#### 15 April 2013

To Whom It May Concern:

Dear Sir/Madam,

#### RE: Senate Inquiry into the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Amendment (Great Barrier Reef) Bill 2013

The Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance (KAFDA) welcomes the proposed EPBC Amendment (GBR) Bill introduced by Senator Waters as an important step to greater protection for this world heritage area. KAFDA was formed in late 2011 in the Central Queensland region to represent the regional community's concerns in relation to the Balaclava Island Coal Export Terminal (BICET) proposal. It has since rapidly grown to include thousands of supporters from the region, all over Australia and internationally.

KAFDA is extremely concerned about the current health of the GBR and the additional pressure created by proposed, large scale industrial development will only make the situation worse. Our group met with representatives of IUCN and UNESCO during the WHC Reactive Monitoring Mission in 2012 and supports the recommendations of the WHC and the Mission for greater protection of the Reef from poor water quality, industrial developments and shipping.

We therefore welcome the proposed amendments to the EPBC Act, in particular a ban on new port development outside of the existing and long-established major port areas, including the banning of new port developments at Port Alma, Balaclava Island and northern Curtis Island. We further support a moratorium on any expansions until the Strategic Assessment is completed and the ability to assess both the individual and cumulative impacts of all projects is possible.

KAFDA provided a variety of relevant submission to both State and Federal Government over the past year and in particular have expressed our extreme concern with regard to why the current EPBC Act is insufficient to ensure the protection of the Reef's outstanding universal values and why we support the strengthening of the EPBC Act through these proposed amendments. An example of such submissions – our response to the Queensland Government GBR Port Strategy - is attached for your reference.

The fact that the Queensland Government owned Ports Corporations, in particular the Gladstone Ports Corporation, is continuing to openly state that they intend to develop and facilitate port development of the Fitzroy Delta and Port Alma area despite the recommendations of the World Heritage Committee is a strong indication of the need for this amendment.

Clearly, the recent example of Gladstone Harbour and the need for an independent review of those developments is reason enough for this amendment.

Sincerely,

Ginny Gerlach
Director/Coordinator
Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance

#### 17 December 2012

GBR Ports Strategy Project Manager
Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning
PO Box 15009
City East QLD 4002

Email: GBRportsstrategy@dsdip.qld.gov.au

Dear Sir/Madam,

#### Re: Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance official submission to the GBR Ports Strategy

The Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance (KAFDA) was formed in late 2011 in the Central Queensland region to represent the regional community's concerns with regard to the above mentioned proposed coal port proposals. It has since rapidly grown to include thousands of supporters from the region, all over Australia and internationally.

KAFDA recognises the importance of Queensland's resource industry to the wealth of, not only Queensland, but to the whole of Australia and further recognises the fact that there must be associated infrastructure developments (such as shipping ports) to support such industries.

However, we also hold the view that this new and proposed port infrastructure needs must be consolidated and optimised in already established major port precincts.

The rapid increase of coastal developments, including ports infrastructure and proposed ports infrastructure particularly for the Port of Rockhampton area, which includes the Fitzroy Delta, Keppel Bay and North Curtis Island, threatens the ecosystems of the Great Barrier Reef and we welcome the opportunity to respond to the Queensland Government's Great Barrier Reef Ports Strategy.

Page/Section	Description of Issue	Proposed Resolution/Comment
General Comment 1		There is great confusion as to where the
		"strategy" is in this document. It appears
		to be a superficially worded promotional
		style document lacking in detail of
		premise, planning or strategy.
General Comment 2		The timing of the release of the GBR
		Ports Strategy seems inconsistent with
		the intent of the Great Barrier Reef
		Coastal Zone Strategic Assessment.
		"Assessment" should come before
		"strategy".
General Comment 3		The 10 year timeframe for the GBR Port
		Strategy is far to short and given the
		changing face of the resources boom and
		implementation times of port approval
		and construction, this should be at least
		25 years to provide long term certainty
		for community and industry with a 5 year
		review cycle.
General Comment 4	·	The community consultation process for
		this GBR Ports Strategy relies purely
		upon internet access in order to be
		informed, access the documents and be

Т	
	aware of the submission requirements,
	this in not an inclusive consultation
	process as it does not take into
	consideration the considerable number
	of community members without
	computer literacy or access.
General Comment 5	Define "port limits" and detail all current
	State Government owned Ports
	Corporations and their individual
	boundaries and limits, targets and
	strategies. Outline all proposed or
	planned changes to those limits.
Canadal Cammant C	
General Comment 6	Define "port expansion" and
	"incremental port expansion" and detail
	how these expansions will be individually
	and cumulatively assessed.
General Comment 7	There is no reference as to how the GBR
	Ports Strategy takes into consideration
	and upholds the Outstanding Universal
	Values of the Great Barrier Reef World
	Heritage Area. That any GBR Ports
	Strategy should directly address the
	specific concerns and recommendations
	of the UNESCO World Heritage
	Committee Report (36 <sup>th</sup> Session – 24
	June to 6 July 2012) and Australia's
	obligations to protect the Outstanding
	Universal Values of the Great Barrier
	Reef World Heritage Area should take
	precedence over any direct or cumulative
	impacts from Port Development or
	shipping activities in the GBR WHA.
General Comment 8	Concerns regarding how the Queensland
	Government intends to assess the
	individual and cumulative impacts of any
	port development, shipping and
	associated infrastructure on the other
	local, regional and GBR associated
	established and growing economic
	sectors such as agriculture, tourism,
	lifestyle and recreational communities,
	commercial fishing and recreational
	boating.
Conoral Comment 0	
General Comment 9	GBR Ports Strategy does not adequately
	address assessment of the overall
	cumulative impacts of port development
	and infrastructure to the functionality of
	the coastal, estuarine and Great Barrier
	Reef ecosystems.
General Comment	Lack of detail regarding how the GBR
10	Ports Strategy will take into
	consideration and work with the Federal
	Government on the Matters of National
	Environmental Significance that need to
	be addressed under the Environment
	be addressed under the Environment

	T	T
		Protection and Biodiversity Conservation
		Act 1999 in port development within or
		adjacent to the Great Barrier Reef.
P5, s1 About this	"The strategy also complements the	The timing of the release of the GBR
Strategy	Great Barrier Reef Coastal Zone Strategic	Ports Strategy seems inconsistent with
	Assessment being undertaken by the	the intent of the Great Barrier Reef
	Queensland Government".	Coastal Zone Strategic Assessment.
		"Assessment" should come before
	The fact that the adequateness of the	"strategy".
	current level of management of the	
	Great Barrier Reef is a major focus of the	
	Strategic Assessment should therefore	
	be the first step to be completed prior to	
	developing the GBR Port Strategy	
P5, Great Barrier	"The Queensland Government is leading	Define 'unacceptable impact" on the
Reef Coastal Zone	the coastal component examining	reef's values and link this to Matters of
Strategic	coastal development including planning	National Environmental Significance
Assessment	for urban, industrial and port	under the EPBC Act and Australia's
7.00000	development and the processes and	obligations to the World Heritage
	management arrangements in place to	Committee for the GBR WHA
	ensure development occurs sustainably	Committee for the CDN Wint
	and does not impact unacceptably on the	
	reef's unique values".	
	ree; s unique values .	
	Where is the definition for	
	"unacceptable impact" on the reef's	
	values.	
P6 s2 Consultation	The dot points include no reference to	Include details and questions through
1 0 32 Consultation	consideration of protection of the	out the document that address issues
	environment only "assessment and	regarding protection of the environment
	management of environmental impacts"	regarding protection of the environment
P7-8, s3 Ports		Detail the current approved and future
•	"resources from Australia's largest coal deposits as well as significant lead, zinc,	Detail the current, approved and future
facilitate		demand for LNG and how this will impact
Queensland's four	silver, gold and copper reserves make	on port capacity, shipping and
pillar economy; and	their way to global markets through	compliance with the recommendations
Figure 1: The role of	ports along the Great Barrier Reef".	of the Strategic Assessment.
ports adjacent to		
the Great Barrier	Figure 1 there is no mention of the role	
Reef	of LNG/CSG relating to the Gladstone	
	Port, however this is a key commodity	
	triggering the growth of ports in the GBR	
Figure 1: The role of	In Figure 1 Rockhampton has been	Clarify and identify the Port of
ports adjacent to	labeled as a port. Port of Rockhampton	Rockhampton and Port Alma and the
the Great Barrier	which is now currently managed by the	limitations of the existing minor facility
Reef	Gladstone Port Corporation, should be	and the lack of infrastructure leading to
	clearly defined. The current minor	or on the southern side of Raglan Creek
	existing port facilities of Port Alma	and Balaclava Island. This is a "green
	should be identified including their size,	field site with no infrastructure and
	type of export/import goods and draft	should not be identified as an existing
	and tidal limitation.	port.
P9, s4 Protecting	"The Great Barrier Reef Outlook Report	The Outlook Report 2009 should not be
the Great Barrier	2009 identified a number of major	used as the sole as the basis for
Reef:	threats to the health of the Great Barrier	developing the GBR Ports Strategy, it
Environmental	Reef including climate change, declining	should also include results from the
Impacts	water quality from catchment runoff, loss	Strategic Assessment and a detailed

Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance working to Protect Keppel Bay. Address: PO Box 1259, Yeppoon, QLD, 4703 Phone: 07 3103 3115 Email: info@protectkeppelbay.org Web: www.protectkeppelbay.org

	of coastal habitiats from coastal development and impacts from fishing. Threats to the health of the reef from ports and shipping were identified as moderate and localized."  That was reported in 2009 is not three years old and does not reflect the proliferations of port development in Gladstone and on Southern Curtis Island and the consequent impacts and environmental damage to the GBR WHA. That development triggered the UNESCO and IUCN 2012 Reactive Monitoring Mission and consequent report Proposed port development including Balaclava Island Coal Export Terminal, Fitzroy Terminal Project and the clearly stated intentions of the Gladstone Ports Corporation for development of northern Curtis Island in the Sea Hill area were not considered when the Outlook Report was release in 2009.	update of all current, approved and proposed port development, specifically in Central Queensland the threat from port development to the GBR WHA is significant – not "moderate and localized".  The Fitzroy Delta area including all the area of the Port of Rockhampton is the largest Estuarine system feeding the waters of the largest catchment on the East Coast of Australia into the Great Barrier Reef. The estuary has no major port development on its shoreline and no coal port activity. Clearly any new port development of this area or expansion of the existing minor facilities at Port Alma would pose a significant threat to the Great Barrier Reef.  The GBR Port Strategy should also take into consideration the drivers of both coal and LNG export industries on port expansion.
P10 Environmental Impacts	"Queensland can build on its well- established processes to measure and	This statement is misleading and should be removed.
	manage environmental impacts of port development."  The Strategic Assessment has been put in place to assess those processes and determine if the "well established" processes are effective and the GBR Port Strategy should not preempt that process.	
P10 Environmental Impacts	"Working with relevant private proponents, environmental impacts are	The Strategy should include working with all stakeholder and particularly the
	assessed and management strategies developed"	communities that will be directly impacted by any port development and infrastructure. It should be clearly
	Working with all stakeholder not just the relevant private proponents would be more appropriate.	identified in the Strategy that the major existing ports are all State Government owned Corporations. In the situation where the State Government is working
	Lack of identification with regard to who and where the State Government owned Ports Corporations are and what the relationship and obligations are for those Corporations in regard to the private proponents.	with State Government owned Corporations and proponents to assess and manage all the issues as listed in the current GBR Port Strategy document with regard to environmental impacts, all of the parties involved have a financial imperative.
P10, Environmental Impacts: Consultation Question	"How can we meet the demand for port capacity while minimizing environmental impacts?"	Consolidate and optimize port development to existing major ports. In Central Queensland this means that all port development be conducted in the existing major port of Gladstone Harbour

		and that the Dark of Dealth and the Little
		and that the Port of Rockhampton and its
		minor port facilities at Port Alma should
		not be expanded and no new port
		development should be allowed in the
D40 Cardal Income at a	(OATh extreme in a section of fundamental and in	Fitzroy Delta area.
P10 Social Impacts:	"What are important factors in social and	Transparent and open planning and
Consultation	cultural planning?"	consultation with the conservation
Question		sector, local groups, community
		members and Regional Councils is
		important. It is critical to recognize and
		include the need to maintain lifestyle,
		recreation and tourism hubs within the
		Great Barrier Reef that are separate from
		industrial port activities. Keppel Bay and
		the Capricorn Coast including the waters
		of the Fitzroy Delta should be considered
		as a tourism, recreation and lifestyle hub.
		There is a social and cultural obligation to
		maintain the existing fabric of
		communities and therefore an
		imperative to consolidate port activity in
		the existing major ports.
P12, s4, Shipping	More detail is required in the description	All shipping traffic in the Great Barrier
Management	of "compulsory and recommended	Reef should have compulsory pilotage
	pilotage regimes"	and the Strategy should address the
		issues with regard to training and
		maintaining sufficient skilled and
		experienced pilots with knowledge of the
D42 4 CL : :		Great Barrier Reef waters.
P12, s4, Shipping	Safety issues and emergency procedures	Address the safety issues and emergency
Management	in the advent of an accident or disaster	procedures in the advent of an accident
	due to extreme weather with shipping	or disaster due to extreme weather
	have not been addressed. The recent	including an emergency response
	experience with the Shen Neng highlights	procedure and defined lines of
	the need for the the Strategy to address	responsibility between all three levels of
D12 at Chinata	these issues.	government.
P12, s4, Shipping	• "designated anchorage areas	The Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance
Management	for ports	agrees with the principle of these
		statements however asserts strongly that
	<ul> <li>the establishment of designated</li> </ul>	neither of these activities should be
	shipping areas and defined	allowed in the waters of Keppel Bay and
	traffic routes, limiting shipping	the Fitzroy Delta, with the exception of
	to specific zones along the Great	the existing shipping traffic coming to the
	Barrier Reef (see Figure 3)"	minor port facilities of Port Alma. This
	Duiller need (see rigure s)	detail should also be reflected in Figure 3
D12 Chinata	(I) Albert and the approximation for	on P13.
P13, Shipping	"What are the opportunities for owners,	Compulsory pilotage, rigorous
Management:	charterers, terminal operators, ports and	compliance of worlds best practice
Consultation	government to improve shipping	shipping practices and improved Vessel
Question	management?"	Monitoring Systems in all waters of the
		Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.
		Do not allow any shipping traffic or cargo
		vessel anchoring in the waters of Keppel
		Bay and the Fitzroy Delta with the
		exception of the existing shipping traffic

		utilizing the minor facilities at Port Alma.
P15, Principle 1, Strageic use of ports to facilitie economic growth	"The Queensland Government supports an integrated port network operating at peak efficiency with minimal duplication in infrastructure investment."  This statement is clearly inaccurate given the Queensland Government's openly stated intent to facilitate the development of proposals for BICET, FTP and the GPC's plans for Sea Hill when there is currently no infrastructure in these locations and their proximity to the currently well established and growing infrastructure investment connected to the Port of Glastone.	The phrase "minimal duplication in infrastructure investment" is vague and should be defined and clarified. Investment by whom? This Strategy should not just address the question of infrastructure investment to be efficient, but should also include duplication of infrastructure itself. Confine coal export terminals, associated shipping or barging, and any further industrial port developments in Central Queensland to the existing major port of Gladstone south of a line at Ramsays Crossing in the Narrows west of Curtis Island. This will avoid duplication of infrastructure and infrastructure investment.
P15, Figure 4, Future resource commodity export movements to Great Barrier Reef ports	The current and future CSG/LNG exports impacting on the strategic use of port facilities in the GBR are missing from Figure 4	Identify the future CSG/LNG export movements and their impact on ports and shipping activities and incorporate this commodity in the Strategy
P17, Principle 2, The right balance between economic development and environmental protection	"Restrict any significant port development within and adjoining the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area, to within existing port limits for the next 10 years"  This statement does not reflect the recommendations of the UNESCO WHC Report of 2012 which refers to "major existing ports" and the 10 year timeframe is insufficient.	Define "existing port limits", separate the Port of Rockhampton from the Port of Gladstone and do not allow any port development or expansion of Port Alma in the Port of Rockhampton. Expand the time frame of the strategy to a minimum of 25 years.
P17 Innovative Solutions	"Alternatives to large port operations are also being adopted internationally including the use of barges and transshipping."  This technology is not currently being used for loading coal in exposed waters with choppy and rapidly changing sea conditions unprotected for prevailing strong southeasterly winds and is therefore untested in the currently proposed location of the Fitzroy Terminal Project. It is unacceptable to conduct this type of unproven activity in the GBR WHA which includes the waters of Keppel Bay and the Fitzroy Delta.	Do not allow the use of trans-shipping and barges in the waters of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.
P19, Principle 2: Consultation Question	"How can environmental management at ports be improved?"	Wait for the completion of the Strategic Assessment to optimize and major existing ports to focus resources, monitoring and compliance in fewer well

Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance working to Protect Keppel Bay. Address: PO Box 1259, Yeppoon, QLD, 4703 Phone: 07 3103 3115 Email: info@protectkeppelbay.org Web: www.protectkeppelbay.org

	1	
		managed areas. Do not allow port development in minor ports or currently undeveloped major estuarine areas such as the Fitzroy Delta that would have catastrophic environmental impacts to the area and the GBR WHA in general. Greater compliance and transparency of communications with regard to environmental monitoring through the use of independent experts with publicly available timely information.
P20, Principle 3, Consultation Question	"How can we increase the efficiency of existing port capacity and infrastructure?	Maximizing and optimizing the use of existing port infrastructure, and ensuring that companies share facilities and infrastructure. The location of the proposed ports in the Fitzroy Delta area have no specific existing infrastructure in place currently and it would therefore be inefficient and costly to allow development of those proposals.
P27, s6, Implementation and review, Consultation question	"What are the partnership opportunities to implement the principles in the Great Barrier Reef Ports Strategy?"	It is difficult to respond to this question until all the suggestions in this document have been addressed. Again we would reiterate that the cart is before the horse. The Strategic Assessment should be completed before the Ports Strategy is formulated and that then the way forward for implementation should include consultation, open and transparent communication and collaboration with all stakeholders at three levels of government, port developers, the scientific community, conservation sector and community groups. Port development and associated infrastructure is not just about momentary economic drivers, it can shape and change the fabric of communities. Port development can impact on long term existing industries that rely on the health and reputation of the Great Barrier Reef in order to continue to be sustainable. All of these factors should be taken into consideration when looking to answer this question.
	End of submission	
	•	

Yours sincerely,

Ginny Gerlach Director/Coordinator Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance Keppel and Fitzroy Delta Alliance working to