Senate Inquiry into Teaching and Learning

Our submission to the Inquiry comprises the attached document, an open letter which was sent on December 21st to all federal and state ministers of education (and their shadows), prefaced by the following email.

Dear Minister

Please find attached an open letter to the federal minister and all state ministers of education in response to the recent damning findings of PIRLS 2011 concerning Year 4 reading levels in Australia. In the opinion of the 35 signatories to this letter (all of whom have expert knowledge of children's difficulties in learning to read and who are drawn from a wide variety of fields of expertise), urgent attention must now be paid be paid to the training and education of teachers, and we urge you all to take this action to improve the literacy levels of Australian children.

Dr Kerry Hempenstall

Emeritus Professor Max Coltheart, AM

Emeritus Professor Kevin Wheldall, AM

An Open Letter to all Federal and State Ministers of Education

In a recent article in the Australian ("Bell tolls for classroom reform", 12/12/12), Geoff Masters, Chief Executive of the Australian Council for Educational Research is reported as being extremely disappointed (as any Australian would be) at seeing Australia ranked 27th in the PIRLS international survey of children's reading abilities, and quotes him as urging that we should be looking at such questions as "How well are we teaching reading? How well are we preparing teachers to teach reading?"

These are not new questions.

In March 2004, *The Australian* published an open letter addressed to Dr Brendan Nelson, then Minister for Education, Science and Training, signed by 26 senior people in the fields of psychology, education, speech pathology, audiology, and linguistics, expressing concerns with literacy levels in Australian children and especially concerns with the way in which reading was typically being taught in Australian schools. The letter asked the Minister to commission a review of the approaches to reading instruction adopted in Australian schools.

The Minister did so, instituting towards the end of 2004 a National Inquiry into the Teaching of Literacy in Australia and particularly asking the Committee conducting this inquiry to report on the current state of teacher education and the extent to which it prepares teachers adequately for reading instruction. This Committee submitted its report in December 2005. This Report and associated summaries of it has since been removed from the Federal Government's web site, but the material can still be read at the website of the Australian Council for Educational Research – see http://tinyurl.com/d6v2v9y

The Report made 20 recommendations. Several of these focussed on improving the preparation of student teachers for being able to teach children how to read, since the Committee had found clear evidence that this was currently inadequate. The Report was favourably received by the Minister, and also by various national bodies concerned with children's reading difficulties, such as Learning Difficulties Australia. But none of the Report's 20 recommendations was ever acted upon. (In January 2006 Dr Nelson assumed a new portfolio, so there was a new Minister for Education from that date; she did respond to the Report, but not by acting on any of its recommendations.)

In June 2009 the Hon Bill Shorten, then Parliamentary Secretary for Disabilities and Children's Services, set up a Dyslexia Working Party to write a report for him proposing a national agenda for action to assist people with dyslexia (difficulties in reading). This report was submitted to him on January 10 2010. It can be obtained from http://www.dyslexiaaustralia.com.au/DYSWP.pdf

The report made 19 recommendations for actions to deal with dyslexia in the Australian population. One of these was that in all teacher-training courses teachers should be made fully familiar with the research on how children learn to read, why some children find it so difficult, and how such difficulties can best be treated.

In September 2012 the Dyslexia Working Party received a Federal Government response to its report, over the signatures of the Minister for School Education, Early Childhood and Youth and the Parliamentary Secretary for Disabilities and Carers. This response

indicated that the Government proposed to take no action on any of the Working Party's 19 recommendations.

So Federal Governments have known about this problem for nearly a decade, and have received advice from two independent committees of investigation about how to deal with the problem. This advice has been ignored.

And so the results from PIRLS showing that so many Australian children are now very poor readers, though certainly disappointing, are not surprising to anyone who examines what happens in schools, and compares it to what research has clearly shown to be effective in promoting successful reading development. The 2005 National Inquiry into the Teaching of Literacy (NITL) pointed to, and urged us to follow, the direction towards evidence-based practice taken recently in both Great Britain and the USA following national reports compiled by eminent experts in reading development. However, little productive change has eventuated at the policy level, much less at the classroom level. Indeed if the recommendations of the NITL were adopted, wholesale retraining of teachers would be necessary to provide them with the understanding of literacy not presented to them in their own teacher training.

We have significant problems in education from the beginning stages, in that we do not teach reading well. We do not use approaches known to be effective in initial reading instruction. As a nation, we do not routinely screen students entering school for underdeveloped pre-reading skills critical for facilitating learning to read, nor do we monitor student progress in learning to read in a manner that allows for successful early intervention with students failing to progress. We do not redress our early system failure during the middle primary years. In the secondary years, we have a significant group of disillusioned students who have lost contact with the curriculum because of these earlier issues. We tend to focus attention and resources upon compensatory educational options instead of emphasising the resolution of our earlier mistakes. The sequence of initial failure-shame-frustration-disengagement-dropout is predictable and ongoing. Currently, it is being addressed piecemeal, as if they were separate problems.

We need a vast shake-up at all levels of teacher training. By turning our gaze to educational practices supported by empirical research we can make optimum use of our resources to complete the task with effectiveness and efficiency.

We, as a group of concerned reading scientists, clinicians and educators, urge your immediate attention to what has become a national disgrace.

Dr Caroline Bowen, Macquarie University and University of KwaZulu-Natal Associate Professor Lesley Bretherton, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne Associate Professor Mark Carter, Macquarie University Special Education Centre Professor Anne Castles, Dept. of Cognitive Science, Macquarie University Alison Clarke, Speech Pathologist, Melbourne Emeritus Professor Max Coltheart, AM, Dept. of Cognitive Science, Macquarie

Emeritus Professor Max Coltheart, AM, Dept. of Cognitive Science, Macquarie University

Associate Professor Elizabeth Conlon, School of Applied Psychology, Griffith University Professor Linda Cupples, Dept. of Linguistics, Macquarie University

Dr Molly de Lemos, Psychologist, Melbourne

Dr Janet Fletcher, School of Psychology, University of Western Australia

Dr Lorraine Hammond, School of Education, Edith Cowan University

Dr Kerry Hempenstall, Discipline of Psychology, RMIT

Associate Professor Virginia Holmes, Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, University of Melbourne

Dr Coral Kemp, Macquarie University Special Education Centre

Dr Saskia Kohnen, Dept. of Cognitive Science, Macquarie University

Dr Suze Leitão, School of Psychology and Speech Pathology, Curtin University

Dr Wayne Levick, Learning Disorders Clinic, John Hunter Children's Hospital, Newcastle

Dr Alison Madelaine, Macquarie University Special Education Centre

Associate Professor Frances Martin, School of Psychology, University of Newcastle

Dr Rebecca Mathews, The Australian Psychological Society

Dr Meredith McKague, Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, University of Melbourne

Yvonne Meyer, Committee Member, National Inquiry into the Teaching of Literacy Mandy Nayton, Educational and Developmental Psychologist and President AUSPELD

Dr Roslyn Neilson, Speech Pathologist, Language, Speech & Literacy Services

Associate Professor Kristen Pammer, Research School of Psychology, The Australian National University

Professor Chris Pratt, Australian College of Applied Psychology

Professor Margot Prior, AO, Dept. of Psychological Sciences, University of Melbourne

Dr Meree Reynolds, Macquarie University Special Education Centre

Dr Tanya Serry, Dept. of Human Communication Sciences, La Trobe University

Dr Karen Smith-Lock, ARC Centre of Excellence for Cognition and its Disorders, Macquarie University

David Stokes, The Australian Psychological Society

Dr Hua-Chen Wang, Dept. of Cognitive Science, Macquarie University

Emeritus Professor Kevin Wheldall, AM, Macquarie University Special Education Centre

Dr Robyn Wheldall, Macquarie University Special Education Centre

Associate Professor Cori Williams, School of Psychology and Speech Pathology, Curtin University

Dr Craig Wright, Psychologist, Understanding Minds and Griffith University