

BCA

Business Council of Australia

# BCA Submission to Senate Standing Committee on Economics inquiry into Better Targeted Superannuation Concessions

February 2024

## Contents

1.	About this submission .....	2
2.	Key recommendations .....	2
3.	Timing considerations and prospectivity .....	3
4.	Indexation .....	3
5.	Negative earnings and revenue volatility .....	4
6.	Taxation of unrealised gains .....	4
7.	Defined benefit schemes .....	4
8.	Inadequate consultation and impact analysis .....	5
9.	Recommendations .....	5

# 1. About this submission

The Business Council of Australia welcomes the opportunity to make this submission to the Senate Standing Committee on Economic inquiry into Treasury Laws Amendment (Better Target Superannuation Concessions and Other Measures) Bill 2023.

Superannuation is one of the three pillars of the retirement income system and policy decisions about superannuation touch on major areas of government policy, including the tax and welfare systems and home ownership.

Individuals may spend more than 40 years saving for retirement during their working lives and could have over 15 years in retirement. It is undeniable that the economic and policy landscape will change over such an extended time. It is also reasonable for the public to expect a relative degree of certainty over the policy arrangements for retirement incomes given these long horizons and the complexity of the issues involved.

Despite this, retirement income policy arrangements have been changed repeatedly, undermining confidence in the system and the ability to plan for retirement. It is important that further changes in the taxation arrangements for superannuation, even if narrowly targeted, promote certainty and confidence in superannuation as a whole.

To this end, it is important that governments do not come to view the pool of superannuation savings as a politically expedient source of additional revenue for the purposes of balancing the budget, which is not to deny the importance of budget balance. Individual tax measures that are seemingly well-targeted on equity or other grounds may cumulatively pose a threat to the integrity of the system, not least by raising uncertainty about future taxation arrangements.

The currently narrow scope of the proposed increase in tax on earnings on superannuation balances over \$3 million has emerged in the context of a public debate that canvassed a wider range of potential additional taxes on superannuation. The previous government also made incremental changes to the taxation of superannuation, such the transfer balance cap and lowering the threshold for Division 293 tax.

In this context, those making saving decisions today might reasonably apprehend that the tax burden on superannuation savings will continue to increase over time, undermining confidence in superannuation as a saving vehicle. This trend to seek additional revenue from the superannuation savings pool is in effective opposition to the government's stated desire to promote stability and confidence in superannuation through the new legislated objective for superannuation.

# 2. Key recommendations

- The BCA notes that notwithstanding the proposed measure's prospective application, it does have retrospective effect given that existing superannuation balances have been accumulated within an inflexible framework of rules designed to deliver long-term outcomes for members. Notwithstanding that concern, the prospective application of the measure may afford opportunities for those not in the accumulation phase to restructure existing superannuation and other assets in ways that lower the expected revenue gain from this measure.
- The BCA recommends that the proposed \$3 million threshold be indexed to avoid a growing tax burden over time that will require legislated adjustments in future.
- The BCA recommends against the wider application of the taxation of unrealised gains to superannuation balances below the proposed threshold or to the taxation of capital gains outside superannuation.
- It has not been demonstrated based that the application of the proposed measure to defined benefit arrangements will achieve its stated intention of ensuring commensurate treatment with defined contribution arrangements.
- The consultation period and impact assessment for the proposed measure was inadequate based on the government's own best practice guidance. The BCA accordingly welcomes further parliamentary scrutiny of the bill to minimise unintended consequences that might require future legislative fixes.

### 3. Timing considerations and prospectivity

The proposed measure will commence on 1 July 2025 and apply from the 2025-26 financial year onwards. This means individuals with a total superannuation balance (TSB) more than \$3 million on 30 June 2026 will be subject to the new arrangements. Earnings on the part of an individual's TSB over \$3 million will attract an additional 15 per cent tax. The government estimates that the measure will raise an additional \$900 million over the forward estimates and \$2 billion when operating in its first full year.<sup>1</sup>

The prospective application of the measure is appropriate given that some funds will likely need to restructure their holdings of illiquid assets in order to meet the additional tax burden.

However, it should be noted that while the measure is prospective in application, it is not without retrospective effect. Superannuation balances in excess of \$3 million were accumulated in the expectation that the existing taxation arrangement would continue. Superannuation is unlike other forms of savings subject to tax in that it is accumulated within an inflexible framework of tax and other rules with a view to achieving long-term outcomes for fund members. In this context, even prospective measures can have retrospective effect and undermine confidence in superannuation as a saving vehicle.

The prospective application of the measure may nonetheless afford opportunities for some fund members no longer in the accumulation stage to restructure their superannuation and other assets to reduce the incidence of the additional tax, for example, by selling assets to realise existing gains before the measure comes into effect. Going forward, there will be an incentive to keep balances below the \$3 million threshold for additional tax.

These considerations suggest that the proposed measure may raise less revenue than expected.

### 4. Indexation

The Government has stated that the \$3 million threshold will not be indexed. The consultation paper notes that this is similar to other thresholds in superannuation tax law, such as the Division 293 threshold or the low income superannuation tax offset, and argues that the absence of indexation 'provides certainty to people when arranging their tax and financial affairs.'

The 'certainty' argument against indexation is unconvincing. Notwithstanding the current high rate of inflation, there is reasonable certainty that long-run inflation outcomes will be consistent with the RBA's inflation target, so future changes in an indexed threshold are broadly foreseeable by fund members, even over long horizons.

Indexation of tax thresholds is desirable to avoid an unintended increase in the tax burden over time. As the personal income tax rate thresholds illustrate, the absence of indexation requires continuous adjustment to legislated thresholds to alleviate growing tax burdens. These legislated changes become politically contested and uncertain. It is preferable for indexation to be built into legislation from the outset to avoid having to address what is likely to be a growing and unintended tax burden over time, even if this only becomes a problem at long horizons. On the government's own estimates, the number of superannuation account holders affected by the tax will increase from 0.8 per cent to 10 per cent over 30 years.

### 5. Negative earnings and revenue volatility

The proposed measure provides that when investment losses or fund expenses cause an individual's total fund balance to be less at the end of a financial year than it was at the end of the previous financial year, the amount of the negative earnings will be able to be used to offset positive earnings in future years. Capital losses that are reflected in negative earnings can be used to offset any future positive earnings that relate to income, including rent and interest.

While these provisions are appropriate, they imply that the proposed tax will be a volatile revenue source. In the event of broad-based downturn in financial asset prices, the measure could be expected to raise little revenue for an extended

<sup>1</sup> Treasurer Jim Chalmers, 'Superannuation tax breaks,' Joint media release with The Hon Stephen Jones MP Assistant Treasurer, Minister for Financial Services, 28 February 2023, <https://ministers.treasury.gov.au/ministers/jim-chalmers-2022/media-releases/superannuation-tax-breaks>. See also Treasurer Jim Chalmers, Joint press conference with Anthony Albanese MP, Prime Minister, <https://ministers.treasury.gov.au/ministers/jim-chalmers-2022/transcripts/joint-press-conference-parliament-house-canberra-1>

period. By the same token, years in which there are strong gains in financial and other asset prices could be expected to yield upside revenue surprises. The volatility in revenue from this measure could be expected to broadly mirror that seen in capital gains tax revenue.

## 6. Taxation of unrealised gains

The proposed measure is novel in taxing unrealised gains above the \$3 million threshold, based on the change in total superannuation balances net of withdrawals and contributions, with earnings proportioned based on the threshold. This measure effectively negates the existing capital gains tax discount provisions for affected fund members.

Given existing arrangements for valuing and reporting superannuation balances, the taxation of unrealised gains should not pose an undue additional compliance or reporting burden on funds.

The additional tax liability from the proposed measure can be met by releasing funds from existing superannuation interests or from funds held outside of super.

If a taxpayer has an unrealised gain that reverses and they then exit the superannuation system, they will not have the opportunity to recover the tax they have already paid on the unrealised gain that never eventuated. Concerns have also been raised about the taxation of unrealised gains in funds holding unlisted, illiquid and hard to value assets. This may limit options to pay the liability out of superannuation balances or force a restructuring of fund holdings where the realised gains are different to the notional gains on which the additional tax is assessed.

The BCA cautions that the taxation of unrealised gains in superannuation balances over \$3 million is not appropriate for other tax bases, including superannuation balances more generally. It would be particularly problematic to extend accruals taxation outside of superannuation to the taxation of capital gains, for example, which would entail significant compliance costs.

## 7. Defined benefit schemes

It is the government's intention that the proposed measure will apply commensurately to unfunded, partially funded and fully funded defined benefit schemes based on the value of the defined benefit interest. In the case of pre-pension interests, this would be based on the withdrawal benefit calculation. For defined benefits in the pension phase, the transfer balance cap value at the time the pension commenced is used. For lifetime defined benefit pensions, it is 16 times the annual payments available under the pension.

Whether the proposed valuation methods deliver commensurate treatment to defined contribution schemes is questionable given the significant differences between defined benefit and accumulation schemes. The main purpose of these provisions seems to be to ensure that defined benefit interests are captured for the purposes of the TSB calculation.

## 8. Inadequate consultation and impact analysis

The initial consultation period for the proposed measure was unreasonably short and unnecessarily so as the proposal is due to commence in more than two years. The consultation paper was released on 31 March 2023 with a closing date of 17 April. BCA notes that the Best Practice Consultation Guidance Note issued by the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet recommends a minimum consultation period of 30 days.<sup>2</sup> The Note also maintains that longer consultation periods may be necessary when they fall around holiday periods. The consultation period for this bill straddled the 2023 Easter holidays, which effectively shortened the consultation period even further.

The Office of Impact Analysis in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet also notes that as this measure will likely have major impacts on affected individuals and superannuation funds, an Impact Analysis (IA) was required to be prepared but was not completed. Therefore, as per the Australian Government Guide to Policy Impact Analysis, the

---

<sup>2</sup> Best Practice Consultation Guidance Note, Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, March 2020.  
<https://oia.pmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/2021-09/best-practice-consult.pdf>

Office of Impact Analysis considers the measure is insufficient to meet the Government's Impact Analysis requirements.

3

Inadequate consultation periods and impact analyses have been seen across a number of recent consultations, particularly those dealing with sensitive and complex proposals with high political salience. This is likely to produce sub-optimal policymaking, with increased risk of unintended consequences. The BCA is currently monitoring Treasury's overall adherence to principles of best practice consultation.

The BCA recommended that additional time is taken to consult on the proposed measure and to conduct an impact analysis consistent with best practice. Further parliamentary scrutiny of the bill is therefore welcome.

## 9. Recommendations

Notwithstanding the narrow application of the proposed additional tax on superannuation balances in excess of \$3 million, the BCA remains concerned that the proposed measure increases complexity and distortions to the already markedly varied way different types of savings are taxed.

The proposed measure was announced in the context of a very prominent public debate about a much broader suite of potential additional revenue measures affecting superannuation. Those making saving decisions today might reasonably apprehend that further additional taxes will be imposed on superannuation in future, undermining confidence in superannuation as a saving vehicle. This trend is in effective opposition to the government's stated desired to underpin confidence in superannuation through its legislated objective for superannuation.

The BCA makes the following recommendations in relation to this measure:

- The BCA notes that notwithstanding the proposed measure's prospective application, it does have retrospective effect given that existing superannuation balances have been accumulated within an inflexible framework of rules designed to deliver long-term outcomes for members. Notwithstanding that concern, the prospective application of the measure may afford opportunities to restructure existing superannuation and other assets in ways that lower the expected revenue gain from this measure.
- The BCA recommends that the proposed \$3 million threshold be indexed to avoid a growing tax burden over time that will require legislated adjustments in future.
- The BCA recommends against the wider application of the taxation of unrealised gains to superannuation balances below the threshold or to the taxation of capital gains outside superannuation.
- It has not been shown that the application of the proposed measure to defined benefit arrangements will achieve its stated intention of ensuring commensurate treatment with defined contribution arrangements.
- The consultation period and impact assessment for the proposed measure was inadequate based on the government's own best practice guidance. The BCA accordingly welcomes further parliamentary scrutiny of the bill to minimise unintended consequences that might require future legislative fixes.

---

<sup>3</sup> Office of Impact Analysis, 'Insufficient Impact Analysis – Reducing tax concessions on superannuation balances exceeding \$3 million,' Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2 March 2023.

**BUSINESS COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA**

42/120 Collins Street Melbourne 3000 T 03 8664 2664 F 03 8664 2666 [www.bca.com.au](http://www.bca.com.au)

© Copyright January 2024 Business Council of Australia ABN 75 008 483 216

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or used in any way without acknowledgement to the Business Council of Australia.

The Business Council of Australia has taken reasonable care in publishing the information contained in this publication but does not guarantee that the information is complete, accurate or current. In particular, the BCA is not responsible for the accuracy of information that has been provided by other parties. The information in this publication is not intended to be used as the basis for making any investment decision and must not be relied upon as investment advice. To the maximum extent permitted by law, the BCA disclaims all liability (including liability in negligence) to any person arising out of use or reliance on the information contained in this publication including for loss or damage which you or anyone else might suffer as a result of that use or reliance.

BCA

Business Council of Australia