Item 1	Subsection 48(1)	 Agree with suggested changes. However, the following comments to be noted Port authorities must be notified regarding the status of 'pratique'. The 'Biosecurity Status Document' is currently sent only to the vessel directly and to the agents. It is recommended that the BSD be sent to Port Authorities also. It has been noted that the Human Biosecurity officer assessing a vessel often mentions that a vessel is permitted to berth even if pratique has not been granted. This is leading to misunderstanding between stakeholders. The only conditions for pratique are given in subsection 48(1) a & b. Permission for berthing is the Port Authority's prerogative and must not be commented upon by the HBO. 'Pratique' is meant to clarify the health status of the vessel. There is ambiguity in application of the 'grant of pratique' process. It is being mentioned by WA DAWE officials that once pratique has been granted, it cannot be revoked irrespective of the health status of the crew. This is a Federal issue and needs clarification as, changing health status must reflect in the 'Pratique' status to indicate a healthy or unhealthy vessel. Pratique has been recently withdrawn for a vessel in NSW which is not consistent with the interpretation in WA
Item 2	Subsection 48(1) (penalty)	Agree with suggested changes. However, as above, information sharing with Port Authorities will assist in early detection of the above.
Item 3	Section 48	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 4	Section 3	Agree with suggested changes. However, the potential class of individuals is required to be better defined
Item 5	Section 9	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 6	Section 9	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 7	Section 34(1)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 8	Section 35	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 9	Section 35	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 10	Section 38(4)(b)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 11	Section 38(4)(c)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 12	Section 38	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 13	Subsection 40(1)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 14	Subsection 55(1)(a)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 15	Subsection 60(1)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 16	New Part 3A in Part 2	Agree with suggested changes. However, further explanation is required regarding the time validity of Biosecurity directions under this change. Section 108M - needs clarity regarding use and type of PPE being mandated
Item 17	Subsection 523(1)	Agree with suggested changes.

Biosecurity Amendment (Enhanced Risk Management) Bill 2021 [provisions] Submission 6

Item 18	Paragraph 635(1)(a)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 19	Subitem 19(1)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 20	Before subsection 193(1)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 21	After subsection 193(1)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 22	Before subsection 193(2)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 23	Subsection 193(2)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 24	Paragraph 193(4)(a)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 25	Subsection 193(5)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 26	Subsection 193(6)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 27	Section 194 (heading)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 28	Paragraph 194(1)(a)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 29	After subsection 194(1)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 30	After subsection 194(2)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 31	Subsection 194(3)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 32	Subsection 194(3)	Agree with suggested changes.
	(penalty)	
Item 33	Subsection 194(4)	Agree with suggested changes.
Item 34	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Agree with suggested changes.
Itama 25		A green with a regressed about the
	` /	
	, ,	0 00
item 37		
		Agree with suggested changes.
	<u> </u>	Agree with suggested changes
		Agree with suggested changes.
		Agree with suggested changes
		Agree with suggested changes.
	Subsection 194(4)	, , ,