Date 02/04/12

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to voice my opposition to changing the definition of marriage.

Firstly I would like to say this. In 2004, both the Australian Labor Party and the Liberal Party supported the Marriage Amendment Act 2004 that defined marriage as, "a union between one man and one woman to the exclusion of all others voluntarily entered into for life". This is the definition that was written into our constitution at Federation in 1901 and this is the definition that has been known throughout human history.

The Liberal Party still stands by this as its party platform. However there is enormous pressure within the Labor Party to either embrace homosexual "marriage" as part of its policy platform, OR to allow its members a conscience vote when a bill to allow homosexual "marriage" comes before parliament courtesy of the Greens.

Either way, the Labor Party which traditionally has been a party for working families, with a strong Christian foundation, is in danger of losing its moral compass. History shows that the Labor Union Movement as we know it, and the subsequent Labor Party, was birthed by Christian men and women of faith. Christian believers made up the Tolpuddle Martyrs who payed dearly for their freedom from oppression by the politically correct forces of their day. In 1834 they were sent as convicts to Australia. Keir Hardie, the founder of the Labor Party who was elected in 1892 as the first Labor member of the House of Commons in London, was a Christian lay preacher. So the Labor Party's Christian heritage is indisputable.

Back on the 6th of February 2012 I sent some emails out to the Liberal Party (85 to be exact) to say no to a conscious vote. I feel what I wrote in those emails still applys again on the subject of homosexual marriage. Our final authority should be based on the word of God. What does God say in His word about Marriage? Thats the plumbline we should be using to know what the answer to this question is. The Constitution is as you can see from the opening paragraghs has been founded on the word of God. Australia has prospered by using these principles and there for will keep on prospering if these principles are kept in place.

\*Here is what I had written (NB have since then made corrections to some typing errors) back on the 6th of February 2012:-

SUBJECT: Please vote 'NO' to homosexual marriage Date 06/02/2012

To whom it may concern,

Thank you for your long hours and hard work you do for serving the people of this nation. I am asking you to reject the proposal for a

conscience vote for homosexual marriage on the basis of your integrity. Please think of the consequences of what will happen to the people of this nation if Marriage is not honored and kept sacred between a man and a women..

People think that giving freedom to everybody by having their rights made legal is a good thing because that way no one misses out and everybody has an equal share. But that is not so. We can not please everyone and by doing so by accommodating Homosexual marriage a person will lose their identity of who they truly are.. No more separate identities of boy or girl we are just all one of the same. What will it read on the birth certificate then when a baby is born- Gender; UNKNOWN so not to offended anyone?? And there goes another nursery rhyme- No more Jack and Jill because these days we need to be Politically correct even though Political correctness was coined by Marxism... And what about the Curriculum in schools?? What a nightmare that will be to try and accommodate this into the texts books and not to mention the confusion it will cause over this Nation and so on etc etc....

.. .

And then there is this. Please recall that the Marriage Amendment Act 2004 was a Coalition initiative which is not subject to a "conscience vote". There for Liberal parliamentarians when elected are to reflect the party's policies. So to vote for a "conscience vote" will not be a true conscience vote at all but one of betrayal of truth and just another broken commitment to the people of this Nation.

Yours Sincerely,

Ms Piroska Williams

\*Here now is my second email I sent out:-

Re: SUBJECT: Please vote "NO" to Homosexual marriage

To whom it may concern,

On the 6th of February I sent an email to 85 Liberal Parliamentarians to you ask you to vote No to homosexual marriage. Out of the 85 Liberal Parliamentarians I emailed I only recieved 2 back that were for homosexual marriage. This is the reply I sent back to them. I feel you too need to know about this too so hopefully you can stand under the pressure that is coming your way from a small minority of people. Boundaries (or laws) are set in place to protect people from themselves and from each other. There has been and always will be a minority of people who would like to tear down these walls of protection to only get their own way.

Here is my reply I emailed back to the two members of the Liberal Party:-

Thank you for emailing back to me. I do understand with what you are

saying and I feel for these people as well but I do have serious concerns if we move the boundaries of marriage in the wrong direction to eventually to allow this. Where will it then stop. Do you say no then to an extra person in the marriage scene or to where a marriage is then allowed four or so many people to be united in the family so not to discriminate against people who are practicing this sort of thing. Where then do you draw the line. There's even a so called religion that thinks having sex with young children is allowed so do we then cater to their needs by moving the marriage boundaries to include them too???

Marriage between a man and a women was put in place to protect the children. As I said I feel for the these same sex couples for wanting the same as a man and a women. God put in each one of us this need to be loved and to be part of a family but when it is outside of the perfect law only pain and heartache can come from it. It's like trying to put a round peg into a square hole.... It doesn't fit no matter how hard you try to make it work.

We already have an increasing amount of break down in families and I'm sorry to say adding this on top of giving Homosexuals the same rights will only add to it and I surely wouldn't like to be the one agreeing with this to know that I have just caused more heart ache and break down of the family unit. Who in all of this is thinking of the children because all I see is grown adults thinking of themselves.

Yours sincerely,

Ms Piroska Williams