

TO THE SENATE INQUIRY INTO THE 2007 / 08 WATER ACT.

After studying the Water Act, which used section 51 of the Constitution with its inclusions of Ramsar and other treaties, it is very clear to me , taught up to the normal levels of Education and therefore understanding

English as it is spoken and written,

it is not possible to get a triple bottom line, that Minister Burke & Chairman Knowles think they can, for environment , economic and communities. Even if they do, it can be knocked away in one swipe whilst ever

the triple bottom line sits on the foundation of the Water Act as it is presently legislated.

Some legal mind may convince Ministers that it can be achieved , but if you stick to plain English, you must go by the words of the Water Act , which is for the environment in every page,(over 200 pages).

Any one living in the real world knows that a Lawyer can win a case either way, regardless on which side he is, but this is NOT MORAL.

Mr. Mike Taylor could not morally do what he was asked to do, so he did the only right thing and resigned. Mr. Taylor whom I have met several times at MDBA meetings etc must be listened to, he is no fool, and did

a very good job (as being paid and instructed to do) under much pressure from the people of the MDBA districts.

Please ask the Government to rewrite the water act and whilst they are doing it, to include more water storage infrastructures and to include plans to bring water from areas of excess water to our places where it is

not so abundant.

Australia is not short of water , it just needs to be stored instead of 98% of it's water going out to sea.

THE BALL IS IN YOUR COURT.

Yours truly,

David.J.Lindsay.

Farm 1779 Almond Road. LEETON.NSW.2705