

From: Mark Kelleher
To: [Committee, NCET \(REPS\)](#)
Cc: [Lee, Stephanie \(REPS\)](#)
Subject: Proof Hansard Transcript - Australia's Antarctic Territory - Friday, 10 November 2017 - Hobart
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 3:56:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Muz

As discussed earlier today, this email is to provide some supplementary comments into the Committee's considerations.

A couple of weeks ago I provided some minor feedback on the transcript, however I was recently reviewing a section of the discussion and identified some points that I think will benefit from some clarification and follow up information. The section I am referring to is covered on page 22 of the transcript as follows;

Senator McCARTHY: I will ask a couple of questions that you can take on notice and provide back to the committee, because they are more statistic based. You did say that this was part of the partner contributions. I'm interested to know just how many of these 70 research and technical positions are directly yours. Are the 30 per cent of your scientists part of the partner programs that you're referring to or are they directly employed by you or a part of your area? Could you take those questions on notice and provide that?

Mr Kelleher: I can give you an initial answer. All of those 70 are the directly funded ones. Our submission also talked about the Gateway project. That's the combined total for those two. Ours is about 50 and theirs about 20. What I was mentioning about the partner contributions is that they are on top of that. Across our 21 partner organisations, there are the equivalent of another 85 full-time-equivalent positions working as part of this cooperative research centre either here in Tasmania, elsewhere in Australia or overseas.

Senator McCARTHY: If your funding does not continue, of those 85, what would be the impact?

Mr Kelleher: The 70 would disappear.

Senator McCARTHY: The 70 would go—and the 85?

Mr Kelleher: Those in-kind contributions, if you like, would no longer be there. The people working in those partner organisations would then fully focus back within the partner organisations. They would have to speak for themselves as to whether there would be some net reductions as a result of that, because most of those people are working 50 per cent of their time on our organisation.

I have a couple of points to make about the above:

1. I am not sure what Senator McCarthy was referring to in her stating "Are the 30 per cent of your scientists part of the partner programs that you're referring to or are they directly employed by you or a part of your area? Could you take those questions on notice and provide that? or are they directly employed by you or a part of your area? Could you take those questions on notice and provide that? " I thought it might be helpful to provide a table of the total of the in-kind contributions from the partner organisations. This is attached to this email. The numbers included are the totals for the five years of our CRC term. You will see that the total value of the in-kind contributions (staff and non-staff) is \$140,861,000. This does not include the Commonwealth grant funding of \$25 million (\$5 million pa) – which when added takes the total value of the ACE CRC activity for the five years to \$165,861,000.
2. I then mention that "What I was mentioning about the partner contributions is that they are on top of that (referring to our directly paid staff of ~50 pa). Across our 21 partner

organisations, there are the equivalent of another 85 full-time-equivalent positions working as part of this cooperative research centre either here in Tasmania, elsewhere in Australia or overseas". I need to correct my statement (the 85 referred to the five year total for just the non-core partner organisations) – there are in fact an average of 56.6 FTE per annum (totalling 283.1 FTE for the five years).

Please note then that the ACE CRC direct staffing of around 50 staff per annum is paid for out of the \$5 million pa Commonwealth Funds.

I understand that the above is somewhat complicated so please let me know if you / the Committee have any queries. And as discussed I can advise that I am happy for the above to be treated as a supplementary submission.

Kind regards

Mark Kelleher



Mark Kelleher | Chief Executive Officer
[Antarctic Climate & Ecosystems CRC](#)

Private Bag 80, UTAS, Hobart, 7001

FTEs	AAD	BOM	CSIRO	UTAS	Other	Total
Program Leader	7.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.2	10.2
Key Researcher/Manager	70.5	1.0	2.5	15.0	50.0	139.0
Researcher	29.5	1.5	2.5	15.7	22.3	71.5
Other	35.5	0.0	12.6	2.0	12.3	62.4
Total	143.0	2.5	20.1	32.7	84.8	283.1
Value of FTEs						
Program Leader	\$3,150,000	\$0	\$1,050,000	\$0	\$84,000	\$4,284,000
Key Researcher/Manager	\$19,740,000	\$280,000	\$700,000	\$4,200,000	\$14,000,000	\$38,920,000
Researcher	\$6,490,000	\$330,000	\$550,000	\$3,454,000	\$4,906,000	\$15,730,000
Other	\$6,390,000	\$0	\$2,268,000	\$360,000	\$2,214,000	\$11,232,000
Total	\$35,770,000	\$610,000	\$4,568,000	\$8,014,000	\$21,204,000	\$70,166,000
Value of Non-staff Contributions	\$36,698,000	\$315,000	\$2,507,000	\$6,885,000	\$24,290,000	\$70,695,000
Total Value of Contributions	\$72,468,000	\$925,000	\$7,075,000	\$14,899,000	\$45,494,000	\$140,861,000

Value of Staff In-Kind Contributions based on agreed vaues:

<i>Program Leader</i>	<i>\$420,000</i>
<i>Key Researcher/Manager</i>	<i>\$280,000</i>
<i>Researcher</i>	<i>\$220,000</i>
<i>Other</i>	<i>\$180,000</i>

<i>Total Value of ACE CRC Activity</i>	<i>\$165,861,000</i>
--	----------------------