higher, or at least not lower, birth rate than persons of inferior endowments; and to ensure that persons with gross defects of mind or body, known to show a tendency to be inherited, should be discouraged or prevented from producing children.*<sup>20</sup>

I need to add, not all adopted children were subjected to my testimony, but more of us are now speaking out, for I had fallen into the wrong period – I was born in the same year as (Anne Hamilton Byrne) *The Family* was founded, 1961. The Eugenics period in Australian History had started in these past adoption practices and procedures.

After the apology to the FA, the Melbourne University also made an apology for the experiments they did on Orphans and offered counselling to them in a public statement. I approached the University of Melbourne and was denied that assistance, (by email) as I

wasn't a student or on staff of the Institution. It appeared to be a good publicity stunt. Drs (...) , (...) and (...) (dec.) and others were a part of the Monash University and Melbourne University institutes that caused so much damage with disease/s, and the institutions declined me assistance when publicly they stated we could access assistance. It never happened.

I am an adopted orphan, a foundling - (nobody's child)" *infused with batches of Master Glands for a Master Race*". I was a part of the "**Great White Brotherhood Scientific Experiment**" of the 1970's – with similar testimony to *The Hamilton Byrne Sect* in physical growth and psychological experiments, but it had become a worse eugenics program. My treatment wasn't about growth at all – I wasn't growth hormone deficient.

There was nothing wrong with me, for I had passed the psychiatric test as a 10 year old. Instead, I was adopted, and eugenics was a legislated tort in Law. It's akin to the Nazi experiments. Dr Jay Lifton, author of "The Nazi Doctors" notes, "*Certainly there was widespread sterilization and castration<sup>21</sup>, and all this was part of a distorted racial vision that sought to destroy the capacity to reproduce in ostensibly inferior races.*" The Commonwealth had its own Genocide Convention Act and Eugenics Act/s. The Acts were in part, conflictive.

Simon Rozenkier bears living testimony to the ultimate goal of eugenics, a pseudoscience that has proven it to be a front for deliberate ethnic and racial extinction. He said his life was spared because the Nazi doctors thought he had unusual genes inasmuch as he had reddish blond hair, supposedly an Aryan feature and the experiments rendered him incapable of producing children of his own. These Nazi style experiments have continued throughout the ages.

Adoption had been described in Submission 73 as "**a Nazi style social cleansing exercise and a blatant abuse of Human Rights**". As the Nazi's were torturing boys in concentration camps, Melbourne doctors years later, were doing the same with consequences in prematurely aging their tortured subjects<sup>22</sup>. The Physical and Mental torturing was evolving out of Universities and Hospitals. When I met my mother back in the late 1980's, I never told her what was done to me - I couldn't break her heart – it was already broken, for what they did to her - back in 1961 and 1962, and I didn't want to destroy her further – her blood would have boiled. I was born and labelled a foundling – nobody's child, and to this day, connect to no-one either physically, emotionally or spiritually. My treatment in the 1970's



has made me into the person I am today.” *As an adult, some 30 years later suffers from hypogonadism and requires three operations per year to keep him alive and reduce these extremely debilitating side effects*”<sup>23</sup>.

The legislation failed us, the Commonwealth failed us, the Universities failed us, the Institutions failed us - and in a Private Members Motion on *June 16, 2008* a bipartisan agreement (in support of hundreds of us) from both political parties – also failed us. That is, they failed to support The Aryans’ (hundreds of Melbourne boys) – the exposure to negative eugenics in Melbourne where both political parties had agreed<sup>24</sup> to the Motion in supporting us. It never happened. Its failure continues the initial onset damage – it contributes to further damage, and it further evaluates us as worthless, and in our rejection of self-worth.

*But that was followed by a period of acrimonious debate, which ultimately led to radical overhaul around adoption which saw laws changed, practices altered, and rights granted to those at the heart of the issue. Adoption, the legal refashioning of a child's identity, is an extraordinary 20th century phenomenon. In its heyday it wasn't just supported by an infrastructure, a bureaucracy, and a legal framework, it was believed in.*<sup>25</sup>

### **And they proclaim....”In the best interests of the child”**

#### **Section 1.02 From a former Prime Ministers wife**

Mrs Alfred Deakin, the wife of the Prime Minister of the Commonwealth, while in England, contributed the following article under the above heading to "Good Words,"

*“I am president of the Sutherland” Home (Victoria) Neglected Children Society, We take the babies from birth and find-situations and work for the mothers. When the children are old enough, we either board them out or arrange their adoption. I believe that the principal cause of all our saddest cases of destitute women and children lies in the 'fact' that the mother is feeble-minded and not bad. 'The fault lies, of course, in lack of early training and the ignorance of mothers who throw their girls on the sea of life without the proper preparation. **The children of such badly brought-up girls in their turn are feeble-minded, and require very careful treatment, both physical<sup>26</sup> and mental<sup>27</sup>**. Wonders can 'be worked 'in their training”* In the 1970’s those physical and mental treatments had become well established by the Commonwealth through the National Health and medical research Council.

*There is a great motive here to destroy your child<sup>28</sup>. Far too many adoptees have been destroyed through suicide, drug and alcohol issue and self-harm, as no one ever has supported these bastards.*

### **Section 1.03 Some Social Problems. Some plain speaking<sup>29</sup>**

*The annual report of the committee, read by Miss Tomkinson, stated:-"The committee feel that they must call attention to the absolute necessity for more active attempts in the direction of preventive work among young people. They recognise that the home is engaged in helping girls who have got into trouble, but what seems " a more urgent need lies in preventing these girls from them spoiling their lives, bringing children stamped with the hateful word illegitimate into the world, and lending themselves to lower the high ideals of Australian womanhood. The extreme youth of some of these child mothers is appalling, and it is to this fact that of the youth of both sexes who become parents' and of the girls who nightly frequent our streets that the committee of the Rescue Home desire to call earnest attention. Too often this form of wrongdoing is the result of ignorance, too often the result of parents' carelessness, and less frequently the result of deliberate and willful sin (at least on the part of the girls)*

### **Section 1.04 Introduction**

The commonly known issues of adoption through the forced removal of babies' decades ago were that to educate the public through *humiliation* of the single unmarried woman and labelling the child as an *illegitimate bastard*. After all, it was advocated that the child required very careful treatment both physically and mentally – usually negatively. This was to punish the unmarried woman and the baby through being born *out of wedlock*, commonly known as being *illegitimate*. It was socially unacceptable to have single mothers keeping their babies.

The same occurred under the guidelines of the Third Reich - Hitlers' "*bastard children*", where Hitler was creating his own Master Race from single mothers. Adoption in Australia had become a class war - for a Master Race, not dissimilar to the Third Reich.

Australia had to match the Aryans, as it was a great concern that the country was deteriorating<sup>30</sup>. Government social cleansing, however what wasn't commonly known was the agenda surrounded "*racial decay*"- "*being well born*"<sup>31</sup> and being *hygienic*, and the Government had legislation to clean up these "*morons*". Both Church and State, including

the Federal Government frowned upon the single mother, and to remove the child was to punish both. It was this advocating in the early days of the adoption industry where both were seen as *racial decay of society*.

*"Will we get a baby good enough for us? You never know how the child might turn out."*<sup>32</sup> These were the questions to ask when going to adopt a child. I had obviously not turned out good enough for society, firstly boys weren't wanted and medical intervention in eugenics was applied for both mental and physical abnormalities, the eugenics program for *bad genes*, where in our society, short stature might not be seen as a medical condition but it is seen as not being quite acceptable<sup>33</sup>. The Parliament stated this just a few years ago. We will therefore apply both our physical and mental teachings within our Eugenics Practise. These racial cleansing programs were enacted by the Commonwealth Government.

*I think perhaps the saddest aspect is that this treatment went on for 25 years. Often I think with medical science we rush for the miracle cure too quickly; we do not spend the time and the research that are required to make sure that these products, these new methods, are safe. It is absolutely vital that in the future we do not go down this same path again and have the same sorts of very sad speeches being made in this place and around the country because we have rushed to a cure that turns out not to be a cure but an absolute curse. I commend the motion.*<sup>34</sup>

I never told my mother when I met her in 1989 as to what was done to me. She died in 1992 never knowing what was done to her son, because I couldn't bear to see her cry again. Her experience of a birth *out of wedlock* had ruined her, and my eugenics treatment had ruined me. There will be no more generations in my bloodline thanks to Commonwealth legislation.

As Hitler set up home for pregnant unwed mothers to take their baby for The Aryan Race in social experiments, the same practise was happening in Melbourne. Hitlers' scientists post WW2, spread themselves out of Europe in experimental projects known as Project Paperclip. Some eugenicists advocated out of the USA and the British, were visiting Melbourne, professing their beliefs, and medical practitioners were learning these *racial cleansing practices* out of the USA and Britain.

*In a small clearing in a pine forest near the village is a group of attractive frame buildings, green-shuttered and resembling a hotel. A hedge surrounds the entire area, and its stone gate includes a place for a sentry. It is a kindergarten one of the homes established by Hitler for unmarried mothers as a means of increasing the manpower of the future Nazi State. It was*

*empty when the Americans arrived, but there is accommodation for 1,000 women who were for unmarried wives of SS men. There were lounges, a swimming pool, music and dancing rooms, and winding paths through the pine forest. The expectant mothers of SS-fathered children were sent to the home for three months. It was not expected that they should work and they could preserve anonymity if they desired. They received a regular allowance and after the birth of children were given a rail ticket for the return journey*<sup>35</sup>

Probably one of the most hideous aspects of the Third Reich was their notorious fascination and experimentation with Eugenics. Eugenics may be defined as *"The study of hereditary improvement of the human race by controlled selective breeding."* It is a science of applied Eugenics, negatively characterized by many because it often used in the interest of racial "refinement" or in extreme cases, *genocide*. Negative eugenics (sterilization) is genocide. Legislative Acts that were in place to protect, actually failed.

### **Section 1.05 The Genocide in past adoption practices in Australia.**

In 1949 the Federal Parliament passed Act, known as GENOCIDE CONVENTION ACT<sup>36</sup> 1949. Adoption, the forced removal of babies, and the terms of reference to this inquiry contravened sections of the Act, namely, *An Act to approve of Ratification by Australia of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, and for other purposes.*<sup>37</sup>

The declaration made by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolution 96 (1) dated 11 December 1946 that genocide is a crime under international law, contrary to the spirit and aims of the United Nations and condemned by the civilized world;

Recognizing that at all periods of history, genocide has inflicted great losses on humanity, such as genocide.

Genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

- A. Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- B. Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- C. Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
- D. Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.<sup>38</sup>

The ratification to the convention was in part to prevent genocide, with both the Eugenics Bill and the Eugenics Act encouraging genocide. Australia was doing what we had objected the Germans doing under the Third Reich, and legislation and files can only through this inquiry be exposed.

Meanwhile, in Germany, Dr Joseph Mengele continued with his chairperson work on Eugenics, distinguishing features across races, and other studies involving cruelties not allowed by modern standards. Known for his "Twin Studies" at Auschwitz, which was government funded research, Mengele and others selected out certain 'kinds' of individuals such as twins, dwarfs, or those with physical abnormalities for clinical 'investigation'.

This Master Race would have utopian qualities and characteristics of high intelligence, and cleverness, superior strength, and a variety of other human qualities. Many believed that the superior qualities included paranormal abilities. Such secret experiments were also being conducted in Melbourne, targeting *short statured boys* and once determined as an orphan, Nazi style experiments and results in genocide were to follow.

Nazi scientists and “*racial theorists*” believed they could breed this superior race, from the stock of Nordic/Aryan peoples, and that ridding the bloodlines of Germany of other influences, particularly the Jews and infirm, would facilitate the emergence of a superior people. There are a number of theories regarding the creation of a Master Race which affected Nazi belief. Many high level Nazis had belonged to boys' groups with occultic philosophies when they were young<sup>39</sup>, including the current Pope. Hitler's youth, a race to protect, and as was my calling to the Church as a teenager in the eunuch experiments.

It was not until 1971 that the ethical right to voluntary sterilization was legally recognized in Australia.<sup>40</sup>, but I certainly didn't consent to this action, nor did anyone else – for what would a 10 year old know about this subject anyway. A minor cannot by law consent to such an action. It was non-consensual. Perhaps the most universally marginalised group of people is the intellectually disabled, nor was I in this category. Considered historically as "imbeciles", "idiots" or "sub-human", these people were not deemed worthy of the rights bestowed upon "normal" citizens, for I was “classed” an *illegitimate*, an illegal subject in the Commonwealth, and as such required legitimizing to be socially accepted, akin to racial cleansing program that was enforced in the early 1970's.

Society is more enlightened today, but discriminatory attitudes, well-illustrated by cases concerning non-consensual sterilisation, unfortunately remain. Non-consensual sterilisation is

a particularly sensitive issue for all involved, concerning as it does complex questions of moral, social and political character. The implications of a decision to sterilise a person are far reaching, extending beyond the immediate physical consequences to interference with such basic human rights as the right to bodily integrity and the right to human dignity<sup>41</sup>

Authorisation of a court for a sterilisation operation on an intellectually disabled child was necessary, because "*sterilisation involves the irreversible removal of a basic human right, namely the opportunity to reproduce*".<sup>42</sup>

It is reiterated on Hansard where it states "*They, like my constituent, need that vital information in order to understand why today, some 30 years after the initial treatment, they experience debilitating side effects that cause hardship in daily living and real anxiety about future prognosis. Those concerns go to the heart of this motion and underpin the reason I have brought these issues to the attention of the House*" – former Member for McEwen.

Mrs Alfred Deakin, the wife of the Prime Minister of the Commonwealth, while in England stated, **The children** of such badly brought-up girls in their turn are feeble-minded, and **require very careful treatment, both physical and mental**. Wonders can 'be worked 'in their training<sup>43</sup> The Commonwealth and State was also studying the science of eugenics. It was instituting periodical medical examinations in schools.<sup>44</sup>

Selective sterilization for eugenic purposes, properly administered, was entirely in accord with the traditions and principles of the Roman Catholic religion<sup>45</sup>, for I actually went through a stage of believing I was a Eunuch for the Church – a high priest was my destiny, months of counselling by the school chaplain.

In 1911 the Australasian Medical Congress appointed a committee to inquire into the prevalence of feeble-mindedness. In the Commonwealth, as a result of the inquiry it was estimated that 4 per cent, of the children of the Commonwealth were *feeble-minded* and that this figure underestimated the case. This dolorous statement does not include the very heavy incidence of the insane, nor does it include purely physical handicap.

Of this great racial menace an eminent alienist wrote:-"*Unless preventive measures against the progressive increase of the defective class are adopted such a calamity as the gradual eclipse and final disintegration of our present form of government is not only possible but probable*".

*Every social worker knows the girl who provides us with a baby to look after we may begin to attack the racial menace by reducing the numbers of the mentally deficient who cannot produce normal children. Do you desire the feeble minded to reproduce their kind since such people cannot have normal children? There is more than the economic burden to consider though this is weighty enough means are used to maintain the unfit that are required to provide normal children with opportunities they are capable of profiting by? But the more powerful reason for our intervention is that of racial degeneration and of the ethical wrong against those robbed of their birthright, a normal mind. What method are we to adopt-sterilisation or segregation?<sup>46</sup>*

My mother was tricked into the abandonment of her baby, unaware of “the agenda” of segregation, and manipulated - as she was told if she were to marry, she could have me back. She married within 30 days of the birth, went to St Joseph’s Orphanage in Broadmeadows to take me home....but I was gone. I was a child of her marriage, as she was a married woman whilst I was deemed *nobody’s child* – a foundling of the Sisters of St Joseph.

She had every right of access to me until the adoption order, in which she was denied. My mother had the right to be consulted upon decisions of my welfare and had to be consulted upon any medical procedures. It did not happen. (See chapter “The reunion between Irene and Stuart”) There is no doubt; no mother would have allowed her boy to be tortured in the name of “The Church”, or in the name of Eugenics or in the name of Aryanism by Commonwealth departments and funded institutions.

The separation was based upon a lies and manipulation, where she was under the influence of mind altering drugs, not aware of her rights, unsupported by the Social Worker, the Court, and the *Guardian in litem*. She wasn’t given the opportunity to revoke, as a copy of the consent wasn’t given to her. She was entitled to be consulted upon my welfare and systemically blocked from access. It is the same as my testimony, it was based upon a lie, it wasn’t about helping a *child in need* - through medical intervention, and it had become a way and means of *cleaning social degradation*.

Both the Commonwealth and State on the other hand had an agenda to clean up the *racial decay of society*, as single women – as they were seen to have been labelled *feeble minded* – that is, that there were many disorders that were categorized as a mental disability, under the heading of *feeble mindedness*. It was a racial cleansing program under Commonwealth legislation involving the White Australian Policy of the Commonwealth Government.

There wasn't a "white stolen generation", but a *racial cleansing* agenda to clean up the degenerates, but there was also an opportunity for a "***Great White Brotherhood***". The brotherhood had known for decades they could create the Aryan Race –**infusing kids with Master Glands, to create a Master Race - Man par excellence**

The Commonwealth Government with their Eugenics Bill<sup>47</sup> had legislation for racial cleansing as did the State of Victoria and New South Wales. Victoria had an active Eugenics Society (of Victoria) and legislation in establishing social norms implied to prevent racial decay. A simple disorder such as epilepsy, or nervousness was one such disorder classified as a mental disease where Eugenics (later to become renamed Endocrinology) enables the cleansing of the Commonwealths racial decay policy. The Commonwealth was also funding experiments on orphans as well as adoptees, whether inside or outside an institution, their aim was to *turn them into 'useful members of society', (which at the very least meant that they were financially not dependent on the state), with or without their testes*<sup>48</sup>

When the Eugenic Board of Victoria closed down in the early 1960's, the intellectual property was donated to Monash University, but it didn't stop either Monash, or Melbourne Universities from carrying on with Eugenics, for it was only in the last few years that "experimental' medicine legislation has been repealed in Victoria.

This submission pertains to the Racial Cleansing under Commonwealth Legislation within the period set out in the Terms of Reference to this Inquiry. Babies stolen, used as guinea pigs<sup>49</sup>, and in some cases, no longer alive. Eugenics was a term mostly relating to *the Hitler regime* and due to the nature of *human experimentation* by the Nazi's, upon humanity, the term was later to be revised to "Endocrinology", and Eugenics<sup>50</sup> continued to clean up racial decay.

*"The essence of evolution is natural selection; the essence of eugenics is the replacement of 'natural' selection by conscious, premeditated, or artificial selection in the hope of speeding up the evolution of 'desirable' characteristics and the elimination of undesirable ones."*

The Nazi's had a plan to produce a Master Race, promoting, supporting and experimenting on *illegitimate babies* and children<sup>51</sup>, as did the Commonwealth of Australia under The Eugenics Bill, and The Health Act 1958 – The Master Race was " *To provide for illegitimate children baby factories, where women produced illegitimate babies for the master race*"<sup>52</sup>



Although we are quick to take action against Nazis hiding in this Commonwealth, we don't appear to do the same "justice" to the Nazi actions that have continued through the decades. Eugenics was renamed as Endocrinology as Hitler had tarnished the name of Eugenics due to his experimentations upon humans. Apart from Hitler's eugenic experiments, once completed, he disposed of the human subject. It's not a lot different in the secret Melbourne trials where once the subject was completed with, the child just went back into society and carried on as if all was normal, if the child didn't die – but it was far from normal.

The child was further disposed of through the hiding of medical files so he couldn't be tracked down- legislation to hide in society these "cretins" – he could never be warned of the dangers he now carries, and least of all, he has no idea of the dangers to his (negative) health and wellbeing in eugenics, *the killer side effects*. Genocide had become effective in the Commonwealth, no matter what racial background.

Eugenics (the study and practice of "improving" the genetic features of human populations through selective breeding and sterilization) has played a significant role in the history and culture of the United States, and the British Empire with medical practitioners on sabbatical leaning the practise in the USA and practising Eugenics back here in Australia under "Commonwealth Acts" and funding through Commonwealth institutions.

*"The Committee"<sup>53</sup> considers that there is evidence to suggest that treatment under the "program" was of a more experimental nature than has previously been suggested.*"<sup>54</sup>

Eugenics was practiced in the United States many years before eugenics programs in Nazi Germany (and in fact, U.S. programs provided much of the inspiration for the latter).

Most popular in the early 20th century, it was considered a method of establishing order and uniformity in the population. By popularizing the idea of an ideal genetic character, the eugenics movement gave people something to strive towards. It shaped the social character of the times, moving society to value the norm<sup>55</sup>

I had been targeted 6 weeks prior to my birth to be selected as part of the Master Race, to "breed out" genetic disabilities, and later to inhibited procreation, - the bad Eugenics, thus a subject to racial cleansing – all because in the biological family had a number of those *feeble-minded* categories.

Epilepsy, nervousness and short stature was on the agenda for the eugenicist and the concerns were relived for the adoptee, when stated, "Is the baby good enough for us?" Publicity

surrounded warning potential adopters these “*illegitimate babies weren’t good enough*”, but the eugenicists had the racial cleansing policy for the Commonwealth. My files have never been destroyed –we are in existence for the history books, as Nazi practise and procedures didn’t stop when he (Hitler) shot himself – it continued out of Britain and the USA and headed for Melbourne.

## **Section 1.06 Citations**

*“For many mothers and children, the emotional and psychological damage of these policies continues, and will be felt for the rest of their lives. We must do all we can to address this.” Typically, the victims of forced adoption were young, unmarried women and their babies. Reactionary ideologies that cast women who had premarital sex as having sinned justified both a cruel official policy and unsympathetic treatment by medical staff and bureaucrats”*

– Senator Rachel Siewert, 6<sup>th</sup> February 2011

*“Lay all the blame on the weakest - crush the mother - trample on the child, but in pity, spare the father, mention not his name. Let the law punish that child”<sup>56</sup>*

The testimony of the Commonwealths’ sanctioned Aryan Racial Decay Program in adoption. It follows on from the Nazi experiments in Auschwitz, and continuing in Melbourne in the 1970’s. As the Melbourne files have been hidden and such secret experiments kept closed, it relates to the Third Reich where it was published “*I may point out that the matter is secret and may under no circumstances be discussed in public*”.<sup>57</sup> That was my upbringing. Humans treating humans like animals.

## Section 1.07 Contents

Section 1.01	Preamble .....	2
Section 1.02	From a former Prime Ministers wife.....	9
Section 1.03	Some Social Problems. Some plain speaking .....	10
Section 1.04	Introduction .....	10
Section 1.05	The Genocide in past adoption practices in Australia. ....	12
Section 1.06	Citations .....	18
Section 1.07	Contents .....	19
Section 1.08	Terms of Reference .....	21
Section 1.09	Document Summary .....	22
Section 1.10	The reunion between Irene and Stuart.....	25
Section 1.11	In memory of my mother - Irene. ....	26
Section 1.12	An Auntie as an institutionalize epileptic .....	27
Section 1.13	Irene's and Stuart's Story.....	29
Section 1.14	Unseen, Unheard, and Unknown.....	32
Section 1.15	The suicide attempt .....	33
Section 1.16	The Nervous breakdown.....	33
Section 1.17	Historical Teachings .....	33
1.	Investigations at Geneva. ....	33
2.	The Federal Royal Commission on Public Health 1925.....	34
3.	Classification of the child .....	35
Section 1.18	Endemic cretinism.....	36
Section 1.19	Endocrinology as a 'third way' of eugenics .....	39
4.	Melbourne's secret medical experiments.....	40
Section 1.20	Boys not wanted .....	40
Section 1.21	The idiot child's cure .....	40
Section 1.22	Another experiment in adoption .....	44
5.	The survival battle of the non valuer .....	45
Section 1.23	An Australian Social experiment .....	48
Section 1.24	The Racial decay process .....	49
	These racial decay sterilization programs.....	50
Section 1.25	The Targeting of the newborn .....	52
Section 1.26	The matter of deception .....	53

Section 1.27	Prince Henry's Hospital follows British guidelines in Anatomy experiments on Orphans.	54
Section 1.28	Unlawful medical procedures .....	55
Section 1.29	Nature vs. Nurture .....	55
Section 1.30	Hitler's War, Nature's Battle .....	56
6.	<i>Hitler's Illegitimates</i> .....	56
Section 1.31	Eugenic in the next fifty years.....	58
Section 1.32	Better Babies Competitions .....	61
Section 1.33	Our Early Eugenics .....	62
Section 1.34	Negative Eugenics .....	63
Section 1.35	Criticism of eugenics .....	64
Section 1.36	The Guinea Pig kids – <i>poor orphan boys</i> .....	65
Section 1.37	Compulsory sterilization .....	71
Section 1.38	Buck vs. Bell.....	73
Section 1.39	North Carolina USA .....	74
Section 1.40	The betterment of humanity .....	76
Section 1.41	Feeble Minded, legally stamped illegitimate - Eugenics at any cost .....	79
Section 1.42	Eugenics .....	81
Section 1.43	Conclusion.....	87
Section 1.44	Recommendations .....	88
a)	Enact legislation through the Marriage Act, to have the word " <i>Illegitimate</i> " struck from the records, in accordance with the " <i>Status of Children Act</i> " 1974. An option by the adopted person to correct the records .....	88
Section 1.45	Glossary.....	90
Section 1.46	Acknowledgements.....	91
Section 1.47	Nuremberg Code.....	91
Section 1.48	Attachments.....	94
(a)	House Hansard 16 <sup>th</sup> June 2008.....	94
(b)	Senate Questions on Notice – 2000.....	94
(c)	Senate Questions on Notice – 2003.....	94
(d)	The Minister's Press Release (Dr Michael Wooldridge MP, former Health Minister) .....	94
(e)	Journal review – The Eugenics Society of Victoria .....	94
(f)	Governor-to-be defends use of treatment with links to CJD, The Age January 24, 2006 ....	94
(g)	Infertile Women, Tall Girls, Short and Orphaned Children: The Socially Vulnerable Prey of Australian Medical Imperialists - Lynette Dumble, Ph D, M Sc, Senior Research Fellow, History and Philosophy of Science, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3052, AUSTRALIA. ....	94

(h) University apologises for experiments on Children - November 18, 2009.....	94
(i) Dr Nicholas Tonti-Filippini (NHMRC) report (Confidential attachment).....	94
(j) Personal Records - (Confidential attachment).....	94
(k) Normal at any cost (Confidential attachment) .....	94
(l) Statement (Confidential attachment) .....	94
Section 1.49 Hyperlink Attachments .....	94
(a) Fit vs. Unfit, Eugenics, Planned Parenthood & Psychology, Mind Control Report <a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2GcSpV1jioA">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2GcSpV1jioA</a> .....	94
(b) Josef Mengele: Angel of Death.....	94
(c) Sir Francis Galton - Father of Eugenics .....	94
Photo portfolio.....	95
A scene in the Children's ward at Prince Henry's Hospital .....	95
Section 1.50 References .....	96

## Section 1.08 Terms of Reference

The Author would like to address the Terms of Reference as indicated on the Motion.

- (a) the role, if any, of the Commonwealth Government, its policies and practices in contributing to forced adoptions; and
- (b) the potential role of the Commonwealth in developing a national framework to assist states and territories to address the consequences for the mothers, their families and children who were subject to forced adoption policies.

I will mostly address part (a) of the Terms of reference, as whatever the outcome of part (b) through the recommendations of the committee; no such recommendations can make restitution for such damages. The past practices are irreversible. When I approached the University of Melbourne after their apology to those subjected to experimentation through the University, counselling assistance was denied to me – and therefore part (b) can only be, in most - tokenism. It can be further explained by the same tokenism as described in the House Hansard on 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008. However I have made some recommendations for consideration.

No recommendation/s can reinstate from the damages of past forced adoption practises as stated in this submission, nor will such recommendations assist, as there have been such

recommendations made in the past through Senate Inquiries that both Government and their agencies have negated their responsibilities.

## An Adopted persons testimony, where hereditary genetics<sup>58</sup> (social engineering) didn't fit into the social norm requiring socialisation practises, as *“he was adopted”* – An infant adoption

Part of this submission pertains to the first person, and other chapters pertain to the looking glass theory, as it becomes too difficult to write about.

Eugenics, on the negative side, aims at preventing the unfit from reproducing their kind by segregation or sterilising them.” *The most hopeful way is to give unborn babies, not a better biological inheritance -which is a slow and doubtful project, but a better social inheritance, which can be as rapid as we have the will to make it. The eugenist wants all babies to be born of fitter parents; I suggest that a more hopeful plan is to see that all babies are born into a fitter world - or hopeful and more practicable*”.<sup>59</sup>.

*In the years ahead, the focus of the eugenics board would shift from girls and women in institutions to girls and women outside the walls of reform schools and hospitals, and the number of boys and men sterilized would continue to decline.*<sup>60</sup>

### **Section 1.09 Document Summary**

Babies who mothers gave birth to them outside of marriage were, or where a child of the marriage, as proclaimed in 1960 and were being abducted, hidden and secretly hidden in society to necessitate the Governments *racial hygiene* agenda, and some of the ‘boys’ went on to become *guinea pigs in experiments* to clean up the racial decay that society had created through standards that were not quite acceptable in a developing country. Those experiments

included Aryanism for the *good stock*. Persecuted and protection to the existing good stock to cease them from procreation.

Although reliable figures are available, in the decades prior to the mid-1970s, it was common for babies of unwed mothers to be removed<sup>61</sup>, isolated and medically experimented upon and for baby boys – to be medically operated upon, without the mothers consent, and interfered against nature, as, the *Illegitimate child* was seen as a child of sin<sup>62, 63</sup>. The Mother and the offspring prior to 1975 were deemed “*feeble minded*”, *unfit*, *unworthy*, causation of *racial decay* that birthed these *illegitimate bastards*. The sections of Pt VI of the Marriage Act 1962 must be dealt with separately and in detail, in order to determine their relation to the subject of marriage. Section 89 is directed to *legitimizing children* whose parents were not married to one another when they were born but intermarried subsequently: they are legitimated whether or not when they were born their parents might lawfully have married each other. Sub-section (1) of s. 89 provides that a child whose parents were not married to each other at the time of his birth, but have subsequently married each other is by virtue of the marriage for all purposes the legitimate child of his parents<sup>64</sup> I was a child of her marriage, whilst a foundling.

It was much the same in former times under our legal system in respect of laws on *illegitimate* children or "bastards". They suffered, and were shamed, in order to promote a policy of marriage of their parents over which, at their birth and in their childhood, the children concerned had no control whatsoever. In today's world, depending on the evidence, this could amount to persecution<sup>65</sup>.

This fact has been well documented. Further estimates of the number of women, children and families affected by the relinquishment of babies by unwed mothers are considerable. Mothers didn't “*relinquish*” the child, rather the child was removed into secrecy, forcibly removed, as an act of intent<sup>66</sup>, not unlike how one would thief from some-one without their knowledge of a wrongdoing –*kidnapping* as it has been described, but in actual fact it was abduction. As the unmarried mother was seen to be the causation of *racial decay*, the child was of just as a concern as both were described as “*feeble*”, and wonders could be worked (Mrs Alfred Deakin, the wife of the Prime Minister of the Commonwealth)

It was described that *the child of a feeble minded person was in fact feeble minded himself and physical and mental was required and promoted.*

*Racial background is important. A child of olive skin would probably feel out of place with blonde parents. In fact, such a contrast might cause the child mental suffering later in life,*

*calling attention to his adoption status.*<sup>67</sup> The social experiment of adoption had failed.<sup>68</sup> The child had felt abandoned, rejected, and worthless and was on a path of life's destruction. Too many have suicided, been effected by drugs and alcohol, and engaged in self-harm and had been incarcerated.

Dr Harvey Sutton, Professor of the School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine in Australia, He agrees that, as a rule, physical and mental feebleness is correlated.” *If one has a strong body, but a feeble mind, the chances are that one has been born healthy in mind and body, but become diseased in mind owing to some accident or illness. The infants whose lives are saved are weaklings who would be better dead. From their ranks are, to a great extent, recruited mentally defective individuals, who subsequently became a grave social problem. The reasons for feeble-mindedness are many, but one is most important. A feeble-minded child nearly always has a feeble-minded parent*”<sup>69</sup> and vice versa.

It was just that preparation should be made for their reception in the world and for their well-being while in it. “Some children, it was true, were **"not born, but damned"** into the world. “They had no chance whatever”<sup>70</sup>. My mother had been described with tendencies of being “feeble”, in accordance with the guidelines of eugenic teachings, and one aunty was institutionalized in a mental hospital with epilepsy – another *feeble* disorder, and I in turn was treated as *feeble*<sup>71</sup>. **They are short because God made them that way – Defective stock. Often they were described as ‘useless’ or with the pejorative ‘non-valuer’** – and it was promoted to castrate them.

There is considerable research available in Australia on the issue of past adoption practices, including media; and experiments on “orphans”<sup>72</sup>, but wasn’t commonly known, was orphan boys were created castrato, eunuchs for the church. It wasn’t uncommon for 10 year old boys to be either castrated or sterilize<sup>73</sup> over the past centuries, and dating back to the 16<sup>th</sup> Century.

The purpose of this attached submission is to review existing research literature about past adoption practices in Australia and the history of genetics, eugenics, (good and bad) with vulnerable children subjected to inhumane and torturous “experimentation”.

*“The documents also contain a report of a test result which would seem to indicate that Mr O'Meara did, during the treatment period, on one occasion have abnormally high levels of what appears to be a hormone which may have been related to the treatment. That result is, prior to diagnosis of a condition that appears to have necessitated bilateral orchidopexy” –*

(... (16<sup>th</sup> May 2008).



The side effects of these experiments continue today, medication (testosterone treatment) is still required, “*to keep him alive*”<sup>74</sup> and the (hypogonadal) issues never resolve themselves. It was worldwide practise to sterilize the “*unfit*”, particularly from the period in the early to mid-1970’s, be it either mother or a child.

It appears that both Drs (...) from the Australian Catholic University and a member of the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and (...) (...) are both aware of what was happening to “*the adopted child*” who was classified “*unfit*” which became a program of *eliminating racial decay*.

*“Sterilization takes nothing from man or woman, only the power to reproduce. If you shoot a man you forfeit your life, but if you bring a diseased child into the world, subnormal mentally and physically, you have committed a greater sin”*<sup>75</sup>

### **Section 1.10 The reunion between Irene and Stuart**

I met my mother for the first time in 1989, nearly 30 years after we were forcibly segregated<sup>76</sup>, for our family genes will not be reproducing. Most people would say their wedding day is the best day of their life, but as that wouldn’t be happening for me – I would have to say the best day of my life was when I found and met my mother. I was on the waiting list of DHS in Victoria for a contact, but I could wait no longer, the years were clocking over, so I engaged a company called “Birthlink” who were located in Swanston St Melbourne.

The director of “Birthlink” called me after a two week search that cost me thousands of dollars and told me “*We have found her*” and with apprehension I asked him if she wanted to meet me. I was so anxious about being rejected again; it was a question I had to ask, and face a fact that she may still not want to know me, for it was I who made the move to face such an unknown. At least there was some good news – my mother was still alive. There was a positive answer and she wanted to meet me - the same day, but I couldn’t. I had to process this overnight – give this the time to settle in. We met the following day, as we both took time off work. I walked into the office with the director, when she was waiting and we both just starred at each other – we were both speechless, and then I decided to open my mouth and introduce myself as the name she had given me – Stuart. It was the only way I could think of her in accepting me, to introduce myself as the baby she had named nearly 30 years ago. I knew I had to relate back to the time of our segregation, for she knew nothing of me over that period of time. She probably didn’t even know if I was still alive. Medical

Practitioners had made comments to me in the past after knowing of my testimony in experimentation, they would state to me – “Why are you still alive?”

I had only met my mum twice, she didn't want to tell her family about me – her husband didn't know about me, nor did her daughter. My mother died in 1992 telling her daughter about me the night before she died. No-one told me she died; no-one knew I existed; I was still that *dirty adoption secret*<sup>77</sup>. I found out about her death by going to BDM and doing a search – something told me something wasn't quite right. What a horrible way to find out how my mum had died, when she was so ashamed of what she had done, that none of her immediate family were able to contact me – as she had kept her secret. The secrecy of adoption died with her as a secret. Her husband still doesn't know of my existence to my knowledge.

### **Section 1.11 In memory of my mother - Irene.**

My mother did what she was told by the welfare worker – she went out and got married so she could have me back. She had created the greatest sin of all – created a *bastard child*, with the label of being a ‘bastard’ and that was a deeply discrediting term - a child she loved and wanted back so desperately, she did what she was told. She was told if she were to marry she could have me back, so she complied, and did so, to get me back as she was aware I was lingering in a “foundling home”. Her marriage (within weeks) to another man was her way in retrieving me from being an *orphan*. Inbetweentime, the Church and Government were attempting to move these babies into society as quickly as possible so they could be unknown, unseen and unheard. Their eugenics program was well underway in 1961.

St Joseph's Foundling Home was battling financially, and even with such a promise, she went back to get me on the 32<sup>nd</sup> day, but I was gone. She had been lied to. I was already a child to the marriage of either biological parent in accordance with the second reading of the Marriage Bill 1961.<sup>78</sup> I wasn't a *fillus nullus*, nor was I *illegitimate* – they were labels to destroy the child.

I don't know whether I was gone or not, when she went back to get me, but whatever the situation, my forcible removal and consequence of manipulation by St Joseph's Foundling Hospital in Broadmeadows was based upon a lie. The mother and child had to take their punishment.

*They're the nicest lot of kids you'd find. A 3 month old baby with clear blue eyes and a deep-throated chuckle was rejected by her mother at birth because she had a harelip. Not all the children can be adopted, because some are mentally deficient or physically defective. Some others have girl mothers who are single. "The home is run by the Sisters of St. Joseph, and it does not want to close," a sister said . . . "Just look at those young babies!" Yesterday the babies ate £80 worth of butter, eggs, bread, and milk, which is a rate that is "far beyond the home's present means. It cannot carry on. Last year the home had a deficit of £16,000; and so far this year there is a bank debit of £7,000. The Government grant of £1,500 last year was "eaten up" in six weeks. "Our position is desperate," the sister-in ' charge said. Mr. McDonald, Premier, said his Government would consider giving financial aid to St. Joseph's Babies' Home. He would ask for a report from the Children's Welfare Dept.<sup>79</sup> It was a desperate situation and needed to offload the foundlings' either with or without defectives. It was later programs were initiated to catch up with such defective "foundlings" (1971)*

Removed at birth, and adopted 8 months after birth, the mother denied to the author, was married (whilst I was a foundling) prior to the adoption order, in fact, she was married prior to the affidavit to the Consent to adoption and as a married woman attempted to retrieve the author as a baby in a foundling home, unsuccessfully, was drugged during the birthing, had a pillow stuffed over her face (to inhibit bonding) and further treated with drugs to suppress lactation. She died aged 51.

### **Section 1.12 An Auntie as an institutionalize epileptic**

When Hitler came to power in 1933, he charged the medical profession with the task of implementing a national program in race hygiene. The first key element was the enactment, in 1934, of a law permitting involuntary sterilization of feeble-minded, mentally ill, epileptics, and alcoholics. ERO Superintendent Harry Laughlin's model sterilization law was closely modeled, and his contributions to *race hygiene* were recognized with an honorary degree from the University of Heidelberg. The Commonwealth had Racial Hygiene legislation and debate raged around medical examination for a "clean bill of health" as a precursor to marriage. The "marriage laws" of 1935 prohibited unions between "Aryans" and Jews, as well the eugenically unfit. It was akin to the Adoption legislation, a Government veto (legislation) from any of us meeting each other. Eugenicists considered epilepsy an inherited disorder, and many states sterilized epileptics to prevent its spread. This was another of the eugenicists' misinformed stands — epilepsy's causes are still not fully understood. Modern

medicine views each disorder as discretely inherited; the inheritance of one disorder is unrelated to the inheritance of another disorder. Eugenics viewed disabilities as related symptoms of "*bad stock*." Though eugenicists believed that immorality or poor living habits were inherited, they also thought that "degenerate" traits were inherited together. Eugenicists were generally less concerned about the people affected by genetic disorders than about the threat such people posed to the purity of the national "germ plasm."

*"I believe I am here without my own volition; and what part I should play in the species was not precisely predictable. That I should do what I find myself equipped to do well to improve the chances of the continuation of an ever improving germ plasm. Having done what I am fitted to do, and having participated in the continuation of a good germ plasm, I relinquish all desire for personal immortality, and face somatic death (the death of the body) calmly to clear the field for the new generation."*

*"I am entirely opposed to making a mental hospital keep mental defectives; firstly, because they are not insane; secondly, they require different treatment; and, thirdly, it is cruel both to the patients and relatives to brand them as lunatics."*-Dr J. Bostock<sup>80</sup>.

While one may say that it is the duty of parents to look after their small children, the presence of a vicious, incorrigible infant amidst normal children in the family is disturbing, to say the least of it. The mother's health invariably suffers, and the education of the other normal children is retarded. My mother died at such an early age, in her early 50's. It is a tragedy. Dr Bostock explained that the basis of mental deficiency on an incomplete development of the myriad nerve<sup>81</sup> cells which were found chiefly on the outer surface of the brain. They were less numerous, and not so highly developed as in the normal. Since the brain was the great co-ordinator, one would naturally expect a poor physique and strength. Lantein slides were thrown on the screen showing that 15 mental deficientes were needed to push a small wagon. Resistance to disease was slight; the mental defective died young. "This, from a eugenic point of view, is a wise provision of Nature," said the doctor.

"As many of the lower grades lie like logs, incapable of speech or thought, unable to feel pleasant emotion, life for them is a mere unpleasant sleep, from which there is no awakening. For such, death must be considered a blessing. This, of course, applies only to *idiots*. Later on, society will doubtless adopt eugenics whole-heartedly, and will decree who shall have the right to procreate, and who shall live. At present such matters are not in the realm of practical politics, although sterilisation has been legalised in some of the American States."

In many cases it was a "runt"-a spontaneous variation which, instead of giving as genius, gave us mediocrity.<sup>82</sup>

### Section 1.13 Irene's and Stuart's Story

#### About the Author

---

I have two birth certificates. Either I was born twice, died once or was reincarnated. Born on December 6<sup>th</sup>, 1961 to an *unmarried* mother, I was removed at birth never to see my Mother again till 1989 in the State of Victoria at the age of 27. I am the illegitimate *bastard child* of Irene Kelly (single mother), who migrated to Australia as a 10 year old back in 1950. She died in 1992 at the age of either 51 or 52. Irene fell pregnant, as a 20 year old unmarried woman, and due to her age, the age of consent, the social worker was involved with her six weeks before my birth. Being a single unmarried woman with a history taken where *feeble-mindedness* was in the family, I was targeted well before birth. Irene had a nervous disorder, and a sister institutionalized with epilepsy, a disorder of *feeble-mindedness*. She gave birth to me at the age of 21. I have never met, communicated or located my father, his children, nephews and nieces or any of my father's grandchildren or any other member of his family. He was a 24 year old married at the time with 3 children. Genocide was successful.

I will never know him or my brothers and sisters as its apparent he cannot disclose his extramarital affair he had with Irene. Irene didn't know he was married; however when she told him she was pregnant to her, the affair was broken off (according to the records) as he disclosed he was married with 3 children. Maybe he was separated, who knows, I will never know the true story as he doesn't want to know me. Rejection is never ending.

Out of 5 siblings, I have only ever met one, 4 of them I have never met and of those four, at least one has suicide.

By the mid 1960's the medical profession was discouraging mothers not to overfeed their children, which of course has delirious results on *growth and development*. The world is full of proud mothers virtually force feeding their children with everything the manuals recommend - proteins, vitamins, carbohydrates, fats - lots of everything and everything in "balance." Everyone admires Mum when they see the result. Bright and bouncing, Johnny will grow tall and strong as an oak, and he matures early. And he will probably die earlier, too. It's not always easy for a mother to say no to Johnny's yawning appetite or to fare neighbors who say she's "starving" her child. A hundred medical workers, many of them

renowned specialists in their individual fields of cardiology, endocrinology, biophysics, etc., have rallied round the short, jolly man with the strange accent to help write the fundamental text on growth and human development. The findings on a child over nutrition, as related to maturity and longevity, are not fully crystallised and are under further investigation.

This aspect of physiology forms only a small part of the whole study, whose far off purpose is nothing less than to unlock the mystery of human growth. *What happens when the normal growth process is interfered with, by reason of congenital or glandular defects? How is it that the stunted child, once the defect (cardiac or otherwise) is repaired, will spurt suddenly to near its normal expectable size? A child's body, liberated from a cardiac or pituitary impairment, can spurt up three years' worth inside nine months. What is the precise effect of nutrition on growth?*<sup>83</sup>

When this was advocated in the mid 1960's, with regard to feeding children – the results were that , I had failed to thrive; I hadn't been nurtured by my real mother, disassociated from her, placed as a square peg into a round hole and I didn't meet the social mores of the day. In other words, I wasn't accepted, infact totally unacceptable. The social mores were the standards in society, and the last thing a doctor had to be concerned with was the Law. I was short, undernourished, seen as impoverished and diagnosed with delayed development in bone age, by the time I reached 10 years of age. I was in actual fact to inherit the same genetic description as my mother, short, about 5ft, 2 inches – nature as it was, was genetic.

*The objective was to place impoverished children in the homes of decent working class and middle class people with the ultimate objective that these children would gain an education, often through an apprenticeship, to commence, I suppose, the process of upward social mobility, which is actually at the heart of a lot of thinking behind adoption and the transfer of children, to take children from regrettable circumstances and to place them in a circumstance where they may thrive.*<sup>84</sup> It was to become a situation where I to be finding myself in a far greater regrettable situation. I had been taken advantage of as a medical guinea pig. Genocide had awaited my fate, as I faced such a regrettable circumstance to be presented at Prince Henry's Hospital, a teaching hospital connected with Monash University.

In Law, I was a child of her Marriage<sup>85</sup> the social mores didn't comply with Law. Legally, one could execute any practice one wished – until one gets caught. One must ask if it was also a social more to break the law and torture children, and to medicate, to cover up torture and genocide.

As I went on to be treated with such impairment, or even described in having a disability - seen as a stunted child, either a delinquent, feeble-minded imbecile, and had to take the punishment of the social mores. It was with common practices of the day and told not to talk about it, told not tell anyone what was done to me - who like others around the world, would be punished for their birth status, be castrated and/or sterilized because “*three generation was enough*”. (*Buck vs Bell*) Social mores - where social policies, set by social workers, for social acceptance. Social mores always took precedence over legislation, and that then becomes a crime. One man's medicine, became a boy's poison.

I could never tell my mother what was done to me as a kid, it was to gut wrenching. If I had told her what was done to me in the 1970's, she would have never forgiven herself. I knew things happened to her that she wasn't prepared to disclose to me, and I had been tortured as a child where I wasn't prepared to disclose to her.

She was good enough to tell me of the birthing experience, and experience I couldn't accept until recent years, where these stories are appearing as common practice of the day.

- She had a pillow stuffed over her face so she couldn't see me during childbirth
- She wasn't allowed access to me in any way after my birth. Possibly a social more of silent consent forced upon her – rather restrained through my abduction.
- She was denied all her parental rights to me.
- There wasn't any consent signed until 7 days after my birth. – I was abducted from her at birth and she fought to have me, and eventually get me back as she was a married woman.

This torture happened for both mother and child around the world to the *feeble minded* and *illegitimates* and I can confirm Dr (...) once wrote to me stating “*your treatment wasn't about growth, nor to induce puberty*”. It was the treatment I received by practitioners under the *Australian Human Pituitary Hormone Program*. I wasn't approved by the Commonwealth, to be experimented on, and the Commonwealth funded the program - interdisciplinary treatment for being of a lower class.

I wasn't growth hormone deficient, just experimented on - by being comatosed, and infused with experimental batches of Master Glands, overtreated, hyperstimulated and castrated all because it was a promotion of human rights abuses against *the shortest in the class*. I was always told, about talking of this issue “don't tell anyone”. A previous Senate Inquiry “*The*

*Allars Inquiry*” wasn’t even aware of these unlawful practices occurring, it was never reported or documented in the Inquiry. The Journal documents were never tabled in “*The Allars Inquiry*” and Government isn’t aware what was going on upon poor, impoverished orphans subjected to government funded experimental programs.

At the time of my birth she was a 21 year old, (described as) a rather nervous woman who on December 12<sup>th</sup> 1961 “*supposedly*” signed an adoption consent, where we had already both been separated for a week without any contact, I had already been abducted – she returning to her family home and I to be placed at St Joseph’s Foundling Home Broadmeadows (Victoria), with the *view to adoption*, 6 weeks before my birth – but she wanted me as her own and fought to keep me. The interdisciplinaries had more power, had more influence and engaged in getting away with genocide.

I wasn’t an *orphan*, as I had grown up in believing – I had a mother deprived of me, and a son deprived to a mother. She never saw me at birth, had a pillow forced into her face, wasn’t permitted to hold me, see me, nurse me. She wasn’t permitted to identify me on request in the hospitals nursery. I met Irene in the late 1980’s after legislation changed in the State of Victoria. I was involved in that change of legislation with consultation under the Minister, Pauline Toner. We had been robbed. I had been robbed of her, and her of me. Genocide practices were not social mores – the practices were unlawful – kidnapping as it was known.

The effective legislation to remove me from my mother was in breach of the Genocide Convention Act 1949, Adoption of Children Act 1958, Country Court Act 1958, the Marriage Act 1958, the Health Act 1958 and the Social Security Act 1941, and my personal testimony came within other Acts.

#### **Section 1.14 Unseen, Unheard, and Unknown.**

*The disclosures of the recent maternity bonus case concerning the illegal "adoption" of the children of unmarried women, have disclosed a deficiency in the laws which have been passed to protect infant life. When the unwanted child' is "adopted" for a small cash sum, a frequent result is that the child does not grow up. If by simply registering the infant, and moving into another suburb, the mother is able to remove all trace of her whereabouts and if this "adoption" is being carried on a large scale, then the child protection laws are useless. That this "adoption" is common practice is the idea of the matron of the Foundling Hospital. One girl, she said yesterday, told her that she had live children, and had paid five pounds for the adoption of each. It would be interesting to know where those children are now<sup>86</sup>. We*



were to be unseen, unheard and definitely unknown. Sarah wrote of it in her book, the lids of the Hamilton Byrne Family, the Great White Brotherhood that was started in 1961, the same year I was born tells of the terrible atrocities against those children, the druggings, the animal infusions and the psychotropics, the same treatment I was to receive, but my infusions were direct infusion of cadaver brain matter. Sometimes I think those kids got off a little easier, for the proclamation was to treat these bastards as criminals – to hospitalize them and make them good citizens, with or without their testes – the enforcement of genocide for the underclass.

For Nazism had come to Australia, the Nazi practices had become entrenched – the teachings of the British. The files are hidden, and we remain unseen, unheard and unknown.

### **Section 1.15 The suicide attempt**

This submission won't be making comment on this topic.

### **Section 1.16 The Nervous breakdown**

This submission won't be making comment on this topic.

### **Section 1.17 Historical Teachings**

#### **1. Investigations at Geneva.**

With regard to problems of child welfare, the work of the League of Nations has undergone considerable development during the past 15 years. The present meeting of the Advisory Committee on Social Questions has just reaffirmed the famous "Children's Charter," first put forward by the "Save the Children" International Union, and adopted by the Assembly of the League in 1924. It didn't help, it was covered up. This charter was a declaration of the rights of the child, and has special bearing on tragic events of our own day. Experts on child care in general agreed that the best possible thing that can be done for child is to give general agreed that can be done for any child is to give him a home. He should, in the first instance, be left in his own home if the only difficulties there are material ones. Poverty itself, they declared, should never be considered sufficient reason for taking a child out of his home; in that case, the parents should be assisted by the social authorities to keep the family group together. *Nor should illegitimate children be taken away from their mothers, except for the gravest of moral reasons. Social workers have usually found that it is happier for the child to have one parent than to be an orphan, and in the case of an illegitimate child it is often the best possible thing for the mother to be allowed to keep him.* This report will summarize the experience of social

authorities and voluntary workers in some 38 countries. It gives a number of interesting examples from experiments in different social situations throughout the world.<sup>87</sup>

## 2. The Federal Royal Commission on Public Health 1925

.....commenced its Hobart sittings at the Customs House yesterday, when lengthy evidence was given by the Director of the State Psychological Clinic (Professor Morris Miller), and by the City Health Officer (Dr Gregory Sprott), the Deputy Commonwealth Statistician (Major L F Giblin) Interesting facts were brought to light with regard to the work of the State Psychological Clinic and the reason why the Commonwealth laboratories, mooted some time ago, have not yet been established. The Commission provide that it shall inquire into the coordination and cooperation of various administrative bodies, the prevention of the outbreak development or spread of disease in the Commonwealth the prevention of venereal disease and control over and treatment of persons suffering from it, Uniform legislation with regard to purity of food and drugs, maternity hygiene and child welfare industrial hygiene and the encouragement and development and research work.

Many undesirable trait and habits were manifest in young children which might be remedied by proper training and treatment. In many instances children grew up in this wrong sort of environment. He said that he wished to bring before the Commission the desirability of enlarging the popular view of the term "public health" so as to include under it mental as well as bodily wellbeing sound and efficient mental functioning was necessary in order that individual might adjust himself adequately to his social moral, and economic environment, and secure positive achievements. Special attention should be given to the health and educational needs of the pre-school children<sup>88</sup> in addition to children's hospitals homes.

Specialists in child psychology should be obtained; preferably through a psychological clinic. Every one of these children should be medically examined. An investigation should be made into their personal and family history, pedagogical record, and social reactions.<sup>89</sup>

Addressing the conference of The Racial Hygiene Association today, Canon R. B. S. Hammond emphasized the necessity for sterilization amongst certain classes of the community and said that if anything could be done, sanctioned by common sense, good morals, and reverence for God to prevent human misery and degeneracy, it should be done. Canon Hammond said that there were five classes from which the community might "rightly protect itself, where was (1) the mentally diseased, who numbered three times as many as 60

years ago; (2) the mentally defectives; (3) the emotionally unstable; (4)" the physically unfit, those with transmissible disease;<sup>90</sup>

### 3. Classification of the child

At the same time, the clinic was commencing a survey of institutions and homes, both public and private, where mentally defective children and adults were likely to be discovered

Under other legislation the Mental Diseases Hospital, New Norfolk, was capable of receiving certain classes of defectives, idiots and imbeciles in particular, and that provision was specially safeguarded by the Mental Deficiency Act.

Fortunately for some of them they were in homes where they were carefully guarded and treated, and, accordingly, learned habits of cleanliness and neatness; but there were others whose parents and guardians could not give them the attention they needed, and they missed at a most impressionable period opportunities for improvements in walking, talking, and physical development, which could not be made up for afterwards. It is of interest to add that the number of illegitimate children examined during the year was 26, and the diagnostic distribution was one normal (inferior), four border line, five diagnoses deferred, 12 feeble minded, three imbeciles, and one idiot. Distribution was one normal (inferior).<sup>91</sup>

Dr. W. E. Jones, Victorian Director General of Mental Hospitals, is at pre- sent In Sydney conducting an inquiry, on behalf of the Federal Government, into the prevalence of mental deficiency and the methods of treatment. Unfortunately there is a lack of uniformity in the various States in the methods employed in caring for and treating the mentally deficient. As to the desirability of sterilization, Dr. Jones said that he was still forming an opinion on the subject. "*Wholesale sterilization of the mentally deficient, would mean running grave risks in directions other than the immediate benefit of preventing the propagation of the mentally unfit.*"<sup>92</sup>

The question of the sterilization of the unfit in, their own interests and in the interests of the country "has long been an important subject of debate, and now the opinion of one section of the church tends to support those who have been working for the introduction of legislation to encourage the sterilization of those who might pass on hereditary diseases or mental deficiency". A meeting in Sydney decided to recommend to the State and Federal

Governments that a commission of experts be appointed to report on the question of voluntary sterilization.

In many cases .persons, who are ignorant of the fact that mental or physical disorders in the strain are likely to recur become parents of children who are a drain and disappointment to them and burden to the country. Sterilization for punitive purposes, for the benefit of the persons operated upon, or for purely eugenic reasons, has been adopted from 1907 onwards in many American States, but it is maintained by many that the results have not answered expectations. At least a voluntary system could be the means of slightly decreasing the birth rate of unfit children. Sterilization is admitted by every physically and mentally sound person to be in the interests of the preservation of the Race.<sup>93</sup> We had become engaged in the practice of eugenics, as a Nation, against the inferior.

Therefore it would be justifiable to speak of Germanization only if ... enabled the conquering race to achieve victory over an inferior people.

#### Section 1.18 **Endemic cretinism**

Stunted growth, goitre<sup>94</sup> and damage of the central nervous system appeared to have groups around the world interested in particular thyroid hormones. I wasn't growth hormone deficient, but did have one occasion a blunted response to the endocrine tests. I wasn't "stressed out enough" in the exercise tests. Although it has now been confirmed I did receive growth hormone<sup>95</sup>, I am still denied any support in this field as recommended in the "*Allars Inquiry*". Looking back, I could see where I could have been "deemed" feeble due to visual assumptions, delayed bone growth, with a family history of epilepsy, but I certainly didn't see the necessity of chemical castration and sterilization as a regime for such feebleness, although history shows that it was historical to castrate the orphan child. I had passed the psychological test. Others, such as Hopewood was established primarily as an experiment in both Eugenics and social engineering, an attempt to breed a new "super" race of Australians. It used *illegitimate* wartime babies<sup>96</sup> these eugenic programs are now coming to light in "the name of adoption"

Today there is a greater understanding of the benefits of breastfeeding and the nutrient that promote growth and development, but of course, that was denied to those taken from their mothers at birth due to *illegitimacy*. Racial cleansing was associated with *illegitimacy* and denied basic human rights.

Apart from “adoption” being documented as a “social experiment”<sup>97</sup> (Maev O’Collins, 1966), this submission also describes adoption as a “social experiment” in Eugenics, the consent taker, the abductions – feeble minded eugenics, similar to the British, Canadian, UK, Brazilian and USA experience, such as preserved “mental conditions” such as nervousness and epilepsy<sup>98</sup> –both of which was in my genetic make-up. In her same paper (O’Collins) it was suggested, that the adopted person be followed up in the years to come, but didn’t happen either. Dr (...) once wrote to me and stated “*your treatment was not to encourage growth, nor to induce puberty*”. I had believed there was something wrong with my height, as I was short; only to learn in recent times that there was nothing wrong with my height, as I wasn’t growth hormone deficient, but genetically had perceived disabilities within the biological family pertaining to *feeble mindedness*. A label for the social mores of the day, not the legislated laws and practises. Theses social more were individual opinion, not statutes of Tort. The illegitimates were also described to be *feeble minded*, according to documents, but I was and had the same genetics as my mother. Her gametes were my genetics.

But, as well, research workers are already busy on various substances which by injection into the body (much in the same way as vaccines given) will carry with them the power to confer sterility on the person so inoculated. At the outset let us get the procedure right. It must not be confused with the barbarous operation known as emasculation or castration.

This is the operation undergone by eunuchs, the effect being to unsex them and to render them unable to perform their normal sex functions, which carries with it, of course, the inability for ever to reproduce their kind. The operation was formerly much employed in countries where the harem system prevailed, the eunuchs being employed as the masters or the keepers of the harem. But that occupation was not primarily the cause of their unsexing. The operation was performed in childhood so that the boys retained their female voices<sup>99</sup>

*For there are some eunuchs, which were so born from [their] mother's womb: and there are some eunuchs, which were made eunuchs of men: and there be eunuchs, which have made themselves eunuchs for the kingdom of heaven's sake. (Matthew 19:12)*

Due to adolescent behavioural confusion, subject certain boys to ridicule and scorn among peers and unsympathetic elders. In the absence of counselling, such boys are beaten into submission by misguided parents and elders to force them to behave like boys.<sup>100</sup>

J.S. Jenkins writes:

"Boys were castrated between the ages of 7 and 9 years, and underwent a long period of voice training. A small number became international opera stars, of whom the most famous was Farinelli, whose voice ranged over three octaves. By the end of the 18th century, fashions in opera had changed so that the castrati declined except in the Vatican, where the Sistine Chapel continued to employ castrati until 1903. The last of the castrati was Alessandro Moreschi, who died in 1924 and made gramophone recordings that provide the only direct evidence of a castrato's singing voice."<sup>101</sup> But the last Castrato didn't die in 1924, as castratos were still being created by man in Melbourne in the 1970's. I was an altar boy in the Catholic Church with a voice that was awarded a presentation of the children's bible by a priest at a Sunday mass. I was in demand not only for my castrato singing voice, amongst other agendas of the church, but for my eunuch presentation.

Jesus said "eunuch," not celibate. We know the physical requirements for being a eunuch. Apparently what is not so clearly known by pro-celibacy exegetes is what is the result of the castration? Eunuchs can still have sex, though they cannot procreate. So clearly the eunuch's not being able to reproduce is unconnected to sexual activity, though the standard ideology of the Roman Church wishes to strongly link the two activities. Indeed, the strong linkage is the basis for the sexual morality and ethics taught by the Church today, not dissimilar to the practices and procedures in past adoption practices. The social more is an individual's choice of morals and ethics, not necessarily the law.

Alessandro Moreschi was born into a large Roman Catholic family in the town of Monte Compatri, near Frascati. Perhaps he was born with an inguinal hernia, for which castration was still a "cure" in nineteenth-century Italy. Or he could have been castrated later, around 1865, which would have been more in line with the centuries-old practice of castrating vocally talented boys well before puberty<sup>102</sup> This is how I also became a castrato for the Catholic Church, before puberty and the voice was worth the presentation.

Since obtaining a copy of my "*orphanage*" records, although they constituted the Foundling Hospital records, it appears my treatment was about racial decay and sterilizing the "unfit" similar to the programs in Britain, Canada and the USA. There hadn't been any follow up studies, in which Ms. O'Collins suggested should happen in 15 to 20 years' time (published in 1966), and no follow up as recommended in The Allars Inquiry, nor any follow up with a

bipartisan agreement in the House (Hansard 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008). There appears to be consistency in negating any support of the past adoption practices.

### **Section 1.19 Endocrinology as a 'third way' of eugenics<sup>103</sup>**

The method of producing ideally normal human beings resembles a form of eugenics. It is thus not at all odd that Berman would advocate eugenics. The American eugenics debate, certainly at its most heated during the 1920s when ideas of racial hygiene were shared by social reformers from almost all political camps, was still highly topical during the 1930s and continued to be so until the start of the Second World War. However, Berman was careful to insist on significant differences between the older Galtonian forms of racial hygiene and his own modern hormone therapeutic eugenics.

Negative eugenics aimed at reforming society through strict birth control and sterilization so that undesirable individuals would never be born.

Positive eugenics wished to mate couples so their offspring would have better characteristics than the average person. Hormone therapy was a third way, concerned with remaking, improving and refining the human material which was already at hand. Instead of acting as executioner or matchmaker, the endocrinologist would be a creative engineer of humankind, just as adoption, *the legal refashioning of a child's identity, is an extraordinary 20th century phenomenon* – so too, is the facets of Aryanism, the creation of the Master race.

Records always begin by tracing hereditary traits, such as any mental disorders and alcoholism among the relatives and the delinquent's criminal behaviour— of which there always seemed to have been a plethora.

Dr Josef Mengele, the Auschwitz "*Angel of Death*" was for many years one of the most wanted Nazi war criminals, due to his medical experiments. This geneticist disregarded the Hippocratic Oath and sent thousands to their death in the name of science (Gutman 317). These secret medical trials in Melbourne for the "orphaned boy/s" also sent (some) boys to their deaths, induced disabilities and reduced life expectancy.

#### 4. Melbourne's secret medical experiments

##### Section 1.20 Boys not wanted

The apparent increase in the numbers of illegitimate boy babies is providing a problem for the Queensland State Children's department which has to find homes for them. There are now so many little boys awaiting adoption that the department has had to advertise. The department's director ( ...) said today: 'There seems to be a preponderance of boys being born, at least, as far as *illegitimate* births are concerned. The proportion is about nine to one. 'We have had nine little boys to find homes for in the past 10 days, yet 30 people are on the waiting list for girls. 'We usually find people want to adopt girls in preference to boys. Some say that a boy grows up and leaves home, but a girl will stay and be a help to the woman of the house.<sup>104</sup>, hence boys not wanted.

'The Canberra Community Hospital has a waiting list of Canberra residents wishing to adopt new born baby girls, who cannot be cared for by their mothers, or who have been abandoned at the hospital.

The list of residents wishing to adopt baby boys, however, had diminished in recent years.

The Chairman of the Hospital Board, Professor ( ... ), and the Medical Superintendent, Dr ( ... ), will seek an interview with the magistrate, Mr. ( ... ), in an effort to 'clear up an anomaly regarding adoptions.

Dr. (...) informed the Board that if a baby were left at the hospital and foster parents could not be obtained for it, the hospital would be placed in an invidious position.

A court order to allow' the child to be placed in an institution could not be obtained, because as long as the child was cared for in the hospital it could not be declared a neglected or unwanted child.<sup>105</sup> Apparently boys' are not wanted to day because they involve a more difficult problem to rear and maintain than the girls.<sup>106</sup> The boys can however, be socially controlled. The demand for these children exceeds the supply and girls are preferred, being regarded being more companionable in later life than boys.<sup>107</sup>

##### Section 1.21 The idiot child's cure

A telegram from Lille early in August reported astonishing results from an experiment which had been made on the body of a half-witted child on which, was grafted the thyroid gland of a



murderer named Oliver, who was executed several months ago in front of the Law Courts of the northern city. The operation of the extraction of the gland was performed by Dr. Lefort, a few minutes after the criminal was guillotined.

The operation gave excellent results, and the child a girl who had suffered from great mental and physical weakness, was rapidly transformed. Grafting of this kind being generally unsuccessful, however, as the human organism appears as a rule to reject foreign bodies of this nature, the surgeon concerned preferred to wait several months before making any formal report on the results of his experiment. Dr. Lefort who is a member of the Academic de Medicine, and who was assisted in the operation by Dr. Jean Piquet, now announces that the experiment has proved completely successful. The gland has been perfectly assimilated by the child, who is in excellent health both physically and mentally. She has developed normal intelligence and is generally a bright and joyous temperament<sup>108</sup>

Doctors speak of the "bone age" of children. There are average or normal sequences in the development of bones. These may be altered when growth is abnormal. To find the "bone age" it is usual to X-ray the hands, wrists, or feet. The "bone age" may be in advance of the real age, or a child of 8 or 10 may have a bone age about the 3 or 4 year level. What are some of the things which influence growth?

Certain races are taller than others. Heredity plays a part. Tall parents tend to have tall children and children with body configuration similar to their own. The familiar phrases, "a chip off the old block" or the "image of his father" illustrate the point. Certain types of dwarfism can be handed down in families.

Growth can be greatly affected by the endocrine or internal glands of the body. The pituitary gland, a tiny organ snugly protected by bone at the base of the brain, has an enormous influence on growth. Fortunately, most of us have a healthy pituitary gland and we grow to average size. In diseased states the gland may on rare occasions become too active and there is abnormal growth. The unfortunate victim may grow to eight feet or more. My pituitary wasn't diseased; it showed a normal clinical result - there was nothing wrong with it according to the scan - for I was genetically short, just as that hereditary was documented in my biological family.

When the pituitary gland becomes overactive, in later life the condition called acromegaly results. The head, the hands, and the feet become very large. The patient has to get a larger

hat and bigger shoes. The internal organs are also large. On the other hand, when the pituitary gland is injured or destroyed by disease the patient remains a dwarf, a man in miniature.

Occasionally it may be small or absent. Then a condition called cretinism develops. The baby or infant does not develop normally; teeth are cut late, walking and talking develops late, growth is stunted and the child is mentally backward. My mentality was completely normal.

It is most important that this condition should be recognised during the period of most active growth of the brain, as the child's whole future depends on it. Thyroid extract must be given to cure the condition as the thyroid hormone is needed for normal development of all tissue.

A good well balanced diet is essential to obtain normal growth. Poor nutrition is one of the commonest causes of poor growth. The diet must contain enough food and the right food.

When growth is taking place with formation of new tissue the diet must contain plenty of protein in the form of meat, milk, fish, and eggs. Recent reports from a London hospital suggest that beef liver may contain some hitherto unknown factor in human growth.

They then planned an experiment with children<sup>109</sup>, just as in an experiment which explores a new avenue of approach to the treatment of growth abnormalities, children at the Hertfordshire branch of the National Children's Home and Orphanage have agreed to be photographed in the nude and be measured at regular intervals. Those experiments continued in Melbourne at Prince Henry's Hospital, including being photographed naked. The Orphanage experiments in growth and development continued outside orphanages on orphans.

*"We need to recognise that the many hundreds of unapproved male recipients like my constituent received the same treatment as those who were approved in receiving hPG treatment, that they suffer the same, if not worse, risks and side effects because they have been denied access to medical records and because they have been part of this hidden or non-existent list of unapproved recipients"*<sup>110</sup>.

*Let me give the House an actual example that my constituent has given me permission to speak of. My constituent was treated with hPG as a boy of 10 years of age. This resulted initially in a spontaneous onset of full-blown puberty. As treatment doses and frequency were varied, he was effectively castrated, with his testes so damaged that puberty was then delayed*<sup>111</sup>

Mengele's (Hitlers Nazi doctor) career and his involvement in criminal medical practices began with his interest in genetics, which overlapped with Nazi ideology. The scientific basis for the theory of superiority of the Germanic race came in the form of eugenics, a term conceived in the mid-19th century by Sir Francis Galton, an English professor. Galton believed that the inherited traits of an individual would benefit society and humankind by identifying them and improving positive ones while eliminating negative ones<sup>112</sup>

The significance, importance and effects of martyrs on religious or secular power systems and on revolutionary movements has always been known and exploited by the tyrants of Church and State. Indeed, when the tyrants had no true martyrs - they created them. What better example could we find than the alleged holocaust of the "forever persecuted" tribe of "chosen people."<sup>113</sup> It was always systemic to tell an adopted child that he was "chosen" Despite the use of intrauterine and neonatal hormone treatments, genetic variability still plays a large role in eventual psychic ability exhibited by the child. While certain psychoactive drugs could be used to boost psychic abilities, these also exacerbate problems<sup>114</sup> It beggars the question as to why so many of the Hamilton-Byrne's adoptees have suicided under their regime, those same drugs I now carry the *scar of remembrance*.

*"Some doctors have come clean and told the department, others haven't. This is why there are bound to be a lot of unofficial people out there that doctors have treated like this".<sup>115</sup>*

However, during this growth, the thyroid, adrenal, and six other glands remained in an infantile state, leaving the rats with the general characteristics of youth<sup>116</sup> Such as these concerns went to the heart of the matter with the private members motion on 16<sup>th</sup> June, 2008, the side effects as described from the eugenics program has never been addressed.

In earlier child experiments on Orphans from Brisbane, it was stated when Mr Moore said. Not 'guinea pigs' Replying to another claim that orphans were being taken from the home and virtually used as 'guinea pigs,' Mr Moore said: 'To allege that a child or any human is being used as a 'guinea pig' creates the impression that it is being purposely infected with some disease, injected with some substance or experimented on in some way. "I emphatically deny that anything of this nature is being done to any orphan."<sup>117</sup> And now other experiments years on are confirmed – the sterilization experiments.

There were of course more experiments of Orphans out of Melbourne involving both CSL and The Walter and Eliza Institute in which there was a Royal Commission in 1928. The report of the Royal Commission appointed by the Commonwealth Government to inquire into

the tragic deaths of a number of children at Bundaberg last January, following Injections with anti-diphtheria serum, has concluded its investigations and has submitted a full and comprehensive report dealing with every phase of the occurrence. The report is a voluminous one, covering 125 pages. The commission comprised three highly distinguished gentlemen of the medical profession—Dr Charles Kellaway director of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Research at the Melbourne Hospital, (chairman); Professor Peter McCallum, of the Melbourne University; and Dr A. H. Tebbutt, of Sydney. Preliminary experimental work was carried out at the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Research, and the commission heard evidence at Stanthorpe, Toowoomba, Bundaberg and Brisbane. In Queensland 48 witnesses were examined; in New South Wales 3; and in Victoria 15; making a total of 66 persons. Extensive experimental investigations were subsequently made in Melbourne.<sup>118</sup> Interestingly enough the Eugenic experiments of the 1970's that were not approved by the Commonwealth have never been investigated. They remain secret until now.

Puberty does not just change the sexual organs; it also affects brain development, bone and muscle development. No one knows all the potential side effects of administering puberty-delaying hormones on children; it constitutes human experimentation.<sup>119</sup>

### **Section 1.22 Another experiment in adoption**

By splitting open a boy's skull, and keeping the split gaping by means of I metal wedges, an American surgeon is making a desperate effort to avert the child's death or insanity. This child is suffering from a condition frequently seen in Australia. The skull ceases to grow before birth; as a result the brain (which keeps growing up to the third year of life) cannot expand. Either the skull pressure causes convulsions and death, or the brain-growth stops und the child becomes a hopeless imbecile.

Skull-splitting has been tried before (front and back), unsuccessfully. In the American case a side-to-side split has been made. The wedges are only temporary; the soft cartilaginous bone will grow over the gap, or a graft can be done.

The case recalls the extraordinary Melbourne experiment last century, when a man persuaded a surgeon to remove a wedge of skull from his (adopted! son's skull, hoping that, by allowing the brain to further expand, the boy would become a genius. After several years, during which he showed precocious talent, the child became hopelessly insane and was removed to an asylum<sup>120</sup>

It was reported in The Age (Melbourne) in 1997 more orphan experiments took place in Melbourne, extensively reported, and I will refrain from comment as it was reported upon heavily in the media at the time.

It appears vulnerable orphans have over the decades been subjected to experiments with dire consequences with bizarre excuses by the authorities, as none of these have ever been subject to a Royal Commission as what happened back in 1923 involving CSL.

## **5. The survival battle of the non valuer**

"If you are going to sterilize mental deficient, why not criminals, and, if criminals, why not drunkards, and, if drunkards, what about political apostates? "If you are to have birth, control on a large scale you will have to add to your lunatic asylums for the mothers. The absence of children leads to neurasthenia in married women, and that leads to insanity.

I know from my own practice that that is a fact." The above were passages in a striking comment by Sir Robert Armstrong- Jones, a leading authority on mental diseases. He was dealing with theories advanced by Mr. Harold Cox at a recent People's League of Health lecture in London. Mr. Cox had declared that the lower classes, who were less fit, were multiplying disproportionately, and urged wider facilities for birth control.

He also pleaded for the voluntary sterilization of the unfit. Dropping a Bomb Sir Robert said: "I maintain large families are a very great help. Members of large families are able to help each other. Mr. Cox says more I stress on heredity than environment I think that physical and mental conditions depend very much upon environment." "We are much more aware of physical and mental deficient than 'we were.' Mental deficiency is not bound to be inherited. Investigation has shown that more than 40 per cent, of the mental deficient have similar parents. I myself collected some normal people's family histories, and I have discovered that there is very little less evidence of lunacy in the history of normal people's parents than in those of mental deficient. I know I am dropping a bomb by saying that. "In that case I do not see why you should penalize these afflicted people. Who is to decide who is to be sterilized?"<sup>121</sup>

The report of the Inspector General of the insane must make people realize that steps must be taken to remedy a sad situation. It is now that public opinion should make its choice between life-long segregation at the expense of the community and sterilization of those mentally

afflicted or defective who are not able to support themselves or whose families are unable to care for them.

It is unfortunate that the distinction has not been better understood by legislators and the public between eugenic sterilization and castration. Eugenic sterilization of the hereditarily defective is a protection, not a penalty, and should never be made a part of a penal statute. No sane man or woman would wish to bring into life a child, so defective that it could not be a self-sustaining, respectable citizen.

If anything can be done, which is sanctioned by common sense and good morals, to prevent this accumulation of human misery, it should be done. Sterilization of the male is simple and no more serious than pulling a tooth. Sterilization comes under the heading of social welfare and eugenics, and, far from being regarded as opposed to God's will, means to prevent the *feeble minded* from reproduction might be looked on as in accord with and furthering His will. We have seen more than once that the public welfare may call upon the best citizens for their lives. It would be strange if it could not call upon those who already sap the strength of the State for these lesser sacrifices. Often not felt to be such by those concerned, in order to prevent our being swamped with incompetency.

It is better for the entire world if, instead of waiting to execute degenerate offspring for crime, or to let them starve for their imbecility, society can prevent those who are manifestly unfit from continuing their kind. All that is best and noble in manhood is in harmony with the awakened conscience of the race.<sup>122</sup>

Many of them were described as ill fitted for 'life's battle' for 'survival', while they were individually assessed for their contributions to society. Often they were described as 'useless' or with the pejorative 'non-valuer' (worthless person), while the purpose of their hospitalization was to turn them into '*useful members of society*', (which at the very least meant that they were financially not dependent on the state), with or without their testes<sup>123</sup>

Whether this person were sterilised or not (sexual degenerates are usually liable to break out in some other direction if sterilised). This strikes me as an awkward dilemma for advocates of sterilisation. Moreover, intellectual and emotional development is more bound up with the development of the reproductive system than most people (who have never seen eunuchs) have any idea of. An apparently defective boy turns a nook at adolescence, and at 25 or so becomes - a normal useful man. To condemn such a one to be made a eunuch might well

prevent him ever getting well, whereas in a colony he can be trained as a farmer or artisan, and at 25 years or so becomes a useful citizen.<sup>124</sup>

They would at least receive good food and education and discipline. . It was urged that it was far better that they should have their chance of growing up into useful citizens, even though some parents might throw their responsibilities aside. The point taken by the advocates of this scheme is that, to avoid a very problematic increase of *immorality*, hundreds or even thousands of innocent, useful, little lives are sacrificed, not in any problematical manner, but now and every day left to the tender mercies of tile harpies who make their miserable living by the illegal "adoption" of illegitimate babies.<sup>125</sup>

One of the most interesting developments in social science in Australia is associated with the arrival from America of Dr Anita Muhl, of San Diego, California, who is in Melbourne to initiate an important experiment in the co-ordination of social services relating to child development problems.

One of the best known and most distinguished psychiatrists in the United States, and known also in Vienna, Dr Muhl visited Australia some months ago for a holiday tour, and spent some time in Melbourne as the guest of Miss Una Cato. Keenly interested in the solution of social problems, particularly in relation to the child, Miss Cato was familiar personally with the work of Dr Muhl in the United States, both in association with Government enterprise and in private practice.

With the conviction that if Dr Muhl's services could be secured for a period in this country, social services would benefit considerably, Miss Cato, after Dr Muhl's return to America, approached the University of Melbourne with a suggestion that Dr Muhl should be invited to return to Melbourne for three years to undertake special courses, the cost of which Miss Cato offered to defray.

The University accepted Miss Cato's offer, and Dr Muhl accepted the invitation, explaining that the fundamental purpose of her new work would be to co-ordinate all community interests dealing with children in relation to child development problems.

Dr Muhl said: "My work will be done partly at the University of Melbourne and partly with the community. The work at the University will include a course in psychiatry for senior students in the medical school and a post- graduate course for doctors. I will hold classes in behaviour problems for children at a seminary for graduate teachers, and will work in co-

operation with the school of social studies and with the Free Kindergarten Union in relation to pre-school child development.

"I have undertaken to remain here for three years, and the rest depends on how far the community is interested in this project, the whole idea of which is the application of sound principles of prevention and constructive integration to any form of social disorder.

"It has tremendous possibilities, and I think that Miss Cato has done a remarkable service to the community in envisaging so wide a scope."<sup>126</sup>

### **Section 1.23 An Australian Social experiment**

During World War II and the years immediately following, a successful Sydney businessman, Lesley Owen (L.O.) Bailey, initiated a unique social experiment. Bailey formed an organization, the Youth Welfare Association of Australia (YWAA), which took into its care 86 'war babies', 43 boys and 43 girls, children who were unable, for a number of reasons, to be cared for by their natural parents. For the next 20 years, these children were cared for by Bailey and the YWAA in a number of homes throughout NSW, which he established for the purpose, the primary home being located at Hopewood House, Bowral.

The children were raised entirely on a natural diet, primarily fresh vegetables and fruit. Formal medical care was limited, with medical interventions emphasizing the preventative properties of the natural health diet, rather than the use of conventional medical cures. The children were subjected to regular medical, and in particular dental, surveillance and measurement, the results of which were formally published in learned medical and dental journals in Australia during the 1950s. Bailey's stated intention was to demonstrate the virtues of his regime of diet and health, and the physical improvements which could be derived from his regime. This thesis examines the bio-political dimensions of Bailey's project within the context of scientific modernity. Within this context, the project is examined from three major perspectives. First, the project is examined as a *eugenics experiment*.

It is argued that Bailey's project was eugenically motivated, with the intention in the first instance of improving the physical being of the generation of children under his control. The second major dimension examines the disciplines of bodily regulation within Hopewood, and in particular the Hopewood diet. Diet is viewed as a mode of social discipline, imposed within the framework of a total institution for purposes of bio-political enhancement of the



species being. For Bailey, diet is the mode of regulation which enables the eugenic outcome of trans-generational bodily enhancement.

Third, the thesis examines the implications of social constructions of childhood within the biopolitical context, in particular, issues of the ownership of children and children's bodies.

Bailey's project was an experiment enacted on the bodies of children, and it is argued that social constructions of childhood, especially the discourses surrounding innocence and socialisation, define social ownership and constrain children's social membership.

This thesis is an examination of how society views children, what society sees as the role of children, and the kinds of practices which these constructions sanction towards children. It also illuminates an episode in the history of the *Australian eugenics movement*, and especially the eugenics of diet.

This thesis is a record of a unique Australian social experiment, and its impact on a number of individual lives Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)<sup>127</sup>

## **Section 1.24 The Racial decay process**

England is deteriorating. "For the strain of England, that, masterful strain that conquered by land and by sea, that carried civilization to the remotest parts of the globe and founded colonies that have become great nations, is certainly is deteriorating. The South African War brought that home to England more sharply even than the persistent commercial and industrial decline that has been progressing for some time. The birth rate has been falling alarmingly since 1877, and statisticians declare that at the present rate England will be in the position of France before 1930-her population will have ceased to reproduce itself. Obviously some steps must be taken to prevent this headlong decay.

Now, the late Sir Francis Galton was led by his studies in heredity to foresee to a certain extent the present conditions. It was he who coined the word 'eugenics' (in' his book 'Human Faculty') so far back as 1883, and he who about eight years ago endowed and founded the laboratory in University College; London. Professor Pearson - who was already carrying on work in a biometric laboratory, naturally suggested himself as the logical, director of the new foundation, and he accepted the post - The Galton Laboratory.

That Galton Laboratory in Cower Street is not one of those palatial buildings where many an American student learns the elements of the sciences. It consists of three somewhat dingy,

cramped, narrow rooms, where Professor Pearson's staff is laboriously carrying on its researches. And those researches form, in the opinion of many, some of the most important scientific work now being done in England.

Eugenics was defined by its founder as the study of agencies under social control that may improve or impair the racial qualities of future generations, either physically or mentally. In other words, it is the study of biology directed toward the highest animal in the scale - man.

Prompted by the certainty that man can be thus studied with a view to racial improvement, Galton founded the laboratory, and prompted by the same certainty Professor Pearson and his staff are devoting their lives to the work. The staff is a small one. It consists of Dr. David Heron, Galton Research Fellow; Miss Ethel Elderton.

The business of these workers is simply to study and to publish the results in a series of memoirs. For eugenics, in the words of Professor Pearson has to educate public, to produce, he adds, *"a nation healthy alike in mind and body must become a fixed idea. one of almost religious intensity. If we can make the possession of healthy, sound children a greater economic asset than the possession of feeble offspring, then we have for the mass of the people solved the problem of practical eugenics"*.

President Eliot, of Harvard, a few years ago expressed alarm over the fact that Harvard graduates were not reproducing themselves, so small were their families: Well, the same, more or less, is true of England.<sup>128</sup>

These racial decay sterilization programs mostly ceased around the world by the mid 1970's. It was a worldwide program not only targeted to indigenous around the world, but to clean up the immoral acts and *mortal sin* of the churches' teachings.

"The British attitude on the question of sterilisation may be considered as a conservative one," says Dr Jones, "but it is based on a genuine belief that it is not likely to be generally effective, and not infrequently ill-results to the individual will follow"<sup>129</sup>

*"They, like my constituent, need that vital information in order to understand why today, some 30 years after the initial treatment, they experience debilitating side effects that cause hardship in daily living and real anxiety about future prognosis. Those concerns go to the heart of this motion and underpin the reason I have brought these issues to the attention of the House"*<sup>130</sup>

In 1928, the Royal Commission on Child Endowment or Family Allowance it was stated that '*eugenic considerations...must be taken into account*'. Allied to these concerns was a strain of Social Darwinism expressed through the problems resulting from 'racial poisons', i.e., venereal disease, TB, prostitution, alcoholism and criminality, with plans to be made for '*...dealing with society's racially contaminated unfit and misfit*'.

Galton advocated extermination of those deemed "unfit" for survival through forced sterilization, and his ideas were put to practice in the United States, where from 1905-1972 more than 70,000 of the poor, the uneducated, and the infirm were sterilized in this country to prevent them from having children. Of this number 50 percent were sterilized *against their will* for being classified as *feeble-minded*, or *retarded*<sup>131</sup>.

And in the words of Elizabeth Hastings in her statement as Federal Disability Discrimination Commissioner in 1998 - "*A world in which government cannot be bothered to investigate potential illegal medical assault on its citizens, in which those with no authority feel free to make decisions which are blatantly against the law and to carry out serious and irreversible procedures on those with little or no capacity to give or withhold consent, is a world in which people with disabilities can have no certainty or confidence about their human being or their future*"<sup>132</sup>

There's more to the growth hormone program being run at Prince Henry's hospital in Melbourne that was not reported to *The Allars Inquiry*, many journal documents disclosing unauthorized use of pituitary hormones were omitted. It failed those who were unapproved and the reasons why they were guinea pigs for a castration and sterilization program to resolve social cleansing.

*It has been 40 years since Elaine Riddick heard the words, but she still remembers them like yesterday: "The doctor told me I had been butchered."*<sup>133</sup>.

The similar was stated to me after coming out of a comatosed state, by Dr Burger at Prince Henry's Hospital..."*his testicles are missing*" I was overtreated with pituitary hormone, causing destruction of the testes and an inguinal hernia. The same results as published in 1969 in animal studies, that weren't tabled in "*The Allars Inquiry*"

I personally remember awaking for some sort of coma, aged 10 years, when the doctor turned to my mother and said "*his testicles are missing*". Clearly, they weren't missing prior to

being infused with Master Glands for the Master Race – the brain effects of hormone stimulation.

Little did I know I (and hundreds of others) was being used in a **secret medical trials** experimenting for the “*betterment*” of “*racial decay*” in Eugenics<sup>134</sup>. I had passed the intellectual test, but medical practitioners in Melbourne were being trained<sup>135</sup> on sabbatical in Cold Springs USA, where these practices became common place. It was agreed by an endocrinologist and pediatric endocrinologist, Dr (...) , that I am administered drugs that prevents *growth and development*. That drug was TGA approved and was withdrawn from the market in the early 1990’s. It damaged internal organs.

Being created a Eunuch, preventing procreation, the growth and development within my endocrine system is totally destroyed.

*This caused hypogonadism, including prostate disease, in unknown numbers of boys. This meant that these boys developed a permanent defective reproductive system resulting from a lack of function of the testes often accompanied by lack of sexual development and premature menopause<sup>136</sup>*

## **Section 1.25 The Targeting of the newborn**

Not every baby conforms to the text book.

Historical Facts being legitimated to Irene’s Marriage

- Born illegitimate on 6<sup>th</sup> December 1961 and removed at birth
  - Placed in Orphanage 12<sup>th</sup> December 1961. Consent taken (and questionable), no sworn affidavit to verify my mother’s consent
  - Left Orphanage 14th January 1962 –mother returned on 32<sup>nd</sup> day from consent as a married woman – I was gone
  - Iren Kelly married January 1962<sup>137</sup>, not to biological father
  - Consent to adoption sworn Affidavit 13<sup>th</sup> April 1962
  - Birth Certificate sworn Affidavit effected 13<sup>th</sup> April 1962
  - Adoption Order – County Court effected 30<sup>th</sup> August 1962
  - Foundling between December 1961 till August 1962, I was Irene’s child of her marriage.
- Historical Facts involving *illegitimacy*

- The Eugenics Society
- Bastard Children
- Delinquency
- Feeble Mindedness
- Castration
- Sterilization

### Section 1.26 The matter of deception

Eugenic principles, if they were thorough, involved negative as well as positive aspects. The first practical example of negative eugenics was sterilisation of the *unfit*. The Eugenics Society strongly advocated the provision of facilities for voluntary sterilisation under appropriate safeguards for persons suffering from or carrying transmissible defects seriously impairing physical or mental efficiency<sup>138</sup> According to Senator Gorton in 1961 - *This matter will be examined to see what hardship is caused. I agree with Senator Vincent that all illegitimate children, whether born in Australia or overseas, are innocent at least until they grow older*<sup>139</sup>

Eugenics is the study of agencies under social control that may improve or impair the racial qualities of future generations, either physically or mentally. We are in the main confirmed optimists. "The question which concerns me at the moment." preceded Lord Horder, "*is whether all the expenditure of good endeavor is directed into the channels which are calculated to produce the most fundamental and the most enduring results. Lone observation and reflection have convinced me that they are not. In the view of the eugenicist —a view I share with conviction the economic advantages lie with efforts made toward racial betterment rather than with social service in a particular generation.*" "*The second form of negative eugenics was birth control or, more correctly, conception or pregnancy control. There was no method yet known which were free from some disadvantage. Research was necessary, and such research was being actively engaged in by the Eugenics Society, to correct the misfortune of contraceptive methods not reaching the class for which they were most helpful. The Eugenics Society advocated very strongly that instructions in those methods should be provided by local authorities under medical supervision in properly organised clinics.*"<sup>140</sup>

*Three generations are enough*<sup>141</sup> when it came to feeble-mindedness – Eugenics guidelines.<sup>142</sup>

The notes were taken at the Foundling hospital, St Joseph's Broadmeadows

It was common practise *for illegitimates, feeble minded, the epileptic, and delinquents, nervousness* to be sterilized around the world during this period (to 1975), Most USA sterilization victims were born in 1961, same year as myself. The programs occurred particularly in Britain, Canada and the USA due to the perceived "social status" of racial decay.

Many women who had attend the Sydney Sterility Clinic had since become it was at the 20th session of the National Health and Medical Research Council today. From April to September, 366 men and women had received treatment at the Sydney clinic, the report' added. The council decided to recommend continuation of the clinics. The council also recommended continuation' of work at the acoustics laboratory at Sydney University. The Director General of Health. Dr (...) said some results were being achieved in reaching child deaf mutes by applying lessons learnt during the war. The nutrition committee reported on the, need for checking claims made for food and drugs with high vitamin content. It emphasised that there should be careful control of advertising and labelling.

### **Section 1.27 Prince Henry's Hospital follows British guidelines in Anatomy experiments on Orphans.**

WILLING "GUINEA PIGS" AT CHILDREN'S HOME LONDON. Feb. 9: 1949

In an experiment which explores a new avenue of approach to the treatment of growth abnormalities, children at the Hertfordshire branch of the National Children's Home and Orphanage have agreed to be photographed in the nude and be measured at regular Intervals.

Dr (...) who, in conjunction with Mr (...) (a statistician of the Health Ministry) is conducting the experiment, told the children that their cooperation would help abnormally developed folk. The children are of both sexes and are aged between 14 and 18. When Dr (...) asked them to help in the experiment they bombarded him with questions such as "can you control the weight of a baby before birth; will the experiment help persons who grow too fat, too thin, too short or too tall; will it help persons with abnormal brains; and do you believe in those advertisements which say 'I can make you a new man?' "

Dr (...) answered their questions and showed them graphs illustrating babies at various ages, adolescent development in both sexes, and photographs of persons of abnormal size. "You are a group of normal boys and girls and knowing how you grow is going to help us a lot," he said. "We want to try to find out what your glands are doing-what is really going on

*inside."* The governor of the homes (Mr (...) told the children: *"I know some of us feel a little shy about our bodies, but to those who are, just try to forget it and remember that you will be helping other people."*-Reuters.<sup>143</sup>

### **Section 1.28 Unlawful medical procedures**

Sterilization of the unfit was discussed at the recent meeting of the British Medical Association in Melbourne, and cases which emphasised the need for legislation to permit sterilisation were mentioned by Dr. (...) (Senior Government Medical Officer of Schools) last week, when she returned to Perth from the Eastern States. The question is not a new one in this State. It has been considered for several years by both medical and legal men in Perth, and has been the subject of a discussion between members of the legal profession and the West Australian branch of the British Medical Association. The attitude of the medical profession in this State (a majority verdict) was expressed last week by a member of the council of the British Medical Association. *'The official view of the British Medical Association, he said, 'is that under certain conditions it should be permissible for a doctor to sterilise patients at their own request where certain transmissible diseases, or defects. It is, of course, recognised that this power should be given only by the patient, if an adult, by, the consent of both husband and wife if one of them desires sterilisation, or by the parents if the person concerned is a minor. Under the existing 'law in Western Australia there is definitely no power for a doctor to carry out a sterilisation operation'*<sup>144</sup>

### **Section 1.29 Nature vs. Nurture**

The nature versus nurture debate concerns the relative importance of an individual's innate qualities ("nature," i.e. nativism, or innatism) versus personal experiences ("nurture," i.e. empiricism or behaviorism) in determining or causing individual differences in physical and behavioral traits.

"*Nature versus nurture*" in its modern sense was coined by the English Victorian polymath Francis Galton in discussion of the influence of heredity and environment on social advancement, although the terms had been contrasted previously, for example by Shakespeare (in his play, *The Tempest*: 4.1). Galton was influenced by the book "*On the Origin of Species*" written by his cousin, Charles Darwin. The concept embodied in the phrase has been criticized for its binary simplification of two tightly interwoven parameters, as for example an environment of wealth, education and social privilege are often historically

passed to genetic offspring. Mrs. (...) (Vic), made a spirited appeal for attention to eugenics. She said *“prevention was better than cure, and that a great part of the work of goals, hospitals, asylums, and clinics could be eliminated by improving the human stock. Weak people, criminals, sufferers from hereditary disease, and the mentally and morally inefficient should not carry on the race”*<sup>145</sup>

### Section 1.30 Hitler's War, Nature's Battle

#### 6. Hitler's Illegitimates

Many tens of thousands of *"Hitler babies"* are about to pour on to West Germany's labour market. Hitler reached power in 1933. Under his *"racial expansion policy"* German women were urged to have babies whether they were married or not. The Nazi Party promised to feed and educate the children.<sup>146</sup> Now the great thing is to find the right mother and the perfect father; so that the child may in due time becomes a superman especially in those *"Lost Colonies"* which he will be called upon to settle when they are “restored.”

The Minister of Agriculture, Richard Walther Darre, drew *"human"* lessons on this matter from his own department of barn-yard biology. *"Why he shocked"* (be broadcast) *"if we draw upon animal eugenics in this vital matter? In future"* (no decreed) *"every German marriage clerk must have a Supervisor of Mating's in his office, for we must safe-guard the purity of our race!"*

Young, girls at once fell into three classes:

- (1) The *"fit and desirable,"* who would receive every aid from the State,
- (2) This lot may be *"morally and legally"* wed-worthy. But the censors will find in them *"hereditary flaws."* Therefore the union of these can only be allowed on condition that they are kept childless."
- (3) A stone-walled bunch of blossoms are these! Single they must forever remain- For if they do cut loose our lofty ideal of matrimony will be smirched."

Lebensborn (Fount of Life, in antiquated German) was a Nazi organization set up by SS leader Heinrich Himmler, which provided maternity homes and financial assistance to the



wives of SS members and to unmarried mothers, and which also ran orphanages and relocation programs for children.

Initially set up in Germany in 1935, Lebensborn expanded into occupied countries in western and northern Europe during the Second World War. In line with the racial and eugenic policies of Nazi Germany, the Lebensborn program was restricted to individuals who were deemed to be "*biologically fit*" and "*racially pure*", "Aryans", and to SS members. In occupied countries, thousands of women facing social ostracism because they were in relationships with German soldiers and had become pregnant had few alternatives other than applying for help with Lebensborn.

Nazi 'master race' children meet

A group of children selected by Adolf Hitler's Nazi regime with the aim of creating an Aryan master race has met openly for the first time as adults.

Children from the Nazis' "Lebensborn" or "Font of Life" project gathered in the German town of Wernigerode to discuss the trauma over their origins. The project aimed to create a breed of people that fitted the Nazis' physical ideal and could manage a future empire. It saw thousands of often illegitimate children placed in Nazi members' homes.

The children were frequently selected for qualities the Nazis regarded as typically Aryan, such as blonde hair, blue eyes or pale skin. "There was always a feeling inside that something was not quite right."

Dr Darre's sex lectures and books scathed young lovers with doubt and anguish. Letters of inquiry fairly swamped the Bureau. What about the Unwanted Babe?

The Minister could pass him (or her) serenely. "The prime factor," he told them all, "is hereditary value. So the question of licit or illicit birth does not arise."

Highbrow bureaucrats now took bold of the DuRenic Drive with Dr Friedrich Burgdoerfer at the top. Weddings this year, he decreed, must reach 750,000 or more. Our birthrate, then, must leap by 70 per cent in the big towns, and at least 40 per cent all over Germany."<sup>147</sup>

The story is simple and infinitely pathetic, states the Commonwealth Immigration Advisory Committee in a report tabled in Parliament today. The report states that there are over 8000 children in Norway, aged between four years and nine months, who are known throughout Norway as "*Hitler's children*." They are innocent victims of Nazi philosophy for the creation

of German races rather than Germany itself. The Germans encouraged couples to have children out of wedlock on the theory that the first child of passion was a better fighter and hater than the child born of a normal marriage<sup>148</sup>

Germany in accordance with the recent widely discussed proclamation issued by the Nazi Government which has invoked drastic surgical procedure in the interests of 'racial purity.' The experiment — for it is an experiment before everything else— will be watched with interest but at the same time with much misgiving by the medical profession throughout the entire world<sup>149</sup>

### **Section 1.31 Eugenic in the next fifty years**

Eugenics - the movement was the creation of biological scientists, social scientists, and others with a faith that science provided a guide for human progress. Indeed, during the first three decades of the present century, eugenics was a sort of “*secular religion*” for many who dreamed of a society in which each child might be born endowed with vigorous health and an able mind. The route to produce a race of gifted humans was controlled marriages of superior stock "What are we doing to teach our children and our follow beings about eugenics? The science of racial progress as affected by heredity and environment the paper asked. *“There are great men like Sir Francis Galton, who in 1905 endowed a research fellowship at a London University of £45,000 for promoting the study of eugenics. This research work has been going on for 30 years. Surely it is time that we saw some practical result. Education in State schools has advanced marvelously in the past few years. Children are being taught to keep their bodies clean, healthy, and strong, and how easy it would be to go a little further with the elder children and teach them the law of heredity”.*

If these things were taught, surely in a few generations there would be a great and wonderful improvement in the human race. *Just think of the strength, beauty, bruin, and happiness that must result from only the fit, physically and mentally, being allowed to mate.* We Australians could be the people of the future, though perhaps not in material greatness or in the prestige that comes of a thousand years. Our blood is pure. We come from splendid selected stock. Our pioneer grandparents were bravo and strong.

We have laws to keep Australia white, but we have no laws to keep Australians from degenerating. We should desire more earnestly that the white race, the spearhead of evolution today, should remain pure and white throughout the ages. Yet everywhere we see it being watered down. The feeble-minded, the epileptics, and the mutes are still being allowed to

marry, and with the blessing of the churches. Many millions have been spent and are still being spent to eradicate disease. In our stock and plant life and on the quarantine of stock, but what is being done to help our human race? The time is long past for eugenics to be made an everyday science.

Germany and Russia are doing wonders, and so the Commonwealth, with everything in her favor, must surely follow. *We have the finest eugenic outlook of all if we can only keep out the unfit or forbid them from mating. If they appear among us, do not let them have children.* Let us learn by the fate of the older countries. With our advantage we should become the best bred, best boned, and best brained people on earth.<sup>150</sup>

The scientist, M. Jean Rostand, said the idea of a superman "stranger" was but one of the revolutionary changes that might be made through biology. The doubling or even trebling of a human's life span. The power of parents to decide whether their baby would be a boy or a girl. Virgin births by women who could not find a husband or "feel repugnance towards the opposite sex." Incubator births by women who sought release from the "chores of pregnancy." Scientifically induced changes in a person's character. ***Government programs to increase the ability of geniuses to have children and to weed out the progeny of mental and physical defectives.*** However, all these changes would bring grave problems, M. Rostand said in an article in the "Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists." The "superman" might be created in the same way that biologists had produced "new races or even new species" in plants and animals. "It is impossible not to be thrilled and tempted by the prospect of the emergence from man of a creature exceeding him in intelligence and power, a creature destined to solve problems that baffle us." M. Rostand said.

"On the other hand, will not our instinct of self-preservation revolt against the advent of this stranger, before whom one will have to bow and efface himself?" In the case of virgin births, M. Rostand said: *"The natural way will always remain preferable, but it will become impossible to prevent some women from taking recourse to it."* The big drawback, he said, was that all such virgin babies would be girls. Family quarrels might be stirred up, and the world's equilibrium of sexes thrown out of proportion if parents were able to choose their baby's sex, he warned. M. Rostand added that science had gone a long way towards discovering "hormone like substances" that could make a person more intelligent.<sup>151</sup>

Eugenists like Karl Pearson claim that Nature is five or even ten times more important than nurture. But, as usually happens in such cases, the Laws of Mendelian inheritance are found

to be much more complicated than was at first supposed. The "factors" which determine character may depend on the presence together of several "genes." which may be dissociated. Recent investigations have even made some geneticists rather skeptical about the practical application of the science. There are two possible methods of eugenics as an art or applied science - positive and negative. The former aims at encouraging desirable births, the latter at discouraging those which are racially undesirable. Both sides of the subject have been very carefully studied. It is commonly said that the differential birth-rate, which in its present acute form is a new phenomenon, is probably having **a very deleterious effect on the inborn qualities of the British people**. We reward certain types of ability which we are agreed to consider socially valuable, and then we sterilize their possessors by placing them in a class which is not keeping up its numbers.

All tests that have been applied go to prove that the children of the professional class are brighter and more intelligent than those of the hand worker. But it is not easy to eliminate the advantages of home training, which belong to "nurture" and not "nature." It would probably be near the truth to say that the upper and upper middle class in this country are physically among the finest specimens of humanity in the world, and that intellectually they include a number of richly endowed stocks, especially in the old professional families; but that in the large majority the difference in capacity between them and the children of the working man is very slight.

Much more can and should be done on the negative side. It is not a laughing matter that the feeble-minded are the most prolific class in the community. It is not a thing of indifference that epileptics, lunatics, deaf mutes, and other victims of transmissible taints are allowed to reproduce their like without restraint

The results are just what might be expected by anyone who has any knowledge of heredity. The country is saddled with the maintenance of tens of thousands who ought never to have been born.

As for the methods of stamping out these evil legacies, the large majority of Eugenists are in favor of sterilization, which has been performed on many thousands of criminals and others in the United States. I cannot argue the question here, but I differ from most of my friends; I think that this method should be resorted to only in very exceptional cases in my opinion, we do not know enough to justify it.<sup>152</sup>

Eugenic principles, if they were thorough, involved negative as well as positive aspects, preceded Lord Harder. The first practical example of negative eugenics was sterilization of the unfit. Research was necessary, and such research was being actively engaged in by the Eugenics Society to correct the misfortune of contraceptive methods not reaching the class for which they were most helpful. Are we never to grow up?" he asked. "Does anyone still seriously hold that men and women can be made moral agents through fear of contracting disease or of producing *illegitimate* offspring? The Eugenics Society advocated very strongly those instructions in those methods should be provided by local authorities under medical supervision in properly organized clinics<sup>153</sup>

### **Section 1.32 Better Babies Competitions**

At the beginning of the 20th century, citizens concerned about high infant mortality in the United States took up the call of "baby saving." These initiatives relied on standards for normal child development, as well as input from healthcare professionals and public health officials. Better Babies Contests addressed this concern for child welfare and physical development, becoming the first eugenic competitions held at state fairs.

The first "Scientific Baby Contest" to combine these standards was initiated by Mary DeGarmo in 1908 at the Louisiana State Fair. DeGarmo linked the competitions to the social efficiency movement and its call for standardized homes, roads, and schools. Many Progressives believed that such standardization would improve the lives of young children.

With the assistance of Dr. Jacob Bodenheimer, measures of contestants' physical and intellectual development were carefully recorded. Winning contestants often appeared in graduation gowns and were presented with "loving cups" to mark their achievement. By 1913, the Woman's Home Companion magazine co-sponsored the contests, which were simply known as "Better Babies Contests." The magazine presented certificates signed by DeGarmo and Bodenheimer documenting that winners had "*a sound mind in a sound body.*"

Mary T. Watts and Florence Brown Sherbon had organized Better Babies in Iowa in 1911. However, in 1920 they were provided new evaluation forms by Charles Davenport, who was then a member of the American Association for the Study and Prevention of Infant Mortality (AASPIM). Using Davenport's forms, they organized the first "Fitter Families for Future Firesides Competition" at the Kansas State Free Fair.

Watts and Sherbon added a hereditarian explanation for human differences to the Better Babies Contests' earlier focus on child development and welfare. Thus, completing the transformation of Scientific Baby Contests as a vehicle popularizing eugenic ideas.

### Section 1.33 Our Early Eugenics

The early progress in eugenics started with the Federal Government when on Hansard the Commonwealth promoted eugenics into the States when it was stated ...*In view of the fact that venereal disease and chronic alcoholism are the most prolific causes of death and wasteful disease and propagate mental degeneracy, and that both these causes of national inefficiency might be eliminated by the frank dissemination of correct knowledge, will the Minister for Health bring under the attention of the Government the advisability of endowing lectureships in eugenics in each of the States ? Also with the object of safeguarding the race, will the Minister bring before the Public Health Conference at present sitting in Melbourne, the importance of State legislation demanding certificates of mental and physical health from parties proposing to contract marriage, not as a bar to marriage, but in the interests of common decency, and for the welfare of the nation?*<sup>154</sup>

*I have not the relative figures for different countries. One question which, I think, has not received sufficient recognition, and to which I have drawn attention in the press and by interviews, is the application of practical eugenics to the preservation of public health. If we are not hypocritical, and get rid of the cant and hypocrisy associated with the consideration of the matter, we shall deal with the question of public health on a eugenic basis. A splendid opportunity is now afforded the Minister for Public Health*<sup>155</sup>

Eugenics is the study of agencies under social control that may improve or impair the racial qualities of future generations, either physically or mentally. We are in the main confirmed optimists. 'The question which concerns me at the moment,' Lord Horder said, '*is whether all the expenditure of good endeavor is directed into the channels which are calculated to produce the most fundamental and the most enduring results. Long observation and reflection have convinced me that they are not. In the view of the eugenicist— a view I share with conviction — the economic advantages lie with efforts made toward racial betterment rather than with social service in a particular generation. Does anyone still seriously hold that men and women can be made moral agents through fear of contracting disease or of producing illegitimate off spring?*'<sup>156</sup>

A newly discovered hormone which causes dwarfed rats to grow to the size of guinea-pigs may eventually be used to promote growth of *undersized children*. Details of the hormone were given by Dr (...) of the University of California Medical School, at a conference at Princeton University on the chemistry and physiology of growth. Dr. (...) said that, to produce one gram of the hormone, the pituitary glands of 1,200 cattle were needed. Because of the prohibitive cost, use of the hormone at present was impractical for the treatment of humans. In rats treated, said Dr. (...), have had their pituitary glands removed surgically. This halted all normal growth, but a daily injection of one hundredth of a hormone caused them to grow one and a half times their normal size. However, during this growth, the thyroid, adrenal, and six other glands remained in an infantile state, leaving the rats with the general characteristics of youth. Dr (...) said that the hormone would show results in humans only if administered before sexual maturity.

He said he had tried experiments with it to lengthen the legs of dachshund dogs, but the result had been bigger dogs with the same bodily proportions."<sup>157</sup>

Scientists conclude that human height becomes greater, and that -. growth takes place more rapidly, other circumstances being equal, in proportion as the country is richer, the comfort more general, housing, clothes, and nourishment better, labour, fatigue, and privation during infancy and youthfulness. The control of stature, however, may soon be out of the hands of Nature, for a report from New York states that a newly discovered hormone, which causes dwarfed rats to grow to the size of guinea pigs, may eventually be used to promote growth in under-sized children.<sup>158</sup>

### **Section 1.34 Negative Eugenics**

Biology is a science; the apostles of eugenics are persons who desire, in the interests of human welfare, to apply certain facts of biology to the improvement of the human stock; in other words to produce, by careful breeding a better race of men and women. If that is so, eugenics must be described, not as a science, but as the practical application of a science of life. I don't think it can be called even an applied science. Its basis of scientifically ascertained fact is as yet too flimsy. The appeal of eugenics rests on terrifying tales of a family in New York or somewhere most of whose members were either criminals or morons or both.

The fertility of the unfit is the alarming fact, coupled with the generally accepted belief that the children of the unfit tend to be unfit. Eugenics, on the negative side, aims at preventing

the unfit from reproducing their kind by segregation or sterilizing them. On the positive side, eugenics means encouraging fit persons to marry and replenish the earth, after receiving a certificate of fitness from well, from whom? There, I think, we touch the fatal weakness of eugenics. Who is to decide who are the unfit and who are the fit?<sup>159</sup>

### Section 1.35 Criticism of eugenics

Opposition to eugenics began even as the movement was being organized into a scientific discipline. By 1910, the equilibrium model developed by (...) and (...)

(...) disproved the claim that degenerate families were increasing the societal load of dysgenic genes. The (...) equation also showed that sterilization of affected individuals would never appreciably reduce the percentage of mental defectives in society.

At the same time, (...), at the Carnegie Station for Experimental Evolution, showed that hybrid corn plants are more vigorous than pure-bred ones. This refuted the notion that racial purity offers any biological advantage or that race mixing destroys "good" racial types.

Work by a number of scientists countered the simplistic assertion that complex behavioral traits are determined by single genes. (...) 's survey of mutations in *Drosophila* and other organisms, from 1914-1923, showed variation in the "gene to character" relation: A single gene might affect several characters (traits) at one time; conversely, mutations in several different genes can affect the same trait in similar ways. The environmental contribution to behavior was pointed up by twin studies — conducted in the 1930s by (...)

(...), and (...) — showing that identical twins raised apart after birth had different IQs. (...) found that most cases at a state-run institution in Colchester, England resulted from a combination of genetic, environmental, and pathological causes.

A review panel, convened by the Carnegie Institution in 1935, concluded that the vast majority of work sponsored by the Eugenics Record Office was without scientific merit and recommended a halt to its propagandising for eugenic social programs, such as sterilization and immigration restriction. In retrospect, it is easy to recount these lines of evidence that refuted key eugenic tenets. It is much harder to understand why eugenic social programs continued unabated in the United States — until they were directly discredited by association with the Nazi eugenic program, whose "final solution" led to the Holocaust.

Many sophisticated geneticists — including some who provided refuting evidence — supported some form of eugenic program at one point or another. Although he denounced



the negative eugenics of the American movement, (...) remained committed to a personal brand of positive eugenics based on individual worth. Despite the fact that the (...) showed that sterilization would have little effect on incidence of feeble-mindedness, most geneticists still believed that affected individuals should not be allowed to reproduce. The Catholic Church opposed eugenics from the outset, and helped to ward off eugenic social legislation in much of Europe. However, the Catholic viewpoint held little sway in Protestant America. With *Buck vs. Bell* providing the full approval of the U.S. Supreme Court, state legislatures continued to enact new eugenic sterilization laws up until WWII.<sup>160</sup>

### Section 1.36 The Guinea Pig kids –*poor orphan boys*

Furthermore, only a small selection of personal evidence will be attached to this submission. However such documentation will be presented “*in camera*” to the Committee if requested, including documentation received by Government Ministers and Government Departments - to *correct the record* of the *Allars Inquiry*.

“Adoption” prior to 1975<sup>161</sup>, it was a “social more” eugenics program for feeble minded woman and the “*illegitimates*”. The Infant was matched with prospective adoptive parents with height<sup>162</sup>, hair colour, eye colour and a history was taken of the health status of the mother and family members. If there was *epilepsy*, *feeble mindedness*, *nervousness* and other deformities (as shown by bone age x-rays), such as idiots, short stature<sup>163</sup>, visual impairments or the like, and the *illegitimate* child should be sterilized, (Guidelines of Eugenics Society) because *three generations are enough*. (refer to hyperlink reference (c) Sir Francis Galton - Father of Eugenics) It wasn't common, but not unusual for orphaned boys to be castrated as far back as the 16<sup>th</sup> century.

*“Adoption has a very ancient history. Some of the earliest rules and legislation governing adoption goes back to the ancient Romans, the annals of the Emperor Justinian. And ever since, humans societies have had different means of finding ways of augmenting families, of securing the orderly transfer of possessions, land, money, titles. So it's not a simple matter of 'oh it's all about love and cherishing children'.”*<sup>164</sup>

Eugenics programs happened around the world prior to 1975, and Australia was not immune. The Rockefeller foundation was donating funds to the Commonwealth, acknowledged and attributed in the Australian Journals. The Commonwealth had (Cth) legislation (Eugenics Bill) and the State of Victoria enacted legislation in 1960. The foundation in the same period

in time of “**The Great White Brotherhood**”. The State of Victoria continued with new legislation in 1971 after the Eugenics Society of Victoria had ceased, donating its research to Monash University. That research continued under the Dept. of Anatomy involving endocrinology

After the eugenics movement was well established in the United States, it spread to Germany. California eugenicists began producing literature promoting eugenics and sterilization and sending it overseas to German scientists and medical professionals

As I was from “*bad stock*” a prerequisite to genetically cease the spread of bad genes, as shown on my records, with an aunty in a mental hospital with epilepsy and an original mother who was a “average type, rather nervy girl” the Commonwealth engaged in behaviour unbecoming of my human right to reproduce, and the Commonwealth and its institutions, funded the assaults of torture upon its citizens, in a eugenics program<sup>165</sup>

In the 1930’s, cretins with disabilities in Australia were not permitted to marry<sup>166</sup> Cretins were seen as subhuman, abnormal and with a tendency of unnatural forms of growth, that didn’t comply with the motherland.

This submission corrects and discloses further references that were not presented to The *Allars Inquiry* - The Senate Inquiry (1994) where the Senate Affairs Reference Committee (1998) acknowledges the Allars Inquiry was “misled”. Now some more details are able to be submitted within the terms of reference to this inquiry.

This submission refers to starting life as an *illegitimate infant* who went onto unapproved Aryan experimentation under an Act/s of Parliament without consent, a clear breach of the Nuremberg Code. The Commonwealth who funded these programs under the Health Act 1958, in conjunction with the NHMRC funded institutions to experiment on the adopted et al kids, along with State Institutions such as the Howard Florey Institute.

Coercive sterilization is referred to with eugenics<sup>167</sup> Irene had been administered hormones, to dry up her milk, and so had I been administered hormones due to *birth status* where I “*fitted*” guidelines in the Eugenics Society. As she is deceased from cancer, and I to now have cancer, and have had cancer for the last 25 years, the eugenics program to inhibit *three generations is enough* prior to 1975 appears successful – mothers and their children are dying off.

As Irene had been treated with hormones of the 1960's as an anti-hormone, I had been treated with the hormones of the 1970's, also as an anti-hormone – experimented with exposure to the development of the “male pill”

Not only does the hormone (anti hormone) treatment avail reproduction, it is also a known cause of psychotropic medication with cancerous results. Like “**The Family**” of Hamilton Byrnes, I was also treated with (in some cases the same) psychotropics’ and mind altering drugs to “fit in”. Some of these drugs of choice are also what the Hamilton Byrne’s kids were treated with.<sup>168</sup> The psychotropics, the steroids – they all were “*not to encourage growth, nor to induce puberty*” Dr Sarah Moore also confirms this in her manuscript.

As Sarah Hamilton-Byrne states - *As we later found out, after we had left Uptop, that these injections were composed of homoeopathic mixtures of animal organs. She was given two of these mixtures, one called Neycalm, the other Neychondrim. The concoctions included thymus gland, hypophyseal gland, spinal medulla, adrenal gland, testis, liver, pancreas, fat, and kidney. To a lesser degree David, was suffering from what in medical terms is known as 'psychosocial short stature'. This is a condition of failed growth which occurs in children who have been so psychologically or physically harassed during their development that they fail to produce the growth hormone required. As soon as they get out of the poor environment they start to produce growth hormone in the normal amounts*<sup>169</sup>

Yet upon fronting Prince Henry's Hospital the records show “*he is a very fit and healthy boy*” “*he is adopted*” Like David, I had failed to thrive, and was seen as having a deficiency in growth hormone response on two occasions. I'm sure it was something like an Aryan race for me also. The genetic testing showed something completely different and medical intervention was forced to inhibit procreation. It was horrific. I wasn't growth hormone deficient, but I was over treated with master glands, infused intravenously. These were the Master Gland being infused, something like creating a Master Race. All of these experiments were evolving out of the University of Melbourne and Monash University and its associations such as The Howard Florey Institute of Physiology and Experimental Medicine. These testimonies were never expressed in “*The Allars Inquiry*” As many Hamilton-Byrne kid suicide, I have been fortunate enough to tell the story, even with that “scar of remembrance”.

*In an attempt to cure Cassandra of her attacks, Anne and the Aunties began using imported drugs on her. The drugs came from Germany and were meant to make her grow. At the time I thought they were steroids because two of the other kids, Timothy and Arrianne, had been*

*given a course of them once before. Dr Christobel Wallace and the other Aunties called these drugs Timothy and Arrianne were given steroids. Anne wanted Arrianne to grow because she was very small, but she never grew much. I think her growth in height was actually halted by the early doses of steroids given to her. Needless to say, these horrible injections had no effect on her lack of growth*<sup>170</sup>

As with some of the Hamilton Byrnes' kids, my height was also stunted with steroids, and did further damage to the reproductive system. As I have already stated, I have never had a relationship, never married and never had children. This was Aryanism gone mad. Adopted kids of the 1960's and 1970's were used as guinea pigs, and for me, sterilized. These doctors of the time were cleaning up the *racial decay of the inferior*.

The only male to die of these experiments of the time was in Sydney. He was also adopted.

The drugs that were used on mothers and their children were mostly withdrawn in the 1970's and early to mid-1980's, due to side effects<sup>171</sup> such as cancer and deaths with the previous Health Minister (Dr (...) defending its use in eugenics programs, stating "*there was no need for concern*". The results on file certainly reveal the concerns of the eugenics program, the suicide attempts, the cancers and the disabling effects of hypogonadism. I was unaware until a couple of years ago, that as an 18 year old I had been diagnosed with testicular cancer, but I was aware of having prostate disease as a teenager, and it was known the contraceptive drugs of the 1970's were carcinogenic.

With the files being closed for decades, it was less than three years ago that I only was alerted to the fact I had testicular cancer as a child. I hadn't been told as this was one of the wash-ups from these secret Melbourne medical trials - the same trials that shut the records decades ago.

These secret medical programs were kept in the dark for decades. Apart from testicular cancer as an 18 year old being exposed to carcinogenic drugs, I have also endured basal cell carcinoma for the last 25 years, and diagnosed with chronic fatigue syndrome since 1985. The genital mutilation was described (in part) on Hansard back on 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008. Notwithstanding the brain damage to the cranial nerve diagnosed decades ago since being injected with cadaver brain master glands as a part of the Master Race Experiment.

In my life since the age of 16, that there has only been a 10 year period free from multiple types of cancer.

There are many scars over my body due to cancer/s and medical intervention including melanoma, with those scars inflicted by medical practitioners, however the worse of those scars is on my wrist, the scar I self-inflicted when I was 16 after being tortured. I call it the “scar of remembrance”.

Senators, I was treated as an *illegitimate bastard* due to my birth status and family history, being born, (not being well-born), as an *illegitimate* to a single unmarried woman, and had to endure the consequences that was worldwide practise of the day in accordance with the Guidelines of the Eugenics Society for - the feeble minded, the illegitimate, epilepsy, people with nervous conditions, delinquency, defective nervous constitution<sup>172</sup> etc., resulting in castration and sterilization<sup>173</sup>, the same guidelines used around the world – it’s a National disgrace, particularly where on two occasions the Commonwealth have failed in their duty of care to previous Senate Inquiry’s recommendations and a Private Member motion.

I am not an epileptic, but I did have in the biological family an aunty who was institutionalized in a mental hospital with epilepsy<sup>174</sup> and my mother was “*a rather nervy girl*” with a “*heart condition*” and I failed to meet society’s norms in stature.

My biological auntie was in the Kew Mental Home with epilepsy, and it’s well known *The Family* was involved with a Kew Mental Home. I have always wondered if I have cousins who were in *The Family*. My testimony has so much resemblance and exposure for the ***Great White Brotherhood*** - a common treatment of the era.

I did however have 3 “*classifications*” described by the Eugenics Society, and underwent medical procedures in the guidelines of The Eugenics Society, (era 1930’s) that presented me for both chemical castration and then sterilization, where no consent was taken and where medical practitioners did not seek to “*approve me*” for such treatment by the Commonwealth, for I am what is known as an “*unapproved*” recipient of the *Australian Human Pituitary Hormone Program*.

My exposure to these experimental hormones has been denied time and time again, since the Allars Inquiry, yet it has only recently been confirmed, and these castration and sterilization programs were funded by The Commonwealth in the early 1970’s, through the NHMRC as history shows orphans to be castrated over the decades and it was through the NHMRC under the Health Act 1958, involving CSL, Institutions and overseas agencies. The section of the Health Act 1958 has since been rescinded following the Allars Inquiry.

The Victorian legislation has now been rescinded but the damage has been done and it was, moreover, exploitative; castrati were usually poor boys, often orphans, and the operation itself was of dubious legality. Although legislation has changed, the ongoing damage will never be repaired.

Rickarby reported high dosage contraceptive pills were also a significant cause of sterility when premature menopause occurred. This is exactly what happened to me as a 12 year old and is recorded on Hansard<sup>175</sup>. The same was reported on Hansard acknowledging premature menopause in boys<sup>176</sup> with high dosed oral contraceptives. It's akin to the theory of "*three generation is enough*"

We often think that it was Hitler's regime that was into experimenting on humanity in the concentrations camps during WW2, and in reality it was the start of the Eugenics programs, and they were continued through to 1974 in the USA and 1975 here in Australia, even later in Brazil. The Eugenics Society of Victoria (1961) stated "*the records of the Department of Mental Hygiene did not contain a single instance of any person having sought permission to undergo the treatment.*"<sup>177</sup>, somewhat similar to those who underwent the same treatment as unapproved hPG recipients who went onto being secretly involved in the development of *The Male Pill* program underway to Prince Henry's Hospital in 1974, again funded through the NHMRC.

It was a belief that a doctor was helping me, because I was deemed to be "short" - little did I know, or was disclosed that I was to be "chemically castrated", and then sterilized due to my heritage and *illegitimacy*, as I didn't have any problems underlying diseases – it was more like I was normal, as my genetics - I was fairly much the same stature as my mother.

It was a period in time where vaccines and hormones were used upon people in secret medical trials *against their will*. The Commonwealth don't know who were exposed to these carcinogenic, as doctors *haven't come clean*. The Commonwealth have no idea, who were subjected to these *eugenic programs* because doctors refuse to disclose their patients and their practices – "*for it wasn't to encourage growth, nor to induce puberty*"....it was about genetics to halt the spread of 'bad genes' – the Psychotropics of procreation suppression.

It was enlightening when the Walter and Eliza Institute offered an apology, but apologies don't resolve restitution the financial losses, the costs of ongoing treatment, the loss of sexual identity, or the ability to procreate my own family.

I believe those who were experimented on due to their social status of being *illegitimate*, should be shown more than token gestures of an apology. The unapproved recipients of the pituitary hormone program and its consequences require restitution and the Commonwealth have acknowledged this on two separate occasions, and nothing has happened over the last 17 years. The Private members Motion (16<sup>th</sup> June 2008) is such an example of failure by the Commonwealth.

Although these two efforts have been fruitless, it is worth noting the USA, Canada, the UK and Brazil<sup>178</sup> appear to be more compassionate towards their castration and sterilization victims. The philosophical point to make is that the child, as a member of the human family and thus a bearer of inalienable rights (in the terms of the international human rights instruments), cannot be the object of another's rights.<sup>179</sup>

*My constituent was treated with hPG as a boy of 10 years of age. This resulted initially in a spontaneous onset of full-blown puberty. As treatment doses and frequency were varied, he was effectively castrated, with his testes so damaged that puberty was then delayed to such an extent that he was treated with anabolic steroids to induce puberty<sup>180</sup>. The treatment never induced puberty – it was an anti-hormone, a blocker, for biological growth and development.*

*"It is also important to acknowledge the participation of numerous patients in the studies described. Without their help, clinical research would not be possible and quite often their misfortune prompted a new study or helped to elucidate a novel concept" The Testis – Clinical and Experimental Studies, (...)*

### **Section 1.37 Compulsory sterilization**

Mental deficiency in Australia, regarded from its educational and institutional aspects, is the subject of a report by Dr. (...) (Inspector-General of the Insane in Victoria), who was commissioned by the Federal Government, at the request of the Federal Health Council, to investigate the matter. 'The problem of mental deficiency,' says Dr (...) 'is one of supreme national importance, inasmuch as it involves the physical and mental health of the whole population of Australia, as well as its economic prosperity and efficiency.

We may at least assume that the Commonwealth has a duty in the way of coordinating legislation and in regulating the nation's activities with a view to establishing reciprocity between the States and uniformity in administration by those departments which are responsible for the care of the mentally defective. More than this, the Commonwealth is

already interested, as there are many mentally defective persons in receipt of invalid pensions, concerning which fact it should be pointed out that an anomaly already exists arising from the fact that there is differentiation in the treatment between the mentally defective in private care and guardianship, and those in State institutions.

Regarding sterilization, Dr. (...) expresses' the opinion that it would never be resorted to in any of the countries of the British Empire until the economic pressure, arising from the increasing burden of lunacy and mental deficiency, had become very much more acute than at the present time. 'It is hardly likely,' he states, 'that, with the present views - the liberty of the subject, the right to marry will be forbidden, unless one or both of the couple are rendered sterile.'<sup>181</sup>

Compulsory sterilization (or sterilisation) also known as forced sterilization programs are government policies which attempt to force people to undergo surgical sterilization. In the first half of the twentieth century, many such programs were instituted in countries around the world, usually as part of *eugenics programs* intended to prevent the reproduction and multiplication of members of the population considered to be carriers of defective genetic traits.

Forced sterilization has been recognized as *crime against humanity* if the action is part of a widespread or systematic practice by the Rome Statute Explanatory Memorandum, which defines the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court

A single boy, 15 years of age, who was admitted to the training school because of delinquency, repeatedly stayed away from home a number of days at a time and would not obey his parents. His mother, who has epilepsy, feared leaving him alone with his sister. In the institution he has been found to be temperamental (sic), untruthful, and requires constant supervision. "Sterilization is immoral in itself and contrary to God's law, be it either voluntary or imposed," Collins wrote in the letter, which ran in the Winston-Salem Journal. "*The state has no more right to mutilate individuals when they have done no wrong than individuals have to mutilate themselves.*"<sup>182</sup>

The superintendent of Davenport's Eugenics Records Office was Harry H Laughlin. He was also the co-editor of *Eugenical News*. In 1914 he drafted the Model Eugenical Sterilization Law.



This law called for compulsory sterilization of the following classes of people:

- \* Feeble-minded
- \* Insane (and psychopathic)
- \* Criminalistics, delinquent and wayward
- \* Epileptic
- \* Inebriates and drug users
- \* Diseased persons, those with tuberculosis, syphilitic, leprosy, infectious diseases, and chronic illnesses
- \* Blind people and those with seriously impaired vision
- \* Deaf people and those with impaired hearing
- \* Deformed and crippled people
- \* Orphans, state dependents, homeless, tramps, ne'er-do-wells, and paupers

*"Thou who hath wounded in the stones, shall not enter the Kingdom of the Lord"*<sup>183</sup>

### **Section 1.38 Buck vs. Bell**

In the Buck vs. Bell decision of May 2, 1927, the United States Supreme Court upheld a Virginia statute that provided for the eugenic sterilization for people considered genetically unfit. The Court's decision, delivered by (...) included the infamous phrase *"Three generations of imbeciles are enough."* Upholding Virginia's sterilization statute provided the green light for similar laws in 30 states, under which an estimated 65,000 Americans were sterilized *without their own consent or that of a family member*.

Although Indiana passed the first eugenic sterilization statute in 1907, this and other early laws were legally flawed and did not meet the challenge of state court tests. To remedy this situation, (...) of the Eugenics Record Office (ERO) at Cold Spring Harbor designed a model eugenic law that was reviewed by legal experts. The Virginia statute of 1924 was closely based on this model.

The plaintiff of the case, (...) and her mother (...), had been committed to the Virginia Colony for Epileptics and Feeble Minded in Lynchburg, Virginia. (...) and (...) were both judged to be "feeble-minded" and promiscuous, *primarily because they had both had borne children out of wedlock*.

(...) s child, (...) was judged to be "feeble-minded" at seven months of age. Hence, three generations of "imbeciles" became the "perfect" family for Virginia officials to use as a test case in favor of the eugenic sterilization law enacted in 1924.

On the eve of the Virginia legal contest, the ERO dispatched its field worker, Dr. (...), to provide expert testimony. After some cursory examination, Estabrook testified that the seven month old Vivian "showed backwardness." The Superintendent of the Virginia Colony, Dr. (...), testified that members of the Buck family "belong to the shiftless, ignorant, and worthless class of anti-social whites of the South." Upon reviewing the case, the Supreme Court concurred *"that (...) is the probable potential parent of socially inadequate offspring, likewise afflicted, that she may be sexually sterilized without detriment to her general health and that her welfare and that of society will be promoted by her sterilization"*

Buck vs. Bell was flawed in many ways. "Feeble-mindedness" is no longer used in medical terminology; it was clearly a catch-all term that had virtually no clinical meaning. It is impossible to judge whether or not (...) was "feeble-minded" by the standards of her time, but she was not patently promiscuous.

According to (...), (...) 's conception was the result of (...) 's rape by the nephew of her foster parents. She, probably like many unwed mothers of that time, were institutionalized to prevent further shame to the family. Just as clearly, (...) was no imbecile. (...) 's first grade report card from the Venable School in Charlottesville showed that this daughter of a supposed social degenerate got straight "A's" in deportment (conduct) and even made the honor role in April, 1931.<sup>184</sup>

### **Section 1.39 North Carolina USA**

The USA (North Carolina) is now supporting those who were born and treated in the same way, and the dates are reflective upon the same dates in my records, some as young as 10 years of age. The Melbourne doctors trained in the USA, brought their skills back to Melbourne, and executed those skills upon the vulnerable, kids who were thought to be too short and whom were adopted (see attachment), through funding of the NHMRC, under the *Health Act 1958*<sup>185</sup>, *Howard Florey Institute of Experimental Physiology and Medicine Act 1971, et. al.* This (Vic) State Act has now been rescinded.

Compensation is a primary legal mechanism to provide recompense for harm. It is a feature of both common (Anglo-Celtic) law and of civil (European) law systems. In both systems it deals with harms that occur across the world. It has featured in particular in claims for product liability, vehicle accident and workplace accident related harm. This form of claim is common to both the common law and the civil law system, although each system of law has developed distinctive features. While there are differences between the common law and the civil law jurisdictions in this context, there have been distinctive, new, and common, features to emerge from the concept of compensation over the past decade. Chief among these is the search for a unifying principle of compensation, one that can encompass the most recent pressure upon this area of law: compensation that reflects reparation for wrongs now the subject of broad human rights and humanitarian concern. Such wrongs include criminal actions by the state, and breaches of duty of care by state bodies leading to abuse and neglect of children. This paper will canvass some recent cross-jurisdictional cases with a view to establishing commonalities in the quest for a global shift from compensation to reparation.

It looks in particular at compensation in the context of earlier governmental policies that have since been considered to contain or imply notions of *eugenics*. In keeping with two earlier papers published in this journal, the paper notes that while this is a matter of international concern, there can be a constructive comparative focus in examining both Australia and Sweden.<sup>186</sup> An illegitimate's very birth - places him under a disability<sup>187</sup>

In the words of one endocrinologist...."your treatment was not about growth, nor to induce puberty" It was in the same period the NHMRC et. al. was funding the development of the "male pill" at Prince Henry's hospital in Melbourne. The male "pill"<sup>188</sup> (Anavar) was withdrawn from the market due to its toxicity causing liver damage amongst other growth and cancerous abnormalities including growth stunting caused by premature closure of the growth plates.

There are no epidemiological studies into the effects both short term and long term of *Master Glands being infused into guinea pig boys* as the Dept. of Health refuses to follow up these side effects.

## Section 1.40 The betterment of humanity

Eugenics is about the “betterment of humanity” to stop genetic “bad genes” and traits being passed onto the next generation. Sterilization without consent was a part of the eugenics movement worldwide, including Australia, and is recognized under international law as a form of genocide<sup>189</sup>

*This meant that these boys developed a permanent defective reproductive system resulting from a lack of function of the testes often accompanied by lack of sexual development and premature menopause<sup>190</sup>*

These procedures, practises and experiments are, I believe, within the Terms of reference of this inquiry – the racial cleansing social mores of the time, not necessarily the legal compliance in Law.

(a) The role, if any, of the Commonwealth Government, its policies and practices in contributing to forced adoptions

- The Eugenics Bill 1950
- The Eugenics Act 1960
- The National Health and Medical Research Council
- CSL
- Howard Florey Institute of Experimental Physiology and Medicine Act 1971
- The Health Act 1958
- The Walter and Eliza Institute
- The Marriage Act 1958
- The Status of Children Act 1974

*I commend Mr O’Meara for his courage in being prepared to come forward and to provide me with very personal details in order to highlight the plight of so many others like him who justly, I believe, must be included in any government response to the ongoing needs of those whether approved or unapproved<sup>191</sup> for treatment.<sup>192</sup> Hansard 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008*

The Eugenics<sup>193</sup> Society in the USA had guidelines to treat “*the illegitimate*” and of the feeble-minded, unmarried mothers, the diseased and others. Australian doctors were trained in the USA and practiced the similar endocrinology of castration and sterilization in Australia, particularly Melbourne, being the biomed capital of Australia.

There are hundreds of us treated in this way in Melbourne prior to 1975, following the British and USA guidelines.

*Some doctors have come clean and told the department, others haven't. This is why there are bound to be a lot of unofficial people out there that doctors have treated like this.*

One man's medicine is another man's poison. Although Dr (...) stated that he only used his *he never had used hormones other than for infertility treatment, other than in men,*<sup>194</sup> I can't explain how this statement can be correct when he appears on my treatment sheet as a 10 year old in 1972. I have no idea why a 10 year old needs to be castrated, nor do I understand his statement where he stated the only patients he treated using the technique were four infertile men, whom he treated in the late 1960s.<sup>195</sup> That statement isn't true, nor correct.

The eugenics program ceased under the Whitlam government as he was a social reformist<sup>196</sup>, with the white Australian policy concluding under the Fraser Government

I had always known something very weird had taken place back in 1972 at Prince Henry's. I awoke out of a coma and my adoptive mother and Dr (...) were standing alongside the hospital bed. I was looking at Dr (...) who turned to my mother and said, “*...his testicles are missing*” and my mother responded saying “*does that matter?*”

Six weeks later I went into the Royal Children's Hospital in Melbourne and was operated on. I still have those scars. In fact, I have many scars over my body, most from cancerous growths, most inflicted upon by medical procedures; expect one on my wrist, inflicted by myself when I was 16 after the experimental program of eugenics finished. No doubt it had something to do with the withdrawal of Anavar, *the male pill* being developed. I didn't know what the pills were for that I was taking, only decades on where I found out they were anabolic steroids, I just did what the doctor said. In those days, doctors were God and we never questioned them. Their agenda in Eugenics was never disclosed to us as kids.

As the sterilization victims of North Carolina, during the same period had difficulties in obtaining records, so did the boys in Melbourne who became victims of the Eugenics theories whom were castrated and sterilized at Prince Henry's.<sup>197</sup> Most of the sterilization victims in

North Carolina were born in 1961 and sterilized in the 1970's. The youngest was a boy aged 10 in North Carolina – the same age as me, the same period of time as done to me as a Melbourne Eugenics survivor.

However, unlike (...), (...) included a control sample in his study by comparing the convicts to an equal number of noncriminal British citizens. Included in the comparison group were college students, army members, and hospital patients.

(...) was able to disprove that criminals showed physical anomalies when compared to the general population. He also found no significant differences in such traits as eye or hair colour or left-handedness. The only differences (...) could document had to do with stature and body weight. He found criminals were on average 2" shorter than noncriminal and weighed 3 to 7 pounds less. [short, but not short and stocky]. (...) believed these differences demonstrated hereditary inferiority. However, (...) continued to assert that criminals were primarily selected from the class of normal men, but may demonstrate "extreme degrees from the normal average." In other words, criminals were simply not that different from the rest of us.

While (...) felt he had successfully defeated the (...) claim of biological inferiority, in the 1930s, (...) of Harvard University attempted to repopularise the external biological model.

*We need to recognise that the many hundreds of unapproved male recipients like my constituent received the same treatment as those who were approved in receiving hPG treatment, that they suffer the same, if not worse, risks and side effects because they have been denied access to medical records and because they have been part of this hidden or non-existent list of unapproved recipients.*<sup>198</sup>

Since 2008, after 15 years it is no longer in doubt that I was a guinea pig, used in secret trials and treated with Master Glands in creating a Master race. It has been confirmed I was overtreated and castrated by members of HPAC and others where I wasn't growth hormone deficient.

It was further confirmed that my treatment was "not to encourage growth, nor to induce puberty", and further confirmed *racial decay* was a concern for those who hereditary should be sterilized for defects of the "unfit" – being of short stature. The program for hundreds in

Melbourne was a “red herring”, and was nothing less than a program for the unapproved, as a program to control procreation and my records state “*he is adopted*”

The subjects of Journal Papers I have already mentioned were never disclosed the *Allars Inquiry*, and the secrecy in castrating and sterilizing boys in Melbourne continues as did it in the USA, Canada and around the world, for their sterilization victims. These papers and manuscripts report the Government and State Institutions involved, the Government funding received, and like the secret sterilization programs overseas, Australia was also involved in the same, *if not worse*, sterilization programs.

Perhaps the most universally marginalised group of people is the intellectually disabled. Considered historically as "imbeciles", "idiots" or "sub-human", these people were not deemed worthy of the rights bestowed upon "normal" citizens. Society is more enlightened today, but discriminatory attitudes, well-illustrated by cases concerning non-consensual sterilisation, unfortunately remain. Non-consensual sterilisation is a particularly sensitive issue for all involved, concerning as it does complex questions of moral, social and political character. The history of intellectually disabled people *is a history of marginalisation, oppression and abuse*. The eugenics movement emerged in the late nineteenth century, advocating notions deeply grounded in Social Darwinism.

Not least amongst its aims was that the unfit - the "feeble minded, insane, epileptic, venereal diseased, epileptic, the poor, the blind, deaf (and) deformed (including the crippled)" - were to be bred out of existence.

The word "eugenics" conjures up images of the Nazi sterilisation programs of the 1930s and 1940s<sup>199</sup> but these eugenics programs have continued on till 1975, and still nothing has been done in support of the victims, apart from the USA now redressing their programs.

Sterilization was common practise with illegitimacy<sup>200</sup> to breed a “*better race*” worldwide and the creation of the Great White Brotherhood including the class of Eunuchs.

*Thou who hath wounded in the stones, shall not enter the kingdom of the Lord*<sup>201</sup>

#### **Section 1.41 Feeble Minded, legally stamped illegitimate - Eugenics at any cost**

"*Normal at Any Cost*" is the story, told decade by decade, of medical attempts to tinker with one inherited characteristic: height.

It reveals the way drug companies redefined normal in order to expand markets, and how the best motives and worst motives combined to result in *widespread experimentation on children*. We think the temptations to tamper with heredity are just beginning.

The Rockefeller Foundation financed the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute and the work of its central racial scientists. Once WWII began, Nazi eugenics turned from mass sterilization and euthanasia to genocidal murder. One of the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute doctors in the program financed by the Rockefeller Foundation was (...) who continued his research in Auschwitz, making daily eugenic reports on twins. After the world recoiled from Nazi atrocities, the American eugenics movement — its institutions and leading scientists — renamed and regrouped under the banner of an enlightened science called *human genetics*.<sup>202</sup>

This story isn't about height - height was the cover-up word, for Eugenics is to eliminate bad genes for the betterment of society.

The main culprits (in the USA) were the Carnegie Institution, the Rockefeller Foundation<sup>203</sup> and the Harriman railroad fortune, in league with America's most respected scientists hailing from such prestigious universities as Harvard, Yale and Princeton, operating out of a complex at Cold Spring Harbor on Long Island

The plan was to wipe away the reproductive capability of the weak and inferior.<sup>204</sup> In Australia hundreds were sterilized. Ultimately, 60,000 Americans were coercively sterilized — legally and extra-legally. Many never discovered the truth until decades later, just as I have discovered in recent times – decades later.

I could probably deal with the fact that my mother could have been classified “unfit”, “nervy” or whatever adjective they wanted to label her, and the labeling (social mores) they classified my aunty as, but to do what was done to me a result of hereditary feeble mindedness, was certainly unwarranted. There should never be any reason for such treatment of an innocent child who was merely “the shortest in the class” The Master Gland experiments had started<sup>205</sup>

The eugenicists' cause was one of “social progress” which they believed was best served by encouraging the reproduction of the “superior race” (known in academic parlance as positive eugenics) and inhibiting that of the “inferior” (negative eugenics). During the last decades of the 19th century, people categorized as “inferior” were thought to be mentally and physically “subnormal”—low achievers, delinquents, the venereal diseased, and/or improvident<sup>206</sup>



Regarding sterilization, the opinion stated, “*The physical suffering may not be so great, but that is not the only test of cruel punishment; the humiliation, the degradation, the mental suffering are always present and known by all the public, and will follow him where so ever he may go*”<sup>207</sup>



#### **Section 1.42 Eugenics**

But the 20th century suffered "two" ideologies that led to genocides. The other one, Marxism, had no use for race, didn't believe in genes and denied that human nature was a meaningful concept. Clearly, it's not an emphasis on genes or evolution that is dangerous. It's the desire to remake humanity by coercive means (eugenics or social engineering) and the belief that

humanity advances through a struggle in which superior groups (race or classes) triumph over inferior ones.

Biology is a science; the apostles of eugenics are persons who desire, in the interests of human welfare, to apply certain facts of *biology to the improvement of the human stock*; in other words, to produce, by careful breeding a better race of men and women. If that is so, eugenics must be described, not as a science, but as the practical application of a science of life.<sup>208</sup>

Eugenics is the "applied science or the biosocial movement which advocates the use of practices aimed at improving the genetic composition of a population," usually referring to human populations. The word has almost as many meanings as there are discussions of the subject. Eugenics was widely popular in the early decades of the 20th century but has fallen into disfavor after having become associated with Nazi Germany and with the discovery of molecular evolution. Since the postwar period, both the public and the scientific communities have associated eugenics with Nazi abuses, such as *enforced racial hygiene*, *human experimentation*, and the extermination of "*undesired*" population groups. However, developments in genetic, genomic, and reproductive technologies at the end of the 20th century have raised many new questions and concerns about the meaning of eugenics and its ethical and moral status in the modern era.

Eugenics in Australia: Striving for National Fitness. Diana Wyndham. The Galton Institute (2003).

This is a very moderately priced and meticulously chronicled history of the Eugenics movement in Australia, based almost entirely on archival material, personal interviews and contemporary newspaper accounts. The Eugenics movement largely existed during the first half of the 20th century when, for the most part, Australia was very sparsely populated and the various states had only limited levels of inter-communication. Therefore it is no real surprise that each state had its own Eugenics organization, most of which survived only for short periods of time. The exceptions were the two numerically largest states, New South Wales and Victoria, which hosted the *Racial Improvement Society of New South Wales* (later the Racial Hygiene Association) and the *Eugenics Society of Victoria*, respectively. While the Sydney-based Racial Hygiene Association successfully transmogrified itself into the Family

Planning Association in 1960 and continues in this role, the Eugenics Association of Victoria ceased to exist in 1962.

According to the author, the origins of Eugenics in Australia emerged from two prevailing preoccupations of the late 19th century. The first was '*racial suicide*', an essentially Australian concern which compared the small and slowly growing population of Australia with the rapidly expanding populations of increasingly powerful Asian countries to the north, and assumed that invasion would result unless the (European) population of the country was quickly augmented and the tropical north of the country settled.

It is interesting that one of the first pieces of legislation passed by the Federal Parliament of the newly created Commonwealth of Australia in 1901 was the *Immigration Restriction Act*, more widely known as the *White Australia Policy*. Using a device borrowed from the South African Colony of Natal, the Act limited immigration to those who could pass a dictation test in any European language of the testing officer's choice, which not surprisingly proved to be a major impediment for persons of non-European origin.

More conventionally, to boost the population size after the serious losses suffered by the Australian forces in World War I, in 1920-1921 the State Labor Government of New South Wales appointed a Minister for Motherhood, a Mr. J.J. McGirr who had established his credentials for the post as a father of nine children. Adult immigration from the U.K. also was encouraged by the British Empire Settlement Act of 1922, which simultaneously sought to populate Australia while reducing unemployment and urban overcrowding in the mother country.

The post-World War II equivalent, that saw children sent to Australia from U.K. orphanages and families described as *destitute*, frequently without their express permission, was the subject of a number of harrowing reports in the 1990s and resulted in the payment of compensation by the U.K. government and the Roman Catholic Church in Australia. It also illustrates how well-intentioned schemes can go disastrously awry.

The second major area of concern was '*racial decay*', a more global theme pursued by many national Eugenic movements, which predicted an inevitable decline in the '*national stock*' because of the lesser fertility of the more successful and worthy sections of society by comparison with those regarded as being feckless and of lesser capacity. This perspective was heightened by controversy surrounding the introduction of a £5 child birth bounty in 1912, albeit restricted to white mothers only, which was criticized in the Eugenics Review on the

grounds that it might encourage ‘...*the birth of mentally deficient and unemployable of pauper stock*’.

Perhaps as a result, in the subsequent 1928 Royal Commission on Child Endowment or Family Allowance it was stated that ‘..*Eugenic considerations...must be taken into account*’.

Allied to these concerns was a strain of Social Darwinism expressed through the problems resulting from ‘racial poisons’, i.e., venereal disease, TB, prostitution, alcoholism and criminality, with plans to be made for ‘...dealing with society’s racially contaminated “*unfit and misfit*’.

A surprising feature of the book is that the author states that fewer than 50 people in Australia contributed significantly to the eugenics movement. One such person was Henry Twitchin, an unmarried pastoralist born in Newbury, Berkshire in 1869. Having completed a course in agricultural college in England, Twitchin immigrated to Western Australia in 1890 where he established a major stock raising enterprise. He joined the U.K. Eugenics Education Society (EES) in 1911 and in 1922 wrote to the then President, Major Leonard Darwin, indicating his intention to financially support the EES, and to leave his estates for the promotion of Eugenics.

By the time of his death in 1930 Twitchin had retired to the French Riviera but continued his association with the EES and later the Eugenics Society. The monies derived from the sale of the estates enabled the Eugenics Society to conduct its works over the course of the next 50+ years, and in turn they have contributed to the very healthy finances of its successor body, the Galton Institute.

It is therefore rather sad to learn that in obituaries of Twitchin published in *The Times*, *Nature* and *The Eugenics Review*, in themselves recognition of his perceived public importance, Leonard Darwin was less than gracious in his comments, summarized by the author as ‘boorish and unprincipled’, the more so since Twitchin signed a codicil on the day of his death after an appendix operation, leaving his French properties to the Eugenics Society. Similarly the Eugenics Society of Victoria left its property to Monash University.

This is a book to engage anyone with an interest in the development of Eugenics during the early decades of the 20th century, and the range of topics covered is not limited to Australia.

From an Australian perspective there are many subjects from the Eugenics era that have current resonance, for example, the rise of the xenophobic One Nation political party during

the 1990s, and public and governmental attitudes to asylum seekers. Of greatest importance, and an area that is rather lightly covered in the book, is the position of Indigenous Australians in the wider society. Dr. Wyndham touches on the forcible removal of large numbers of part-Aboriginal children from their mothers, to be raised in Children's Homes or by white foster-parents, a policy introduced on the grounds that the Aboriginal peoples were destined for extinction.

This policy of *assimilation*, which was followed with especial vigour by A.O. Neville the Protector of Aborigines in Western Australia and continued until 1970, continues to cause great distress and hardship for many Aboriginal families, and is a cause of much mistrust. Another book dealing with this very sad feature of Australia's recent history would add greatly to the history of Eugenics in the country<sup>209</sup>.

Nazi Germany under Adolf Hitler was well known for eugenics programs which attempted to maintain a "pure" German race through a series of programs that ran under the banner of racial hygiene. Among other activities, the Nazis performed extensive experimentation<sup>210</sup> on live human beings to test their genetic theories, ranging from simple measurement of physical characteristics to the experiments carried out by Josef Mengele for Otmar von Verschuer on twins in the concentration camps. During the 1930s and 1940s, the Nazi regime forcibly sterilized hundreds of thousands of people whom they viewed as mentally and physically unfit, an estimated 400,000 between 1934 and 1937. The scale of the Nazi program prompted one American eugenics advocate to seek an expansion of their program, with one complaining that "*the Germans are beating us at our own game*"

In 1922 A.O. Neville was appointed the second Western Australia State Chief Protector of Aborigines. During the next quarter-century, he presided over the now notorious 'Assimilation' policy of removing mixed-race Aboriginal children from their parents. This policy in turn created the Stolen. Albert Namatjira, Ernie Dingo, Lionel Rose, Cathy Freeman, Nova Peris-Kneebone, Michael Long, Gavin Wanganeen, and many other successful Australian Aboriginals, were all products of the "Stolen Generation". In 1936 Neville became the Commissioner for Native Affairs, a post he held until his retirement in 1940.

Neville believed that biological absorption was the key to '*uplifting the Native race.*' Speaking before the Moseley Royal Commission, which investigated the administration of Aboriginals in 1934, he defended the policies of forced settlement, removing children from

parents, surveillance, discipline and punishment, arguing that *"they have to be protected against themselves whether they like it or not. They cannot remain as they are. The sore spot requires the application of the surgeon's knife for the good of the patient, and probably against the patients will."*

In his twilight years Neville continued to actively promote his policy. Towards the end of his career, Neville published Australia's Coloured Minority, a text outlining his plan for the biological absorption of aboriginal people into white Australia. Looks like they took the same opinion to the non-indigenous Australians also...took to the knife!

Also, "racially valuable" children from occupied countries were forcibly removed from their parents and adopted by German people. Many of their concerns for eugenics and racial hygiene were also explicitly present in their systematic killing of millions of "undesirable" people, especially Jews and Gypsies, in the Holocaust. The scope and coercion involved in the German eugenics programs along with a strong use of the rhetoric of eugenics and so-called *"racial science"* throughout the regime created an indelible cultural association between eugenics and the Third Reich in the post-war years.

Modern inquiries into the potential use of genetic engineering have led to an increased invocation of the history of eugenics in discussions of bioethics, most often as a cautionary tale. Some ethicists suggest that even non-coercive eugenics programs would be inherently unethical

*The child who does not grow up with his own biological parents, who does not even know them or anyone of his own blood, is an individual who has lost the thread of family continuity*<sup>211</sup>

*Every adopted child, at some point in his development, has been deprived of this primitive relationship with his mother. This trauma and the severing of the individual from his racial antecedents lie at the core of what is peculiar to the psychology of the adopted child. The adopted child presents all the complications in social and emotional development seen in the own child.*<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> Florence Clothier, "The Psychology of the Adopted Child," 1943

### Section 1.43 Conclusion

1. Women who became pregnant *out of wedlock* were seen to be mental defectives, social morons and also the children born to them, due to perceived “social classes” of disease/s, such as nervousness, epilepsy, the VD, the idiots etc.
2. Those children were labeled *Illegitimate* and *bastards* and further labeled *misfits*.
3. Those babies and children were stolen from them in deceptive ways *to cleanse the social status* of those labels
4. Some of those children and mothers were to have segregation inflicted upon them or sterilization because “*Three generations is enough.*”
5. Numerous documents disclose that the removal policies were a “*social experiment*” that have caused lifelong disabilities
6. Environment, or experience, influences the personality in very different ways, depending upon the age and maturity the individual.<sup>3</sup>
7. Those experiences and emotional relationships which exist in earliest childhood have *effects that are incorporated into the very structure of the personality.*<sup>4</sup>
8. Later on, through the influence of education, environment and experience modify personality manifestation, even to the extent of creating the person we think we know. Though analogies are unsatisfactory, we might say that, in the construction of the personality, constitution provides the basic metal, infantile emotional relationships and experiences<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> Florence Clothier, “The Psychology of the Adopted Child,” 1943

<sup>4</sup> Florence Clothier, “The Psychology of the Adopted Child,” 1943

<sup>5</sup> Florence Clothier, “The Psychology of the Adopted Child,” 1943

9. It had become the intervention that was advocated decades back to *require very careful treatment, both physical and mental* treatment of the offspring off the feeble - Australia's Genocide program

#### **Section 1.44 Recommendations**

- a) Enact legislation through the Marriage Act, to have the word "*Illegitimate*" struck from the records, in accordance with the "Status of Children Act" 1974. An option by the adopted person to correct the records
- b) Allow the Adopted person to enact a completed Original Birth Certificate, naming the biological father. An option a by the adopted person to correct the records
- c) To afford counseling services and the like, through Centrelink a "set fund" (amount) be set up with an allocation set aside for counseling services made to victims to seek services outside of current NGO's, administered through the Department of Health and Aging and/or VA as a funding service.
- d) A special access card, be issued to access services, such as a Gold Card for free medical services and pharmaceuticals due to cause and effects of past adoption practices.
- e) As there was a Royal Commission into Public Health in 1925 involving CSL and another Royal Commission in 1928, the Royal Commission on Child Endowment or Family Allowance, along with the Royal Commission into the CSL's Serum Tragedy in 1928 - the Commonwealth and the citizens of the Nation should now be expected a necessitated Royal Commission into Past Adoption Practices.
- f) The Commonwealth needs to enact the Bipartisan motion in agreement on Hansard 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008.
- g) Refer the literature to review the Legal and Constitutional Affairs References Committee Review of Government Compensation Payments  
[http://www.forgottenaustralians.com/pdf/Senate\\_Compensation\\_report.pdf](http://www.forgottenaustralians.com/pdf/Senate_Compensation_report.pdf)

It is these Males who were "overtreated" with Master Glands, who were "over stimulated" through in vivo experimentation, with batches varying and causing dire consequences to



physical, mental and reproductive health - those who were exposed to anabolic steroids (a carcinogenic) as a sterilization agent with severe side effects. Particular Recommendations were presented and submitted to The Minister for Health by Professor Margaret Allars in 1994, and further explored by the Senate Affairs Reference Committee in 1998. Of these numerous recommendations, I draw particular reference to Recommendation 5, stating

*That the settlement offer should not preclude a plaintiff making any future claim in relation to: (a) Other physical illnesses contracted by recipients which may be related to long term side effects of HPH treatment<sup>6</sup>.* Nothing came of the recommendation on Hansard.

Those “illnesses” are very well documented since 1972 where on my records it states “*he is adopted*” – thus giving justification to castrate and sterilize (Eugenics program), and yet a child “died” on the program –The Eugenics Program in Melbourne. Another adoptee is deceased as a direct result of this program.

During the early to mid-1970’s, prepubertal, pubertal, adolescent and adult males were treated with “test batches” of Human Pituitary Gonadotropin (HPG) and were “overtreated” inflicting castration upon the gonads. It was the Master Gland being infused to create a Master Race. Brain Glands (pituitaries) were being injected and disturbing growth and development, so that Orphan boys were not a burden on the Social security system.

They served the Catholic Church. It was no different to what Joseph Mengele, the notorious Nazi doctor was doing in the concentration camps, and once done those Jews were being executed. As a result the prepubertal boys were also treated with anabolic steroids and were inflicted with prostate disease and testicular cancer as teenagers. The only male to die of an incurable brain disease (CJD) was in Sydney, he was adopted.

*It was an improper purpose and the Minister’s decision to list the hormones was an abuse of the power under s.100.*

*The Committee considers that there is evidence to suggest that treatment under the AHPHP was of a more experimental nature than has previously been suggested.*

*However, he goes on to say a number of other things apart from the fact that pituitary hormone patients, certainly those in the HGH area, were guineapigs<sup>212</sup>*

---

<sup>6</sup> House of Representatives Hansard 16 June 2008

## Section 1.45 Glossary

They were Orphans because they were the worse of children – The BASTARDS. They called them BASTARDS because they were children born out of wedlock. Nobody wanted them. That is nobody in their right mind wanted them

The welfare workers' task is twofold. People adopting the baby will devote their lives to the baby's welfare and that they will guard the baby's rights as a human being. But, inevitably there is a sad, even tragic background to the story. This is such a tragic testimony.

The Castrati were male singers who were castrated before they reached puberty so as to retain their high voices. This practice, while not exactly commonplace, persisted in Europe from the late sixteenth to the nineteenth century, and reached its height in the eighteenth century. It was, moreover, exploitative; castrati were usually poor boys, often orphans , and the operation itself was of dubious legality

The Aryans. In 19th century physical anthropology, represented by some as being scientific racism, the "Aryan race" was defined as the subgroup of the Caucasian (or Europid) race consisting of the native speakers of Indo-European languages descended from the original Proto-Indo-Europeans, which reside in Europe, Asian Russia, Anglo-America, Southern South America, South Africa, Australia and New Zealand. This submission is based upon the policy of the day – Racial decay. Science had gone a long way towards discovering "hormone like substances" that could make a person more intelligent. ...the Master Race.

Further citations

The Marriage Act 1958 (Cth)

The Eugenics Bill 1950 (Cth)

The Adoption Act 1958 (Vic)

The Eugenics Act 1960 (Vic)

The Health Act 1958 (Cth)

The Mental Deficiency Act

The Mental Hygiene Bill

#### **Section 1.46 Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank the Senate for their time and efforts in this inquiry, particularly Senator Sieward, and participating members of the Committee for the opportunity in having history recorded as it is now acknowledged.

I make special acknowledgements to the women at Origins, Lily, Elizabeth and Linda, for without their encouragement this story would have never come out, notwithstanding a special mention of Dian Wellfare, (dec. - founder of Origins) for it was her that justified my very testimony in past adoption practices over 10 years ago.

I would also like to express appreciation to Dr Helena Teede who had the courage to elude my testimony and special acknowledgement to my GP, Dr Paul Kelly, who over the last 10 years has supported me with his openness, encouragement and acknowledgement of my history and testimony and for his belief in me during terrible period of Australian medical research.

#### **Section 1.47 Nuremberg Code**

The **Nuremberg Code** is a set of research ethics principles for human experimentation set as a result of the Subsequent Nuremberg Trials at the end of the Second World War.

On August 19, 1947, the judges delivered their verdict in the "Doctors' Trial" against Karl Brandt and several others. They also delivered their opinion on medical experimentation on

human beings. Several of the accused had argued that their experiments differed little from pre-war ones and that there was no law that differentiated between legal and illegal experiments.

In April of the same year, Dr. Leo Alexander had submitted to the Counsel for War Crimes six points defining legitimate medical research. The trial verdict adopted these points and added an extra four. The ten points constituted the "Nuremberg Code". Although the legal force of the document was not established and it was not incorporated directly into either the American or German law, the Nuremberg Code and the related Declaration of Helsinki are the basis for the Code of Federal Regulations Title 45 Volume 46 [3], which are the regulations issued by the United States Department of Health and Human Services governing federally funded research in the United States. In addition, the Nuremberg code has also been incorporated into the law of individual states such as California, and other countries<sup>[citation needed]</sup>.

The Nuremberg code includes such principles as informed consent and absence of coercion; properly formulated scientific experimentation; and beneficence towards experiment participants.

The ten points of the Nuremberg Code

The ten points are, (all from United States National Institutes of Health) <sup>[1]</sup>

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him/her to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.

1. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.
2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.
3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.
4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.
5. No experiment should be conducted where there is a prior reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.
6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.
7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.
8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.
9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.
10. During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.

Reprinted from *Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10, Vol. 2, pp. 181–182*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government

Printing Office, 1949. Note that complete electronic copies of the *Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuremberg [Nuremberg] Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law No. 10* <sup>[2]</sup> are available online, as are most of the other proceedings from the Nuremberg Trials <sup>[3]</sup>.

#### **Section 1.48 Attachments**

- (a) **House Hansard 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008**
- (b) **Senate Questions on Notice – 2000**
- (c) **Senate Questions on Notice – 2003**
- (d) **The Minister’s Press Release (Dr Michael Wooldridge MP, former Health Minister)**
- (e) **Journal review – The Eugenics Society of Victoria**
- (f) **Governor-to-be defends use of treatment with links to CJD, The Age January 24, 2006**
- (g) **Infertile Women, Tall Girls, Short and Orphaned Children: The Socially Vulnerable Prey of Australian Medical Imperialists - Lynette Dumble, Ph D, M Sc, Senior Research Fellow, History and Philosophy of Science, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3052, AUSTRALIA.**
- (h) **University apologises for experiments on Children - November 18, 2009**
- (i) **Dr Nicholas Tonti-Filippini (NHMRC) report**      **(Confidential attachment)**
- (j) **Personal Records -**      **(Confidential attachment)**
- (k) **Normal at any cost**      **(Confidential attachment)**
- (l) **Statement**      **(Confidential attachment)**

#### **Section 1.49 Hyperlink Attachments**

- (a) **Fit vs. Unfit, Eugenics, Planned Parenthood & Psychology, Mind Control Report**  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2GcSpV1jioA>
- (b) **Josef Mengele: Angel of Death**  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TDK2RVy21s&playnext=1&list=PL1C313237F7C8D27B>
- (c) **Sir Francis Galton - Father of Eugenics**  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ufqOe0\\_pres&feature=related](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ufqOe0_pres&feature=related)
  - i. Refers to Joseph Mengele experiments in cadavers.

### Photo portfolio



Australian scientists - de Kretser, Hudson, and Burger develop male contraceptive pill  
**Date : 1974**



A scene in the Children's ward at Prince Henry's Hospital, Photograph by Val Foreman  
 Photography, **Date : 1972**

## Section 1.50 References

---

<sup>1</sup>Involuntary castration appears in the history of warfare, sometimes used by one side to torture or demoralize their enemies. It was practiced to extinguish opposing male lineage

<sup>2</sup>BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL 15 DECEMBER 1973 Pg 676

<sup>3</sup> The Population Control Agenda Stanley K. Monteith, M.D.  
<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message404675/pg1>

<sup>4</sup> Our Dirty Adoption Secret  
 By Emily Wolfinger 8<sup>th</sup> Oct 2009

<sup>5</sup> St Joseph's Foundling Hospital records 1961

<sup>6</sup> GENOCIDE CONVENTION ACT 1949

<sup>7</sup> something that is intended; purpose; design  
 The act or fact of intending, as to do something: criminal intent.  
 The state of a person's mind that directs his or her actions toward a specific object.

<sup>8</sup> BABIES BEST IMMIGRANTS  
 The Argus 29 November 1943 Pg 6

<sup>9</sup> Child abduction, the abduction or kidnapping of a young child (or baby) by an older person

<sup>10</sup> EUGENICS IN SCHOOLS Keeping Australians From Degenerating  
 The Mercury 29 July 1936 Pg 3

<sup>11</sup> Nazi Babies seen as Migrants here  
 80,000 ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN SUGGESTED  
 Army News 30 November 1945 Pg 3

<sup>12</sup> Melbourne University apologises for experiments on children  
 By Barbara Miller for PM

<sup>13</sup> Babies our best immigrants  
 The Argus 29 November 1948 Pg 7

<sup>14</sup> BRITAIN'S DRIVE FOR HEALTHIER BABIES  
 Morning Bulletin 27 November 1936 Pg 7

<sup>15</sup> BABIES BEST IMMIGRANTS  
 The Argus 29 November 1943 Pg 6

<sup>16</sup> Tangled Web, Part I: the silence of consent  
 Karen Balcom  
<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/hindsight/stories/2011/3164428.htm>

<sup>17</sup> In 1941, the Federal Council of the British Medical Association (BMA) in Australia (now the Australian Medical Association) made recommendations about the provision of medical



---

services. Two years later, in response to a report by the National Health and Medical Research Council, Outline of a possible scheme for a salaried medical service.

<sup>18</sup> Tangled Web, Part I: the silence of consent

Cliff Picton

<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/hindsight/stories/2011/3164428.htm>

<sup>19</sup> Tangled Web, Part I: the silence of consent

Karen Balcom

<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/hindsight/stories/2011/3164428.htm>

<sup>20</sup> The Eugenics Society of Victoria (I 936-196I)

THE EUGENICS REVIEW, January 1962, 53, 4.

<sup>21</sup> Medical consequences

A subject of castration who is altered before the onset of puberty will retain a high voice, non-muscular build, and small genitals. He may well be taller than average, as the production of sex hormones in puberty—more specifically, estrogen via aromatization of testosterone—stops long bone growth and will have a small sex drive or none at all

<sup>22</sup> House Hansard 16th June 2008 Pg 5003

“Every four months he has to undergo testosterone implants because, without these, his hormone level replicates that of a man over the age of 100”

<sup>23</sup> House Hansard 16th June 2008 Pg 5003

<sup>24</sup> House Hansard 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008 Pg 5001 – 5008

<sup>25</sup> Tangled Web, Part I: the silence of consent

<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/hindsight/stories/2011/3164428.htm>

<sup>26</sup> <http://www.global-sisterhood-network.org/gsn/downloads/Infertile-girls.pdf>

Infertile Women, Tall Girls, Short and Orphaned Children:  
The Socially Vulnerable Prey of Australian Medical Imperialists  
Healthsharing Women, 1997: 8: 1015.

Lynette Dumble, Ph D, M Sc,

Senior Research Fellow, History and Philosophy of Science,

University of Melbourne,

Parkville, Victoria, 3052, AUSTRALIA.

<sup>27</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment

As treatment doses and frequency were varied, he was effectively castrated, with his testes so damaged that puberty was then delayed

<sup>28</sup> Tangled Web, Part I: the silence of consent

Shurlee Swain

<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/hindsight/stories/2011/3164428.htm>

<sup>29</sup> SOCIAL PROBLEMS. SOME PLAIN SPEAKING.

---

The Advertiser 30 November 1910 Pg 12

<sup>30</sup> Australians "Deteriorating" Declaring that the Australian character was deteriorating  
The Mercury 4 May 1945 Pg 5

<sup>31</sup> Being Well Born. An introduction to Heredity and Eugenics  
Michael Guyer

<sup>32</sup> We adopted a Family  
<http://trove.nla.gov.au/ndp/del/article/18513821?searchTerm=%20forced%20baby%20adoption&searchLimits=l-australian=y>

<sup>33</sup> House Hansard 16<sup>th</sup> June 2008.

<sup>34</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment Pg 5008

<sup>35</sup> Breeding of the Nazi Master Race  
Home for girl mothers found  
The Advertiser 22<sup>nd</sup> November 1954 Pg 4

<sup>36</sup> GENOCIDE CONVENTION ACT 1949

<sup>37</sup> GENOCIDE CONVENTION ACT 1949 - LONG TITLE

<sup>38</sup> GENOCIDE CONVENTION ACT 1949 - SECT 5  
Approval of extension to Territories

<sup>39</sup> A Brief History and Definition of the Eugenics Movement  
<http://www.shoaheducation.com/pNEW.html>

<sup>40</sup> The legality of eugenic sterilization in Australia.

OV Briscoe, PL Ilbery... - The Medical journal of Australia, 1967 - ncbi.nlm.nih.gov  
1. Med J Aust. 1967 Jul 29;2(5):185-9. The legality of eugenic sterilization in Australia. ... Australia;

<sup>41</sup> 14 Aust. YBIL 203 (1992)  
Non-Consensual Sterilisation of the Intellectually Disabled in the Australian Context:  
Potential for Human Rights Abuse and the Need for Reform; Little, Hilary

<sup>42</sup> Nicholson CJ. Marion's Case

<sup>43</sup> The West Australian 18<sup>th</sup> July 1907 Pg 3

<sup>44</sup> Sate Children's Act  
Kalgoorlie Western Argus (WA : 1896-1916), Tuesday 25 June 1912, page 19

<sup>45</sup> THE PROGRESS OF EUGENIC STERILIZATION Human Betterment Foundation,  
Pasadena  
<http://jhered.oxfordjournals.org/content/25/1/19.full.pdf>

---

<sup>46</sup> The Argus, Melbourne 12 January 1934 Pg 6. "Negative Eugenics – How shall we deal with feeble mindedness?"

<sup>47</sup> Eugenics Bill (Cth) 1950

<sup>48</sup> Eugenic and sexual folklores and the castration of sex offenders in the Netherlands (1938–1968) Theo van der Meer  
<http://www.iisg.nl/research/eugenics.pdf>

<sup>49</sup> SENATE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS REFERENCES COMMITTEE 12/08/97  
[http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;page=0;query=cjd%20hgh%20SearchCategory\\_Phrase%3A%22committees%22;rec=3;resCount=Default](http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;page=0;query=cjd%20hgh%20SearchCategory_Phrase%3A%22committees%22;rec=3;resCount=Default)

Dr Tony Adams started saying what I think is a fairly important set of issues regarding the perceptions of the government at that particular time. That program was taped in 1994. The significance of his statements speak for themselves. However, he goes on to say a number of other things apart from the fact that pituitary hormone patients, certainly those in the HGH area, were guineapigs. 'Medical misadventure' is used as a term and he goes on to talk about a variety of other areas.

I think the important thing here to stress is that, when you talk about people being guineapigs, it is a rather intriguing little exercise, particularly since they are human guineapigs. I think Dr Tony Adams at that particular stage said what we all thought was the case—that it was an experimental program—and Allars subsequently put that into her paper and into her report.

<sup>50</sup> In 1970, I. I. Gottesman, a director of the American Eugenics Society, defined it in this way: "The essence of evolution is natural selection; the essence of eugenics is the replacement of 'natural' selection by conscious, premeditated, or artificial selection in the hope of speeding up the evolution of 'desirable' characteristics and the elimination of undesirable ones."

<sup>51</sup> General Solimán, directed a "Iebensorn" (spring of life) organization, which Himmler founded in 1938, to provide for illegitimate children baby factories, where women produced illegitimate babies for the master race. Source – Cairns Post 17<sup>th</sup> February 1950

<sup>52</sup> BABY FACTORIES IN NAZI GERMANY  
 Cairns Post 17 February 1950 Pg 4

<sup>53</sup> Senate Community Affairs Committee reported on the CJD settlement offer  
[http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/D1570B0D47832C1DCA256F19000528D6/\\$File/response.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/D1570B0D47832C1DCA256F19000528D6/$File/response.pdf)

<sup>54</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment Pg 5005

<sup>55</sup> Eugenics in the United States  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eugenics\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eugenics_in_the_United_States)

<sup>56</sup> Illegitimates  
 The Colonist (Sydney, NSW : 1835-1840), Wednesday 20 February 1839, page 2

<sup>57</sup> "EUGENIC" EXPERIMENTS CONDUCTED BY THE NAZIS ON HUMAN SUBJECTS

---

By C. P. BLACKER

The Eugenics Review, 1952 - [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

<sup>58</sup> Heredity in Relation to Eugenics, published in 1911, he advocated compulsory sterilization for those deemed to be unfit for procreation, and was against mine and factory safety laws, charitable institutions, safety standards and sewage regulations, since these would only keep those alive who in evolutionary terms should be candidates for extinction by the Darwinian law of "survival of the fittest." He also advocated capital punishment for the crime of being born of inferior blood

<sup>59</sup> Do you believe in the science of eugenics? The West Australian 8<sup>th</sup> April 1950

<sup>60</sup> <http://againsttheirwill.journalnow.com/>

<sup>61</sup> THE SENATE MARRIAGE BILL 1960

Second Reading SPEECH Wednesday, 17 August 1960 Pg 2

<sup>62</sup> Mothering: ideology, experience, and agency

<sup>63</sup> CHILD TRAINING NURSERY AND "ORIGINAL SIN"

The Brisbane Courier Wednesday 6 January 1926 Pg 20

<sup>64</sup> Attorney-General (Vic) v Commonwealth ("Marriage Act case") [1962] HCA 37; (1962) 107 CLR 529 (1 August 1962)

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

ATTORNEY-GENERAL (VICT.) v. THE COMMONWEALTH [1962] HCA 37; (1962) 107 CLR 529

Constitutional Law (Cth)

<sup>65</sup> HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

GLEESON CJ, GAUDRON, GUMMOW, KIRBY AND HAYNE JJ

Chen Shi Hai v The Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs

[2000] HCA 19

13 April 2000

P41/1999

<sup>66</sup> GENOCIDE CONVENTION ACT 1949

In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

- (a) Killing members of the group;
- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
- (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.

<sup>67</sup> The Argus 20th July 1951. Who would like to own this baby

<http://trove.nla.gov.au/ndp/del/article/23061983?searchTerm=would+you+like+to+own+this+baby&searchLimits>

<sup>68</sup> Maeve O'Collins 1966

---

<sup>69</sup> BABIES-World's Greatest Problem today. Opposing Forces in Fight for Safety!  
The Australian Women's Weekly 7<sup>th</sup> July 1934 Pg 4

<sup>70</sup> Kalgoorlie Western Argus (WA : 1896-1916), Tuesday 25 June 1912, page 19

<sup>71</sup> *They are short because God made them that way.* The Herald November 1971

<sup>72</sup> Castrati (singular form: castrato) were male singers who were castrated before they reached puberty so as to retain their high voices. This practice, while not exactly commonplace, persisted in Europe from the late sixteenth to the nineteenth century, and reached its height in the eighteenth century. It was, moreover, exploitative; castrati were usually poor boys, often orphans, and the operation itself was of dubious legality.

<sup>73</sup> <http://againsttheirwill.journalnow.com/> • Lifting the Curtain On a Shameful Era  
They were wives and daughters. Sisters. Unwed mothers. Children. Even a 10-year-old boy. Some were blind or mentally retarded. Toward the end they were mostly black and poor. North Carolina sterilized them all, more than 7,600 people

<sup>74</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment Pg 5003

<sup>75</sup> Human *sterilization*: it's [sic] social and legislative aspects

<sup>76</sup> The Law of Segregation states that when any individual produces gametes, the copies of a gene separate so that each gamete receives only one copy

<sup>77</sup> Our Dirty Adoption Secret

By Emily Wolfinger

The Federal Government wants to give forced adoption victims an apology, but seems very keen to prevent the public finding out exactly what the apology is for.

<http://newmatilda.com/2009/10/08/our-dirty-adoption-secret>

<sup>78</sup> Senator McKenna

MARRIAGE BILL 1961 Second Reading Tuesday, 11 April 1961

<http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;orderBy=date-eLast;page=0;query=illegitimate%20Infants%20Decade%3A%221960s%22;querytype=Decade%3A1960s;rec=1;resCount=Default>

<sup>79</sup> "They're the nicest lot of kids you'd find..."

The Argus 28 November 1950 P 3

<sup>80</sup> PLEA FOR MENTAL PURITY. The Brisbane Courier (Qld. : 1864-1933), Friday 23 December 1927, page 13

<sup>81</sup> HEALTH: Mother gets asthma and also has heart condition. W. is thin, rather nervy girl. 1 sister in Kew mental hospital. Epileptic due to birth injuries. W. 5' 2", fair hair, blue. eyes. Average type.

<sup>82</sup> PLEA FOR MENTAL PURITY. The Brisbane Courier (Qld. : 1864-1933), Friday 23 December 1927, page 13

---

<sup>83</sup> Don't overfeed your children

The Australian Women's Weekly 3<sup>rd</sup> March 1965 Pg 7

<sup>84</sup> Tangled Web, Part I: the silence of consent

Denise Cuthbert

<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/hindsight/stories/2011/3164428.htm>

<sup>85</sup> High Court of Australia

Attorney-General (Vic) v Commonwealth ("Marriage Act case") [1962] HCA 37; (1962) 107 CLR 529 (1 August 1962)

We are here dealing with bastardy or illegitimacy as a legal conception. When s. 89 (1) says that the (otherwise bastard) child is legitimate for all purposes and s. 91 says that in the conditions it stipulates the child shall be deemed for all purposes to be the legitimate child of his parents

<sup>86</sup> Traffic in Babies

The Argus 2 August 1913 Pg 18

<sup>87</sup> CHILD WELFARE. Investigations at Geneva.

The West Australian (Perth, WA : 1879-1954), Wednesday 1 June 1938, page 6

<sup>88</sup> The Herald Melbourne November 1971 "When a child is too small"

<sup>89</sup> PUBLIC HEALTH. Federal Royal Commission

The Mercury (Hobart, Tas. : 1860-1954), Friday 24 April 1925, page 3

<http://trove.nla.gov.au/ndp/del/article/23812273?searchTerm=illegitimate%20children%20mental%20diseases&searchLimits=l-australian=y>

<sup>90</sup> Protection to Society

The Canberra Times (ACT : 1926-1954), Friday 24 November 1933, page 3

<sup>91</sup> MENTAL DEFICIENCY Classification of the Child.

The Mercury (Hobart, Tas. : 1860-1954), Friday 21 September 1923, page 11

<sup>92</sup> MENTAL DEFICIENCY, - FEDERAL INQUIRY. - DIVERSITY OF TREATMENT.

The Sydney Morning Herald (NSW : 1842-1954), Tuesday 14 August 1928, page 15

<sup>93</sup> STERILISATION OF THE UNFIT

Barrier Miner (Broken Hill, NSW : 1888-1954), Thursday 5 March 1936, page 2

<sup>94</sup> Postgraduate Medical Journal (September 1975) 51, 591-599.

Endemic cretinism-a continuous personal educational experience during 10 years

A. QUERIDOT M.D. University of Leiden, Holland

<sup>95</sup> In Australia, human pituitary hormones were supplied as a Pharmaceutical Benefit (under Section 100(1)(a)(b) of the *National Health Act 1953*) and were manufactured by the Commonwealth Serum Laboratories (CSL). Hormones were also supplied under Section 9(1)(d) of the *National Health Act 1953* for projects approved by the Human Pituitary Advisory Committee (HPAC).

---

<sup>96</sup> Orphans of the Living

<sup>97</sup> Some Aspects of Research in the Field of Adoption-  
Maev O'Collins BA Dip.Soc S. SW  
Catholic Family Welfare Bureau Melb. AJSW VOL19 No1 1966

<sup>98</sup> 1 sister in Kew mental hospital. Epileptic due to birth injuries

<sup>99</sup> STERILISATION. The' Problem of The Unfit.  
The West Australian 17 November 1934 Pg 5

<sup>100</sup> Children of a lesser God. Pg 2

<sup>101</sup> J.S. Jenkins, "The lost voice: a history of the castrato," Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2000;13 Suppl 6:1503-8. Abstract at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>

<sup>102</sup> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alessandro\\_Moreschi](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alessandro_Moreschi)

<sup>103</sup> Endocrinology and Expectations in 1930s America: Louis Berman's Ideas on New Creations in Human Beings  
[http://www.redorbit.com/news/science/908843/endocrinology\\_and\\_expectations\\_in\\_1930s\\_america\\_louis\\_bermans\\_ideas\\_on/index.html](http://www.redorbit.com/news/science/908843/endocrinology_and_expectations_in_1930s_america_louis_bermans_ideas_on/index.html)

<sup>104</sup> More Boys Born Out of Wedlock In Queensland  
The Mail 25 March 1944 Pg 14

<sup>105</sup> Adoption rush for baby Girls, Boys not wanted.  
The Canberra Times 21 June 1952 Pg 5

<sup>106</sup> Girls Preferred To Boys  
The Advertiser 8 October 1932 Pg 6

<sup>107</sup> ADOPTION ASSOCIATION. Demand for Children Exceeds the Supply.  
THE National Children Adoption Association  
The Sydney Morning Herald 2 February 1939 Pg 23

<sup>108</sup> AN IDIOT CHILD'S CURE  
Western Argus 27 October 1925 Pg 36

<sup>109</sup> Tell Me, Doctor...  
The Sunday Herald 29 March 1953 Pg 15

<sup>110</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment

<sup>111</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment Pg 5003

<sup>112</sup> Medical Experiments in Auschwitz  
[http://cghs.dadeschools.net/ib\\_holocaust2001/Final\\_Solution/selected\\_camps/auschwitz\\_medical\\_experiments.htm](http://cghs.dadeschools.net/ib_holocaust2001/Final_Solution/selected_camps/auschwitz_medical_experiments.htm)

<sup>113</sup> Martyrs

---

[http://www.jrbooksonline.com/PDF\\_Books/Lane/Martyrs.pdf](http://www.jrbooksonline.com/PDF_Books/Lane/Martyrs.pdf)

<sup>114</sup> National Security Agency (NSA) - Part 1.  
Citadel of evil Steven J. Smith

<sup>115</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment

<sup>116</sup> New Hormone May Assist Undersized Children  
The Sydney Morning Herald 2<sup>nd</sup> October 1946 Pg 3

<sup>117</sup> Pink Diseases cure test on Orphans  
The Courier-Mail June 5, 1950 Pg 6

<sup>118</sup> SERUM TRAGEDY. Commission's Findings.  
The Queenslander 21 June 1928 Pg 46

<sup>119</sup> Suppressing puberty with a view to sex-change surgery  
[http://www.mercatornet.com/articles/view/suppressing\\_puberty\\_with\\_a\\_view\\_to\\_sex\\_change\\_surgery/](http://www.mercatornet.com/articles/view/suppressing_puberty_with_a_view_to_sex_change_surgery/)

<sup>120</sup> DELICATE OPERATION  
Morning Bulletin 15 August 1938 Pg 3

<sup>121</sup> BIRTH CONTROL AND, A Mental Experts View  
The Advertiser (Adelaide, SA : 1889-1931), Saturday 28 December 1929, page 13

<sup>122</sup> Eugenic Sterilization  
The West Australian 3<sup>rd</sup> August 1932 Pg 10

<sup>123</sup> Eugenic and sexual folklores and the castration of sex offenders in the Netherlands (1938–1968) Theo van der Meer  
<http://www.iisg.nl/research/eugenics.pdf>

<sup>124</sup> Sterilizing the Unfit  
The West Australian 28 September 1935 Pg 5

<sup>125</sup> TRAFFIC IN RABIES. SUGGESTED RESTRICTIONS  
The Argus 2 August 1913 Pg 18

<sup>126</sup> CHILD PROBLEMS Important Experiment In Melbourne American Psychiatrist  
The Mercury 28 December 1938 Pg 8

<sup>127</sup> A design for better living : the bio-politics of eugenics, diet and childhood in the Hopewood Experiment of L. O. Bailey / by Deborah Ambery  
<http://trove.nla.gov.au/work/3899334>

<sup>128</sup> CHILDREN DO NOT PAY. THE EUGENIC PROBLEM.  
Kalgoorlie Western Argus (WA : 1896-1916), Tuesday 22 October 1912, page 43

<sup>129</sup> FEEBLE-MINDED. COMMONWEALTH INQUIRY.  
Dr. W. EBNEST JONES'S BEPORT.



---

The Brisbane Courier (Qld. : 1864-1933), Wednesday 1 January 1930, page 18

<sup>130</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment

<sup>131</sup> <http://www.thedarwinpapers.com/oldsite/number13/number13.html>

<sup>132</sup> .".....Elizabeth Hastings in her statement as Federal Disability Discrimination Commissioner in 1998

<sup>133</sup> In 1968, at just 14 years old, Elaine became one of the thousands of victims of North Carolina's forced sterilization program. Quietly and efficiently operating from 1929 until 1974, the program's purpose was to weed out the "unfit" of society by stopping them from reproducing. Melbourne's castration and sterilization program was never documented in journals, however it was also kept very quiet and was documented in a manuscript- The Testis – Clinical and Experimental Studies, 1983 Burger Hg, de Kretser DM

<sup>134</sup> during the treatment period, on one occasion have abnormally high levels of what appears to be a hormone which may have been related to the treatment. That result is prior to diagnosis of a condition that appears to have necessitated bilateral orchidopexy. Dr Nicholas Tonti –Philippini, Dean (Teaching, Learning and Research) at the John Paul II Institute for Marriage and Family

<sup>135</sup> Dr Norman Wettenhall. Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne

<sup>136</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment Pg 5002

<sup>137</sup> Irene Kelly was told if she were to marry she could have her baby back. She married within a month of signing the consent to "another" man, went to retrieve me at the Orphanage....but I was gone. Discussion 1989 at first reunion

<sup>138</sup> RACIAL BETTERMENT. THE CLAIMS OF EUGENICS. Birth Control Instruction Urged  
The West Australian 14 September 1935 Pg 20

<sup>139</sup> Senate Hansard  
MARRIAGE BILL 1961 Wednesday, 19 April 1961

<sup>140</sup> LORD HORDER ON BIRTH CONTROL  
Advises Instruction In Organised Clinics TREATMENT OF UNFIT Aims Of Eugenics Society MELBOURNE, The Advertiser 14 September 1935 Pg 14

<sup>141</sup> Three Generations, No Imbeciles: Eugenics, the Supreme Court, and Buck v. Bell  
<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMbprev0807134>

<sup>142</sup> HEALTH: Mother gets asthma and also has heart condition. W. is thin, rather nervy girl. 1 sister in Kew mental hospital. Epileptic due to birth injuries. W. 5' 2", fair hair, blue. eyes. Average type.

<sup>143</sup> WILLING "GUINEA PIGS" AT CHILDREN'S HOME LONDON  
The West Australian 10 February 1949 Pg 12

---

<sup>144</sup> PROBLEM OF UNFIT. VOLUNTARY STERILISATION. Perth Doctors' Attitude.  
The West Australian 23 September 1935 Pg 13

<sup>145</sup> Eugenics Urged  
The Queenslander 29 September 1927 Pg 47

<sup>146</sup> Hitler babies facing a grim future  
The Argus (Melbourne, Vic. : 1848-1954), Wednesday 1 February 1950, page 4

<sup>147</sup> HITLER'S DRIVE FOR MORE BABIES  
Morning Bulletin (Rockhampton, Qld. : 1878-1954), Friday 10 July 1936, page 15

<sup>148</sup> GREAT WAR PROBLEM INNOCENT CHILDREN OF NAZI PHILOSOPHY.  
Cairns Post 6 April 1946 Pg 5

<sup>149</sup> STERILISATION. The' Problem of The Unfit  
The West Australian 17 November 1934 Pg 5

<sup>150</sup> Eugenics In Schools. Keeping Australians from degenerating.  
The Mercury 29 July 1936 Pg 3

<sup>151</sup> BIOLOGY ONE DAY MAY PRODUCE "MASTER" RACE  
The Mercury 27 August 1952 Pg 9

<sup>152</sup> Eugenics in the next fifty years  
The Brisbane Courier 22 December 1928 Pg 20

<sup>153</sup> Eugenics and the betterment of the race.  
Examiner 14<sup>th</sup> September 1935 Pg 8

<sup>154</sup> House Hansard  
QUESTION VENEREAL DISEASE AND CHRONIC ALCOHOLISM Thursday, 22 July 1926

<sup>155</sup> House Hanard  
QUESTION ESTIMATES 1926-27 Wednesday, 11 August 1926

<sup>156</sup> RACIAL BETTERMENT. THE CLAIMS OF EUGENICS.  
Birth Control Instruction Urged.  
The West Australian 14 September 1935 Pg 20

<sup>157</sup> New Hormone May Assist Undersized Children  
The Sydney Morning Herald 2 October 1946 Pg 3

<sup>158</sup> Are We Growing Taller?  
The Sydney Morning Herald 26 October 1946 Pg 7

<sup>159</sup> Do you believe in the science of eugenics  
The West Australian 8 April 1950 Pg 18

<sup>160</sup> <http://www.eugenicsarchive.org/html/eugenics/index2.html?tag=591>

---

<sup>161</sup> <http://againsttheirwill.journalnow.com/>

<sup>162</sup> Galton's Law of Regression – Being well-born (1916) Pg 17

<sup>163</sup> The term *heightism* was coined by sociologist Saul Feldman in a paper titled "The presentation of shortness in everyday life—height and heightism in American society: Toward a sociology of stature", presented at the meeting of the American Sociological Association in 1971.<sup>[1][2]</sup> *Heightism* was included in the *Second Barnhart Dictionary of New English* (1971)<sup>[3]</sup> and popularized by *Time* magazine in a 1971 article on Feldman's paper.<sup>[4]</sup> The word is an example of *Time* magazine's habit of supplying new words through "unusual use of affixes",<sup>[5]</sup> although *Time* itself objected to the term's inclusion in the 1991 *Random Webster's College Dictionary*, citing it as an example of the dictionary "straining ... to avoid giving offense, except to good usage" and "[lending] authority to scores of questionable usages, many of them tinged with politically correct views."<sup>[6]</sup> Heightism is one of a proliferation of neologisms relating to prejudice and discrimination that are lexically patterned after *sexism*.<sup>[7]</sup>

<sup>164</sup> Tangled Web, Part I: the silence of consent

Cliff Picton

<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/hindsight/stories/2011/3164428.htm>

<sup>165</sup> The Testis – Clinical and Experimental Studies, 1983 Burger Hg, de Kretser DM

<sup>166</sup> The Eugenics Review. VOL. XXVIII, No. 2 JULY, 1936

<sup>167</sup> "the time has come for establishing laws conceding the right to sterilize under certain circumstances such persons as will probably produce offspring who are incapable of filling any position in society." Great caution, however, is recommended, and it is suggested that for the present sterilization should not be made coercive. The Eugenics review April 1924

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2942651/pdf/eugenrev00330-0003.pdf>

(a) <sup>168</sup> "The children were frequently dosed with the psychiatric drugs Anatsenol, Diazepam, Haloperidol, Largactil, Mogadon, Serepax, Stelazine, Tegretol or Tofranil. On reaching adolescence they were compelled to undergo an initiation involving LSD:

<sup>169</sup> Excerpt from UNSEEN, UNHEARD, UNKNOWN by Sarah Moore (Hamilton-Byrne)

<sup>170</sup> Excerpt from UNSEEN, UNHEARD, UNKNOWN by Sarah Moore (Hamilton-Byrne)

<sup>171</sup> **Senate Community Affairs References Committee *Report on the CJD Settlement Offer***

*Recommendation 5. That the settlement offer should not preclude a plaintiff making any future claim in relation to:*

(a) other physical illnesses contracted by recipients which may be related to long term side effects of HPH treatment;

[http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/D1570B0D47832C1DCA256F19000528D6/\\$File/response.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/D1570B0D47832C1DCA256F19000528D6/$File/response.pdf)

<sup>172</sup> Being well-born. 1916, An introduction to Eugenics

<sup>173</sup> The use of high dosage contraceptive pills (the original ones used in the sixties and early seventies) were also a significant cause of sterility when premature menopause occurred. DR. G. RICKARBY MB, BS, FRANZCP, Member of the Faculty of Child Psychiatry, RANZCP, MANZAP Consultant Psychiatrist to the NSW Parliamentary Inquiry into Past Adoption Practices (1950-1998)

<sup>174</sup> About 65% of feeble minded children come from feeble minded hereditary. Being well-born, 1916 Pg 246

<sup>175</sup> House Hansard, House of Representatives 16th June 2008 – Hormone Treatment  
*This meant that these boys developed a permanent defective reproductive system resulting from a lack of function of the testes often accompanied by lack of sexual development and premature menopause.*

<sup>176</sup> As well as being treated with hPG, unknown numbers of prepubertal and adolescent boys with a prediction of short stature were treated with synthetic androgens or steroids to accelerate their growth after being primed with hPG. This caused hypogonadism, including prostate disease, in unknown numbers of boys. This meant that these boys developed a permanent defective reproductive system resulting from a lack of function of the testes often accompanied by lack of sexual development and premature menopause.

<sup>177</sup> The Eugenics Society of Victoria 1936-1961

<sup>178</sup> The SEDH calculates that, between 1990 and 1995, around 23 boys were victims of kidnapping, torture, and castration in the state of Maranhão. The first cases of emasculation occurred in Brazil around 17 years ago. It is estimated that more than 40 boys, nearly all of them in the phase of puberty, have been victims of this type of crime in Maranhão, Pará, Goiás, Paraná, Espírito Santo, and Tocantins.

Section 1.02 <sup>179</sup> Tonti-Filippini, Dr Nicholas --- "Reproductive Discrimination" [2006] UNSWLawJl 31; (2006) 29(2) University of New South Wales Law Journal 254

<sup>180</sup> Hansard Monday, 16 June 2008 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES pg 5003

<sup>181</sup> MENTAL DEFICIENCY. A COMMONWEALTH PROBLEM.  
The Report of Dr. W. E. Jones.  
The West Australian 23 December 1929 Pg 18

<sup>182</sup> <http://againsttheirwill.journalnow.com/>

---

<sup>183</sup> The Testis – Clinical and Experimental Studies, 1983 Burger Hg, de Kretser DM

<sup>184</sup> <http://www.eugenicsarchive.org/html/eugenics/index2.html?tag=591>

<sup>185</sup> Sections of the Health Act 1958 have been rescinded upon the outcome of the Allars Inquiry

<sup>186</sup> Confronting the Possible Eugenics of the Past Through Modern Pressures for Compensation Author: Hocking B.A.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>187</sup> Case of the Unwanted Blessing: Wrongful Life, The JS Kashi - University of Miami Law Review, 1976

<sup>188</sup> Hansard Senate 11<sup>th</sup> August 2003

<sup>189</sup> Outside the Law: Intersex, Medicine and the Discourse Rights

<sup>190</sup> House Hansard Monday, 16 June 2008 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES pg 5002

<sup>191</sup> Hansard Senate 11<sup>th</sup> August 2003

<sup>192</sup> House Hansard Monday, 16 June 2008 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES pg 5003

<sup>193</sup> Some people choose sterilization as a means of birth control. When this method is forced upon people such as undereducated, poor, feeble-minded, young, and minority women and men is becomes eugenics.

<sup>194</sup> he never had used hormones other than for infertility treatment, The Age January 24<sup>th</sup> 2006

<sup>195</sup> The Age January 24<sup>th</sup> 2006

<sup>196</sup> Gough Whitlam became Australia's 21st Prime Minister on 5 December 1972. His Labor government, the first after more than two decades, set out to change Australia through a wide-ranging reform program. Whitlam's term abruptly ended when his government was dismissed by the Governor-General on 11 November 1975.

<sup>197</sup> [www.sterilizationvictims.nc.gov](http://www.sterilizationvictims.nc.gov) NC Justice for Sterilization Victims Foundation

<http://statelibrary.ncdcr.gov/digital/healthpath/index.html>

NC Health Portal – Public Health Documents on NC Eugenics Board

<http://againsttheirwill.journalnow.com/> • Lifting the Curtain On a Shameful Era They were wives and daughters. Sisters. Unwed mothers. Children. Even a 10-year-old boy. Some were blind or mentally retarded. Toward the end they were mostly black and poor. North Carolina sterilized them all, more than 7,600 people

---

<http://www.indyweek.com/indyweek/nc-eugenics-survivors-seek-justice/Content?oid=1330583>

The Ultimate Betrayal – NC Eugenics story

<sup>198</sup> House Hansard Monday, 16 June 2008 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES pg 5002

<sup>199</sup> Non-Consensual Sterilisation of the Intellectually Disabled in the Australian Context: Potential for Human Rights Abuse and the Need for Reform *Hilary Little\**

<sup>200</sup> **Sterilization** after the birth of the first **illegitimate child** reduced  
[toto.lib.unca.edu/.../history\\_sr/srhistory\\_2008/carroll\\_kelly.pdf](http://toto.lib.unca.edu/.../history_sr/srhistory_2008/carroll_kelly.pdf)

<sup>201</sup> The Testis – Clinical and Experimental Studies, 1983 Burger Hg, de Kretser DM

<sup>202</sup> <http://www.waragainstheweak.com/>

<sup>203</sup> Acknowledged in Australian Medical Journals.

<sup>204</sup> HEALTH: Mother gets asthma and also has heart condition. W. is thin, rather nervy girl. 1 sister in Kew mental hospital. Epileptic due to birth injuries.

<sup>205</sup> Hormone extract report  
 Barrier Miner 7 July 1953 Pg 4

<sup>206</sup> “More Than Just Boots! The Eugenic and Commercial Concerns behind A. R. Kaufman’s Birth Controlling Activities”

<sup>207</sup> Eugenics and the Supreme Court. 1900 - 1945

<sup>208</sup> The West Australian (Perth, WA : 1879-1954), Saturday 8 April 1950, page 18

<sup>209</sup> Professor Alan Bittles Edith Cowan University Western Australia  
[http://www.galtoninstitute.org.uk/Newsletters/GINL0403/Eugenics\\_in\\_Australia.htm](http://www.galtoninstitute.org.uk/Newsletters/GINL0403/Eugenics_in_Australia.htm)

<sup>210</sup> Nazi human experimentation was a series of medical experiments on large numbers of prisoners by the Nazi German regime in its concentration camps mainly in the early 1940s, during World War II and the Holocaust. Prisoners, mostly Jews, were coerced into participating: they did not willingly volunteer and there was never informed consent. Typically, the experiments resulted in death, disfigurement or permanent disability, and as such can be considered as examples of medical torture. At Auschwitz and other camps, under the direction of Dr. Eduard Wirths, selected inmates were subjected to various hazardous experiments which were supposedly designed to help German military personnel in combat situations, develop new weapons, aid in the recovery of military personnel that had been injured, and to advance the racial ideology backed by the Third Reich.[1] Dr. Aribert Heim conducted similar medical experiments at Mauthausen. Carl Vaernet is known to have

---

conducted experiments on homosexual prisoners in attempts to cure homosexuality. After the war, these crimes were tried at what became known as the Doctors' Trial, and revulsion at the abuses perpetrated led to the development of the Nuremberg Code of medical ethics.

<sup>211</sup> Florence Clothier, "The Psychology of the Adopted Child," 1943

<sup>212</sup>SENATE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS REFERENCES COMMITTEE 12/08/97

[http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;page=0;query=cjd%20hgh%20SearchCategory\\_Phrase%3A%22committees%22;rec=3;resCount=Default](http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;page=0;query=cjd%20hgh%20SearchCategory_Phrase%3A%22committees%22;rec=3;resCount=Default)

Dr Tony Adams started saying what I think is a fairly important set of issues regarding the perceptions of the government at that particular time. That program was taped in 1994. The significance of his statements speak for themselves. However, he goes on to say a number of other things apart from the fact that pituitary hormone patients, certainly those in the HGH area, were guineapigs. 'Medical misadventure' is used as a term and he goes on to talk about a variety of other areas.