| Topic | Issue | Departmental Position | Next Steps |
|----------------|---|---|---------------------------|
| ADA | Concerns regarding TPI not being available to ex-service people when they reached 65 years and older. | ADA will now be available for eligible veterans | NFA |
| ADA | Concern regarding assistance and care for veterans once they pass retirement age | DVA will continue to provide support for the life of the veteran, not just those of working | NFA |
| ADA | If the proposed Additional Disablement Amount is included in the new scheme, I trust it will also provide the same eligibility for the spouse on the veteran's demise. | The Bill allows for this. | NFA |
| ADF | Australia must take a lead out of the Aussie military playbook in that after sogs serving the Aus military are able to be adopted by civilians after a behavioural assessment by the US army vets. A lage number of retired MWDs have been adopted by families following service and assessment. In the Australian military if a handler does not wish to retire the allocated dog there is no choice other than euthanising. | Out of scope | NFA |
| Administration | Endorsement of merged Commissions. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Administration | Endorsement of merged Commissions. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Administration | Endorsement of merged Commissions. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Administration | Endorsement of merged Commissions. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Administration | Veterans' eligibility for benefits should be coordinated as far as possible on a whole-of-Government basis. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Administration | There should be improved coordinated administration of payments across government. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Administration | There should be improved coordinated administration of payments across government. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Administration | Debt/overpayment recovery administration should reflect findings from the ROBODEBT Royal Commission. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Administration | Disagrees with the reduction to 28 days from 42 days for the production of documents for the VRB. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Administration | The bill could have been a medium for addressing some of the identified Transition issues. | Noted. | For later consideration. |

Attachment A

Thematic Analysis of Submission Received on the Exposure Draft of the Veterans' Entitlements, Treatment and Support (Simplification and Harmonisation Bill 2024

| Appeals | Will appeals from the VRB to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal or the Administrative Review Tribunal be heard by a panel of members or a member sitting alone? | The Administrative Review Tribunal Bill allows for multi-member panels to conduct merits reviews, thereby drawing on members with legal experience and those with lived experience of the relevant jurisdictional area. | NFA |
|----------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Benefits | The calculation allowing the conversion of weekly amounts to lump sums should use the veteran's life expectancy, not their age. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Benefits | Provisions regarding the additional amount to be paid either to the impaired person or to the primary carer of an eligible young person or child do not sufficiently recognise the various caring arrangements that may apply. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Case Law | Preservation of case law | Noted. All MRCA case law will be retained. | NFA |
| Claims process | Injuries reported by Defence should trigger a claims process. | Noted. Provision for 'CDF claims' already exists in the MRCA. Issue is partially addressed (and rendered unnecessary) by the proposed 'medical event on duty' provision. | For administration consideration. |
| Claims process | Seeking information on relevant conditions covered by Presumptive Liability | Noted. | NFA. Information provided. |
| Claims process | Seeking information on relevant conditions covered by Presumptive Liability | Noted. | NFA. Information provided. |
| Claims process | Contrasting with Presumptive Liability is the continued reliance on supporting medical evidence to prove a link to service. | Noted; some policy flexibility on this point. | NFA. |
| Claims process | No change to the needs-assessment process, which serves to delay compensation. | Noted. Following 1/7/26 the needs assessment process can be refined in policy and administration. | For administration consideration. |
| Claims process | Very supportive of maintenance of prohibition on legal practitioners at the VRB. | Noted. | NFA. |

Attachment A

Thematic Analysis of Submission Received on the Exposure Draft of the Veterans' Entitlements, Treatment and Support (Simplification and Harmonisation Bill 2024

| Claims process | The precise date of clinical onset is often difficult to establish. | Known policy issue. | For policy consideration. |
|-------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Claims process | The bill does not adequately address the complexity of establishing the 'date of effect'. | Noted. The Bill does allow for some clarification and simplification. | NFA. |
| Claims process | Worsening of VEA-accepted conditions should be assessed under existing VEA arrangements. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Claims process | Contended that medical reports are sometimes withheld. | There is no practice of not including a Medical Adviser opinion that has been provided for the purpose of the primary determination in the s.137 report | |
| Claims process | Suggested 'self-executing time limit' mechanism for the determination of claims. | The MRCC's view was that statutory timeframes not be applied to MRCA initial liability claims, on the basis that statutory timeframes would not produce better outcomes for claimants. | NFA |
| Claims processing | Concerns with existing claims processes and qualifications of DVA staff | Being addressed as part of response to RC Interim report | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Improved consistency of decision making | Being addressed as part of response to RC Interim report | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Request to streamline claims lodgement process | Being addressed as part of response to RC Interim report | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Concerns that current assesment processes are unfairly biased and detrimental to veterans' mental health | Noted | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Request for improved processes for veterans/Defence members engaged on classified operations | Noted | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Suggests combining the initial liability and permanent impairment assessment process. | Noted | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Role of DVA CMA's in reviewing specialist reports | Noted. | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | General criticism of DVA claims processes and the lack of support for applicants | Noted. Work is underway on the advocacy support system. | For further consideration |
| Claims processing | Eligibility for veterans residing overseas | Noted. This is in respect of service pension. | For later consideration |

| Claims processing | Concerns with complexity of current claims process and requirement for veterans to provide evidence | Claim lodgement processes are being improved under the single ongoing Act model through changes to information sharing between DVA/Defence and the introduction of presumptive liability under the MRCA | For administration consideration |
|-------------------|---|---|---|
| Claims processing | Suggestions to improve claims processing and reduce time taken to process entitlements | DVA has already put steps in place to assist with this (such as decision-ready poicies). The introduction of Presumptive Liability provisions will also benefit claims processes. | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Request for timeframes to be included for decision-making | DVA has internal performance indicators for the processing of claims but is not supportive of legislating timeframes | NFA |
| Claims processing | Concerns with the application of timeframes to lodge claims | DVA will investigate claims irrspective of the length of time between incident and claim | NFA |
| Claims processing | Request to assess and treat claims for conditions separately, not combined | MRCA WPI rules to apply | NFA |
| Claims processing | Slow and inconsistent claims processing, leading to adverse mental health impacts | Noted | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Suggestions for imporvements to claims processes | Noted | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Concerns with the requirement to provide evidence to support DVA claims | Noted. | For administration consideration |
| Claims processing | Request for face to face meetings when submititng a claim with the Department | Currently available | NFA |
| Claims processing | Removal of cap on compensation and one delegate handles initial liability and PI | MRCA WPI rules to apply in respect of compensation amounts, the other suggestion will be considered in administration. | Processing issue for potential future consideration |

| Claims processing | Case-specific concerns (spinal condition not service-caused) | Noted | Refer to claims area for review |
|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Commencement | I urge the stakeholders to reconsider the commencement date in the best interests of veterans and their families. | Noted | NFA |
| Common law damages | The common-law damages upper limit should be indexed twice a year. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| Common law damages | The common-law damages upper limit should be indexed twice a year. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| Complexity | Reduce the amount of paperwork required ie lifting reports by looking at job profiles | DVA has already put steps in place to assist with this (such as decision-ready poicies). The introduction of Presumptive Liability provisions will also benefit claims processes. | NFA |
| Concessions | Question around why the ACT doesn't recognise White Cards for concession purposes | The setting of concessions is outside the scope of the reform work underway | For future consideration |
| Concessions | Request stamp duty to be waived in Western Australia like it is in Victoria | Out of scope | NFA |
| Consultation | The consultation deadline should have been extended to allow Royal commission final-report recommendations to be addressed. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Consultation | A requested extension to the consultation to allow more thorough examination of the changes was denied. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Consultation | Complexity of draft Bill | Noted | NFA |
| Consultation | Limited ability for veterans to understand technicalities of changes being proposed. | Noted | NFA |
| Consultation | Webinar timing unsuitable for 91 year old veteran | Noted | NFA |
| Consultation | Lack of targeted consultation with impacted veterans | Noted | NFA. |
| Consultation | Consultation to occur with the whole veteran community. | Noted | NFA |
| Consultation | Request revised closing date for submissions | Noted | NFA |

Attachment A

Thematic Analysis of Submission Received on the Exposure Draft of the Veterans' Entitlements, Treatment and Support (Simplification and Harmonisation Bill 2024

| Coordination with other agencies | Do other agencies provide 'clearances' to prevent overpayments from DVA? | DVA compensation claims delegates will send a clearance to Services Australia when it is identified that a client may have been, or is currently, in receipt of Income Support payments from Services Australia payments. | NFA |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Coverage | The proposed changes do not provide appropriate coverage to address the modern, multi-agency approach to (particularly) peacekeeping-type operations, e.g 'Team Australia'. | Longstanding issue, which is partially reflected in the accepted changing nature of warfare and the WoG approach to defence-type activities. | NFA. |
| Coverage | No extension of eligibility for all conditions, i.e cancer and TB. | Noted. Out of scope. | For later consideration. |
| Coverage | No extension of eligibility for all conditions, i.e cancer and TB. | Noted. Out of scope. | For later consideration. |
| Coverage | No extension of eligibility for all conditions, i.e cancer and TB. | Noted. Out of scope. | For later consideration. |
| Coverage | The bill should provide clarity about coverage on duty or on exercise or on deployment. | Noted. 'Injury on duty' will partly ameliorate this concern. | For policy consideration. |
| Coverage | Deployed police officers should be covered by standalone legislation with veteran-type benefits, with White Card equivalent benefit and 'veteran' status. | Noted. Longstanding issue. | NFA. |
| Coverage | The legislation should be amended to provide clarity on 24/7 coverage on deployment, exercise or operation. | The current policy approach supports flexibility to respond to the circumstances of service, with policies updated in line with relevant case law. | NFA |
| Coverage | A temporal test should be added, to replicate the 'medical event' provision in the DRCA. | The 'medical event on duty' head of liability is being added to the MRCA as part of this reform. | Change is included in the draft bill. |
| Coverage | The requirement that the "unintended consequences of medical treatment" should not be limited to treatment provided for an accepted service injury. | Amendment to allow for the "unintended consequences of medical treatment" to not be limited to treatment provided for an accepted service injury is being made. | Change is included in the draft bill. |

Attachment A

Thematic Analysis of Submission Received on the Exposure Draft of the Veterans' Entitlements, Treatment and Support (Simplification and Harmonisation Bill 2024

| Coverage | The draft legislation does not address the Expert Advisory Group's recommendations to provide clarity about the definition of 'rendering defence service' | Two additional heads of liability (medical event on duty and presumptive liability) are being added to the MRCA, and will operate to ameliorate any perceived lack of clarity in determining what constitutes 'rendering defence service'. | Changes are included in the draft bill. |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Coverage | Whether the Department should engage with the Department of Defence and the Attorney-General's Department regarding better recognition of peacekeeping service for ADF and non-ADF personnel | 26 April F80 recognition and extension of benefits constitute a difficult environment for affected individuals, one that is longstanding and that has usually been responded to on the basis of the unique nature of military service. | The development of a Government position may benefit from a crossdepartment policy position. |
| Coverage | Request compensation for MWD's. Many of these dogs are euthanised far earlier than necessary due to the carers inability to afford the cost of ongoing treatment. | Noted | NFA |
| Coverage for non-ADF individuals | Coverage for police and other non-ADF peacekeepers has not kept pace with the nature of warfare and composition of deployments. | The 2011 Review of Military Compensation Arrangements concluded that it was not appropriate to provide police contingents access to a scheme that had been designed to provide for the unique nature of military service. | NFA |
| Cultural change | Concerns regarding the depatment looking to deny liability | Being addressed as part of response to RC Interim report | For administration consideration |
| Cultural change | Concerns regarding the depatment looking to deny liability | Being addressed as part of response to RC Interim report | For administration consideration |
| Cultural change | Concerns regarding the depatment looking to deny liability | Being addressed as part of response to RC Interim report | For administration consideration |
| Cultural change | Request to enact significant, welfare based, peer supported change asap for our veterans and their families | Being addressed as part of response to RC Interim report | For administration consideration |
| Cultural change | Criticism of reform process and complaints regarding claim outcomes | Noted | For administration consideration |

| Cultural change | Reform doesn't go far enough to address the 'impersonal' nature of | Noted | For administration |
|-----------------|--|---|----------------------|
| | DVA supports | | consideration |
| Cultural change | Request to allow veterans lodging claims to be treated without | Noted | For administration |
| | suspicion and apply the legislation more beneficially | | consideration |
| Cultural change | Concerns that current assesment processes are unfairly biased and | Noted | For administration |
| | detrimental to veterans' mental health | | consideration |
| Cultural change | Concern with times taking to process claims | | For administration |
| | | | consideration |
| Cultural change | Request to enact significant, welfare based, peer supported change | Noted | For later |
| | asap for our veterans and their families | | consideration |
| Cultural change | Concerns that current assesment processes are unfairly biased and | noted | NFA |
| | detrimental to veterans' mental health | | |
| Cultural change | Request for DVA to pay benefits lawfully determined and to apply the | Noted | NFA |
| | legislation beneficially for veterans | | |
| Cultural change | Change DVAs adversarial culture and give everyone a gold card. | Noted | NFA |
| Definition | Definition of 'Veteran' is inconsistent. | The Veterans Ministers' Round Table on 8 November 2017 agreed a common definition of the term 'veteran' - as 'a person who is serving or has served in the Australian Defence Force'. | motes the definition |

Attachment A

Thematic Analysis of Submission Received on the Exposure Draft of the Veterans' Entitlements, Treatment and Support (Simplification and Harmonisation Bill 2024

| Definition | Definition of 'Veteran' is inconsistent. | The Veterans Ministers' Round Table on 8 November 2017 agreed a common definition of the term 'veteran' - as 'a person who is serving or has served in the Australian Defence Force'. | A definition of 'veteran' will be included in the draft legislation. DVA notes the definition will have no effect on the operation of the Act as the term 'veteran' is not used in the MRCA. |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Definition | Clear definition of " dependant" | Noted | For later consideration |
| Definition | Comments regarding the term 'veteran' | Noted | NFA |
| Definition | Query that the definition of Mariner which relates to 'ships' excludes the veterans serving as submariners on Submarines | Noted | NFA |
| Definition | Suggests you must have served in a war zone or a peace keeping environment to be defined a veteran | Noted | NFA |
| Dependant Benefits | Funeral allowance - Reimbursement model puts up front pressure on families | Wherever practical, the families of deceased veteans can direct DVA can pay the cost of a funeral to either the person who carried out the funeral or any other person who incurred the cost of the funeral (section 268) | For administration consideration |
| Dependant Benefits | The Queensland Government does not recognise the term Wholly Dependent Partner for the State Government rebates and is declining War Widows/ers entitlements. I urge that the use of the term 'Wholly Dependent Partner' be removed from MRCA and replaced with 'War Widow/er'. | The setting of concessions is outside the scope of the reform work underway | For future consideration |
| Dependant Benefits | VEA auto-granting of entitlements under new arrangements | Arrangements to be retained under the MRCA for the families of veterans in these categories. | NFA |

| Dependant Benefits | I believe it would be beneficial if benefits for children that are assessed as eligible young persons was extended to include the provision of a Gold Card until they enter full time employment or reach the age of 18. | Coverage being extended under revised arrangements | NFA |
|--------------------|--|---|------|
| Dependant Benefits | Changes to benefits for the families of VEA veterans | MRCA coverage being expanded to the families of deceased VEA veterans | NFA |
| Dependant Benefits | Gold Card eligibiity for dependants of deceased and EDA veterans. Veteran was grateful for DVA's prompt response on this issue. | Noted | NFA |
| DRCA veterans | The proposed MRCA threshold test will prevent DRCA-eligible veterans accessing certain benefits, particularly the Gold Card. | Noted. | NFA. |
| DRCA veterans | Calling for retrospective Gold Card eligibility for DRCA widows. | Noted. | NFA. |
| DRCA veterans | Application of SoPs to current DRCA veterans is 'unfair'. | SoPs are underpinned by sound medical scientific evidence, and are acknowledged as providing consistency and certainty for claimants and delegates. | NFA. |
| DRCA veterans | Application of SoPs to current DRCA veterans is 'unfair'. | SoPs are underpinned by sound medical scientific evidence, and are acknowledged as providing consistency and certainty for claimants and delegates. | NFA. |
| DRCA veterans | Worsening of DRCA-accepted conditions should be assessed under existing DRCA arrangements. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Education Schemes | I would like to see the education monies that are available to people under MRCA made available to all veterans. | Coverage being extended under revised arrangements | NFA |
| Financial advice | Increased demand for financial advice, compounded by the higher costs of these services. | Noted. | |
| Financial advice | Increased demand for financial advice, compounded by the higher costs of these services. | Noted. | |

| Funeral allowance | Inequity between MRCA/VEA reimbursement amounts | MRCA eligibility being extended to VEA families in auto-grant categories and where death(s) are related to service | NFA |
|-------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Funeral benefits | That there is no indexation of funeral benefits under the VEA. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| General | Request for primary level claims to be examined more 'benefcially' without having to go to review | Noted | For administration consideration |
| General | GST tax exemption for motor vehicle purchase only applies to TPI, not EDA | MRCA rules to apply under new arrangements | For later consideration |
| General | Request to increase the cost(s) available to veterans for obtaining financial advice | Noted | For later consideration |
| General | Request to reconsider certain elements within the current support system, including: 1. Providing ex-partners with lifelong service pensions. 2. Changing 'Defence housing' to 'Defence and Veteran Housing' to allow increased support for non-serving families. 3. Change dependant children age for those with disabilities. 4. Improve training for DVA phone staff. 5. Provide adequate staff members in DVA locations to enable face-to-face meetings to answer queries from families. | Noted | For later consideration |
| General | Job application (unrelated to reform/Bill) | N/A | NFA |
| General | Coverage for Reservists | Coverage for Reservists exists under current arrangements and will continue under the single ongoing Act model | NFA |
| General | Comments on the Royal Commission's recommendations | Noted | NFA |
| General | Comments that the system needs to be better designed to support long- serving members rather than providing large payouts to junior members injured early employment | Noted | NFA |
| General | Concerns with current system and comment(s) that representation should not be required during the claims process | Noted | NFA |
| General | Concerns with progress of implementing Royal Commission recommendations | Noted | NFA |

| General | Criticism with Government processes which see veterans being | Noted | NFA |
|---------|---|---|----------------------|
| | celebrated for their roles | | |
| General | Equal benefits should be available for all veterans | Noted | NFA |
| General | General criticism of reform process | Noted | NFA |
| General | Submission used as a vehicle to deliver political views on unrelated | Noted | NFA |
| | matters | | |
| General | Need for transparency in reform | Noted | NFA |
| General | The texts of Veterans legislation and explanatory materials should be | Noted | NFA |
| | readily comprehensible by lay people seeking to understand their | | |
| | entitlements and options | | |
| General | General submissions highlighting complexities of the current system | Noted - The reform work underway is a step | NFA |
| | and lack of support for those with co erage under earlier Acts | towards standardising the supports for all | |
| | | veterans' groups | |
| General | The common-law damages upper limit will be irrelevant when | Noted. | NFA |
| | compared with MRCA liability. | | |
| General | Request that all ADF members and veterans receive the same | Noted. This is a core element of the reform. | NFA |
| | entitlements | | |
| General | Request to use rent assisance provided by the ADF for mortgage | This issue is outside of the scope of current | NFA |
| | payments | reform work | |
| General | Requests that if a veteran is eligible for DVA disability payments that | Out of scope | NFA |
| | they should automatically receive (Centrelink) disability support | | |
| | payments. | | |
| General | Case-specific questions | Noted | Refer to claims area |
| | | | |
| General | All new claims should be assessed as new claim not lumped into | Noted | For administration |
| | previous claim determinations. Times taking to process is too long. | | consideration |
| General | Comment regarding assistance to help veterans gain secure housing by | Noted | For later |
| | allowing Gold card recipients to access Dohas | | consideration |
| General | I have a DVA White Card. Why can't I just swipe my card whenever I | Noted | For later |
| | need treatment for an accepted injury? | | consideration |

| General | Guarantee that existing conditions and entitlements to medical treatment are 'grandfathered and Review the requirement for Psychiatrists to review mental health conditions. | Noted. Further consideration to be given to the need for psychiatrists to diagnose MH conditons | For policy consideration |
|---------|--|--|--------------------------|
| General | Section 80 - Recommend that a third-party oversight to ensure compensation reaches the children as intended. | Payments will be directed to the primary carer. | For policy consideration |
| General | Keen to see what further supports are available under the new framework | Noted | NFA |
| General | DRCA currently provides no monetary compensation for those who have recognized service related Tinnitus. As I have not claimed through the DRCA scheme for theses impairments, will the legislated reform allow me to claim compensation through the new MRCA. | All new IL claims will be considered under the MRCA | NFA |
| General | Suggests the extension of veterans' eligibility criteria for income support extended to include members of the Australian Public Service who have served as members of ADF operational deployments in warlike and peacekeeping missions. | Current provisions to remain | NFA |
| General | Requests information on how the new legislation will affect their personal situation | Examples addressed via consultation information/scenarios | NFA |
| General | How will the Bill care for Veterans with complex needs? | Existing DRCA and VEA comp to be grandparented with new claims to be assessed under the improved MRCA. | NFA |
| General | My wife and I do not want to change from our fortnightly payments, and we want to stay as it is, and not have all this stress and worry about what you are trying to do. | Existing DRCA and VEA comp to be grandparented with only new claims to be assessed under the MRCA. | NFA |
| General | A definition of the MRCA eligibility test for current DRCA veterans would be great | Issues addressed via consultation information/scenarios | NFA |
| General | Comment regarding higher compensation payments | MRCA provisions to remian | NFA |
| General | All ex serving veterans should have access to hearing services through Australian Hearing at no cost to themselves. | Noted | NFA |
| General | Hire a small team of Customer support officers to update veterans on their claim. | Noted | NFA |

| General | Increase the weekly maximum amount for Household Services to a | Noted | NFA |
|---------|---|---------------------|--|
| | higher amount. | | |
| General | A key aspect must be a 'no detriment' clause, to ensure veterans will | Noted | NFA |
| | not be adversely impacted by the changes. | | |
| General | Comment that reform will not benefit veterans | Noted | NFA |
| General | Does not support the current model | Noted | NFA |
| General | Doesn't support the new legislation | Noted | NFA |
| General | Ex firefighters (adf) compensation for contamination by afff foam. | Noted | NFA |
| General | Not supportive of the new model | Noted | NFA |
| General | Not supportive of the new or old model | Noted | NFA |
| General | Not supportive of the old model | Noted | NFA |
| General | Not supportive of the old model . | Noted | NFA |
| General | Overwhelmed by the amount of paperwork and information required | Noted | NFA |
| General | Support for current system (but welcomes greater simplification) | Noted | NFA |
| General | There needs to be a clause or provision that no veteran will ever be worse off under the new legislation. | Noted | NFA |
| General | Requests clarification as to whether this Bill will ensure compensation for the trauma they suffered | Case specific query | Check whether case was referred for follow-up response |
| General | Hearing about other people's mental illness on the daily is exhausting. Everyone relates everything to mental illness, and Defence has enabled this to happen. | Noted | NFA |
| General | Suggests creation of a new public service voucher covering housing food and healthcare costs this public service vouch would be in linked to a member credit card allowing a hex loan to help cover theses cost | Noted | NFA |

| General | Concerned how the new model will affect their specific situation | Case specific query | Check whether case was referred for follow-up response |
|------------|--|---|--|
| General | Reports unable to load submission for consultation | N/A | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Expand Gold Card eligibility to veterans aged 70, irrespective of circumstance | Noted | For later consideration |
| Gold Cards | Treatment cards for DRCA veterans | Access to Gold Cards to be expanded under revised arrangements | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Request for Gold Cards to be made available to spouses once the veteran dies | Already available under the MRCA for service- caused deaths and where certain other criteria are met | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Lack of Gold Cards fro DRCA service | Coverage being expanded under MRCA | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Request for Gold Cards for those with National Service during Vietnam | Current arrangements to continue - Those with National Service in Vietnamese operational areas have the same access to VEA benefits as other veterans. Others who complete a specified period of peacetime service on or after 7 December 1972 will also be eligible under the VEA. | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Request for Gold Cards for all veterans who served in operational areas | Current MRCA arrangements to apply | NFA |
| Gold Cards | DRCA Gold Card eligibility | Eligibility being expanded to include DRCA cohorts | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold Card eligibility for DRCA veterans | Eligibility being expanded to include DRCA cohorts | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Automatic granting of Gold Cards for DRCA veterans with 80+ impairment points | Eligibility to be expanded for DRCA veterans under the single ongoing Act, subject to meeting the MRCA assessment threshold(s) | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Any member who has done 30 years should be able to access a Gold card. | MRCA provisions to remian | NFA |

| Gold Cards | Better targeted eligibility for Gold Cards (service pension at 60, automatic G/C eligibility at 70) | MRCA provisions to remian | NFA |
|------------|--|--|-----|
| Gold Cards | That all ex service persons who served in the ADF over the age of 75, be offered a Gold Card. | MRCA provisions to remian | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold Card should be provided to all veterans, irrespective of service type | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold Cards for DRCA veterans | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Provision of Gold Cards based on length of service | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Suggestion to allow gold cards prior to veteran reaching the eligible impairment points | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Better targeted eligibility for Gold Cards (service pension at 60, automatic G/C eligibility at 70) and preventative procedures should be included in NLHC. | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold Card Considerations for veterans who reach 70 and havent deployed | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | If you have a Gold Card Dental treatment must be covered in all aspects. It is so hard to gain support for the need of anaesthesia due to the current legislation. | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Eligibility for existing DRCA veteran | Threshold test is required | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Request for Gold Cards for all veterans who have the points required under DRCA | Coverage being extended under revised arrangements | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold card for all veterans that have been deployed | MRCA model to apply | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Better targeted eligibility for Gold Cards (service pension at 60, automatic G/C eligibility at 70) | MRCA provisions to remian | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Targeted DRCA eligibility for Gold Cards | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold Card considerations for veterans who have had traumatic service outside warlike. | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold cards for all veterans with national service | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Gold cards for all veterans with operational service | Noted | NFA |
| Gold Cards | Review of conditions governing the provision of a Gold Card. | Noted | NFA |

| | | T | I |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------|
| Gold Cards | Suggestion to give every member a gold card and cover medical | Noted | NFA |
| | expresses thereby eliminating the backlog and eliminating a need for | | |
| | DVA to exist | | |
| Gold Cards | Better targeted eligibility for Gold Cards (service pension at 60, | Noted - MRCA criteria to remain | NFA |
| | automatic G/C eligibility at 70) | | |
| Governance | Comment that reform will not benefit veterans | Noted | NFA |
| Governance | Criticism with Government's role in ther reform process and | Noted | NFA |
| | suggestions that it is primarily an exercise in cost-cutting | | |
| Governance | Legislation must have effective accountability mechanisms to address | Noted. The legisation does not cover this | NFA |
| | any misconduct in defence | beyond providing infromation to Defence on | |
| | | claims. The increase to the common law | |
| | | damages cap also serves in this regard. | |
| | | | |
| Governance | Requests an independent Board of Directors comprised of everyday | Noted | NFA |
| | citizens to oversee the development of this legislation. | | |
| | | | |
| | Discrepancies between benefits under Veterans' Home Care and | | |
| Household Services | Household Services. | Access to VHC to be retained. | NFA. |
| | Discrepancies between benefits under Veterans' Home Care and | | |
| Household Services | Household Services. | Access to VHC to be retained. | NFA. |
| | Is a widow's loss of eligibility for household services upon the death of | Administration of Income Support Supplement | |
| lousehold services | | and service pension will remain unchanged. | NFA |
| | their veteran partner attributable to a re-assessment of eligibility? | and service pension will remain unchanged. | |
| Household Services | Increase the maximum statutory limit for the provision of these | Noted | For later |
| | services | | consideration |
| Household Services | Concerns that veterans accessing services via Veterans' Home Care | Access to VHC to be retained | NFA |
| | (VHC) will be disadvantaged under the MRCA | | |
| Household Services | The solution, make available Household Services to Veterans' under the | HHS to become available to all eligible | NFA |
| | VEA. | veterans | |
| | Suggests a 'No detriment' provision for claimants who apply under | Noted. S424 operates to provide some | For policy |
| mplementation | existing framework. | protection. | consideration. |
| | Suggests a 'No detriment' provision for claimants who apply under | Noted. S424 operates to provide some | For policy |
| mplementation | existing framework. | protection. | consideration. |

| | Delayed implementation will continue the need for extended | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Implementation | knowledge/training requirements for DVA staff, advocates and | This is a known consideration. | NFA. |
| | veterans. | | |
| Implementation | Highlighted the need to manage spikes in inquiries and claims, by DVA | This is a known consideration. | NFA. |
| implementation | staff, advocates and veterans. | This is a known consideration. | INFA. |
| Implementation | Adequate levels of training and support need to be made available to | Noted | For administration |
| | advocates and legal groups on the transitional implications for veterans | | consideration |
| | and their families | | |
| Implementation | The Government needs to ensure that an appropriate transition plan is | Noted (and agreed) | For administration |
| | in place for veterans, families, advocates, etc. | | consideration |
| Implementation | The veterans entitlement treatment support (VETS) bill should not go | noted | NFA |
| | before government before the final recommendations from the Royal | | |
| | Commission have been provided. | | |
| Implementation | Requests an independent Board of Directors comprised of everyday | Noted | NFA |
| | citizens to oversee the development of this legislation. | | |
| | | | |
| Incapacity Payments | Calculation of Reservist earnings | Noted - Question related to current policies, | For policy |
| | | unrelated to reform work | consideration |
| Incapacity Payments | Concerns with the transition of DRCA incap recipients to MRCA | Noted | NFA |
| Incapacity Payments | Indexation of DRCA incapacity payments by WPI | Noted | NFA |
| Incapacity Payments | Incorporate superannuation payments into incapacity payments | Noted - MRCA criteria to remain | NFA |
| | | | |
| Incapacity Payments | Consideration for any veteran that qualifies for a Class A pension and | Current MRCA arrangements to apply | NFA |
| | hits enough PI points for a TPI Gold Card should be afforded 100% of | | |
| | their salary at time of discharge. Not dropped to 75% | | |
| | | | |
| Indexation | Concerns with different indexation methodologies between ADA and | Noted | |
| | 'Above General Rate' pensions under the VEA | | |
| Information sharing | Request to link Defence eHealth System with DVA | Information sharing processes between | For further |
| | | DVA/Defence are currently under | consideration |
| | | consideration | |

| Information sharing | Shared Defence/DVA medical health profile for use by DVA/Defence | Information sharing processes between DVA/Defence are currently under consideration | For further consideration |
|---------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| Information sharing | Information-sharing privacy provisions need to be enhanced to avoid a repeat of circumstances such as MATES. | Noted. | NFA |
| Information Sharing | Once an ADF Member separates from full time service - their DVA file should already be pre-populated with all injuries or diseases diagnosed during their ADF service that have presumptive liability. | Information sharing processes between DVA/Defence are currently under consideration | For further consideration |
| nformation Sharing | Portable ADF service records and evidence of ADF service | Information sharing processes between DVA/Defence are currently under consideration | For further consideration |
| Legislation | Grandparenting' will add 40-50 years to current entitlements | All new claims from 1 July 2026 will be covered under the MRCA. The grandparenting arrangements were included to ensure continuity of support until such time as a new claim is lodged. | NFA |
| Legislation | Concerns regarding the delay in commencement from 2025-2026 | Noted | NFA |
| _egislation | Concerns regarding the delay in implementation | Noted | NFA |
| _egislation | Update wording in Schedule 2, Sections 32 and 33 from 'Victoria Cross of Australia' to 'Victoria Cross for Australia'. | Noted | NFA |
| Legislation | Will the Bill be retrospective in application? | Subject to passage of the Bill, the changes will apply to new claims from 1 July 2026 (with the exception of single review pathway, which will commence 60 days from Royal Assent) | NFA |
| Liability | The precise date of clinical onset is often difficult to establish, and should be clarified. | Known policy issue. | For policy consideration |
| Liability | Psycologist to diagnose mental health condition rather than having to wait for a full psychiatrist report | Noted. Further consideration to be given to the need for psychiatrists to diagnose MH conditons | For policy consideration |

| Liability | Change to onus of proof which requires veterans to prove causation | Noted. The onus sits on the Commission. | For policy consideration |
|-----------|--|---|--------------------------|
| Liability | Request for a single standard of proof (per the Productivity Commission recommendation) | BoP and RH standards to be retained under the MRCA | NFA |
| Liability | Request for single standard of proof | Dual standards within the SoPs are a longstanding feature of DVA's assessment framework. The distinction based on service type(s) was retained when the MRCA was developed and continues to be supported. | NFA |
| iability | Concerns with factors contained in the current suite of SoPs | Noted | NFA |
| iability | Consider how service types are classified. The current (BoP/RH) model diminishes certain service | Noted | NFA |
| iability | Request to modify SoPs to include factor(s) for submariners in Vietnamese waters during the 1980s | Noted | NFA |
| Liability | SoPs are not fit for purpose; standards of proof are confusing; SOP factors are difficult to meet; SOP reviews take inordinately long. | Noted. SoPs are underpinned by sound medical scientific evidence, and are acknowledged as providing consistency and certainty for claimants and delegates. | NFA |
| ump sums | Will a lump sum be calculated to the date of the veteran's death, not to the expected life expectancy of the veteran? | The lump sum will be calculated to the date that the veteran would have been in payment, but for their death, in other words to their estimated life expectancy. | NFA |
| V/A | No submission attached | Noted | NFA |
| I/A | Submission not saved into HP Content | N/A | NFA |
| I/A | No submission attached except one page article - The Battlefield Back Home | N/A | NFA |
| N/A | Link to submission doesn't work | N/A | NFA |
| N/A | Submission not attached | N/A | NFA |
| N/A | Submission aimed at working wth DVA on wellness activities for veterans | N/A | NFA |

| National Service | National service to be given an operational name Award anniversary of National Service Medal. Review application of "nature of service" | Current arrangements to continue - Those with National Service in Vietnamese operational areas have the same access to VEA benefits as other veterans. Others who complete a specified period of peacetime service on or after 7 December 1972 will also be eligible under the VEA. | NFA |
|-------------------|---|---|-----|
| National Service | Please include 65-72 Nashos in Non-Liability Health Care. | Current arrangements to continue - Those with National Service in Vietnamese operational areas have the same access to VEA benefits as other veterans. Others who complete a specified period of peacetime service on or after 7 December 1972 will also be eligible under the VEA. | NFA |
| National Service | While the improvements are splendid they fail to address the discrimination against National Servicemen who did not serve overseas | Current arrangements to continue - Those with National Service in Vietnamese operational areas have the same access to VEA benefits as other veterans. Others who complete a specified period of peacetime service on or after 7 December 1972 will also be eligible under the VEA. | NFA |
| Nature of Servce | Classification of Malaysia service in 1955 | Nature of service classifications are via Defence determination and outside of DVA's remit | NFA |
| Nature of Service | Classification of Malaysia as an operational area between 1968-89 | Nature of service classifications are via Defence determination and outside of DVA's remit | NFA |
| Nature of Service | Concern with list of peacekeeping operations in new MRCA 6A | Nature of service classifications are via Defence determination and outside of DVA's remit | NFA |

| Nature of Service | How will 'peacekeeping' service be dealt with under new arrangements (for AFP, etc) | New subsection 6A being included in the MRCA to reflect the types of peacekeeping service covered under the Act | NFA |
|-------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| Nature of Service | The bill should provide clarity about coverage on duty or on exercise or on deployment. | Noted. 'Injury on duty' will partly ameliorate this concern. | NFA |
| Nature of service | A person who enlists for a period of 9 years or greater should be regarded a veteran. | Noted | NFA |
| NLHC | There is no extension of NLHC eligibility to reservists. | Noted. Coverage for Reservists under current arrangements and will continue under the single ongoing Act model. | For later consideration. |
| NLHC | I find no reference to Non-Liability Health Care in the draft legislation. I am still concerned that if or when it is included it will discriminate against servicemen from different eras. | Current NLHC eligibility rules to continue to apply | NFA |
| Offsetting | Opposed to offsetting requirements. | The modern structure of the MRCA already includes benefits subject to the offsetting of Commonwealth-funded components of superannuation, in contrast to VEA benefits which were designed when superannuation was limited and most ADF members served only for a period of a conflict or for a short term. | NFA. |
| Offsetting | Concerns with how offsetting will apply under the new arrangements | The offsetting provisions/requirements as currently prescribed for MRCA claims will continue to apply | For later consideration |
| Offsetting | Discretion for delegates to consider individual circumstances when recovering compensation | While DVA has a general obligation to recover all overpayment(s) of compensation, delegates have discretion to assess the financial circumstances of the individual and consider how that recovery occurs | NFA |

| Offsetting | Concerns regarding Offsetting rules | Provions restricting being compensated twice to remain appropriate | NFA |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| Offsetting | Offsetting arrangements need to be clearly articulated to veterans | Noted | NFA |
| Offsetting | Once the lump sum is recovered, the pension should revert to normal. | Noted | NFA |
| Overpayments | Debt/overpayment recovery administration should reflect findings from the ROBODEBT Royal Commission. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Overpayments | Any changes to recovery arrangements? | There are no planned changes to existing debt- recovery process; grandparenting and indexation of current payments will ensure no reduction in the levels of payments. | NFA |
| Payments to dependants | Whether a bereaved partner who accepts a DRCA lump sum can access Income Support Supplement at a higher ceiling rate. | Administration of Income Support Supplement and service pension will remain unchanged. | NFA |
| Permanent Impairment | Embedding of the whole-of-person approach to permanent impairment is not supported. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Permanent Impairment | Embedding of the whole-of-person approach to permanent impairment is not supported. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Permanent Impairment | Potential for lump sum benefits to be mismanaged, with potential harmful consequences for veterans' mental health and financial situation. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Permanent Impairment | Potential for lump sum benefits to be mismanaged, with potential harmful consequences for veterans' mental health and financial situation. | Noted. | For policy consideration. |
| Permanent Impairment | Noted the risk of providing lump sums to veterans with substance misuse issues | Legislation will allow greater engagement by Commission | For policy consideration |
| Permanent Impairment | Section 80 payment should be linked to age, not number of children | Noted | For policy consideration |
| Permanent Impairment | Concerns that the MRCA's whole-of-person assessment model doesn't allow the full extent of an individual's impairments to be reflected | MRCA model remains appropriate and ensures a veteran can only be assessed with a maximum of 100% functional loss | NFA |

| Permanent Impairment | Use of medical 'panel' for diagnosis and assessment of impairment | Noted | NFA |
|------------------------|---|--|-----|
| Permanent Impairment | Date of Effect changes lack clarity. | Noted. | NFA |
| Permanent Impairment | Concerns regarding eligibility for payments under section 80 of the MRCA | Payments under section 80 will be available subject to meeting the 'MRCA threshold' test for a 5 impairment point worsening (or acceptance of new IL claim), as well as having the impairment(s) assessed at 80+ impairment points under the Guide to Determining Impairment and Compensation. | NFA |
| Permanent Impairment | Request for modifications to section 80 provisions to pay directly to the dependant child or carer | Payments will be directed to the primary carer. | NFA |
| Permanent Impairment | MRCA should have an option for increase in their pension should their conditions worsen. | Current MRCA arrangements to apply | NFA |
| PI | Drafting issues for VEA veterans being assessed under the MRCA PI provisions | Noted | |
| Presumptive Liabililiy | Defence to provide summary of tasks and employment conditions for all trades/jobs within Defence to DVA to support claims process | DVA has explored this issue and adopted a similar approach to support 'decision-ready' claims processes | NFA |
| Presumptive Liabililiy | Add-on to earlier submission, noting occupational exposure to asbestos lagging during service | Noted | NFA |
| Presumptive Liability | Opportunities to refine the proposed Presumptive Liability provisions | Noted | NFA |
| Presumptive Liability | Request for expansion of the proposed list of presumptive liability conditions to better reflect the rigours of ADF service | Noted | NFA |
| Presumptive Liability | Will presumptive liability be applied to all veterans under the new model? | Noted | NFA |
| Presumptive Liability | Question about whether the current list of 'streamlined' conditions will be included under new presumptive liability arrangements | Yes - The Instrument will include those already identified under decision-ready policies | NFA |

| Presumptive Liability | Query regarding how the new legislation will take into account F-111 fuel maintenance workers | The unique arrangements for ADF Firefighters and F-111 Deseal/Reseal workers will be transferred into the MRCA. | NFA |
|-----------------------|---|---|------|
| Presumptive Liability | Presumptive liability is a great inclusion, but will depend on what | Noted | NFA |
| Presumptive Liability | conditions are added. | Noted | INFA |
| Presumptive Liability | Supportive of presumptive liability | Noted | NFA |
| Presumptive Liability | Unless the Commission can prove otherwise, any disease or injury during, or arising from, Service, must be accepted. | Noted | NFA |
| Procedures | Comment regarding why multiple medical documents are required | Noted | NFA |
| Procedures | Propose simplified documentation for GPs to complete | Being addressed via broader reform model | NFA |
| Procedures | Request to index pension fairly, at least with CPI. PI to be done through an annual phone call. | Noted | NFA |
| Rehabilitation | Comment regarding Veterans should receive similar benefits as those of NDIS. | Noted | NFA |
| Rehabilitation | The RAP schedule for to be in line with NDIS | Noted | NFA |
| Rehabilitation | For consideration- regarding Physio for an accepted condition - remove the requirement to supply a business address. I would then be able to use all 12 sessions without having to continually go back to my doctor for a new referral. | Noted | NFA |
| Reservists | Suggests a review of Reservist eligibility be undertaken to ensure those that have served in whatever capacity can access the services and supports they are entitled to. | Noted | NFA |
| Resources | Reduce the time frames for approving claims. If this involves hiring more staff, then do it. | Processes being streamlined under revised arrangements | NFA |
| Resources | When will DVA be given more resources to deal with the back log of claims. | Processes being streamlined under revised arrangements | NFA |
| Review | Recommends an oversight body for DVA to look at the behaviour and performance of politicians and their staff where complaints like this can be made public. | Noted | NFA |

| Review | Recommends a review to be done on the performance and efficacy of the law, with the report of the review laid before the Parliament by a date that is seven years after Royal Assent. | Noted | NFA |
|------------------|--|--|-----|
| Review | Suggests that funding be provided to veterans to independently legally challenge decisions made by DVA. | Noted | NFA |
| Review processes | Concerns that legal representation not permitted at VRB hearings | No changes to this arrangement - VRB hearings are deliberately intended to be non-adversarial and supportive of the veteran | NFA |
| Review processes | Request clarification on what will occur with matters currently with the VRB on the date of implementation of the new Act. | No changes to this arrangement - VRB hearings will continue that are already in motion | NFA |
| Review processes | Request to allow representation at VRB reviews | Noted | NFA |
| Review processes | Single Review Pathway should not exclude lawyers. | Noted. | NFA |
| Review processes | Costs incurred by veteran having to attend the AAT | Review pathway being modified to ensure all veterans to access the Veterans' Review Board and Alternative Dispute Resolution processes (without the need for legal representation) | NFA |
| Service | The Special Ops period is outside the period mentioned above. So in order to avoid confusion it would be sensible to include the Special Ops periods within the Operational Areas. It must be remembered that Vietnam was not the only geographical area that Special Ops went to. | Nature of service classifications are via Defence determination and outside of DVA's remit | NFA |
| Service | Suggeste If veteran served overseas they should be entitled to lifetime support. | Noted | NFA |
| Service | Suggests granting of TPI for DRCA cohort | MRCA model to apply (including SRDP and eligibility for incap if of working age | NFA |

| Service | Operational service on the Thai/Malay Border to include 1969 to 1971. | Nature of service classifications are via Defence determination and outside of DVA's remit | NFA |
|----------------------|---|--|------|
| Service differential | The removal of the service differential sould reflect the changed natures of conflict, service and force structures - 'an injury is an injury'. | The Government's Legislation Reform Pathway listed the Productivity Commission's recommendations 8.4 and 14.1 (which proposed a single standard of proof and a single rate of permanent impairment payment) as being 'not progressed'. | NFA. |
| Service differential | The removal of the service differential sould reflect the changed natures of conflict, service and force structures - 'an injury is an injury'. | The Government's Legislation Reform Pathway listed the Productivity Commission's recommendations 8.4 and 14.1 (which proposed a single standard of proof and a single rate of permanent impairment payment) as being 'not progressed'. | NFA. |
| Service differential | The removal of the service differential sould reflect the changed natures of conflict, service and force structures - 'an injury is an injury'. | The Government's Legislation Reform Pathway listed the Productivity Commission's recommendations 8.4 and 14.1 (which proposed a single standard of proof and a single rate of permanent impairment payment) as being 'not progressed'. | NFA. |
| Service differential | The removal of the service differential sould reflect the changed natures of conflict, service and force structures - 'an injury is an injury'. | The Government's Legislation Reform Pathway listed the Productivity Commission's recommendations 8.4 and 14.1 (which proposed a single standard of proof and a single rate of permanent impairment payment) as being 'not progressed'. | NFA. |

| Service differential | The removal of the service differential sould reflect the changed natures of conflict, service and force structures - 'an injury is an injury'. | The Government's Legislation Reform Pathway listed the Productivity Commission's recommendations 8.4 and 14.1 (which proposed a single standard of proof and a single rate of permanent impairment payment) as being 'not progressed'. | NFA. |
|----------------------|---|--|---|
| SoPs | What is the prevalence of dispute with DVA stemming from the different standards or proof and a strict interpretation of SoPs? | Decisions being set aside on the basis of "Incorrect application of SoP" occur in 4-6% of cases. | NFA |
| SoPs | The prevalence of claims being rejected on the basis of the relevant SoP, where medical evidence pointed to a connection to service? | The SoP system is robust and effective, one that promotes consistency, predictability and transparency and draws a clear line between accepted and non-accepted conditions, based on sound medical-scientific evidence. | NFA |
| SoPs | Could s.340 be used more often, to employ Coimmission-made SoPs? | This provision has never been used under the MRCA (and only once under the VEA). | Consideration will be given to the operation of S.340 in the lead-up to commencement of the single ongoing Act. |
| SRDP | Eligibility for veterans receiving DRCA incapacity payments | Coverage being extended under revised arrangements | NFA |
| SRDP | Review of SRDP payments | MRCA model remains appropriate | NFA |
| SRDP | Discrepency between TPI and SRDP being income and assets tests. | Noted | NFA |
| Superannuation | Rate of superannuation payments | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Support for current system (but welcomes greater simplification) | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Support for reform process | Noted | NFA |
| | | | |

| Support | Support for reforms aimed at reducing rates of suicidality amongst veterans | Noted | NFA |
|---------|--|--------|-----|
| Support | Support for single-ongoing Act proposal and inclusion of grandparenting provisions | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Support for the single ongoing Act model (and MRCA enhancements) | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of having one Act, not Three | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of reform work underway. Suggestions for DVA claims processes | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Welcomed (and supported) the recommendations from the Royal Commission. | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supports revised wording regarding payment to solicitor's trust account. | Noted. | NFA |
| Support | Support for single-ongoing Act proposal and inclusion of grandparenting provisions | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Support for single-ongoing Act proposal and inclusion of grandparenting provisions | noted | NFA |
| Support | I support the suggested changes that the Simplification and Harmonisation Bill 2024. My wish is for parliament to support the changes. | Noted | NFA |
| Support | I welcome the unification of the Acts as part of simplifying this most stressful and cumbersome process. | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Very much like the changes, when things a simpler it easier. | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | noted | NFA |
| Support | Support for single-ongoing Act proposal and inclusion of grandparenting provisions | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |

| Support | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
|----------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| Terminology | The definition of dependence, particularly the need to be economically dependent, has not kept pace with today's family structures and circumstances, and the need to recognise service-caused relationship breakdowns. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| Terminology | Legislation and benefits generally have not kept pace with today's family structures and circumstances. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| Terminology | Replacement of 'war widow' with 'wholly dependant partner' is inappropriate. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| Terminology | Replacement of 'war widow' with 'wholly dependant partner' is inappropriate. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| Terminology | The bill does not sufficiently recognise the uniqueness of ADF service. | Noted. | NFA. |
| Terminology | Definition of a Legal Personal Representative needs to reflect the complexities of personal relationships. | Noted. | For later consideration. |
| Threshold test | Comment that all Veterans should be put on new legislation in one hit. | Eligibility to be expanded for DRCA and VEA veterans under the single ongoing Act, subject to meeting the MRCA assessment threshold(s) | NFA |
| TPI | TPI eligibility under revised model | Issues addressed via consultation information/scenarios | NFA |
| TPI | Future eligibility for TPI being closed off | MRCA model to apply (including SRDP and eligibility for incap if of working age) | NFA |
| TPI | Concerns that TPI eligibility is being removed under the new model | TPI will be closed to new veterans but other supports will be made available under the MRCA such as SRDP and incapacity payments (if of working age) | NFA |
| TPI | Veterans prevented from claiming TPI following introduction of new arrangements | TPI will be closed to new veterans but other supports will be made available under the MRCA such as SRDP and incapacity payments (if of working age) | NFA |

| ГРІ | Your explanations on the Act and in the Website does not address the | Issues addressed via consultation | NFA |
|------------------|--|--|----------------|
| | current TPI veteran, which only adds to the stress Veterans are already | information/scenarios | |
| | subject to worrying about their entitlements. | | |
| | | | |
| ГРІ | Concerns regarding TPI being replaced by SRDP. | Noted | NFA |
| ГРІ | Supportive of attempts to harmonise veterans' legislation | Noted | NFA |
| Гraining | Request for face to face information sessions | Noted | NFA |
| Fransition | Observation that current system is in crisis and is set up to put veterans | Noted | For later |
| | on incapacity payments. Suggesting a three month 'transition' payment | | consideration |
| | for veterans discharging before a focus on return to work. The system | | |
| | should be looking to sever the reliance on long term support. Other | | |
| | suggestions are to improve employer incentives, start to accept | | |
| | conditions automatically and shift rehab focus to recovery | | |
| | | | |
| - Fransition | Improving Rehabilitation and Transition into Employment and longer | Noted | NFA |
| | term outcomes. | | |
| Fransport | Veterans that have war-like service be provided free public transport | Existing provisions contained in Chapter 6, Part | NFA |
| | for life. | 4 of the MRCA remain appropriate | |
| ransport | My sport physio service falls under Allied Health Services which DVA | Existing provisions contained in Chapter 6, Part | NFA |
| | transport don't cover. I believe this needs to be included so I can have | 4 of the MRCA remain appropriate | |
| | arranged DVA transport which allows me to get to my appointment and | | |
| | get me back home. | | |
| Fransport | The private vehicle km rate is not covering fuel costs and wear and tear. | Changes proposed for 1 July 2026 will remove | NFA |
| | | the 50 kilometre round-trip minimum distance | |
| | | requirements and payments for all veterans | |
| | | will be paid at the higher (MRCA) rate | |
| | | | |
| Fravol allowance | Rates of travel allowance should align with Australian Public Service | Noted | For later |
| Travel allowance | rates. | Noted. | consideration. |
| T. IAII. | Rates of travel allowance should align with Australian Public Service | Noted. | For later |
| Travel Allowance | rates. | Noted. | consideration. |

| Travel entitlements | Does DVA pay travel entitlements at the Australian Taxation Office rate? | The rates for travel allowances are aligned with those used under the <i>Safety,</i> Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988. | NFA |
|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| Treatment | Issues raised in the submission appear to relate to medical treatment but are largely indestinguishable beyond that (references to a 'broken system') | Noted | NFA |
| Treatment | Support for PAMT/automated assessment approvals | Noted | For later consideration |
| Treatment | Lack of access to NLHC for cancer for National Service undertaken in 1964 | Current NLHC eligibility rules to continue to apply | NFA |
| Treatment | Extension of transport provisions to include GP,Optical, Physio,Dental appointments | Existing provisions contained in Chapter 6, Part 4 of the MRCA remain appropriate | NFA |
| Treatment | Embossing of treatment cards for intermediate rate vs TPI | MRCA embossing rules to apply ('TPI' embossing for SRDP-eligible veterans and 'EDA' embossing for ADA eligibility) | NFA |
| Treatment | Expand the list of treatments able to be accessed beyond those prescribed in the MBS | Noted | NFA |
| Treatment | Pesumptive Liability is supported, but also recommends additional conditions to be covered under PAMT. | Noted | NFA |
| Treatment | Request to include treatment on the list of reviewable decisions under the MRCA | Treatment decisions are already reviewable under the MRCA | NFA |
| Vehicle assistance | No appeal provisions for Vehicle Assistance Scheme claims. | Noted. Vehicle assistance arrangements will be harmonised and consolidated under the MRCA, with decisions reviewable by the Commission. | NFA. |
| VRB | The VRB should be made by a real independent statutory authority seperate from the influence of the Department of Veterans' Affairs. | No changes to this arrangement - VRB hearings are deliberately intended to be non-adversarial and supportive of the veteran | NFA |

Veterans' Entitlements, Treatment and Support (Simplification and Harmonisation) Bill 2024 [Provisions]
Submission 18 - Attachment 2

Thematic Analysis of Submission Received on the Exposure Draft of the Veterans' Entitlements, Treatment and Support (Simplification and Harmonisation Bill 2024

| Wellbeing | The current system encourages me to be more broken, not less. I get a | Noted | NFA |
|-----------|--|-------|-----|
| | Class B and if I reach another benchmark I get a Class A. I believe we | | |
| | need to do away with this, as it drives people to demonstrate they're | | |
| | more broken in order to access more points towards this benchmark. | | |
| | | | |