

Committee Members Joint Select committee on the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to child Sexual Abuse.

I refer your attention to – Application for Redress Page 5. Part 2.

“The Scheme will also share some information with institutions named in the application. This will include: the person's name, date of birth, and description of the abuse and its impact, the Independent Decision Maker's decision, the response to the offer of redress and the outcome of any review. The institution may need to use this information to provide a Direct Personal Response, or for internal investigation and disciplinary procedures.”

Part 1: Name, date of birth, Name of institutions are necessary for identification need for national redress.

The information provided in part 2, is extremely contradictory in its entirety.

As to Part 2: The Royal Commission released reports during and on completion of the Royal Commission into child sexual abuse in institutional care and have proven beyond any doubt the institution attempts (or lack off) of internal investigations and disciplinary procedures in dealing with child sexual abuse while entrusted to their care have failed morally and legally. This shows that Part 2 has no substance or benefit. This will leave careleavers open to ongoing intimidation, trauma and unnecessary stress with no guarantee of any privacy for themselves once this sensitive information is given over to the abusive institutions.

I ask that Part 2. Be removed from national redress and return the dignity and respect as shown by the Royal Commissions to all careleavers. Would you please assist by helping to amend the injustice in part 2.

Regards,

Rhonda Janetzki