



For More Information:

CONTACT US
1800 636 432

PO Box 376
Coburg Vic 3058

Web: www.cecaust.com.au
Email: cec@cecaust.com.au

Global Financial Crisis Exploding

LaRouche: Sink the Inter-Alpha Group of Banks!

6th Dec. 2010—Ireland detonated a depth-charge in the global financial system in November, by bucking the City of London's demand that Ireland's taxpayers bail out the nation's bankrupt private banks. Until it caved in on 22nd Nov. to excruciating pressure from the European Central Bank (ECB) and International Monetary Fund (IMF) to agree to an 85 billion euro bailout, the Irish government had insisted, rightly, that it is not the Irish state which is bankrupt, but the private banks—virtually all of which are simply offshore branch offices of the major banks of the City of London. What was and is threatened, therefore, is not the deposits of Irish citizens, but the very existence of the City of London's Inter-Alpha Group of Banks, several of whose Irish branches are utterly bankrupt (as are their parent institutions, such as the Royal Bank of Scotland, the largest bank in the world). Should the Irish government not take on massive loans from the European Central Bank and IMF at gunpoint to bail out these speculative casinos, then, barring the urgent

actions advocated by the American statesman and physical economist Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. (see below), the falling dominoes will bring down the entire world monetary system, an estimated 70 per cent of which is controlled by the Inter-Alpha banks.

National sovereignty vs. British imperialism

The Inter-Alpha Group of Banks is at the centre of the actual British Empire. The illusion that the British Empire ceased after WWII comes from an ignorance of history: the British Empire was always, as now, a *monetary* empire, which controlled nations through the City of London's domination of the global monetary system, enforced by "free trade". For a century after the British defeated the French in the 1756-1763 Seven Years' War (sometimes called the "first World War"), the British Empire was run by a single private monopoly, the British East India Company (BEIC). The BEIC maintained the largest army in the world and ran the world's dope trade, while its

"court" (private board of directors) was drawn from the City of London's powerful banking houses and the Bank of England. Today's Inter-Alpha Group is the direct descendant of the BEIC, whose single greatest financial nexus since the Napoleonic Wars was centred in the Rothschild family, acting as agents for many of Britain's and Europe's most powerful families in the sweeping financial reorganisation of Europe occasioned by those wars. City of London scion Lord Jacob Rothschild set up the Inter-Alpha group of banks in 1971 at the precise time when City of London/Wall St. stooge U.S. President Richard Nixon took the dollar off gold. This ended the Bretton Woods fixed exchange rate system established by U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt in 1944 over intense British opposition. During World War II FDR had informed British Prime Minister Winston Churchill that he intended to eliminate the British empire at the war's end, and replace its looted colonies with a system of industrially-advancing sov-



As elsewhere, the private banks in Ireland are being bailed out via savage budget cuts against the population. And at the rate the Murray-Darling is being shut down, the poor Irish will find less food here than they did the first time. Photo: Flickr, William Murphy

eign nation states, anchored upon fixed values among all national currencies rather than the "floating exchange rate" system which allows the British to drive the values of currencies up and down like yo-yos, making a fortune in speculation. Just as Nixon ended the fixed exchange rate system, Lord Jacob Rothschild founded the Inter-Alpha Group as a massive pool of capital for precisely such currency speculation. Now comprised of 12 banks covering

most nations of the EU (but with a web of interlocking ties to other banks worldwide), Inter-Alpha today dominates the world monetary system. Inter-Alpha members Rothschild, RBS and ING are prominent in Australia, and Rothschild has directed most of the worldwide public stealing known as "privatisations" from the era of the late 1970s Margaret Thatcher U.K. government onwards, including most of the federal and state privatisations in

Australia since the late 1980s, including Anna Bligh's current fire sale in Queensland.

After four decades of looting and bankrupting nation-states, today it is the Inter-Alpha Group which is bankrupt. But to maintain its system of financial empire, especially since the present global financial crisis exploded in late July 2007, Inter-Alpha deploys its power-base among the interlocking boards of private

Continued Page 2

Ideas, not votes—

The CEC Defeated the ETS Scam, and We Will Defeat the Murray-Darling Genocide Plot as Well—if You Do Your Part

By Craig Isherwood
National Secretary, CEC

Forget all of the "experts" braying about the need to shut down agriculture in the Murray-Darling Basin [MDB] in favour of "river health" and "wetlands" aka swamps: these wet-dreaming "scientists", along with every politician who parrots them while shedding crocodile tears for his ruined constituents, is either a liar, a whore, or just a plain idiot. As for the politicians, the Coalition wrote the *Act* which is now shutting down the Basin, the ALP is leading the charge to *implement* it, and the Greens are screaming to cut off *even more* water.

The so-called "crisis" in the MDB is no more real than the non-existent threat of "global warming". The same people—beginning with the British Crown—are behind both, and their intent is the same: to shut down agriculture and industry worldwide under the pretext of "saving the environment", in order to drastically reduce the human population, i.e. to conduct mass murder far beyond even Hitler's wildest dreams. This issue of the



CEC Leader Craig Isherwood addressing the nation on "British Genocide and Land Clearances." See www.cecaust.com.au.

New Citizen presents you with not only the essential history of this plot, step by step, and name by name, but also with a basic primer on how water cycles in agriculture and in the Biosphere in general *actually* function. The voodoo "science" concocted to shut down agriculture in the Basin, by contrast, would provably *worsen* drought cycles and *degrade* the Biosphere, not improve it, not to mention shutting down one of the greatest miracles of irrigated agriculture in the world, which feeds not only much of our own population in Australia but tens of millions of other human beings besides.

The Mass Strike

So, how do we stop this insanity, this intended *genocide*? Do we go cringing, cap in hand to Tony Abbott and members of the very Coalition which wrote the *Act*? To the Tony Abbott who has proclaimed repeatedly at recent mass meetings in the Basin that "The *Act* is not the problem"? Or do we perhaps beg for mercy from the other Coalition members who, like Mafia hit men, "feel your pain", but sadly announce as they pull the trigger, "It's nothing personal, I'm just doing my job"?

Continued Page 2



From Page 1

and central banks and their subservient political parties to dictate murderous cut-backs by national governments to the living standards of their citizens, to pay for a globally-coordinated bailout. Led by the U.S. government of British stooge Barack Obama, governments around the world have forked over more than \$35 trillion dollars to bail out private banks. The Irish bailout is typical: The City of London, the EU and the IMF have forced the Irish government to hand over its entire public pension fund, to slash the minimum wage by 12 per cent, public service pensions by four per cent, the public service itself by 24,750, coupled with a nine per cent rise in the VAT consumption tax from 21 to 23 per cent, and a 100 per cent increase in the carbon tax to 30 euro a tonne.

For a sensuous proof that it is only this bailout that has kept the world's banks upright, take the case of Australia's banks, ostensibly the world's strongest: government guarantees to Australia's banks amount to over \$850 billion, on top of which the Commonwealth government, the Reserve Bank and the Future Fund have in-

jected another \$90 billion or so. Without this taxpayer support, Australia's banks would be gone: collectively they are exposed to \$15.2 trillion worth of the same derivatives financial instruments which annihilated Lehman Brothers, and they owe foreign creditors around \$1 trillion, half of which is on very short, 90-day terms. (By far the majority of the money Australia's banks borrowed from overseas they have onlent into the domestic property bubble, in which the average property price is seven times average income, compared to the long-term average of three times. This sets Australia up for a property bubble collapse like those which triggered the current crises in the U.S.—and Ireland.)

It is poetic justice that it is the Irish people—victims of British genocide for 400 years (see p. 5)—who are poised to sink the Inter-Alpha-led Empire. Over 100,000 furious Irish citizens took to the streets on 27th Nov. in protest when the Fianna Fáil government finally caved to the international pressure. Nationalist political party Sinn Féin has demanded a general election, and “not one euro” for the banks; “The bondholders must



American statesman and physical economist Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

be burnt,” said Sinn Féin president Gerry Adams. To the Irish people, it is a fight for their national sovereignty, a fact made clear by a stated threat from European Central Bank President Jean-Claude Trichet that the continuation of the current financial system requires a system of supranational “governance” to replace the “Westphalian system”. The latter refers to the system of sovereign nation-states established by the Treaty of Westphalia in 1648 upon the principle of “the advantage of the other”, which had ended the previous 150 years of chaos and bloody religious warfare. “A full EU-

IMF bailout would mean Ireland losing key areas of political and economic sovereignty,” echoed London's 16th Nov. *Daily Telegraph*.

The LaRouche solution to preserve nation-states

On 19th Nov., U.S. statesman and physical economist Lyndon LaRouche backed the patriotic forces in Ireland who are fighting to stand up for the Irish people against the British. In a statement entitled, “It is tantamount to war! Sink the Inter-Alpha Group”, LaRouche laid out the series of steps of urgently-needed economic reforms which nation-states must enact, to avert a prolonged, planet-wide “new dark age”. At the top of the list is his demand to reinstate, on a global basis, President Franklin Roosevelt's 1933 Glass-Steagall law which had outlawed speculation by commercial banks but whose repeal in 1999 led directly to the present global speculative bubble far beyond any conceivable bailout, measured as it is in *quadrillions* of dollars:

1. “First among the consequential measures of reform, is the following:
The Glass-Steagall law must be internationalised among a

concurring set of leading and other nations, including Russia, China, and India prominently, and a group of some crucial other leading nations such as Germany, and/or France, and Italy, especially those representing developed general traditions of high- technology, capital-intensive manufacturing as these cases. We would hope that a United Kingdom liberated from its present association with the Inter-Alpha Group, would participate. . . .

2. “Second: Emergency measures of reconstruction of modes of agro-industrial, power-intensive progress must be adopted as the common concern of these cooperating sovereign nation-states.

3. “Third: To accomplish that goal, a fixed-exchange-rate standard consistent with the range of goals of sovereign nations during a time preceding the insane U.S. Indo-China war launched in 1964-65.

4. “Fourth: Primary systems of increase of water throughout nations' territories, as measured per capita and per square kilometer, and also of international networks of both friction-rail and maglev systems, must be greatly increased, per capita and per square kilometer.

throughout the planet. . . .
5. “Fifth: There must be clarity respecting the specific qualities of importance attribution to what are presently termed ‘space’ exploration and development. This must come to be understood in terms of the interdependency, as expressed in the language of all-pervasive, cosmic radiation, of our galaxy with the function of life within our Solar system, our planet, and its nations.

6. “Sixth: The general intent of the reform to be installed, to eliminate and replace the recent decades' role of the inherently parasitical Inter-Alpha Group, is to increase the power to exist and to produce of the typical human individual. It is to be underlined in this connection, the human species, otherwise known as the domain of the Noosphere defined by Academician V. I. Vernadsky, is the only known species which is naturally capable of the potential for willful qualities of qualitative increases of intellectual power through which mankind may and must increase the energy-flux density of the power conveyed to use per capita and per square kilometer of territory occupied.”

The CEC Defeated the ETS Scam... (cont.)

From Page 1

There is an entirely different way to fight, and that is with the power of *ideas*. That is the method which we in the CEC—an apparently small force in terms of raw numbers—wielded from 2007 through 2010 to defeat the proposed draconian Emission Trading Scheme [ETS] and, in the course of it, brought down Malcolm Turnbull as Opposition Leader, and then Kevin Rudd himself from his perch as Prime Minister. There are certain periods in history, when human beings become capable of “communicating and receiving intense and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature” in the words of the poet Percy Bysshe Shelley, and of acting on an entirely different basis than the usual laws of political horse-trading, counting votes, and begging for favours from the powers-that-be. The typical expression of such periods is what thoughtful modern historians call a “mass strike”—an upsurge of the population which seemingly comes out of the blue, during which new institutions may be founded or existing ones profoundly reshaped. Such a period in our own history was the mass upsurge of the 1890s which led to the founding of the ALP and its long struggle against the “Money Power” until Money Power stooges Hawke and Keating took over in the early 1980s. We are in such a mass strike period right now in Australia, and it was the CEC's understanding of that reality which shaped our intervention to defeat the ETS legislation which had earlier seemed a foregone conclusion. We didn't create the mass strike, but we certainly informed and shaped it by our relentless campaign against

the fraud of global warming, beginning with telling an “unpopular” truth: that the British Crown had created the whole thing.

The Global Warming fight

Due to mass media brainwashing, public opinion polls in early 2007 showed that 68 per cent of Australians favoured “urgent action to stop climate change”, and that therefore the passage of an ETS or similar legislation was a foregone conclusion. At that point we in the CEC and the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) went to work. The following are merely some of the highlights among the dozens of meetings we conducted or intervened in, and the numerous press releases, mass flyers, and *New Citizens* we produced on the subject which were cumulatively read by millions upon millions of Australians.

In March 2007, the CEC released 540,000 copies of a special issue of the *New Citizen*—one copy for every 40 Australians—under the banner headline, “Global Warming is a Fraud!”, which proved scientifically that “global warming” was a shameless lie. In April 2007, the LYM intervened into the Fifth World Conference of Science Journalists at the Melbourne Hyatt Hotel, delivering a copy of the *New Citizen* and a DVD of the U.K. Channel 4 documentary, *The Great Global Warming Swindle* to every participant. The ensuing uproar included major media coverage of the LYM intervention and forced the airing of the Channel 4 exposé on ABC-TV, for which the CEC and LYM packed the studio audience and dominated the question period.

By 2008, prominent Greens began denouncing “LaRouche and the CEC” as leading the anti-global warming camp. Besides the genocidal intent behind global warming, the CEC and LYM repeatedly exposed “carbon credits” as a multi-billion dollar speculative fraud from which Al Gore was personally making a financial killing, and in June 2009 intervened to disrupt Gore's own tin-rattling breakfast in Melbourne with Australia's superannuation industry. In August 2009 we printed 350,000



copies of the *New Citizen* headlined “Carbon Trading is Hitler-Style Genocide!”, just as draconian ETS legislation was taking centre stage in Parliament. That legislation was defeated.

All of this had emboldened leading scientists to speak out. Just before the August 2009 Senate vote, Murdoch's *Queensland Courier Mail* blamed the CEC for the anti-ETS mass email campaign; we did not launch that email campaign; rather, it was a typical mass-strike phenomenon spontaneously unleashed by the ideas which we had put into circulation over the previous two and a half years.

The Liberals flip

Although Rudd was still pushing to ram through an ETS, the August Senate defeat was remarkable, particularly considering that in 2007 the Coalition had proposed its own legislation for an ETS, and that up until just a few months earlier the diehard monarchist Tony Abbott himself had argued that Rudd's bill be passed, “to remove it as a potential election issue”. Reflecting the mass popular opposition we had stirred up, Liberal leader Turnbull himself had been opposed to the ETS for most of 2009. But, following a two-week visit to London in early October, he suddenly demanded that his party ally with Rudd and sign on to the ETS immediately. Sane layers in the Liberal Party realised that the Coalition faced the clear prospect of annihilation in the looming 2010 federal election if they stuck with an ETS as merchant banker Turnbull de-

manded. Liberal MP for Hume Alby Schultz reported, “I've received over the years many, many emails and phone calls, they have never been to the level that I'm receiving them at the moment. My assessment of it is that the Opposition has no hope of winning the next election. I sat down last night and looked at it and, conservatively, we're looking at a landslide.” That is, the Coalition could expect a landslide defeat due to their support of global warming, as opposed to an otherwise realistic possibility of taking government due to rage at the ALP, in particular because of Rudd's evangelical crusade for global warming. Abbott himself reported that, “The phone lines have been in meltdown” in mass protest against the ETS, and he suddenly quit the Shadow Cabinet to lead an insurgency in the Coalition against it, one of 13 MPs in two days to do so, which precipitated the Liberal leadership change that killed off the ETS in early December.

“World Government”

This intense ferment had created the basis for, among other things, a tour of Australia by the anti-global warming British Lord Monckton. With the spectre of LaRouche on his mind far more than Monckton, Greg Combet, the Minister Assisting the Minister for Climate Change, declared to the Parliament: “Lord Monckton is positing a fairly serious conspiracy theory. This is a theory that climate change is a plot to destroy national sovereignty and to establish world government. That is essentially his argument.”

Meanwhile, in the lead-up to the U.N. Copenhagen Conference on Climate Change scheduled to open on 7th Dec., Queen Elizabeth herself demanded drastic action on global warming, as she did at the outset of her 27th Nov. keynote to the 53-nation Commonwealth meeting in Trinidad and Tobago. She also dispatched Prince Charles to open the Copenhagen meeting and to harangue world leaders for drastic, binding action on global warming—so much for the myth that “Her Majesty is above politics.”

Although Rudd was forced to abandon his ETS legislation in December, he continued to bang the drum for what he called “the greatest moral challenge of our time”, causing his poll numbers to slide relentlessly down. In April 2010 Gillard visited him privately to get him to drop his suicidal crusade, but the damage had been done. With an election looming at year's end, the once super-popular Rudd was dumped in June, making him the first one-term prime minister since 1932. The mass media acknowledged that global warming was the “major issue” behind his demise, but brazenly lied that he had been dumped because the public was dissatisfied that he had *not done enough* on global warming! This even though popular support for “urgent action” on global warming had

plunged from the 68 per cent of early October 2007 to only 48 per cent by late 2009, and the fact that many of those who had shifted, realising they had been lied to originally on such a matter of profound national import, were now almost violently opposed to any such legislation. Indeed, momentarily bowing to the CEC-induced winds of popular change, Gillard immediately announced an indefinite delay in ALP action on climate change, even as one media outlet mused, “Kevin Rudd was for years the darling of Australian politics before undergoing a decline as rapid as it was mysterious.” No mystery—just the power of competent leadership and *ideas* during a period of mass strike.

What you must do

If you want to stop this Murray-Darling genocide plot, and all the other environmentalist scams which go with it and which threaten, among other things, to eliminate our national food supply, then you should order dozens or hundreds of copies of this *New Citizen* and spread them far and wide. And, since it is abundantly obvious that the ALP, the Coalition and the Greens are all whoring for the Crown and the City of London against Australia's national interests, you should forget them and join the CEC. We expect to hear from you.



His Royal Highness Charles, Prince of Wales, champion of the Green movement and patron of Fat Al Gore, the Clown Prince of Global Warming. It is well known that Prince Charles talks to plants. But inquiring minds would like to know: Does this include pot plants? And do the plants talk back? And do they call themselves PCP (Prince Charles' Plants)? And is all this actually legal?

New Citizen

Published & printed by:
Citizens Media Group Pty Ltd
595 Sydney Rd Coburg Vic
PO Box 376 Coburg Vic 3058
ACN: 010 904 757
Tel: 03 9354 0544
Fax: 03 9354 0166
Editor Craig Isherwood

'Land Clearance' in the Murray-Darling Basin— British Genocide in the 21st Century

By Robert Barwick

Put yourself in the following situation:

You are the imperial administrator of a subject country of your empire. The main agricultural crop consumed by the population of that country fails, and the people start to starve. They do actually grow enough other food to feed themselves, but that food is extracted as rent by their absentee landlords who live in your own imperial homeland. You enforce the extraction of food as rent, by deploying occupying troops to guard the transport against the starving people. Millions die of starvation and disease. In four years, the population collapses by one quarter. In response, you claim God is dealing with their "overpopulation problem".

Are you guilty of genocide?

The above is not a scenario, it is precisely what happened in the Irish Famine of 1845-1849 (see p. 5). It is a case study of the British Empire using imperial economic policy, namely free trade, to exterminate millions of people. It then justifies that genocide by citing Parson Thomas Malthus' quack theory on population, that population growth will always outstrip food supplies—a "theory" which that Empire itself purposefully con-

cocted as a rationale for genocide in the first place. (The administrator of Ireland was Sir Charles Trevelyan, a Westminster Treasury official, formerly of the East India Company, who, like virtually all civil servants of his generation, had been personally trained by Malthus at Haileybury College¹—the training centre established by the British East India Company, the largest private monopoly in world history.)

Right now, Australia is being targeted for genocide in a similar fashion: our domestic food supplies are being systematically shut down, while what remains is being seized by foreign interests. As the keystone to this plot, and as it did historically in its imperial "land clearances" in Ireland, Scotland, and Africa, for instance, the British Crown has enacted a plan to "clear" our national food bowl, the Murray-Darling Basin, of most of its food production and farming communities. That Murray-Darling "clearance", in turn, is the central thrust of a broader assault on food production and economic development in Australia, whose intent is to reassert complete British imperial control over a devastated nation of only 5-6 million human beings living in miserable conditions and serving as a slave labour force for mining companies and agricultural cartels.

The Murray-Darling Basin clearance

is presently only the most notorious example of the British intent to lock up most of Australia from agro-industrial development under one ruse or another, such as: taking vast swaths of land out of past or potential future production under the pretexts of ever-expanding "nature reserves", "Wild Rivers" and "wetlands" legislation, and "Aboriginal land rights" (though Aborigines themselves are invariably forbidden from developing their "own" lands); through the legal prohibition on farmers clearing brush from their own lands or of building dams on their properties, together with the governmental refusal to build public dams in an often drought-stricken country despite the existence of enormous water reserves; through the elimination of the single desk Wheat Board in the face of multinational domination of the grain trade, as well as the systematic driving down of "farm-gate" prices paid by the Woolworths/Coles cartel; through jacking the prices of cartel-produced fertilisers through the roof while shutting down any domestic fertiliser production; through the present general refusal by the banks to extend agricultural credit to often-desperate but otherwise credit-worthy farmers, and so on. (See Maps p.4) In addition, "free trade", "competition policy", "deregulation", etc. have also savaged our



Left: Parson Thomas Malthus, who personally trained a generation of East India Company civil servants to commit genocide. Right: an Irish famine memorial in Dublin for Malthus' victims.

agriculture, together with our once-proud industrial base.

The reality is this: the British Crown/City of London's globalist monetary system is now imploding, and in that position of strategic weakness they are determined to crush any possible reassertion of sovereignty by nations anywhere on the globe through such means as national banking, tariff protection, fixed exchange rates, agricultural self-

sufficiency and the other historic instruments of national-economic sovereignty. Central to this imperial strategy is just plain mass murder—the Crown has repeatedly, publicly asserted its intention to reduce the world's population from its present almost seven billion people down to less than two billion, and for Australia, to reduce the population of 22.5 million down to just five million.

The World Wide Fund for Mass-Murder (WWF)

Queen Elizabeth's consort, the Duke of Edinburgh, HRH Prince Philip, is the world's leading advocate of mass genocide. Despite Malthus' entire theory proving dead wrong, as evidenced in the subsequent growth of the world's population to its present level of 6.9 billion people, Prince Philip heads a cabal of oligarchs whose stated mission is to impose, globally, a Malthusian population-reduction régime. The Prince's personal obsession with population reduction was typified by his infamous 1988 remark to the German press agency, *Deutsche Presse-Agentur*, "In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation." In his Chancellor's lecture at Salford University, 4 June, 1982, His Royal Virus expounded on the bogus Malthus/Darwin claim of "finite, scarce resources": "As long ago as 1798, Malthus explained what happens when the factors limiting the increase in any population are removed. One of the factors noticed by Darwin was that all species are capable of producing vastly greater populations than can be sustained by existing resources... More people consume more resources. It is as simple as that..." On another occasion the Royal Virus advocated "culling" the human population: "You cannot keep a bigger flock of sheep than you are capable of feeding. In other words conservation may involve culling in order to keep a balance between the relative numbers in each species within any particular habitat. I realise this is a very touchy subject, but the fact remains that mankind is part of the living world... Every new acre brought into cultivation means another acre denied to wild species." [emphasis added]

These views of the Queen's consort are in no sense abstract, "mere metaphor". In his history of Melbourne's Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, *Diversity and Discovery*, Sir Gustav Nossal recounts Prince Philip's genocidal outlook as expressed during a visit to the Institute during the Royal Tour of 1988: "Having seen our work aimed at a malaria vaccine Prince Philip expressed the view that this would do more harm than good because it would augment the population explosion in Africa." [emphasis added] So emphatic was Philip on the subject that Nossal feared that he had breached royal protocol by venturing a contrary view—that high death rates were not the best way to control population size².

In 1961, just months after participating in a typically colonial Indian



Queen Elizabeth (centre) and Prince Philip (far left) on an Indian tiger hunt—barely months before Philip started the World Wildlife Fund, in 1961.

tiger hunt, during which rare Indian tigers were killed and the Prince personally shot and killed a rare Indian rhino, he co-founded the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), with former Nazi Party member Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, and Julian Huxley, the founder of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and the president of the British Eugenics Society³. Already in 1946 Huxley had lamented that the Nazis had discredited the British-originated policy of "eugenics" (imperial rule by a "master race") and that these imperial goals would now have to be "pursued under other language", i.e. environmentalism, and that therefore "the spread of man must take second place to the conservation of other species," he said.

Philip et al. originally founded the WWF as a vehicle to raise funds for Huxley's IUCN, which operated in alliance with the Fauna and Flora Preservation Society (FFPS). "The Fauna", as its members lovingly called it, was the second oldest conservation organisation in Britain, and a vehicle for British imperial policy under the guise of "conservation", a term derived from the British imperial policy in India of creating "conservancies"—huge tracts of land locked away from any use by the "natives" under the rubrics of "national parks". Through the WWF, some of Europe's biggest multinational corporations, including ICI, Shell, Cadbury and Unilever poured money into campaigns to allegedly save the black rhino, the African elephant, the Indian tiger and the Panda, but which in reality provided

the cover to sequester vast swathes of land as "nature preserves", in particular on the African continent. A substantial portion of that money has financed the slaughter of human beings, notably in sub-Saharan Africa. In British-controlled east and south-central Africa, entire tribes were forced from their traditional homes. By the late 1960s, 20 per cent of the land of many African states which had supposedly been given "independence" by the Crown, had in fact passed under permanent supranational control as "wildlife parks" and "nature preserves", and the process has greatly expanded since.

In 1963, the WWF and Huxley's IUCN launched a drive for a global treaty to lock away swamps as wildfowl "wetlands" (bird conservation being a favourite cause of the British aristocracy for a century). Spearheaded by French WWF director Dr Luc Hoffmann, the wetlands campaign achieved the world's first international conservation treaty in 1971, the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, named after the Iranian town which hosted the treaty conference. To this day, two of Ramsar's five partner organisations are the WWF and IUCN, and ironically, a "Private Sector Partner" is French dairy giant Danone, the owners of Evian bottled water; Evian is a naming sponsor of Ramsar's Evian Initiative⁴.

The British imperial official who helped found the IUCN (whose charter was written by the British Foreign Office) and then drafted the founding



charter of the WWF, was Max Nicholson, the recognised "High Priest" of environmentalism in the postwar period. "[H]e participated in—if not dominated—most of the environmental organisations and movements of his time," Sir Crispin Tickell observed in a 2003 memorial. Julian Huxley's cousin Sir Crispin would know: he has bragged that he himself created the (fraudulent) doctrine of "anthropogenic global warming", and then, as science advisor to mad nanny PM Margaret Thatcher in the late 1970s, convinced her ostensibly "right-wing" government to launch a global crusade on the issue—the first government in the world to do so. As for his long-time associate Max Nicholson, the Permanent Secretary to the Lord President of the Privy Council (the ruling body of the British Empire), Nicholson spearheaded the manufacture of the new doctrine of "environmentalism" as a vehicle to induce stupefied populations to

submit to their own destruction, to fasten their own chains of British imperial rule. Reviewing the success of this new imperial doctrine already in 1970 in his shamelessly-titled book, *The Environmental Revolution. A Guide for the New Masters of the World*, Nicholson crowed that the world was now learning the lesson that "Ducks Unlimited Means Sovereignty Superseded". And the purpose of the entire "environmental revolution", Nicholson wrote, was "to bring the [human] population explosion under control."

Another tool to lock away land is the IUCN's Global Biodiversity Strategy, which ruthlessly dictates the conservation actions of virtually every nation in the world. On 30th October 2010, 193 nations signed the Convention on Biological Diversity, which committed them to expand the area of land on earth shut away for biodiversity protection from an already-astounding 12 per cent



High Priest of British postwar environmentalism Max Nicholson (left), "global warming" fraudster Sir Crispin Tickell (centre) and the WWF's Ramsar "wetlands" architect Dr Luc Hoffmann (right).

to 17 per cent, and to expand the area of the oceans locked away as “marine protected areas” from the current one per cent to 10 per cent.

Approaching 50 years on from the establishment of the WWF and reflecting the financial clout of the British Empire, “environmentalism” is now a global super-industry. Governments fork out untold billions on environmental scams, “green” political parties

have risen all around the world, and environmental “crises” of every imaginable colour and hue are the catalyst for international treaties and global conferences which virtually dominate the physical economies of most nations in the world. The latest—and greatest—of these is the hoax crisis of climate change/global warming, which is the main driver today for world government and global depopulation. All of

this is the outcome of the 1961 founding of the WWF by Princes Philip and Bernhard and the eugenicist Huxley: their WWF and its associated entities such as the IUCN have spawned this entire global green agenda, with all of its treaties, its innumerable conferences held in swank hotels and exotic settings around the globe, and its endless proclamations that “the sky is falling”. Typical of the whole scam, the WWF

funds the world’s headquarters of global warming “science”, the Climatic Research Unit at the University of East Anglia, which in late 2009 was caught red-handed cooking up the sham “science” of man-made global warming, and orchestrating vicious campaigns against those it deemed “climate sceptics”. What follows is a chronology of how Prince Philip personally directed the green agenda’s rise in the case

of Australia to the point where, today, the office of Prime Minister itself has issued the order to reduce Australia’s population—which is not just genocidal, but absolutely bizarre for the nation with the third-smallest population density in the world—to which end, Australians are being ordered to commit national suicide by shutting down their national food bowl.

“Conserving” the Colony: The ACF

On the Queen’s and Prince Philip’s 1963 Royal Tour, His Royal Highness communicated to select Australians his wish that they establish an Australian branch of his WWF. Doubtless the Prince was motivated by a fear that the Crown was losing its grip on its resource-rich colonial asset, which was experiencing a surge of national economic development totally anathema to Britain’s imperial designs.

As the CEC documented in two historical features published in the Oct./Nov. 2009 *New Citizen*, Australian history is defined by the mortal conflict between Britain’s imperial scheming to keep Australia as a colony to be looted of its raw materials, and the opposing passion of ordinary Australians to develop Australia as a sovereign nation—a passion inspired and informed by the American Revolution and the success of America’s protectionist economic system. The early Australian Labor Party, which took the spelling of its name from the American l-a-b-o-r, achieved stunning advances in Australia’s national development by establishing a U.S.-style national bank, but its success was fought every step of the way by the City of London financier interests, whom old Labor patriots denounced as the “Money Power”. The ALP reached the high water mark of its fight for Australia’s sovereign economic development during WWII, when Australia’s greatest Prime Minister, John Curtin, support-

ed by Ben Chifley, broke from Britain to ally with U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt, which saved Australia from the Japanese, transformed the economy from an agrarian backwater into a modern industrial powerhouse, and set Australia up for a post-war economic boom under the stability of the Roosevelt-initiated Bretton Woods fixed exchange rate system, during which time the nation built the Snowy Mountains Scheme, launched the third-ever satellite into space, and planned a peaceful nuclear power industry.⁶

This was the Australia onto which Prince Philip unleashed his Malthusian agenda in 1963. Julian Huxley deployed his student and longtime protégé Francis Noble Ratcliffe, a CSIRO biologist, to take the point on implementing the Prince’s directive to establish a branch of his WWF. Already in 1929, writing in a letter back home to his family in Britain, the Pommy immigrant Ratcliffe sniffed that he found Australians to be generally “low types”, and that, “Unfortunately the independent, arrogant spirit of the people [Australians] precludes the possibility of a Mussolini. If one did appear, I may say, I should be one of the first to put on a black shirt.” Rather than being a formal branch of the WWF, the group organised by Ratcliffe opted to call itself the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF), but it was all Prince Philip all the way, bespeaking which the Royal Virus himself served as ACF President from 1971-76. At its inaugural meeting in

August 1964, Ratcliffe was elected Honorary Secretary, and Sir Garfield Barwick was elected President—the man who had led the attacks by the private banks on Ben Chifley’s post-WWII banking reforms and who was soon to supervise the sacking of the pro-development Whitlam government by Royal toady Sir John Kerr. Like its WWF parent, the ACF was arch-establishment: its patron was the Governor-General, and its chief fundraiser, the Chairman of the Benefactors and National Sponsors Committee, was Sir Maurice Mawby, the managing director of the Queen’s own mining company, Conzinc Riotinto Australia (CRA, later Rio Tinto).

The cashed-up, Crown-directed ACF immediately set to work to lock up large tracts of land in Australia as *nature preserves*—national parks and indigenous land rights zones. Its role in indigenous land rights was especially cynical: a creature of the very British Empire that perpetrated genocide against Australia’s Aborigines, the ACF was now imposing a synthetic, British anthropologist-fabricated Stone Age culture on Aborigines under the guise of



The ACF’s plan for a Small Australia


“land rights”. Four decades later, Australia’s indigenous population is in dire crisis, even as Her Majesty’s Rio Tinto has signed hundreds of millions worth of exclusive mining deals with “native title” holders with little or no benefit to the majority of Aborigines.⁷

As ACF president, Prince Philip personally stepped up its radical activism in new areas such as suppressing the development of peaceful, entirely-safe nuclear power. The 1978-79 presidency was held by Dr. H.C. “Nugget” Coombs, a self-styled member of the “international freemasonry of cen-

tral bankers” to whom human beings were a “cancer”. This London School of Economics-educated Fabian Society banker suddenly quit his post as head of the Reserve Bank in 1968, to become the “father of Aboriginal land rights”, all to continue his postwar campaign to thwart any national economic development of this continent. In the same vein, the ACF was headed twice during the 1990s by counterculture icon and anti-nuclear campaigner Peter Garrett—the future Environment Minister in the Rudd-Gillard government.

Population Eradication

By the 1988 Bicentennial Royal Tour (the same Tour during which Prince Philip excoriated malaria vaccine research, and the same year as his “reincarnated virus” outburst), Australia’s postwar national development aspirations had been well and truly crushed. The once pro-sovereignty ALP had been hijacked by Britain’s Fabian Society, all of whose 19th Century founders and chief advocates, such as Sidney and Beatrice Webb, Bertrand Russell, H.G. Wells, and George Bernard Shaw, were raving advocates of eugenics, who openly proposed the mass murder of millions upon millions of human beings. For example, H.G. Wells in his 1902 book *Anticipations* wrote, “It has become apparent that whole masses of human population are, as a whole, inferior in their claim upon the future, to other masses ... And how will the New Republic treat the inferior races? ... Well, the world is a world, not a charitable institution, and I take it they will have to go.” His buddy, George Bernard Shaw proposed the use of “the lethal chamber”, wherein “A great many people would have to be put out of existence simply because it wastes other people’s time to look after them.” After having worked against the pro-American, pro-sovereignty old ALP here in the 1890s, the Fabian Society was re-founded in Australia in 1947 to infiltrate and undermine the ALP, just before the party headed into its 23-year stint in opposition. When the ALP next took power under Gough Whitlam from 1972-1975, the Fabian influence had taken root, but Labor’s pro-sovereignty tradition was still strong and it was this that drove Whitlam’s Minerals and Energy Minister Rex Connor’s “buy back the farm” campaign to seize sovereignty over Australia’s resources from Crown entities such as ACF-funder Rio Tinto. The Queen responded: Her Majesty’s Governor-General, supervised by Chief Justice and former ACF president Sir Garfield Barwick, sacked the democratically-elected government. By the time the ALP next took power in 1983, the Fabian Society had seized control—a control which it has



Under the IUCN-supervised National Reserve System, 12.8 per cent of Australian land is currently locked up, but Australia’s obligations under the new Biodiversity Convention will expand this to 19 per cent! Left: the extent to which Australia is currently locked up, classified in IBRA regions (bioregions); Right: Australia’s productive food-growing areas dominate the “hit-list” of bioregions targeted for greater lock-down.

maintained until today. Prime Minister Bob Hawke and Treasurer Paul Keating, both Fabians, rammed through British imperial free trade and deregulation policies that annihilated Australia’s secondary industries and family farms and reduced the economy to today’s globalised raw materials quarry. Under the direction of Prince Philip’s WWF and ACF, they also imposed radical environmental measures—including willing submission to WWF-written “international treaties” to squash practically all nation-building economic development projects, as they did in stopping the construction of Tasmania’s Franklin River dam. Today, the ALP’s loudest proponents of population reduction—Prime Minister Julia Gillard, former NSW Premier Bob Carr, and Member for Wills Kelvin Thomson—are all leading Fabians.

That bicentennial year, the ACF and associated networks stepped up the drive to drastically *reduce* Australia’s popula-

tion, by forming a spin-off group, Sustainable Population Australia (SPA), featuring Nugget Coombs’ longtime lover Judith Wright McKinney as patron. In its writings, SPA emphasises Coombs’ 1977 demand that population growth be “halted, reduced and stabilised at an ecologically safe level”. SPA demands include that Australia should adopt a Chinese-style “one child” policy, along with blatantly racist cuts to immigration—founding member Mark O’Connor insists that by coming to Australia, immigrants from third-world nations increase their living standards, and thus threaten the planet!⁸ Two of SPA’s current patrons are current ACF president Professor Ian Lowe, and 2007 Australian of the Year and WWF International Board of Trustees member Professor Tim Flannery.⁹

Under Hawke and Keating, WWF, ACF and SPA ideas and personnel started to permeate official government initiatives

relating to the environment and population. In 1991, Prime Minister Bob Hawke appointed the ACF’s Professor Bob Birrell (who instigated the SPA) to his new National Population Council, which recommended a Commonwealth Government Department be established to deal with population. In 1992, the ACF was central to formulating the National Strategy for *Ecologically Sustainable Development* (ESD), endorsed by the combined federal and state Council of Australian Governments (COAG); now a buzzword, “Sustainable Development” is pure Prince Philip-style genocide newspeak—the term was coined in 1980 by the World Conservation Strategy, a combined IUCN and WWF operation.¹⁰

Just as Australia was taken over by radical environmentalism, so was virtually the entire world—all under the direction of Prince Philip’s WWF. In 1990, British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher delivered a landmark speech

at the U.N., written by her science advisor Sir Crispin Tickell, which initiated the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. The U.N.’s 1992 Rio Earth Summit was organised by Canada’s leading WWF Malthusian Maurice Strong, who raved, “Isn’t the only hope for the planet that the industrialised civilisations collapse? Isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?”¹¹ And in 1994, any illusion that human beings weren’t the target of environmentalism was popped when the U.N.’s Population Conference in Cairo, Egypt demanded global population reduction.

Coinciding with the Cairo conference, future SPA patron Tim Flannery burst onto the Australian scene with his book *Future Eaters*, which “scientifically” proclaimed Australia’s population upper limit to be 6-7 million. He also contributed to an Australian Academy of Science symposium that year entitled *Population 2040: Australia’s*

choice, which predictably echoed his view and which became the basis for the Academy's submission to the 1994 Parliamentary Committee for Long Term Strategies inquiry into Australia's population "carrying capacity", chaired by Science Minister Barry Jones (current National Party leader Warren Truss was also a member).¹²

Jones' committee report, entitled, *Australia's Population 'Carrying Capacity': One Nation—Two Ecologies*, recommended a dramatic government policy shift—to work towards a range of "politically and socially realistic" population goals that included a preferred option to "stabilise" population at 23 million, but also included an option to reduce population to as low as five million! It also echoed the National Population Council's 1991 recommendation for a Cabinet-level Ministry of Population—finally achieved this year with the appointment of Tony Burke as Population Minister, now Sustainable Population Minister. With an Orwellian flourish, Recommendation 11 stated, "Australia should adopt a consumption strategy, to be developed around the chal-

lenge of learning to move from high levels of consumption based on high levels of material throughput per unit of consumption to lower levels of consumption based on lower levels of material throughput per unit of consumption. Such a strategy need not reduce quality of life although it may change the way in which people enjoy quality lives." The Committee's overall recommendation was that in regards to population increase, "Australia should adopt a precautionary policy in accordance with the principles of ecologically sustainable development (ESD)."¹³ [emphasis added].

The most concrete measure of the degree to which Australia has been ensnared by Prince Philip's global genocide agenda, is the extraordinary amount of land area that is now locked away from sovereign economic development in national parks, world heritage areas, Ramsar-designated wetlands and biodiversity regions, all done under the direction of Her Majesty's IUCN and WWF:

• Australia was one of the first countries to sign the 1971 Ramsar convention, and in 1974 was the first nation to declare a Ram-



Since the ALP's infiltration by the British Fabian Society, it has betrayed its mission to protect and help people, and now intends to kill them: leading Fabians Julia Gillard, Bob Carr, and Kelvin Thomson want mass population reduction.

sar-designated wetland. Currently, Australia has 64 Ramsar-designated wetlands, covering 7.5 million hectares—an area greater than Tasmania or Scotland!¹⁴

• Australia's National Reserve System, which is overseen by the IUCN, includes over 9,000 national parks, Indigenous Protected Areas, nature reserves, conservation parks and protected areas on private land, and covers over 900,000 square kilometres (90 million hectares) or over 11 per cent of the continent. Un-

der the Convention on Biological Diversity, Australia is committed to expand the area locked away as nature preserves by an extra 600,000 square kilometres, another seven per cent.¹⁵

• An example of the ferocious speed with which Australia has been locked up is NSW under ALP Premier Bob Carr, a leading Fabian whose personal obsession with population reduction earned him the political nickname "Malthus of Maroubra"; in the decade to 2005, Carr

gazetted no less than 350 new national parks in NSW, to deliberately stymie economic development.

• The WA government matched Carr in 2007, by slating in one fell swoop 2.7 million hectares of pastoral land mostly in the Gascoyne and Murchison regions—the latter on the IUCN-supervised National Reserve System's "hit-list" of "under-represented bioregions"—for lock-down in 11 new conservation parks and 14 new conservation reserves, upon the expiry of the pastoral leases covering those lands. This accelerated land lock downs in WA, to where, now, 14.46 per cent of the enormous state is under the NRS—36.5 million hectares, or over 1.5 times the area of Victoria! Land that is now available to produce food, some of which is still unexplored, and therefore has unknown development potential, will be locked away from any development, with one exception—*mining*, the colonial looting of Australia dominated to this day by Rio Tinto, whose single largest private stockholder is Queen Elizabeth II.

Privatised Genocide

The 1992 COAG-endorsed National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) included among its Guiding Principles: "cost effective and flexible policy instruments should be adopted, such as improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms". In other words, once again, British free trade was to be a tool of environmentally-driven genocide, and

its target would be the Murray-Darling Basin.

In February 1994, COAG agreed to a "Water Reform Framework", under the auspices of Paul Keating's National Competition Policy. This agreement changed the status of water from that of a human right, to a tradable commodity. Corporate agribusiness, speculators such as Macquarie Bank (the author

and beneficiary of most National Competition Policy "reforms"), big business-backed "environment" groups, and Environment departments would be let in to bid the price of water out of the reach of desperate family farmers already crippled by a combination of free trade-depressed commodity prices, crushing bank debts and recurring droughts. Free market con-men claim

this guarantees the most "efficient" use of water—a convenient cover for the systematic take-down of Australia's food production.

This scam could only succeed if water were "scarce", but the years immediately following the COAG agreement were exceptionally wet. It would be left to the Howard Coalition government elected in 1996 to pull off

the scam, capitalising on the once-in-a-century drought that started in the late 1990s, and ably assisted by hysterical climate change prophecies that the drought would be permanent. John Howard separated water rights from land title, and the Murray-Darling Basin Commission started interstate water trading in 1998.

Land Clearances, Tool of British Genocide

What is happening in the Murray-Darling Basin today is nothing but the traditional British imperial policy of "land clearance", with its accompanying, intentional policy of mass murder against those human beings being so "cleared". Three historical examples of British genocide achieved through notorious "land clearances", that is, clearing the land of human beings, show how the process works: the cases of Ireland, Scotland and more recently Africa under the World Wide Fund for Nature.

Ireland

For 400 years, the British have perpetrated genocide against the people of Ireland to an extent unmatched anywhere in the world. This genocide was at its most intense during the century of the 1600s, and in the mid-1800s Irish Famine. The British motivation was to strengthen their imperial control, and their chief tool was land clearances.

Specifically, between 1580 and 1705, 80 per cent of all arable Irish land, that is, 12 million out of 15 million acres, was confiscated by English and Scottish landlords. Sir William Petty, the Surveyor-General of Ireland under Oliver Cromwell, who surveyed the lands confiscated for Cromwell's soldiers, pushed for Ireland to be a "small country and few people". He opposed urbanisation, and insisted on a "positive population policy" based on a "par" (balance) "between land and hands", in other words, a set number of people to work the land, with just enough left for the army, navy and priesthood. The Irish tenant farmers were treated no better than slaves, and virtually all of the corn, wheat, barley, oats, and meat they produced went to their landlords in rent, for free trade export, leaving only potatoes for consumption. Moreover, official British policy from the mid-1600s was to, in their words, "replant" Ireland with Englishmen of "superior breed" until Ireland had an English population of five million. Petty proposed a eugenics-style breeding system where the wealthiest and most beautiful women would breed with the "heroes" among English men, to produce large numbers of superior children to bring the English population of Ireland rapidly up to five million. That necessitated killing the Irish *en masse*, and that is exactly what happened. In 1652, when

Oliver Cromwell invaded Ireland for the second time, 20,000 Irish subjects were slaughtered, 40,000 Irishmen were exiled to France and Spain, and 10-20,000 young Irishmen and women were shipped as slaves to the sugar plantations of Jamaica and Barbados. Sir William Petty recorded that more than half of the Irish population died, mostly of starvation and disease; the population density fell to 7-8 people per square kilometre. For his role in the project, Petty was rewarded with the single largest landholding in Ireland.

By the early 1800s, four million acres or 25 per cent of arable Irish land was unused for any purpose. In 1829 the famous Duke of Wellington commented that "there never was a country in which poverty existed to the extent it exists in Ireland." By the 1840s, Ireland had 164 miles of railways, compared to England's 6,621; it had 60 per cent unemployment, just 39 hospitals to serve eight million people, no more woolen, linen, poplin, furniture, and glass manufacture, and even the water-powered grain mills which Ireland had introduced to Europe 1,300 years earlier, had all disappeared. Seventy-five per cent of the Irish were feudal tenants to British landlords.

In the years between 1845 and 1849, when blight destroyed the potato crop, Ireland's population collapsed from 8.1 million down to less than 6 million: 1.5 million people died from starvation or disease; 1 million emigrated, often forcibly, and of those, 500,000 died of disease in passage—Irish emigrants of the mid-1800s had a Stone Age life expectancy of just 13 years. Meanwhile, Britain maintained a huge export of oats, barley, corn, wheat, pigs, and meat from Ireland during those years, produced by starving tenant farmers, and guarded by British soldiers against starving crowds. The token famine relief provided by the British was in the form of public works for the destitute unemployed, but there was a catch: the public works that would have been the most useful to the starving population, which was the draining of bogs—today's Ramsar "wetlands"—to grow more food, was not allowed, nor was railway construction, and only roads were built instead, of which Ireland already had plenty.

In 1848, Ireland's British administrator, the Westminster Treasury Minis-

ter Sir Charles Trevelyan, credited God with the mass-deaths: "The problem of Irish overpopulation being altogether beyond the power of man, the cure had been supplied by the direct stroke of an all-wise providence," he said. However, the British Viceroy in Ireland, Lord Clarendon, who was forced to observe the situation up close, knew God didn't do it: he wrote to Prime Minister Lord John Russell—Lord Bertrand Russell's grandfather, "I don't think there is another legislature in Europe [other than the British] that would coldly persist in this policy of extermination." [emphasis added]

Scotland

Now let's look at Scotland: when you see on TV and in magazines pictures of the Scottish highlands, totally wild and barren, what you are seeing is a British oligarchical creation. In two shameful episodes in the 1700s and 1800s, the British oligarchy—English and Scottish aristocrats—cleared the Scottish Highlands of hundreds of thousands of Gaelic-speaking Scots. These "Land Clearances" as they were known were ostensibly to clear land for grazing sheep and cattle, and were conducted with a brutality that came from the British oligarchical view, typified by the Duke of Edinburgh today, that humans are livestock to be culled at will. But they were also conducted to destroy once and for all any Scottish resistance to the English imperial conquest known as the 1707 *Acts of Union*, by which Scotland and England were joined under a single imperial crown.

In many cases, the Highlanders were ordered to move from their homes to strips along the coast known as "crofts" that were unable to sustain the communities through agriculture, and told to become fishermen. The reluctant families would often be burnt out. Hundreds of homes at a time would be set alight, sparking chaos and panic as the families struggled to remove the sick and helpless and valuables before the fires reached them. It was common for families to be evicted at the rate of 2,000 families a day, but many starved and froze to death where their homes had once stood. The families that did move to the coast, dubbed "crofters" were forced to live in the harsh open until they built their new homes themselves. Cases have been recorded of



Ruins at Tusdale, Scotland, which was once a well-populated place before it was cleared for sheep grazing. Today only a few sheep roam among the ruins. Picture: John Allan

conditions so harsh that while women worked, they were forced to tether their own children as well as their livestock to rocks and posts to stop them being blown over the cliffs. One member of the aristocracy active in land clearances, the Duchess of Sutherland, wrote in a letter to a friend in England, "Scotch people are of happier constitution and do not fatten like the larger breed of animals." [emphasis added]

The Highland clearances forced a mass emigration of Highlanders to North America and Australia and New Zealand, where today there are many more descendants of Highlanders than in Scotland itself.

Post-colonial Africa

And for those who would like to think that these atrocities reflect a long-since abandoned, outmoded mindset of yesteryear, look at the work of Prince Philip's WWF in Africa and Asia. From 1961 until the present, Prince Philip's WWF has used conservation for animals as a cover to raise hundreds of millions of dollars, a substantial portion of which has financed the slaughter of human beings, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, and the sequestering of vast swathes of the African continent as "nature preserves".

The WWF's *Operation Stranglehold*, to allegedly save black rhinos in Zimbabwe from poachers, was involved in the killing of 145 so-called "poachers" between 1984 and 1991. Of the 84 poachers killed in the Zambezi Valley, most were shot from a helicopter paid for by the WWF and manned by WWF contract employ-

ees. In total, 228 poachers killed or taken prisoner, and a further 202 were recorded as having fled, some badly injured; however, of these, only 107 guns were recovered, which raised the question of whether they were poachers at all. Sources reported that several of the dead were associated with the military wing of the African National Congress. Another WWF operation, *Operation Lock*, which brought in ex-British SAS commandoes to fight "poachers", was implicated in agent provocateur violence that stirred up conflict between the ANC and Zulus in South Africa, which killed thousands of black South Africans. In 1989, the WWF's "Year of the Elephant", funds raised for elephant conservation financed an elephant "rescue camp" in Uganda's "Mountains of the Moon" region on the Rwandan border, 1,000 miles from where the elephants actually lived, in Murchison Park. It was from precisely this WWF camp area that the Rwandan Patriotic Front invaded Rwanda a short time later, and conducted a systematic genocide unparalleled in post-war history.

Furthermore, the justification of "saving the animals" in Africa was a cynical fraud. In 1963 and in 1975, the WWF slaughtered thousands of African elephants in culls justified by claiming they were "overpopulated", but which were in fact eliminated to clear land for commercial investments by WWF directors. These scams were exposed by Irish filmmaker Kevin Dowling in his 1989 documentary *Ten Pence in the Panda*, following whose airing on British TV Prince Philip almost had a miscarriage.

CEC Fights Back

In February 2002, the Citizens Electoral Council issued a declaration of war against the Crown's environmental dismantling of the Australian nation state. In collaboration with engineering visionary Emeritus Professor Lance Endersbee, and in conjunction with American physical economist Lyndon LaRouche's global fight for great intercontinental infrastructure projects such as the Eurasian Land-Bridge, the

CEC published 50,000 copies of an optimistic blueprint for Australia's future, the *Infrastructure Road to Recovery*.¹⁶ This flew in the faces of the genocidal "sustainable development" gurus: the full-colour report detailed clearly feasible Australian infrastructure projects in water, rail, nuclear power, high-speed shipping, desalination and space exploration. Its centrepiece, illustrated by a two-page gloss lift-out, was a propos-

al for 18 major water diversion projects which would drought-proof the nation, green the interior deserts, and create millions of direct and indirect jobs. Many of these projects were originally part of the Chifley government's plans for Post-War Reconstruction, including the north Queensland Bradfield and Reid schemes, the northern NSW Clarence River Scheme (see p. 8), and WA's Fitzroy River Scheme, but all were squashed

by Post-War Reconstruction Ministry director and future ACF president Nugget Coombs on behalf of the City of London, citing "budgetary restraints". As of 2002, the estimated combined cost of all 18 projects was \$40 billion—a trifle compared with what the government would spend to bail out the banks when the global financial crisis struck beginning in 2007, and less than even the current national broadband project.



"Down with Wentworth ... sticklers for the rights of cattle, sneerers at the rights of man"¹⁷

The CEC's *Infrastructure Road to Recovery* set off fireworks all over Australia. Constituencies long-suppressed by green fascism, including those in rural Australia; from among industry sectors; and even inside the major political parties eagerly responded. Federal MPs were known to have stuck the water projects centre-fold to the walls of their offices. Such optimism provoked a direct reaction from the Crown—the founding of the Wentworth Group of Concerned [sic] Scientists [sic].

Ten months after the publication of the CEC's Infrastructure report, Prince Philip's WWF organised a meeting of "esteemed scientists" at Sydney's Wentworth Hotel, including arch-depopulator Professor Tim Flannery and so-called "water experts" including the late Professor Peter Cullen and Professor Mike Young, which grouping formed the so-called Wentworth Group. Ostensibly named after the hotel, the group actually took its

name from the 19th Century political figure W.C. Wentworth, who was a leader of the imperial faction which sought to crush Australian moves for independence and sovereignty from Great Britain and to impose on the colony an English-style landed aristocracy, one derided by patriotic poets Charles Harpur and Daniel Deniehy as a "Bunyip aristocracy". Like the oligarchical Zeus determined to keep the fire of ideas from mankind, the oligarchy's Wentworth Group was formed to target an idea, to extinguish once-and-for-all the characteristic Australian development mindset that envisioned turning rivers inland and greening the deserts. In a June 2006 speech to the North American Benthological Society Annual Conference, Wentworth's Peter Cullen ad-



Prince Philip's WWF started the Wentworth Group to counteract the CEC's economic development vision for Australia. Wentworth's Peter Cullen (l.) and Tim Flannery (r.) led the charge to smash the Murray-Darling food bowl.

mitted its formation was a knee-jerk reaction to the ideas unleashed by the CEC: "In 2002 Australia was in the grip of a major drought and newspapers were running drought stories each day showing the plight of farmers. Radio talk back hosts were dreaming of visionary schemes to turn coastal riv-

ers inland to droughtproof the country. Many idiotic solutions were being advanced to address the issue," he spat. "A group of experienced scientists from a variety of disciplines, who had spent their careers trying to understand the Australian landscape, came together in some despair at the widespread community misunderstanding about our landscape and the variability of rainfall that characterises it."¹⁸

In this case, "came together" was a euphemism for being enlisted and funded by the WWF and high-level financial interests, to provide the "scientific" cover for the Crown's plans to shut down the Murray-Darling Basin food bowl. In 2004, the WWF founded the Purves Environmental Fund (PEF) overseen by WWF

The CEC's broadside against Royal green genocide, *The Infrastructure Road to Recovery*.

International board member Robert Purves, which would serve as the sole financier of the Wentworth Group. All PEF board members represent British imperial financial interests: Geoff Brunson is the chairman of the Inter-Alpha Group's ING Private Equity Access Ltd., and until 2009 was Managing Director and head of investment banking at Merrill Lynch (International) Australia Ltd.; Richard Gelski is a top Sydney corporate tax lawyer; and Rhodes scholar Ian Pollard serves on the advisory council of JP Morgan Australia. The PEF spends half of its annual budget directly on the Wentworth Group, and on climate change operations such as Earth Hour, the Australian Youth Climate Coalition, and placing Tim Flannery's books in every school in Australia.¹⁹

Smashing the Food Bowl

The Wentworth Group's first initiative was its late 2002 *Blueprint for a Living Continent*. Couched in the standard mélange of free market looting and environmentalist mumbo-jumbo, the *Blueprint* demanded that governments: "clarify water property rights to give farmers certainty; create a water market and use it to recover water for the environment; restore environmental flows to stressed rivers; end broadscale landclearing of remnant native vegetation; pay farmers for environmental services i.e. to not grow food; and incorporate into the cost of food and fibre the hidden subsidies currently borne by the environment". Peter Cullen boasted in his 2006 speech that this *Blueprint* spawned state and federal government environmental actions such as native vegetation land clearing laws in NSW and Queensland, Queensland's Wild Rivers legislation, and John Howard's 2004 National Water Initiative.

Just like the 1992 COAG *Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development*, both the Wentworth Group's *Blueprint for a Living Continent* and the resulting National Water Initiative (NWI) deployed "free market economics" to initiate the shut down of the Murray-Darling Basin. The Murray-Darling was targeted both because the destruction of the national food bowl would forcibly reduce the national population, but also the very existence of this highly-developed agricultural miracle with the ability to feed Australia and 60 million other human beings besides, proves the lie of the Wentworth Group and the rest of Prince Philip's imperial green mafia that Australia can't be developed, and can't support a big population. The NWI created a National Water Commission (to which Howard appointed Wentworth's Peter Cullen), a \$2 billion Australian Government Water Fund of which \$500 million was earmarked for initial water buybacks from farmers, and mandated

more privatised genocide through the creation of a "market for water licenses to enable water to move to higher value uses", i.e. away from food production. To oversee this assault on the national food bowl Howard installed a Privy Counsellor of the British Crown, the Rt. Hon. Ian Sinclair, as President of the Murray-Darling Basin Commission. The Privy Council is the oldest institution of the British Crown and is the body which formally administers the British Empire. Immediately before his sudden resignation in 2007, Victorian Premier Steve Bracks charged that Howard's actual intent was to privatise all of the Murray-Darling Basin's water. Then on 2nd August 2007, NSW's Minister for Lands and Regional Development Tony Kelly declared, "I am just a little bit worried that this is all about making water another commodity so that Macquarie Bank can be able to buy and sell it and make an absolute fortune."²⁰

On the legislative agenda that August was Howard government Environment Minister and merchant banker Malcolm Turnbull's *Water Act 2007*, the final nail in the Murray-Darling coffin. Advised by the Wentworth Group, Turnbull wrote the *Water Act* on the sole premise that the basin had to comply with the genocidal demands of Prince Philip's WWF Ramsar wetlands convention and the IUCN's Biodiversity convention, under their sophistry of employing "principles of ecologically sustainable development". The *Act* established the Murray-Darling Basin Authority, but excluded from the Authority anyone who might actually know anything about irrigation in the food bowl. It charged this non-elected, non-representative authority with effectively changing the function of the Basin from a food bowl to a nature preserve, and writing rules for water trading "to facilitate the operation of efficient water markets and the opportunities for trading" by which to do it.

The *Water Act 2007* sailed through both houses of parliament with such unanimous support that it didn't even go to a vote. Tony Abbott, Sen. Barnaby Joyce, Sharman Stone, Sen. Nick Minchin and the rest of the Coalition members now scrambling to appear to side with the angry basin farming communities, fully supported Turnbull's bill (just as they went along with John Howard's 2007 version of the emissions trading scheme fraud to solve global warming, much to their embarrassment today). When challenged by this author on 12th Nov., 2010 as to why they all supported the *Water Act*, Sen. Minchin bleated, "Malcolm Turnbull pulled the wool over our eyes!" Yet again, it was the CEC which led the fight against the *Act*, because only the CEC was willing to recognise it as driven by Prince Philip's genocide agenda, as precisely documented in its explosive Sep./Oct. 2007 *New Citizen* report, *Howard, ALP Privatising Murray-Darling Water*.

In 2010, the British Crown upped the ante. Stung by the total rout of its climate change scam at the December 2009 Copenhagen conference, which in Australia cost first Malcolm Turnbull and later Kevin Rudd the leadership of their respective parties, the Crown's Australian assets all came out heavily for mass-depopulation. The ACF made a submission to then-Environment Minister Peter Garrett—their two-time former president—that human population growth be classified identically to feral cats and cane toads as a "key threatening process" to biodiversity²¹; Kevin Rudd appointed Tony Burke Population Minister; and then Julia Gillard renamed Burke Sustainable Population Minister, emphatically declaring her opposition to a "big Australia".

Then, immediately after the federal election the MDBA dropped a well incubated bombshell on the more than two million occupants of the Murray-



Darling Basin with its *Guide to the proposed Basin Plan*.²² Ostensibly mandated by the *Water Act*, but actually by Prince Philip's Ramsar Convention under which that *Act* had been drafted, the *Guide* encapsulated the Ramsar/Biodiversity demands that at least 7,600 gigalitres per year, or more than 50 per cent of the basin's irrigation capacity, should be stripped from irrigators, a demand seconded by the ACF. This miracle of advanced irrigated food production was to be completely destroyed. And, bespeaking its actual intent, the entire process would be overseen by the newly-appointed Minister for Sustainable Population Tony Burke.

The MDBA is fully conscious that its proposal will smash the basin as a food bowl. And to pre-empt the expected backlash, its *Guide* presented three lesser scenarios involving "diversions" from irrigators of between 3,000 and 4,000 Gl/y, but all monstrously destructive. The very *Guide* itself—even before the plan is finalised and enacted—has wreaked havoc on the food-

growing capacity of the Basin—as intended. It has pushed many already demoralised farmers over the edge, to cut their losses and quit agriculture, and given predatory banks the excuse to foreclose on many others.

Tens of thousands of furious Basin residents fronted up to the token information meetings held by the MDBA in October and November to protest. This attracted national media attention along with the attention of the 20 million Australians who live outside the food bowl but eat its produce, and opinion polls soon reflected most Australians were more concerned about the farmers than the arguments about the environment. This raised the prospect that the Plan might be killed off in the way the equally-genocidal ETS was ditched last December. Sensing trouble, MDBA chairman Mike Taylor tried to cover up the Wentworth Group's direct role in preparing the "Guide", repeatedly telling the meetings it had no involvement—a lie however you look at it, but openly contradicted on Nov. 26 by Wentworth Group member Prof. Chris Miller of Flinders University, who admitted to researching, on behalf of the MDBA, the economic and social carnage to be expected from the plan.

In a transparent effort to neutralise the backlash, the Crown Privy Counsellor himself, Ian Sinclair, stepped in to chair the meetings in order to shut down any serious discussion, such as the input from members of the CEC. Confronted by the CEC as a hit man for the Privy Council, Sinclair whined, "Oh, no, no, no, they haven't existed for many years." Once again, the Rt. Hon. Ian was lying, as a quick glance at the official websites of both the Queen and Her Majesty's very-much-still-alive Privy Council will show; indeed, the latter lists him as a member, precisely as charged.²³

Footnotes:

1. "Peoplequake: Mass Migration, Aging Nations and the Coming Population Crash", by Fred Pearce, Transworld Publishers, 2010.
2. "Diversity and Discovery: The History of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute", by Sir Gustav Nossal, Melbourne University Press, 2007.
3. "The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor", *Executive Intelligence Review*, November 1994. Available online: http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_blacknobil12.htm and as a free

download: <http://www.archive.org/details/TheComingFallOfTheHouseOfWindsor>
4. Ramsar Convention website: <http://www.ramsar.org>
5. "The True History of the Founding of Australia", by Allen Douglas, Gabrielle Peut and Robert Butler; "From 1788 to Today: The British Empire's Ongoing War Against Australian Sovereignty", by Robert Barwick, *The New Citizen*, Vol. 7 No. 1 Oct./Nov. 2009
6. "From the First Fleet to the Year 2000—the Fight for an Australian republic", Citizens Electoral Council, 2000.
7. "Aboriginal 'land rights': Prince Phil-

ip's racist plot to splinter Australia", Citizens Electoral Council, December 1997.
8. "OVERLOADING AUSTRALIA: How Governments and the Media Dither and Deny Population", by Mark O'Connor and William Lines, *Envirobook*, 2008.
9. Sustainable Population Australia website: <http://www.population.org.au/>
10. "An Overview of Ecologically Sustainable Development Processes in Australia 1990-1992", by Michael Emery, Consultant to the Economics and Commerce Group, Department of the Parliamentary Library, 1994.
11. "Carbon Trading is Hitler-style Genocide!", *The New Citizen*, Vol. 6 No. 12 Aug./Sept. 2009.
12. Australian Academy of Science: www.science.org.au/events/sats/sats1994/Population2040-section1.pdf
13. "Australia's Population 'Carrying Capacity': One Nation—Two Ecologies", Report by the House of Representatives Standing Committee for Long Term Strategies, December 1994, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
14. Australian Ramsar wetlands: [\[lands/ramsar-convention/index.html\]\(http://www.environment.gov.au/water/topics/wetlands/ramsar-convention/index.html\)
15. Australia's National Reserve System: <http://www.environment.gov.au/parks/nrs/science/maps.html>
16. "The Infrastructure Road to Recovery", *The New Citizen*, Vol. 5 No. 4, February 2002.
17. "War Song for the Australasian League", poem by Charles Harpur.
18. "Science and Politics—Speaking Truth to Power", speech by Peter Cullen, Wentworth Group of Concerned Scientists, to the North American Benthological](http://www.environment.gov.au/water/topics/wet-</p>
</div>
<div data-bbox=)

From Page 8

ocean surface, causing evaporation. This evaporated water rises into the atmosphere; some of it migrates over land and falls as precipitation.

This precipitated water then makes its way, over time, back into the ocean, by way of streams and rivers.

Upon closer examination, this process really consists of many interconnected subcycles, where water plays its most important role, in facilitating the growth of plants. In this process, there is no clear beginning, nor are there any simple linear or cyclical relationships. Plants consume both water and sunlight, using them to produce oxygen, and to fix CO₂ into energy-dense organic molecules. The moisture which these plants release in transpiration then rises

up to become cloud cover, feeding and enhancing the precipitation which had permitted their growth originally.


If the vegetation becomes dense enough, this additional atmospheric moisture is enough to change weather patterns, alter the landscape, and reshape the course of rivers. At various stages of this process, large amounts of water enter the soil, to either be evaporated again into rainfall, or to be sucked deep down into the groundwater stores which form a continuous system of exchange with the above ground lakes and rivers.

The result of this is that, globally, the same water falls an average of 2.7 times on land before returning to the sea, and the rate is obviously higher in areas of dense vegetation.³ Further, as the ground cover and soil moisture change, so does the reflectivity of certain parts of the Earth's surface, which, in turn, transforms how sunlight is absorbed and changes its effects on temperature and evaporation.


The number and types of interrelations are vast, but perfectly comprehensible to the human mind, when aided by the proper conceptual tools. In fact, their thorough

comprehension is the destiny of the human species, since the mastery—and replication, in an improved form—of their complex interrelations will be necessary in order for man to achieve his destiny of colonizing interplanetary and interstellar space. Already today, spacecraft designers must attempt to recreate portions of the oxygen, carbon, and water cycles in miniature, in order to maintain crews on their trips.⁴

The same process, at much higher levels of complexity and efficiency, and combined with a deeper understanding of the role of cosmic radiation and other electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena, in the maintenance and evolution of life on Earth, will be required for the establishment of permanent settlements on the Moon, Mars, and beyond. Projects like NAWAPA will bring such goals—necessary for the continued survival of the human species—out of



The idea of the so-called "water cycle" is a useful abstraction, showing the general flow of water between ocean and land. In reality, this cycle contains numerous sub-cycles, and is inextricably connected with other "cycles" of carbon, nitrogen, etc. Together, the complex system forms what V. I. Vernadsky called the "biogenic migration of atoms."



Surface water and ground water are not two distinct phenomena. Rather, they form a single, complex flow of water and associated minerals, characterised by abrupt discontinuities which delineate sharp changes in flow intensity and direction.

What Does This Mean for NAWAPA?

In this case, we are taking a portion of the hydrological cycle involving the western region of North America, which currently includes relatively few subcycles, and connecting it into a Noöspheric system of much greater complexity. Water that evaporates off the surface of the Pacific Ocean tends preferentially to travel up the coastline as cloud cover, and deposit itself in northern regions as solid ice and rivers.

A large percentage of this freshwater then runs directly into the ocean off the coast of Alaska and North America, never taking part in any biospheric sub-cycles on land. Meanwhile, the southern desert area of the west—the Great American Desert—remains dry and barren (see NASA animation of clouds circulating up the coast at: <http://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/vis/a000000/a003600/a003645/index.html>).

To get an idea of this quantitatively:

The total amount of water evaporated from land and ocean amounts to 71,000 km³/yr and 434,000 km³/yr, respectively, for a total of 505,000 km³/yr. One quarter of that, or 107,000 km³/yr, falls back onto the land as rain or snowfall, while the rest is rained directly back into the ocean. At any given mo-

ment, there are 15,500 km³ of water in the atmosphere, 4,400 of which is over land. Approximately 3,450 km³/yr fall within just the Alaskan and Canadian catchment basin to be utilized by NAWAPA, an amount equivalent to more than half the total precipitation of the entire continental United States! This produces 990-1110 km³/yr of runoff into the Pacific and Arctic Oceans. This quantity is lost to the productive processes of the Biosphere, never taking part in photosynthesis, or any other biospheric process during its time on land. This is a continuous cycle, constantly replenished, although, in parts, it is terribly inefficient.

Thus, it becomes clear that, contrary to popular misconceptions and outright lies, the water to be used by NAWAPA is not some stash, which will be run down over time, nor is it water which otherwise would be used for other purposes. NAWAPA is the harnessing and improvement of this natural, global cycle and, because of this, will be capable of not only providing freshwater to the western U.S. and northern Mexico for perpetuity; experience has shown that it will also permanently transform the climate in these areas as a result, lowering the temperature and increasing rainfall.

NAWAPA will transform this cy-

cle, drawing a portion (200 km³/yr, or 20%) of what would otherwise immediately become run-off water, into a system of already existing rivers and newly made canals. As it travels, the water will replenish groundwater stores and take part in greening large swaths of the Great American Desert. This will extend the time this water spends on land by orders of magnitude, as well as increasing the frequency of its circulation during that stay.

Now, what will be the effect of the increased plant transpiration in the 21-50 million acres of new farmland and forests created as a result of the NAWAPA project? This will be up to double the current irrigable acreage west of the Mississippi. For the United States, this amounts to a strip of newly irrigable land 1,800 miles long and 35 miles wide—nearly four times the size of California's Central Valley.

Again, the careful selection of regions of farmland, but also areas of new, highly organized and maintained forests, where once there was desert, will increase the overall soil moisture, as well as increasing the amount of over-

Global water cycle: we won't run out

World annual water evaporation—

From land: 71,000 km³
From oceans: 434,000 km³

World annual precipitation (rain and snow)—

Over land: 107,000 km³
Over oceans: 398,000 km³

Total water in atmosphere at any given moment—

Total: 15,500 km³
Over land: 4,400 km³

Average number of times same rain falls on land before returning to sea: 2.7

* 1 km³ = 1,000 gigalitres or 1 trillion litres

sea, to someday, eventually, make its way back to Alaska to begin the entire cycle once again. Only now, among its activities, will be included a plethora of industrial and other uses. This same water might someday be the freshwater used to hydrate the first manned crew traveling to Mars!

Footnotes:

1. See "The Tennessee Valley Authority of the 21st Century," by The LPAC Basement Team, EIR, Aug. 6, 2010.

2. One might, in fact, consider this entire process to be the creation of a sort of biospheric infrastructure, where biological fossils continually provide the conditions for more advanced creative processes.

3. Lev S. Kuchment, "The Hydrological Cycle and Human Impact On It," in "Water Resources Management," Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems, 2004.

4. As an example, take the limited example of water, oxygen, carbon, etc., recycling on the International Space Station..


all evapotranspiration over land. This will lead to increased rainfall, and, if carefully structured, new and beneficial downwind rain and weather patterns. The water introduced by NAWAPA will be used not once, but multiple times, as it makes its way through innumerable smaller sub-cycles, falling multiple times as rainfall over land, before finally making its way back to the

Earth's Atmosphere

Our planet is sometimes unimaginatively pictured as a rocky sphere to which a thin layer of gas tenuously clings amidst the vacuum of space. Far from that bleak prospect, the Earth's surface represents a particularly intense region of transformation of the cosmic radiation which permeates all of space. In our neighborhood, the vast majority of this radiation is emitted by the Sun, which produces a large spectrum of electromagnetic frequencies, as well as a constant stream of electrically charged plasma called the Solar wind. The Solar wind, guided by the Sun's magnetic field, is involved in a constant interaction with the plasma that constitutes the upper regions of the Earth's atmosphere and Earth's own, constantly changing, magnetic field. This complex interaction produces highly structured phenomena such as the Van Allen radiation belts and the aurorae, while the ionosphere itself produces electromagnetic radiation in the low frequency range.

The relative strength of the Sun and Earth's magnetic fields also modulates the influx of galactic cosmic rays, which change the climate through cloud formation, and acts directly on the evolution of living organisms over longer periods of time. It has also been documented that subtle fluctuations in the Earth's magnetic field, in part induced by its interactions with the Sun, directly influence the behavior and vital activity of living organisms and is likely a factor in their evolution. But, it is life itself which produced the ionosphere, through its creation of the atmosphere.

Several recent studies also point to the possibility of life's direct role in the creation of the geomagnetic field, possibly through the movement of ocean currents, and through the influence of water on plate tectonics, which could affect heat convection of the hypothesized dynamo beneath Earth's crust. Whether this is the actual mechanism or not, it is in fact the case that the peculiar character of Earth's magnetic field is associated with its uniqueness as a bearer of living matter in the Solar System.



Rainfall map of the world. Note the disparity in the amount of rainfall along the Pacific Coast of the United States.

From Page 6

Society Annual Conference, Anchorage, Alaska, USA, June 2006: http://www.wentworthgroup.org/docs/Speaking_Truth_To_Power1.pdf

19. Purves Environmental Fund: <http://www.purvesenvirofund.org.au/>

20. "Howard, ALP Privatising Murray-

Darling Water", by Jeremy Beck and Allen Douglas, *The New Citizen*, Vol. 6, No. 4, Sept./Oct. 2008

21. http://www.acfonline.org.au/articles/news.asp?news_id=2749&eid=18273

22. Murray-Darling Basin Authority "Guide to the proposed Basin Plan", Oc-

tober 2010.

23. Privy Council website: <http://www.privacy-council.org.uk/output/Page76.asp#s>; The official website of the British Monarchy: <http://www.royal.gov.uk/MonarchUK/QueenandGovernment/QueenandPrivy-Council.aspx>.

NAWAPA, Clarence River Scheme Crucial for Global Recovery

The only possible way to avert a global economic new "Dark Age", under which the world's population would collapse by as many as five billion people over the course of two or three generations, is to reverse the half-a-century of free trade financial looting and "green" sabotage of the world's physical economy, by building the largest program of infrastructure development in world history.

Crucially, this requires understanding "infrastructure" in terms of U.S. physical economist Lyndon LaRouche's concept of "platforms": *infrastructure is what raises the platform upon which human beings direct the biosphere to increase its power to sustain all life.* In fact, the history of humanity is one of upward shifts to higher and higher platforms, which have supported the rise in population and living standards. Under this rigorous conceptual framework, the National Broadband Network is not infrastructure, but at best an expensive tool; the real infrastructure that the world needs includes the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), and the Clarence River Scheme.


NAWAPA

Originally planned in the 1950s and 1960s by the California-based, Ralph M. Parsons Company, which had helped to design and build the water management systems in California, the initial NAWAPA plan is a series of projects designed to take large amounts of fresh water from Alaska and the Canadian Yukon, divert it before its runoff into the Pacific Ocean, and then channel it through Canada into the United States and all the way to northern Mexico. This would be achieved by a series of dams, canals, tunnels, lakes, and pump lifts, which would guide the water down the continent, allow for the potential irriga-

tion of an estimated 86,000 square miles, and transform the arid landscape along the way. The trans-continental scale of this project totally dwarfs America's greatest previous infrastructure triumph, the Tennessee Valley Authority.

As by the intention of the original NAWAPA design, some of the most severe water shortages of the United States could be solved. For example, large amounts of water can be added to the desperate water systems of California and the western United States in general, where land has sometimes subsided by 25-50 feet due to drawing down underground fossil water. It could reverse the depletion of the massive Ogallala Aquifer, which is supplying a diminishing amount of fossil ground water to millions of acres of farm land in Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, New Mexico and other states. Water would even be diverted into the Great Lakes system, refilling the supply in the Eastern United States. The majority of all the states in the nation would receive direct benefits in terms of water supplies.

The LaRouche Youth Movement's cutting edge scientific research team, known as The Basement Project, has expanded the original NAWAPA concept to incorporate the principles of *biospheric engineering*. In *NAWAPA: the Tennessee Valley Project of the 21st Century*, the Basement Team explain, "Taking the core of the original 1960s design, we will elaborate the project by building high speed rail lines for both passengers and freight, new nuclear reactors, and even new cities. Along this route the irrigation and managed water flow will begin to transform the land area, including the climate and weather, as a case of mankind's conscious management of a significant region of the Biosphere, increasing the productivity of both mankind, and the Biosphere itself."



Schematics for the NAWAPA (left) and Australia's Clarence River Scheme (right). The sheer scale of NAWAPA will transform the entire Biosphere; Australia can participate in this Biospheric development through projects such as the Clarence scheme.

(See *NAWAPA from the standpoint of Biospheric Development*, below.)

The Clarence River Scheme

The Clarence River Scheme is a century-old idea which is well overdue. Undertaken scientifically from the standpoint of biospheric engineering (as opposed to the short-term political aims which drove Malcolm Turnbull's proposal to divert the Clarence River's water to the high-population centres of Southeast Queensland), it promises to increase the power of the biosphere in both the Clarence River basin, and the Murray-Darling Basin food bowl.

As engineered by the late Lance Endersbee, a visionary engineering professor whose life's passion was

Australia's economic development, the Clarence Scheme would capture and store just some of the large amounts of annual flood water from a mere 30 per cent of the Clarence River catchment, by the construction of four dams on its four tributaries, the Boyd, Mann, Timbarra and Upper Clarence rivers. The Mann River and Timbarra River dams would be connected by an equalising tunnel, and water would be pumped from the Timbarra River and Upper Clarence River dams to a head pond near Tenterfield, the energy for which Professor Endersbee proposed using the very cheap, night-time, off-peak baseload electricity from the NSW grid. From the Tenterfield head pond, the water would be released down the western side of the Great Di-

viding Range, generating hydroelectricity as it falls, and into a dam built on the Dumaresq River, from where it would be released downstream into the Macintyre and then Barwon rivers, before flowing into the Darling River. This would add between 1,000 and 2,000 gegalitres per year into the Murray-Darling Basin, *reliably*—an especially vital addition during drought times.

Far from ruining the Clarence River catchment flood plains and fisheries, as is claimed by the green mafia, the scheme would not only mitigate damaging floods, but also provide for controlled releases at such times as it is deemed necessary to "flush" the Clarence River to assist the fishing industry.

NAWAPA, from The Standpoint of Biospheric Development

By Sky Shields, Oyang Teng, Michelle Lerner, Cody Jones, and Ben Deniston (reprinted from *Executive Intelligence Review* magazine, Aug. 13, 2010)

The current crisis is not a financial one, or even a physical one, in the simplest sense. We are not facing a lack of finances, or a lack of resources. We are facing a crisis of human culture, of which the current U.S. President and his predecessor are merely exemplary. It is time that we analysed more deeply the roots of the erroneous thinking which have led us into this current disaster, in order that we might avert it in the only way possible: by turning our sights once again towards humanity's future, and returning to the cultural-philosophical roots of a true science of physical economy.

When man "builds infrastructure," he is not simply placing some object called infrastructure into an empty box. He is actually reorganizing the physical space-time of the Biosphere, as a system, by transforming and redirecting the biogenic flows through the Biosphere, allowing it to attain higher and higher levels of energy flux density. The simplest ex-

ample of this, is the introduction of farming and animal husbandry: The apples, corn, and livestock of today are far different, and far more efficient, in terms of energy density, than their wild counterparts which reflect the state in which man first encountered them.


Photosynthesis, which converts the diffuse energy of incident sunlight into the concentrated form of chemical bonds, creates both the difficult-to-digest cellulose of plant stems, as well as the easily accessible energy stores of carbohydrates and other organic molecules. This process is a part of what Russian-Ukrainian biogeochemist V.I. Vernadsky called the biogenic migration of atoms—the continuous flow of matter through the Biosphere as the result of living processes, creating higher and higher levels of organization in the secreted fossil materials. Man's action on apples, corn, and livestock, for example, increases the ratio of usable carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins to the ex-

pensive (in terms of energy), but relatively useless (for consumption) cellulose of the plant's structural components.

Ultimately, the survival of the human species will depend on man's ability to not only organize these flows and increase their efficiency, but also, to create, from scratch, the environment of biogenic flows which he requires in order to live outside of Earth's atmosphere, and to colonize our Solar System and beyond.

The North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA)¹ program will be among the first of man's projects to willfully redirect those larger processes determining the further evolution of the Biosphere, as a whole, serving as the reference point for such challenges as establishing permanent settlements on other planets, such as Mars. Again, this will be achieved through further understanding and redirecting these biogenic flows, but now, on a much grander and more fundamental scale.

This biogenic migration of atoms is more than a mere flow of material



Teosinte represents the state of corn at the time man first encountered it in the wild. Only a very small portion of the bushy plant contained the nutrients and digestible material that make corn the staple it is today. The highly nutritious, and energy-efficient food that we now call corn is entirely a creation of early man's projects in biological engineering and, like the similarly human-engineered modern cow, will not survive outside of human care.

"within" the Biosphere. It constitutes the very structure of the Biosphere, and governs the nature of Earth's in-

teraction with phenomena outside of the Earth's atmosphere, such as solar and cosmic radiation.

The Creation of Earth's Atmosphere

To take a useful example: The creation of Earth's oxygen atmosphere by life not only caused a massive change in species on the face of the planet—rendering the vast majority of then-existing life forms extinct, while paving the way for more complex, oxygen breathing life forms—it also changed the Biosphere's interaction with the Sun's electromagnetic radiation (specifically in the "ultraviolet wave range"), creating a higher degree of structure within the Biosphere—the ozone layer—which, in turn, further moderates which frequencies of electromagnetic radiation would be allowed to enter Earth's developing Biosphere to affect planetary evolution.

This biogenic migration of atoms also caused the development of the ionosphere, the highly energetic zone which, by its interaction with the solar wind and Earth's

magnetic field, is responsible for the creation of the aurorae, and which can at times act as a massive particle accelerator, determining what types of cosmic radiation will be fed down onto the Earth's surface. Some of this radiation would be involved in producing the cloud cover which moderates the Earth's temperature and produces precipitation.²

Certain aspects of this process of biogenic migration of atoms are popularly broken down, for ease of understanding, into several oversimplified cycles: the "water cycle," "nitrogen cycle," "carbon cycle," etc. At low resolution, these do, in fact, appear as simple cycles, but when viewed more closely, they form an interconnected network, a system, whose causal interrelations are impossible to represent linearly. Changes in the nitrogen concentration of

soils, caused by perturbations in the nitrogen cycle, change the rate of carbon fixation in plant life, perturbing the carbon cycle, which in turn changes the rate of photosynthesis, perturbing the oxygen and water cycles, which in turn perturb the nitrogen cycle, and other biogenic flows of atoms, etc., etc.

Even within a single one of these so-called cycles, the amount of complexity quickly reaches a point where the description requires a systems approach—a tensor description—particularly when we wish to discuss the conscious manipulation of such a system.

Taking water as an example: In first approximation, at the lowest resolution, we can describe the water cycle as a simple process, beginning with sunlight's effect on the

Continued Page 7

Free DVD: *The Great Murray-Darling Basin Fraud*

The Deliberate Policy to Depopulate Australia

For a free copy call toll-free 1800 636 432 or send this coupon to: CEC, PO Box 376, Coburg, Victoria 3058 and leave ALL your details below.

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 Phone: _____
 Email: _____

* Offer not available to existing members or people who have received previous free offers.