l i l

Review

Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica

Acta Psychiatr Scand 2015: 132: 4–19 All rights reserved DOI: 10.1111/acps.12406 © 2015 John Wiley & Sons A/S. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd ACTA PSYCHIATRICA SCANDINAVICA

# Role of morality in the experience of guilt and shame within the armed forces

Nazarov A, Jetly R, McNeely H, Kiang M, Lanius R, McKinnon MC. Role of morality in the experience of guilt and shame within the armed forces.

**Objective:** Despite advances in our understanding of mental health issues among military forces, a large proportion of military personnel continue to exhibit deployment-related psychological issues. Recent work has identified symptoms of guilt and shame related to moral injury as contributing significantly to combat-related mental health issues. This systematic scoping review explores the association between morality and symptoms of guilt and shame within military forces. **Method:** A search of the literature pertaining to guilt, shame and morality within military samples was conducted.

**Results:** Nineteen articles were selected for review. There is strong evidence linking exposure to and the perceived perpetration of moral transgressions with experiences of guilt and shame. Critically, symptoms of guilt and shame were related to adverse mental health outcomes, particularly the onset of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). No studies have explored moral judgment in conjunction with assessments of guilt or moral injury.

**Conclusion:** These findings have important implications for the prevention and treatment of PTSD-related symptoms in military samples. By measuring moral judgment prior to deployment, it may be possible to predict the likelihood of incurring moral injuries and the development of associated symptoms. Early intervention programmes aimed at ameliorating guilt and shame are required to prevent the long-term development of deployment-related psychological distress.

# A. Nazarov<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Jetly<sup>3,4</sup>, H. McNeely<sup>1,5</sup>, M. Kiang<sup>1,6,7</sup>, R. Lanius<sup>8</sup>, M. C. McKinnon<sup>1,2,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neurosciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, <sup>2</sup>Mood Disorders Program, St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, Hamilton, ON, <sup>3</sup>Department of National Defence, Government of Canada, Ottawa, ON, <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, <sup>5</sup>Clinical Neuropsychology Service, St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, Hamilton, ON, <sup>6</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, <sup>7</sup>Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, <sup>6</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Western Ontario, London, ON and <sup>9</sup>Homewood Research Institute, Guelph, ON, Canada

Key words: post-traumatic stress disorder; military personnel; morality; guilt; shame; moral injury

Margaret McKinnon, St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, 100 West 5th Street, Box 585, Hamilton, ON, Canada L8N 3K7. E-mail: mmckinno@stjoes.ca

Accepted for publication February 3, 2015

# Summations

- The increased number of veterans and active military personnel seeking mental health services points towards an imminent need for an enhanced understanding of how military operations affect the psychological health of army personnel during training, active duty, postdeployment and after release.
- There is accumulating evidence suggesting a link between the perceived transgression of moral standards, symptoms of guilt and shame, suicidal ideation and PTSD within military samples.
- Recent research surrounding the concept of moral injury suggests that experiences of guilt and shame may represent the pathological core of many combat-related PTSD cases.

#### **Considerations**

- To date, leading treatment interventions for PTSD have centred predominantly on fear-based symptoms; treatment interventions that target symptoms of guilt and shame in military populations are urgently required.
- Early intervention programmes targeting personnel that endorse postdeployment moral injuries may reduce the development of guilt-based PTSD.
- Assessment of predeployment styles of moral judgment may predict the likelihood of perceived moral injury and of experiencing symptoms of guilt and shame and therefore the risk of developing combat-related PTSD.

#### Introduction

The United States (US) Armed Forces and the Canadian Forces (CF) collectively employ over 2 400 000 personnel (2 344 000 US; 100 000 CF), representing 1.5% and 0.5% of the countries' total labour forces respectively (1). As of 2013, there are 1 520 100 US and 60 000 CF active personnel, with units deployed across 150 countries (1). With an increase in CF and US veterans seeking mental health services (2-4), Canada's Department of National Defense (DND) and the US Department of Defense (DoD) have placed increased emphasis on ensuring the mental health of military personnel. This emphasis is evident through the introduction of: more streamlined access to care (5), mental health surveys (6), and educational programs for personnel and their families geared towards raising mental health awareness (7, 8) and training to cope with stressors (7, 9). Despite increased efforts to dampen the residual effects of military operations on the psychological health of personnel, operational stress injuries (OSI; persistent psychological difficulties resulting from military duties) are still prevalent. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) accounts for the largest proportion of OSI encountered in the CF (2) and remains one of the most common disorders seen after deployment in US personnel (10). In addition to classical symptoms associated with PTSD (e.g. nightmares, trauma avoidance (11)), recent work indicates that combat personnel diagnosed with PTSD also show marked disruptions in emotion regulation (12, 13), autobiographical memory (14), attention (15), theory of mind (16) and interpersonal functioning (17-20). Furthermore, the presence of guilt and shame frequently reported by military personnel (21-23) may interact with these domains and further exacerbate the alterations (24) (e.g. impede relationships through isolation and self-deprecation, distort autobiographical memory narrative). Critically, these disruptions are present despite rigorous mental health screening during the selection process, and the presumptive selection of personnel that represent a more resilient subset of the population.

A recent study of 2000 CF personnel deployed to Afghanistan (2001–2008) indicates that within 4 years of returning home from the combat theatre, approximately 14% were diagnosed with a deployment-related mental disorder, with PTSD being the most common diagnosis at 8% (25), followed closely by major depressive disorder (MDD) at 6%. Within this sample, being

deployed to combat-heavy zones increased PTSD rates to 25% (25). The cumulative risk of developing deployment-related PTSD plateaued at approximately 6 years following return home from first deployment. The authors of this study, however, suggest that these rates may be underestimated, considering the mental health information of the sample was available only when diagnosis was made by CF mental health services and only over the course of a median follow-up period of 3.7 years. Interestingly, these findings parallel reports from the DoD in the United States, where the estimated rate of PTSD is 11% among US veterans of the war in Afghanistan (10, 26). Similar to the Canadian experience, rates of PTSD in US veterans who served in what has been described as heavier combat, particularly in the Vietnam War, Iraq War and Gulf War, have been estimated at 30% (27), 20% (26) and 10% (28) respectively. This is in line with reports suggesting a linear association between combat exposure and PTSD symptomatology (29). Although it is difficult to compare PTSD rates between civilian and military samples, according to research conducted prior to the war in Afghanistan, it is estimated that lifetime prevalence of PTSD in Canada is at least two times greater for soldiers involved in combat than for the general population (30, 31).

Critically, in the United States, veterans comprised 22% of all nationwide suicides in 2012 (32). Given that 60% of all veteran committing suicide were 50 years of age or older, a large proportion of the veteran sample represents Vietnam or Korean War veterans, and therefore may not be indicative of mental health issues faced by current military personnel. As of 2012, however, suicide (349 deaths; 915 attempts) has overtaken combat operations (311 deaths) as the most common cause of death in personnel currently serving in the US military (33), with almost 70% of all currently serving US military suicides involving personnel 29 years old or younger (34). Since the onset of the war in Afghanistan and Iraq, the US military has seen a 100% increase in number of suicides within active duty personnel (35). Over the last decade, VAC saw a 600% increase in the number of veterans seeking VAC services due to OSI (from 2000 to 14 500)(36), with over 60% of CF personnel seeking VAC mental health services after deployment (25). These findings signify an imminent need for a comprehensive understanding of how military operations affect the psychological health of army personnel during training, active duty, postdeployment and after release.

#### Guilt and shame

A series of studies indicate that experiences of guilt and shame are reported widely in individuals with PTSD (21-23) and that both guilt and shame are closely linked to suicide and suicidal ideation in military samples (37-39). Notably, symptoms of guilt and shame have been cited as the leading cause for seeking US VA mental health services (40). Despite a breadth of research on fear-based PTSD, research on guilt and shame as a core symptom (41, 42) of PTSD psychopathology has been comparatively scarce. Classically, a diagnosis of PTSD assumes that an individual is exposed to a trauma where he/she experiences fear, helplessness or horror in response to the threat to his/her life or the life of someone else. The work of Herman (42), in addition to more recent theories (21, 41), however, has proposed that, in some cases, PTSD may derive from deep feelings of guilt and/ or shame after traumatic events, with symptoms of fear being non-existent or secondary in severity.

Although guilt is described in DSM-IV, generally posited as an associated symptom within MDD, it is a common experience for victims of trauma, including but not limited to sexual assault, transportation accidents, natural disasters and after exposure to combat/war. Indeed, DSM-5 now acknowledges 'persistent distorted blame of self or others for causing the traumatic event or for resulting consequences' among the core symptoms of PTSD, falling within Criterion D: negative alterations in cognitions and mood. Guilt and shame are complex cognitive and emotional experiences (43) that arise when one perceives one's behaviour to transgress an internal moral standard (44). The extent to which guilt and shame independently relate to adverse mental health outcomes has proven difficult to evaluate. Shame proneness is associated with PTSD (45), MDD (46-48), generalized anxiety disorder (49) and suicidal ideation (50). Guilt, however, is associated with negative psychological outcomes only when it is paired with experiences of shame (51). Indeed, a recent meta-analysis by Kim et al. (52) found that whereas guilt is not related to MDD symptoms when controlling for shame, shame is significantly associated with MDD symptoms when controlling for guilt. Problematically, in the context of the DSM, the term guilt is captured in a single construct—as a maladaptive, inappropriate sense of responsibility. In the meta-analysis by Kim et al. (52), shame was indistinguishable from maladaptive guilt (e.g. guilt experienced in the aftermath of uncontrollable negative events), suggesting that these two interrelated concepts may

be most relevant to psychopathology, including depression and PTSD. Numerous theories postulate that to experience guilt and shame one requires a sense of social comparison and the ability to interpret others' perspectives (53) (e.g. theory of mind, an ability known to be altered in PTSD (54)). Correspondingly, whereas simple emotions (e.g. sadness, happiness, anger, fear) appear developmentally early in life, experiences of guilt and shame arise only at approximately the age of 3–4 years (55), alongside the emergence of theory of mind (56–58) and autobiographical memory (59).

Although the terms guilt and shame are frequently used synonymously, they represent distinct psychological constructs. One of the primary distinctions between the two concepts is the object of negative evaluation after a moral transgression; with guilt, the object of evaluation is the specific transgressing behaviour, whereas shame entails an extrapolation of that behaviour to a global evaluation and redefinition of the self (60). Accordingly, shame entails the process of self-blame for global personal inadequacies or flaws that are perceived as being stable over time and not mendable (60-62). The accompanying experiences of worthlessness, powerlessness and inferiority translate into behaviours of avoidance and withdrawal. By contrast, guilt involves an acknowledgement of the deleterious effect of behaviour on others, an appropriate sense of responsibility and an understanding that despite the moral transgression, one is still virtuous, future goals are attainable, and reparation is possible (63). Here, the experiences of tension, regret and remorse are translated into an 'approach-and-amend' behaviour. Whereas the psychological distress in shame involves an inward focus of distress leading to eventual annihilation of the self (64), the distress in guilt is channelled outwardly towards interpersonal reparation (65). Indeed, whereas individuals experiencing guilt display enhanced interpersonal sensitivity via increased empathy (66) and theory of mind performance (65), shame is associated with decreased empathy and theory of mind (65) and reduced interaction with others (67). Importantly, outcomes differ significantly between guilt and shame; shame yields self-condemnation, while guilt creates an opportunity for self-forgiveness.

To experience guilt or shame, one must perceive one's behaviour as diverging from the moral values and standards with which one identifies. In a landmark review by Litz et al. (21) of psychological injuries among war veterans, a *moral injury* was identified as 'any personal action/inaction that transgresses this subjective moral standard'. A moral injury may occur not only while being the perpetrator of the transgressing behaviour, but also when: one, bearing witness to it; two, failing to prevent it; or three, experiencing certain emotions after learning of transgressing behaviours, with the emotions, upon reflection, being considered subjectively amoral. It has been classically observed that a traumatic memory may facilitate the onset of fear-based PTSD. A moral injury may also act as, or in lieu of, a traumatic memory, creating a similar symptom profile (68). Specifically, experiences of shame mirror the re-experiencing and avoidance/emotional numbing symptom clusters of PTSD (68). Persistent re-experiencing of moral violations is considered aversive because it weakens self-esteem, reinforces feelings of worthlessness and in turn, leads to increased self-condemnation and withdrawal (21). Considering the large overlap between guilt, shame and PTSD within the military, the experience of guilt and shame may be the fundamental pathological core of most combat-related PTSD cases (21, 38, 64).

Moral injuries are considered to be much more prevalent in today's military, due primarily to the increased unconventionality of several domains of today's military operations that go against schematic beliefs about warfare (see Litz et al. (21) for a comprehensive review). Specifically, increased urban warfare and unmarked enemy combatants pose a greater risk to not only military personnel but also increases the risk of harm being inflicted on civilians. Despite military training geared towards preparing soldiers for a multitude of situations expected during combat operations, a study of US soldiers serving in Iraq/Afghanistan revealed that up to 30% faced morally ambiguous situations where they were unsure of how to properly react (69). Additionally, in a survey of a similar sample of US military personnel, over 20% reported being responsible for a non-insurgent's death (26). Equally concerning is the large proportion of suicides by military personnel that never experience combat. For example, a study of suicide within the US Air Force showed that only 25% of personnel that committed suicide had ever been deployed, with only 7% seeing combat (34). It is possible that military operations within nondeployed personnel may also result in exposure to moral injuries, albeit more subtly.

Given that the moral integrity (and at times, disengagement) of military personnel is central to a functional military organization, recent awareness of the potential impact of moral injuries and the high incidence of psychological distress within the military personnel that is comorbid with the experience of moral emotions (guilt and shame symptoms), it is critical to identify how these factors interact.

#### Aims of the study

In this review, we explore the literature on the association between morality (moral judgment or exposure to moral transgressors) and the experience of guilt and shame within the military. A secondary aim was to explore the relation of morality, guilt and shame to the development of adverse mental health outcomes among military members, including PTSD.

#### Material and methods

To obtain relevant literature, four internet databases (PsycINFO, EMBASE, MEDLINE and CINAHL) were searched for articles published between January 1900 and June 2014. To be included in this review, articles must have explored three research domains: morality, guilt/shame and military (see Table 1 for search terms). To ensure our search strategy was comprehensive, the initial search results contained articles that explored at least two of the three domains. We placed no other restrictions on the initial search. Articles resulting from the search were independently screened by two raters. In addition, the references of included articles were searched for studies not captured by

Table 1. Domains and associated search terms investigated in the scoping review

	Domain <sup>†</sup>	
Guilt/Shame	Morality	Military
Guilt/Shame Guilt Shame Humiliation or ashamed or embarrass* or Disgrace* or dishono* or forgiveness or condemn*	Morality Morals or moral Moral development	Military Military Army Service member Arm* force Military personnel Veteran Military schools Military deployment Military deployment Military deployment Military attrition Enlisted military personnel Military medical personnel Military medical personnel Military medical personnel Military psychology Military training Volunteer military personnel Military psychologists Military psychologists Military recruitment Military families
		Military families War Military services

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>where appropriate, search terms were mapped to keyword headings of each database and had its term exploded.

\*search was conducted on any combination of characters proceeding the keyword.

the initial search terms. The search terms and strategy were confirmed by a health sciences librarian.

Inclusion criteria during title and abstract screen:

- i) Assessed moral judgment or explored moral transgressions.
- ii) Explored experiences of guilt and shame.
- iii) Studied a military sample.

Inclusion criteria during full-text screen:

- i) Text available in full.
- ii) Primary, peer-reviewed research (no dissertations).
- iii) Written in English.
- iv) Confirmation of focus on the three domains.

#### Results

A total of 6325 references were screened with 19 articles being selected for review (see Fig. 1 for the systematic review screening process). Inter-rater reliability was high (title and abstract screen: 0.96; full-text screen: 0.94), as assessed by Gwet's AC1/2 inter-rater reliability coefficient (70). Please refer to

Table 2 for study characteristics and Table 3 for a summary of the results of included articles.

Correlations between exposure to and the perceived perpetration of atrocities and the onset of guilt symptoms in military personnel have been widely reported (40, 71-79). This relation holds even when controlling for combat exposure (1, 75, 76, 79; see Table 3). In studies that reported this association, the majority of study samples were comprised of primarily Vietnam War veterans (sample size mean = 775, SD = 694), although two studies confirmed this relation in Ugandan former child soldiers (77) and in Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom (OEF/OIF) (78). Tools used to assess guilt in the study samples varied greatly and ranged from validated guilt/ shame scales to dichotomously coded items derived from open-ended interviews. In no instance was morality assessed globally (via moral reasoning or judgment) nor with validated scales and instead typically involved identifying whether the respondent was exposed to morally injurious events. Combat exposure was assessed primarily by the Combat Exposure Scale (80). Notably, these



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of systematic article selection.

# Table 2. Study parameters of reviewed articles

Author (year), Country	Sample groups	PTSD assessed	Sample size	Combat exposure assessed	Theatre of operations	Guilt/Shame assessments	Morality assessments	Moral transgression assessments
Laufer (1984), USA (71)	Veterans	Stress Scale (111)	350	Combat Scale (non-validated) (71)	Vietnam War	Psychiatric Epidemiological Research Interview (112) (feelings of guilt subscale)	Psychiatric Epidemiological Research Interview (112) (feelings of demoralization subscale)	Exposure to Abusive Violence (Interview — non-validated) (71)
Glover (1990), USA (93)	Veterans	DSM-III diagnosis	339		Vietnam War	VREQ (93)		VREQ (93)
Yehuda (1992), USA (73)	Veterans	SCID (DSM-III-R) (113); M-PTSD (92); Figley Scale for Combat PTSD (Figley & Stretch, unpublished)	40	CES (80)	Vietnam War	Not explicitly reported potentially HAM-D (114) Guilt Items		The Atrocity Scale (Brett & Lauter, unpublished)
Fontana (1992), USA (72)	Veterans	SCID (DSM-III-R) (113)	1709	Revised Combat Scale (115); War Stress Interview (116)	Vietnam War	AGENT cluster; FAILURE cluster (guilt sx over failing to fulfil duties/save the wounded, etc.)	ан со	AGENT cluster (Guilt sx due to being the agent of killing) measured according to convention used by Laufer et al. (117)
Henning (1997), USA (74)	Veterans	CAPS (118); M-PTSD (92)	40	Revised Combat Scale (80)	Vietnam War (85%); WWII (5%); Korea (5%); Gulf War (5%)	The Guilt Inventory (119); CGS (74) (created for present study)		Combat Guilt Scale (74) (created for present study. Subscales: survival guilt, guilt about acts of commission, guilt about acts of omission, guilt about thoughts/feelings)
Kubany (1997), USA (81)	Veterans	M-PTSD (92); PCL (120)	106	CES (121)	Vietnam War :	Harder Personal Feelings Questionnaire (122); The Guilt Inventory (119); TRGI (123)		STRGS-WZ (81)
Beckham (1998), USA (75)	Veterans	M-PTSD (92); CAPS (118); Davidson Trauma Scale for PTSD (124)	151	CES (121)	Vietnam War	TRGI (123)		Vietnam Era Stress Inventory (125) (Atrocities Exposure subscale)
Fontana (2004), USA (40)	Veterans	M-PTSD (92)	1385	No objective measure – distressing experiences only (see moral transpression colump)	Vietnam War (95%); WWII (5%)	Laufer-Parsons Guilt Inventory (126)	Two questions querying changes in religious faith (FAJTH cluster)	Indicated whether 11 experiences were distressing, based on structured interviews (AGENT cluster – killing others, excitement from killing others, participating in atrocities)
Witvliet (2004), USA (87)	Veterans	CAPS (118); M-PTSD (92); Davidson Trauma Scale for PTSD (124)	213	CES (121)		Forgiveness of Others and Forgiveness of Self Scales (127)	The Brief RCOPE (128)	
Marx (2008), USA (90)	Veterans	SCID (DSM-III-R) (113); M-PTSD (92); PTSD Keane Scale (91)	1081	CES (121); War Stress Interview (116)	Vietnam War	Items from Laufer-Parsons Inventory (115)		Items from Laufer-Parsons Inventory (115)
Klasen (2010), Germany (77)	Former Child	MINI-KID (129)	330	CSTQ (130)	Uganda	Guilt Cognitions Scale	The Brief RCOPE (128)	CSTQ (130) (Perpetrator Subscale)
Marx (2010), USA (76)	Veterans	SCID (DSM-III-R) (113)	1323	CES (121)	Vietnam War	Laufer-Parsons Inventory (115)		One item from the War Stress Inventory (116)

.

•

9

10

Table 2. (Continued)

Author (year), Country	Sample groups	PTSD assessed	Sample size	Combat exposure assessed	Theatre of operations	Guilt/Shame assessments	Morality assessments	Moral transgression assessments
Berg (2011), USA (82)	Veterans	Watson PTSD Interview (131)	94		Vietnam War	Berg Spiritual Injury Scale* (non-validated)	Spiritual Profile Assessment (132); Intrinsic/Extrinsic Religious Motivation Scale (133)	Berg Spiritual Injury Scale* (non-validated)
Ogden (2011), USA (83)	Veterans	PCL (120)	110	CES (121)	OEF/OIF	Religious Comfort and Strain Scale (134) (religious guilt subscale)	Religious Comfort and Strain Scale (134); The Brief RCOPE (128); PTGI (135)	
Gray (2012), USA (84)	Active Duty	PCL-M (120)	44		OEF/OIF	PTCI (136)		Used sx of MDD as a proxy for distress result from traumatic loss and moral injury.
Stein (2012), USA (78)	Active Duty	PSS-I (137)	122		OEF/OIF	TRGI (123)		(Validation of Moral Injury Categories for war-zone exposure)
Nash (2013), USA (85) Vargas (2013), USA (86)	Active Duty Veterans	PCL (120) None (NVVRS average: 31% lifetime PTSD; 15% current PTSD)	1039 300	CES (121)	OEF/OIF Vietnam and other areas served between 1964 and 1975	MIES (85) 'Self-deprecation' cluster from open-ended interview probing moral injuries	'Spiritual/Existential Issues' cluster from open-ended interview probino moral injuries	MIES (85) Open-ended interview probing moral injuries (86)
Currier (2014), USA (79)	Veterans	M-PTSD (92); Diagnostic Interview Schedule (138)	1203	Traditional combat exposure scale (139)	Vietnam War	3 dichotomous guilt questions during NVVRS	proving moral injunce	Four-item measure to assess atrocity exposure

CAPS, Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale; CES, Combat Exposure Scale; CGS, Combat Guilt Scale; CSTO, Child Soldiers Trauma Questionnaire; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; HAM-D, Hamilton Rating for Depression; M-PTSD, Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related PTSD; MDD, Major Depressive Disorder; MIES, Moral Injury Evaluation Scale; MINI-KID, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents; NVVRS, National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment Study; DEF/OIF, Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom; PCL, PTSD Checklist; PSS-I, Post-traumatic Symptom Scale, Interview Version; PTCI, Post-traumatic Cognitions Inventory; PTGI, Post-traumatic Growth Inventory; PTSD, Post-traumatic Stress Disorder; SCID, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders; STRGS-WZ, sources of trauma-related guilt survey-war-zone version; sx, symptoms; TRGI, Trauma-Related Guilt Inventory; VREQ, Vietnam Related Experiences Questionnaire.

\*Found on www.spiritualassessment.com/sis.pdf

,

# Military mental health and morality

.

Author (Year), Country	Results*	Limitations
Laufer (1984), USA (71)	Caucasian veterans: participation in violence was associated with lower levels of feelings of demoralization ( $b = -7.5$ , $P < 0.05$ ) and guilt ( $b = -11.1$ , $P < 0.05$ ) vs. those who did not participate in violence African American veterans: participation in violence was associated with higher levels of feelings of demoralization ( $b = 11.4$ , $P < 0.05$ ) and guilt ( $b = 11.5$ , $P < 0.05$ ) vs.	Retrospective Non-validated interview was used to assess exposure to abusive violence
Glover (1990), USA (93)	Factor analysis of the VREQ items showed that both types of guilt (survival guilt and guilt related to acts of abusive violence) were loaded onto the same factor ( $\lambda = 4.6$ ). Participants in atrocities may be exposed to a greater number of combat stressors	Combat exposure was not assessed Retrospective No control group
Yehuda (1992), USA (73)	and therefore exposed to both types of traumatic events. Strong correlation between exposure to atrocities and HAM-D scores ( $r = 0.46$ , $P < 0.05$ ). No associations between combat exposure and HAM-D scores ( $r = 0.10$ , $P > 0.05$ ). Increased exposure to atrocities ( $r = 0.70$ , $P < 0.05$ ), but not exposure to combat ( $r = 0.07$ , $P > 0.05$ ), was strongly associated with PTSD sx	Small sample size Retrospective No control group Associations with HAM-D guilt items were not explicitly reported Non-validated measure of moral
Fontana (1992), USA (72)	Participation in atrocities was related to increased sx of guilt ( $r = 0.54$ , $P < 0.0001$ ) Guilt sx over participation and failure to prevent atrocities was related to an increased risk of suicide ( $b = 0.09$ , $P < 0.01$ ; $b = 0.11$ , $P < 0.0001$ ), more so than to a diagnosis of ETSD ( $b = 0.02$ , $P < 0.05$ ; $b = 0.08$ , $P < 0.001$ )	Clinician-based measure of exposure to traumas Retrospective (up to 20 years) Treatment-seeking only
Henning (1997), USA (74)	Most frequently endorsed items on the CGS related to acts of commission and omission, whereas items reflecting survival guilt, guilt about one's thoughts and feelings during combat, and shame were less frequently reported. Increased guilt on the CGS was related to overall PTSD severity (M-PTSD) ( $r = 0.49$ , $P < 0.001$ ) and the re-experiencing ( $r = 0.48$ , $P < 0.01$ ) and avoidance ( $r = 0.45$ , $P < 0.01$ ) and evolution of the CAPS	Small sample size No control group Retrospective Did not separately analyse different sources of guilt Dichotomous format for guilt items
Kubany (1997), USA (81)	Most common sources of guilt on the STRGS-WZ did not include guilt due to perpetration or exposure to moral transgressions. Inconsistent with popular view that perpetration of attentions is most common source of Vietnam-related quilt.	Collection of studies developing and validating the STRGS-WZ scale
Beckham (1998), USA (75)	Controlling for combat exposure, PTSD ( $b = 0.54$ , $R^2 = 0.14$ , $P < 0.05$ ) and guilt sx ( $b = 0.04$ , $R^2 = 0.13$ , $P < 0.05$ ) were highly associated with exposure and/or perpetration of atrocities	Retrospective Non-validated assessment of perpetration/exposure to atrocities No control group
Fontana (2004), USA (40)	Guilt sx mediated the association between perpetrations of atrocities and reduced comfort derived from religious faith after service (direct b: $-0.08$ ; indirect: $(0.34)(-0.11)$ ) Guilt sx ( $b = 0.09$ ) and weakened religious faith ( $b = -0.08$ ) were strongest predictors for increased use of VA mental health services.	Retrospective interview-based assessment of traumas No control group
Witvliet (2004), USA (87)	Negative religious coping and unforgiving of self was related to increased PTSD (M-PTSD) $(b = 0.25, P < 0.001; b = 0.19, P < 0.01)$ and depression $(b = 0.29, P < 0.001; b = 0.22, P < 0.001)$ sx	Retrospective No control group Moral injury and general moral reasoning was not assessed
Marx (2008), USA (90)	Statistical prediction instrument (containing guilt items) identified the presence of PTSD more accurately (sensitivity = $0.98$ , specificity: $0.43$ ) than the PTSD Keane Scale (sensitivity = $0.98$ , specificity: $0.33$ ) and almost as accurate as M-PTSD Scale (sensitivity = $0.98$ , specificity: $0.54$ )	Retrospective Development and validation study
Klasen (2010), Germany (77)	Resilient child soldiers endorsed less perpetration of moral transgressions vs. child soldiers with PTSD ( $M = 2.87$ vs. $M = 3.55$ , $P = 0.005$ ) Severity of perpetration of moral transgressions and exposure to atrocities were significantly associated with guilt sx ( $r = 0.27$ , $P < 0.001$ ; $r = 0.20$ , $P < 0.001$ ), PTSD sx ( $r = 0.24$ , $P < 0.001$ ; $r = 0.28$ , $P < 0.001$ ), depression sx ( $r = 0.22$ , P < 0.001; $r = 0.32$ , $P < 0.001$ and lowered perception of spiritual support ( $r = 0.18$ , $P < 0.01$ ; $r = 0.12$ , $P < 0.05$ )	Retrospective Unique sample — not generalizable to common military settings Psychopathology may be also related to trauma related to family separation
Marx (2010), USA (76)	Combat-related guilt indirectly mediated the relation between exposure to abusive violence and PTSD (indirect effect: 0.24) and MDD (indirect effect: 0.16) diagnoses Relation between participation in abusive violence and PTSD (indirect effect: 0.21) and MDD (indirect effect: 0.21)	Mediation analysis on cross-sectional data Retrospective Small sample size
Berg (2011), USA (82)	Guilt sx, lack of meaning and religious doubt (subscales from the Spiritual Injury Scale) were strongly correlated with depression ( $t = 4.95$ , $P < 0.001$ ; $t = 8.23$ , $P < 0.001$ ; $t = 2.92$ , $p = 0$ . 004) and PTSD ( $t = 4.05$ , $P < 0.001$ ; $t = 5.91$ , $R < 0.001$ ; $t = 2.92$ , $P = 0.004$ ) and PTSD ( $t = 4.05$ , $P < 0.001$ ; $t = 5.91$ , $P < $	Did not differentiate between trauma aetiology Moral injury and general moral reasoning was not assessed
Ogden (2011), USA (83)	r < 0.001, $t = 2.03$ , $r = 0.011$ sx PTSD sx were related to alienation from God ( $r = 0.36$ , $P < 0.001$ ) and religious fear and guilt ( $r = 0.25$ , $P < 0.001$ ) Religious factors predicted 14% of variance in PTSD sx	Moral injury and general moral reasoning was not assessed Only generalizable to Christian faith treatment-seeking sample only

Table 3. (Continued)

Author (Year), Country	Results*	Limitations
Gray (2012), USA (84)	Despite improvements in PTSD sx, veterans did not demonstrate significant reductions in self-blame severity after adaptive disclosure treatment (pretest $M = 2.51$ vs. posttest $M = 2.31$ , $P = 0.14$ )	Small sample size
Stein (2012), USA (78)	Moral injury by self was associated with re-experiencing symptoms ( $b = 0.28$ , $P = 0.015$ ) and TRGI Hindsight-Bias/Responsibility ( $b = 0.39$ , $P = 0.003$ ) and Wrongdoing ( $b = 0.26$ , $P = 0.043$ ) subscales.	Review of non-validated structured clinical interviews Retrospective treatment-seeking sample only Event coding was ambiguous
Nash (2013), USA (85)	Perceived moral injury was not associated with combat exposure ( $r = 0.08$ ) Perceived moral injury was associated with increased depression sx ( $r = 0.40$ ), anxiety sx ( $r = 0.28$ ), negative affectivity ( $r = 0.29$ ), PTSD sx ( $r = 0.28$ ) and lower social support ( $r = -0.29$ ) (Interpersonal Support Evaluation List)	Development and validation study Retrospective
Vargas (2013), USA (86)	Based on an interview exploring symptoms experienced in the aftermath of moral injury, guilt and shame (Self-Deprecation theme) were found to be within the moral injury construct; however, frequency of this theme is lower than of feelings of loss of trust and existential/spiritual problems.	No mental health outcomes archival data Raters were not blind
Currier (2014), USA (79)	Severity of guilt sx was associated with degree of exposure to atrocities (SEM estimate = 0.19. $P < 0.001$ ) (controlling for combat exposure)	Lack of validated measures for guilt and atrocity exposure Retrospective

CGS, Combat Guilt Scale; HAM-D, Hamilton Rating for Depression; M-PTSD, Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related PTSD; MDD, Major Depressive Disorder; PTSD, Post-traumatic Stress Disorder; STRGS-WZ, sources of trauma-related guilt survey-war-zone version; sx, symptoms; TRGI, Trauma-Related Guilt Inventory; VREQ, Vietnam Related Experiences Questionnaire.

\*Positive results and associations reported in this table were statistically significant.

studies have several important limitations, pointing towards the need for additional study: all involved retrospective self-report and were thus vulnerable to memory decay and systematic reporting biases (e.g. halo effect). Social desirability factors may contribute further to underreporting of morally transgressive actions perceived as unacceptable to others. In addition, sample sizes were relatively small in the majority of studies surveyed (73, 74, 78, 81-84) and, as noted, relied upon non-validated measures to assess morality, guilt and shame. Moreover, civilian control groups were not included to assess the relation between morally injurious acts (e.g. denying a patient medical care) and experiences of guilt and shame in non-military samples.

In one key validation study of the Moral Injury Evaluation Scale (MIES), also relying upon retrospective self-report, Nash et al. (85) provided additional evidence implicating moral transgressions as a source of psychological distress experienced after deployment. Although Nash et al. (85) did not measure specifically symptoms of guilt and shame, the authors did examine experiences of moral injury, which include feelings of guilt and shame experienced in the aftermath of moral transgressions (86). Specifically, Nash found that increased perception of moral injuries was related to PTSD and MDD symptomatology and a lower index of social support. Critically, MIES scores were not related to the degree of combat exposure, supporting the notion that moral injuries provide an independent source of psychological distress.

Several studies (while also limited by retrospective self-report) have differentiated further the impact of being either an observer or an agent of moral transgressions, finding that guilt is experienced by military members after both perpetration (40, 71, 72, 76, 77) and observation (73, 76, 77, 79) of moral transgressions. Two studies involving restricted samples of Vietnam veterans, however, reported null findings where the perpetration of atrocities was not identified as a common source of guilt (81, 86); critically, however, the Vargas et al. study relied upon archival data, which may have limited significantly the scope of participant responses available for analysis.

Among the articles selected for review, several reported associations between guilt (with or without exposure to perceived moral transgressions) and symptoms of PTSD (74, 75, 82, 87) and of MDD (82, 87) in military members, including the onset of re-experiencing (74, 75) and avoidance symptoms (74). These findings contribute to the growing body of literature relating experiences of guilt with poor mental health outcomes among military members (37, 38, 52, 64, 88, 89); notably, these studies did not assess independently experiences of guilt and of shame, and cross-contamination of these concepts is probable across measures. Direct exposure to and/or perpetration of moral transgressions has also been related to several mental health outcomes such as severity of PTSD (71, 73, 75, 77, 78, 83, 85), severity of MDD (73, 77, 85) and suicidal ideation (72). Critically, in one study, formal mediation analysis revealed that

combat-related guilt mediated the relation between exposure to and participation in retrospectively reported perceived atrocities and subsequent diagnoses of PTSD and MDD (76). The results of this study suggest that the onset of symptoms of guilt and shame following either participation in or observation of perceived atrocities contributes significantly to the onset of PTSD and of MDD in military samples. Interestingly, a statistical prediction instrument developed by Marx and colleagues (90) containing items relating to guilt and moral transgressions predicted a diagnosis of PTSD more accurately than did the PTSD Keane Scale (91) and almost as accurately as the Mississippi PTSD Scale (92).

Several studies have explored further the concept of morality via changes in religious functioning among military members. Fontana et al. (40) found that in personnel who completed military service, guilt symptoms mediated the association between retrospective self-report of perpetration of atrocities and reduced comfort derived from religious faith. Furthermore, negative religious coping and alienation from God were related to increased PTSD (83, 87) and MDD (87) symptomatology. Ogden et al. (83) found that religious factors predicted 14% of the variance in PTSD symptomatology in a group of veterans who experienced combat in OEF/OIF; moral injury was not assessed directly in these studies. Studies relating to other topics of morality include the work of Laufer et al. (71), who found that participation in violence was associated with higher demoralization in African American Vietnam veterans and lower demoralization in Caucasian Vietnam veterans. Moreover, among veterans who participated in perceived abusive violence, whereas Caucasian veterans described feeling indifference to the fate of civilians and the maintenance a full war mentality, African Americans veterans reported dissonance between their current attitude and behaviour in the combat theatre. Finally, despite assessing guilt and moral transgressions separately and being included in this review, two studies did not go on to explore the association between guilt and morality, thus yielding no interpretable findings for the current analysis (84, 93). As reviewed, the studies reported here suffer several significant limitations, summarized on a study-by-study basis in Table 3.

#### Discussion

The primary scope of this review was to explore how morality contributes to the experiences of guilt and shame within military samples; the relation of guilt, shame and morality to subsequent

# Military mental health and morality

mental health outcomes was also examined. Our review of the extant literature strongly suggests that exposure to and perceived perpetration of morally transgressive acts that result in the incurrence of moral injuries during military service are associated with the emergence of symptoms of guilt and shame. The emergence of symptoms of guilt and shame following moral injury appears to mediate the onset of psychopathology, including PTSD and MDD, among military members and may increase risk of subsequent suicide. Critically, this association holds regardless of the degree of combat exposure. To our knowledge, however, no studies have directly explored the association between validated measures of global moral reasoning/judgment and the onset of symptoms of guilt within military personnel. This effort will have important implications for understanding how moral injury, guilt, and shame interact to influence mental health outcomes among military personnel.

The study of morality is particularly pertinent to the military as military service exposes individuals to unique, morally salient situations. The army is a tool of war. Participating in the military generally involves an assumption that one creates a better world, for the nation or humanity, albeit by the use of force (also assuming that all other non-violent means have failed). As the use of force involves the violation of individual rights, the concept of using violence as an instrument of peace parallels the idea of sacrifice for greater good. Moral conflict generally arises during these types of situations, where one's innate feelings of what is right and wrong (deontological thought) are pitted against a more cognitive, calculated, objectively advantageous outcome (utilitarianism). Converging with neuroimaging data (94-97), moral emotions (e.g. guilt and shame) and empathic concern are generally associated with a more deontological morality. Nonetheless, morality is dynamic, subjective and open to socio-cognitive influence (98). Social psychologists, particularly Bandura (98), have coined the term moral disengagement – the phenomenon when an individual or a group of individuals disconnect their internal moral code from moral action and, ultimately, one's conduct is not perceived as immoral but is seen as honourable. Generally, diffusion and displacement of responsibility (i.e. through division of labour), euphemistic language (e.g. bombing referred to as 'clean, surgical strikes', suggesting acts of healing) and dehumanization all attribute to the removal of the self as inflicting direct harm, therefore dampening emotional contagion from otherwise complex moral dilemmas (98). We conceptualize moral

disengagement as the disengagement of deontological thought, where the value of the moral decision is placed exclusively on the calculated outcome. Within a military context, the amplitude of utilitarianism is assumed to be high, with the goodness of the outcome generally defined by authority and meant to be taken at an authoritarian face value. The military is an organization that generally functions through utilitarian methods, suggesting that, over time, military personnel will develop a shift towards a more utilitarian moral judgment. This idea remains to be explored, however.

The studies reviewed have several limitations. As with any situation involving feelings of shame, full disclosure may be associated with significant hesitation and fear of judgment by others. Reporting observation of or participation in the perpetration of acts that transgress moral standards during deployment is no exception, suggesting that these types of events may be underreported (73). Moreover, self-reports of guilt and shame have been shown to be moderated by cultural factors (99). As mentioned previously, the interchangeable use of terms guilt and shame within the majority of studies limits our ability to explore the independent roles of distinct experiences of guilt and shame. Although the studies reviewed here included samples comprised primarily of Caucasian Vietnam War veterans, the increased multiculturalism of today's Western military may add additional variance surrounding the propensity to report guilt and/or shame within military personnel. A significant proportion of the studies sampled included treatment-seeking veterans tested several years after combat; non-random sample selection, memory decay and retrospective reporting add additional bias to the findings. Finally, the findings reported here are correlational and do not provide direct evidence that moral injuries lead to the onset of guilt and related psychopathological symptoms. Longitudinal studies are instead urgently required to establish the course of psychopathology stemming from moral injury and attendant guilt and distress.

In addition to moral injuries stemming from observed or perceived moral transgressions in the combat theatre, several other factors may influence moral emotions, moral judgment and psychopathology as they relate to military service. Specifically, a past history of childhood trauma has been associated with combat-related PTSD in soldiers (100), shame-inducing thoughts (48) and shame proneness (101). Notably, military personnel are frequently separated from traditional sources of social support, potentially altering the dynamics and stability of these relationships (102, 103); lack

of perceived social support has been a robust predictor of chronic PTSD (103, 104). Critically, the perception of forgiveness from others mediates self-forgiveness over time (105), pointing further towards the vital importance of maintaining social support in populations at risk for PTSD and for moral injury. In addition, military sexual trauma (MST; sexual assault, rape or harassment occurring during military service) has received increasing attention in recent years, where approximately 20% of female and 1% of male VA healthcare users report experiencing at least one MST during their service, representing almost 100 000 personnel positively screened by the VA in 2008 alone (106); sexual trauma is closely linked to intense guilt and shame among personnel and represents a key intervention target. Moreover, perpetrators of MST may present with similar patterns of guilt and shame, particularly upon return from service and integration into civilian life. Finally, in the aftermath of moral injury, a proportion of soldiers may experience changes in religious faith and difficulty finding meaning in their actions postdeployment; treatment of individuals with combat-related psychological distress must address the spiritual and existential changes involved with such experiences (40, 77, 83).

Given the high rates of PTSD within the military and the increasing number of veterans seeking VAC mental health services, there is an urgent need for research that increases the capacity for the prevention and treatment of combat-related PTSD. The results of the present review point towards strong relations between the incurrence of a moral injury, the subsequent development of symptoms of guilt and shame and the emergence of psychopathology, including MDD and PTSD. Although it is not possible to predict who will be exposed to morally questionable events during deployment, it may be possible to predict who is more likely to perceive such events as morally injurious. By assessing predeployment styles of moral judgment using validated assessment tools (e.g. Defining Issues Test (107), Moral Competence Test (108)), we may be better able to identify those military members most likely to experience moral injuries, to have resulting symptoms of guilt and shame and thereby a greater risk of developing combat-related PTSD and/or MDD. Given that the emergence of guilt and shame (additional targets of systematic measurement) is dependent on one's perception of behaviour as diverging from personal moral values and standards, assessing these moral standards prior to deployment may elucidate how individuals may emotionally respond to morally ambiguous circumstances in

the combat theatre. Members identified as being at risk for moral injury may then be targeted by preventive and/or early intervention efforts. Preemptive screening and removal from service of individuals at risk for moral injury and associated symptoms of guilt and shame is not warranted, where instead it is those individuals with an appropriately high sense of personal responsibility and intolerance for moral transgressions who are actively sought among military ranks. Interestingly, a survey conducted with OIF US personnel reported that although 45% of personnel agreed that non-combatants should be treated with respect, 17% of the sample believed that noninsurgents should be treated in the same manner as insurgents (69). Identification and pre-emptive screening of those individuals most likely to engage in moral transgressions in the combat theatre may further limit the exposure of other military members to witnessing transgressive acts that result in downstream consequences of guilt and shame. Recent evidence has shown that leader-led training in battlefield ethics reduces unethical behaviour of soldiers during deployment (109), further signifying the need for a broad integration of ethics training and moral judgment assessment for optimal personnel and operational preparedness (110).

Given that longer durations of experiences of shame are linked to a lower likelihood of self-forgiveness (105), military forces may also implement early intervention programmes targeting personnel that endorse postdeployment moral injuries. Targeted early intervention programmes of this nature would be expected to inhibit the development of guilt-based PTSD. Accordingly, it will be crucial to explore further the relation between guilt and shame in military populations and its implications for the onset, maintenance and treatment of combat-related PTSD in service personnel. Treatment options for combat-related PTSD that rely, for example, on cognitive processing therapy may not yet be sufficient for targeting psychological distress caused by moral injuries (see (21) for a comprehensive overview of future treatment directions). Although PTSD treatments to date have centred predominantly on fear-based PTSD symptoms, a novel exposure protocol focused on adaptive disclosure of moral injuries appeared highly promising (84). Employing group-based therapy that targets specifically symptoms of guilt and shame may allow participants to discuss a wider range of experienced symptoms and to move past fearbased conceptualizations of military PTSD. Treatment interventions that concentrate on symptoms of guilt and shame in the military population will need to be a priority as research and clinical efforts 

#### Acknowledgement

We thank Jasneet Dhaliwal and Allen Tedjo for their assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

# **Declaration of interest**

None.

#### References

- 1. International Institute for Strategic Studies. Chapter Three: North America. The Military Balance 2013. 49–88.
- Government of Canada. Committee Report: Support for veterans and other victims of post traumatic stress disorder and other operational stress injuries. Ottawa, ON: House of Commons, 2007.
- 3. Institute of Medicine. Returning home from Iraq and Afghanistan: Preliminary assessment of readjustment needs of veterans, service members, and their families. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2013.
- Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center. Anxiety disorders, active component, US Armed Forces, 2000– 2012. MSMR 2013;20:2–6.
- 5. US Department of Defense, US Department of Veterans Affairs, US Department of Health Services. Interagency Task Force on Military and Veterans Mental Health -2013 Interim Report, Washington, DC, 2013.
- Government of Canada. CFHS 2013 Canadian Armed Forces Mental Health Survey [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2013 Jul 15]. Available from: http://www.forces.gc.ca/healthsante/pub/mh-sm/mhs-esm/default-eng.asp.
- Government of Canada. CFHS Road to Mental Readiness R2MR Home [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2013 Jul 15]. Available from: http://www.forces.gc.ca/health-sante/ps/mh-sm/r2mr-rvpm/default-eng.asp.
- US Department of Veteran Affairs. Make the Connection [Internet]. [cited 2013 Nov 5]. Available from: http://maketheconnection.net/.
- US Department of Defense. After Deployment [Internet]. [cited 2013 Nov 5]. Available from: http://www.afterdeployment.org/.
- KOK BC, HERRELL RK, THOMAS JL, HOGE CW. Posttraumatic stress disorder associated with combat service in Iraq or Afghanistan: reconciling prevalence differences between studies. J Nerv Ment Dis 2012;200:444–450.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental health disorders: DSM-5. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing, 2013.
- KLEMANSKI DH, MENNIN DS, BORELLI JL, MORRISSEY PM, AIKINS DE. Emotion-related regulatory difficulties contribute to negative psychological outcomes in activeduty Iraq war soldiers with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Depress Anxiety 2012;29:621–628.
- PRICE JL, MONSON CM, CALLAHAN K, RODRIGUEZ BF. The role of emotional functioning in military-related PTSD and its treatment. J Anxiety Disord 2006;20:661–674.
- BROWN AD, ADDIS DR, ROMANO TA, et al. Episodic and semantic components of autobiographical memories and imagined future events in post-traumatic stress disorder. Memory 2014;22:595–604.

- VASTERLING JJ, DUKE LM, BRAILEY K, CONSTANS JI, ALLAIN AN, SUTKER PB. Attention, learning, and memory performances and intellectual resources in Vietnam veterans: PTSD and no disorder comparisons. Neuropsychology 2002;16:5–14.
- MAZZA M, GIUSTI L, ALBANESE A, MARIANO M, PINO MC, RONCONE R. Social cognition disorders in military police officers affected by posttraumatic stress disorder after the attack of An-Nasiriyah in Iraq 2006. Psychiatry Res 2012;198:248–252.
- COOK JM, RIGGS DS, THOMPSON R, COYNE JC, SHEIKH JI. Posttraumatic stress disorder and current relationship functioning among World War II ex-prisoners of war. J Fam Psychol 2004;18:36–45.
- EVANS L, MCHUGH T, HOPWOOD M, WATT C. Chronic posttraumatic stress disorder and family functioning of Vietnam veterans and their partners. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2003;37:765–772.
- JORDAN BK, MARMAR CR, FAIRBANK JA, et al. Problems in families of male Vietnam veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol 1992;60:916–926.
- RIGGS DS, BYRNE CA, WEATHERS FW, LITZ BT. The quality of the intimate relationships of male Vietnam veterans: problems associated with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Trauma Stress 1998;11:87–101.
- LITZ BT, STEIN N, DELANEY E, et al. Moral injury and moral repair in war veterans: a preliminary model and intervention strategy. Clin Psychol Rev 2009;29:695– 706.
- LAUFER RS, BRETT E, GALLOPS MS. Symptom patterns associated with posttraumatic stress disorder among Vietnam veterans exposed to war trauma. Am J Psychiatry 1985;142:1304–1311.
- ANDREWS B, BREWIN CR, STEWART L, PHILPOTT R, HEJDEN-BERG J. Comparison of immediate-onset and delayedonset posttraumatic stress disorder in military veterans. J Abnorm Psychol 2009;118:767–777.
- MATOS M, PINTO-GOUVEIA J, DUARTE C. Above and beyond emotional valence: the unique contribution of central and traumatic shame memories to psychopathology vulnerability. Memory 2012;20:461–477.
- BOULOS D, ZAMORSKI MA. Deployment-related mental disorders among Canadian Forces personnel deployed in support of the mission in Afghanistan, 2001–2008. CMAJ 2013;185:E545–E552.
- HOGE CW, CASTRO CA, MESSER SC, MCGURK D, COTTING DI, KOFFMAN RL. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems and barriers to care. N Engl J Med 2004;351:13–22.
- 27. KULKA RA, SCHLENGER WE, FAIRBANK JA, et al. Trauma and the Vietnam war generation: report of findings from the National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment Study. New York, NY: Brunner/Mazel, 1990.
- KANG HK, NATELSON BH, MAHAN CM, LEE KY, MURPHY FM. Post-traumatic stress disorder and chronic fatigue syndrome-like illness among Gulf War veterans: a population-based survey of 30,000 veterans. Am J Epidemiol 2003;157:141–148.
- CASTRO CA, MCGURK D. The intensity of combat and behavioral health status. Traumatology 2007;13:6– 23.
- Van Ameringen M, Mancini C, Patterson B, Boyle MH. Post-traumatic stress disorder in Canada. CNS Neurosci Ther 2008;14:171–181.
- Parliament of Canada. Post-traumatic Stress Disorder and the Mental Health of Military Personnel and Veterans [Internet]. [cited 2013 Jul 30]. Available from: http://

www.parl.gc.ca/Content/LOP/ResearchPublications/2011-97-e.htm#a8.

- US Department of Veterans Affairs. Suicide Data Report. 2012. Available from: http://www.va.gov/opa/ docs/Suicide-Data-Report-2012-final.pdf.
- 33. Defense Manpower Data Center. DCAS Reports -Active Duty Deaths by Year and Manner [Internet]. [cited 2013 Jul 16]. Available from: https:// www.dmdc.osd.mil/dcas/pages/report\_by\_year\_manner. xhtml.
- 34. US Department of Defense. Department of defense (DoD) suicide event report. Washington, DC: Department of Defense, 2011.
- 35. US Department of Defense. The challenge and the promise: strengthening the force, preventing suicide, and saving lives. Final Report of the Department of Defense Task Force on the Prevention of Suicide by Members of the Armed Forces [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2013 Jul 18]. Available from: http://www.health.mil/dhb/downloads/Suicide %20Prevention%20Task%20Force%20final%20report% 208-23-10.pdf.
- 36. Government of Canada. Committee report: standing committee on veterans affairs. Ottawa, ON: House of Commons, 2012.
- BRYAN CJ, RAY-SANNERUD B, MORROW CE, ETIENNE N. Guilt is more strongly associated with suicidal ideation among military personnel with direct combat exposure. J Affect Disord 2013;148:37–41.
- BRYAN CJ, MORROW CE, ETIENNE N, RAY-SANNERUD B. Guilt, shame, and suicidal ideation in a military outpatient clinical sample. Depress Anxiety 2013;30:55–60.
- JAKUPCAK M, COOK J, IMEL Z, FONTANA A, ROSENHECK R, MCFALL M. Posttraumatic stress disorder as a risk factor for suicidal ideation in Iraq and Afghanistan War veterans. J Trauma Stress 2009;22:303–306.
- FONTANA A, ROSENHECK R. Trauma, change in strength of religious faith, and mental health service use among veterans treated for PTSD. J Nerv Ment Dis 2004;192: 579–584.
- 41. WILSON JP. Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD. In: WILSON JP, KEANE TM, eds. PTSD and complex PTSD: symptoms, syndromes and dynamics. New York, NY: Guilford Press, 2004.
- 42. HERMAN JL. Posttraumatic stress disorder as a shame disorder. In: DEARING RL, TANGNEY JP, eds. Shame in the therapy hour. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2011.
- 43. WURMSER L. The mask of shame. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson, 1994.
- 44. MILLER S. The shame experience. London: Analytic Press, 1985.
- LESKELA J, DIEPERINK M, THURAS P. Shame and posttraumatic stress disorder. J Trauma Stress 2002;15:223– 226.
- ASHBY JS, RICE KG, MARTIN JL. Perfectionism, shame, and depressive symptoms. J Couns Dev 2006;84:148– 156.
- 47. ANDREWS B, QIAN M, VALENTINE JD. Predicting depressive symptoms with a new measure of shame: the Experience of Shame Scale. Br J Clin Psychol 2002;41:29–42.
- ANDREWS B, HUNTER E. Shame, early abuse, and course of depression in a clinical sample: a preliminary study. Cogn Emot 1997;11:373-381.
- FERGUS TA, VALENTINER DP, MCGRATH PB, JENCIUS S. Shame- and guilt-proneness: relationships with anxiety disorder symptoms in a clinical sample. J Anxiety Disord 2010;24:811–815.

#### Military mental health and morality

- LESTER D. The role of shame in suicide. Suicide Life Threat Behav 1997;27:352–361.
- TANGNEY JP, STUEWIG J, MASHEK DJ. Moral emotions and moral behavior. Annu Rev Psychol 2007;58:345–372.
- 52. KIM S, THIBODEAU R, JORGENSEN RS. Shame, guilt, and depressive symptoms: a meta-analytic review. Psychol Bull 2011;137:68–96.
- HEEREY EA, KELTNER D, CAPPS LM. Making sense of selfconscious emotion: linking theory of mind and emotion in children with autism. Emotion 2003;3:394–400.
- NAZAROV A, FREWEN P, PARLAR M, et al. Theory of mind performance in women with posttraumatic stress disorder related to childhood abuse. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2014;129:193-201.
- KOCHANSKA G, AKSAN N. Children's conscience and selfregulation. J Pers 2006;74:1587–1618.
- RAI R, MITCHELL P. Five-year-old children's difficulty with false belief when the sought entity is a person. J Exp Child Psychol 2004;89:112-126.
- BRÜNE M, BRÜNE-COHRS U. Theory of mind-evolution, ontogeny, brain mechanisms and psychopathology. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2006;30:437–455.
- FRITH U, FRITH CD. Development and neurophysiology of mentalizing. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2003;358:459–473.
- NELSON K, FIVUSH R. The emergence of autobiographical memory: a social cultural developmental theory. Psychol Rev 2004;111:486–511.
- LEWIS HB. Shame and guilt in neurosis. New York, NY: International Universities Press, 1971.
- 61. GILBERT P. The forms and functions of the nonverbal signal of shame. In: GILBERT P, ANDREWS B, eds. Shame: interpersonal behavior, psychopathology, and culture. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- GILBERT P. The evolution of social attractiveness and its role in shame, humiliation, guilt and therapy. Br J Med Psychol 1997;70:113–147.
- OTTO K, DALBERT C. Belief in a just world and its functions for young prisoners. J Res Pers 2005;39:559– 573.
- WILSON JP, DROZDEK B, TURKOVIC S. Posttraumatic shame and guilt. Trauma Violence Abuse 2006;7:122–141.
- LEITH KP, BAUMEISTER RF. Empathy, shame, guilt, and narratives of interpersonal conflicts: guilt-prone people are better at perspective taking. J Pers 1998;66:1–37.
- BAUMEISTER RF, STILLWELL AM, HEATHERTON TF. Guilt: an interpersonal approach. Psychol Bull 1994;115:243– 267.
- KELTNER D, HARKER L. Shame: interpersonal behavior, psychopathology, and culture. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- RACHMAN S. Emotional processing. Behav Res Ther 1980;18:51-60.
- Mental Health Advisory Team (MHAT-V). Operation Iraqi Freedom 06- 08: Iraq; Operation Enduring Freedom 8: Afghanistan. [cited 2013 Jul 30]. Available from: http://www.armymedicine.army.mil/reports/mhat/ mhat v/mhat-v.cfm.
- Gwer KL. Computing inter-rater reliability and its variance in the presence of high agreement. Br J Math Stat Psychol 2008;61:29–48.
- LAUFER RS, GALLOPS MS, FREY-WOUTERS E. War stress and trauma: the Vietnam veteran experience. J Health Soc Behav 1984;25:65–85.
- FONTANA A, ROSENHECK R, BRETT E. War zone traumas and posttraumatic stress disorder symptomatology. J Nerv Ment Dis 1992;180:748-755.

- YEHUDA R, SOUTHWICK SM, GILLER EL. Exposure to atrocities and severity of chronic posttraumatic stress disorder in Vietnam combat veterans. Am J Psychiatry 1992;149:333–336.
- HENNING K.R., FRUEH B.C. Combat guilt and its relationship to PTSD symptoms. J Clin Psychol 1997;53:801– 808.
- BECKHAM JC, FELDMAN ME, KIRBY AC. Atrocities exposure in Vietnam combat veterans with chronic posttraumatic stress disorder: relationship to combat exposure, symptom severity, guilt, and interpersonal violence. J Trauma Stress 1998;11:777–785.
- MARX BP, FOLEY KM, FEINSTEIN BA, WOLF EJ, KALOUPEK DG, KEANE TM. Combat-related guilt mediates the relations between exposure to combat-related abusive violence and psychiatric diagnoses. Depress Anxiety 2010;27:287–293.
- KLASEN F, OETTINGEN G, DANIELS J, POST M, HOYER C, ADAM H. Posttraumatic resilience in former Ugandan child soldiers. Child Dev 2010;81:1096–1113.
- STEIN NR, MILLS MA, ARDITTE K, et al. A scheme for categorizing traumatic military events. Behav Modif 2012;36:787-807.
- 79. CURRIER JM, HOLLAND JM, JONES HW, SHEU S. Involvement in abusive violence among Vietnam veterans: direct and indirect associations with substance use problems and suicidality. Psychol Trauma 2014;6:73–82.
- GALLOPS M, LAUFER R, YAGER T. Revised combat scale. In: LAUFER RS, YAGER T, FREY-WOUTERS E, DONNELLAN J, eds. Legacies of Vietnam, Vol. III: comparative adjustments of veterans and their peers. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1981:125.
- KUBANY ES, ABUEG FR, KILAUANO WL, MANKE FP, KAPLAN AS. Development and validation of the sources of trauma-related guilt survey-war-zone version. J Trauma Stress 1997;10:235–258.
- BERG G. The relationship between spiritual distress, PTSD and depression in Vietnam combat veterans. J Pastoral Care Counsel 2011;65:1–11.
- OGDEN H, HARRIS JI, ERBES CR, et al. Religious functioning and trauma outcomes among combat veterans. Couns Spiritual 2011;30:71–90.
- 84. GRAY MJ, SCHORR Y, NASH W, et al. Adaptive disclosure: an open trial of a novel exposure-based intervention for service members with combat-related psychological stress injuries. Behav Ther 2012;43:407–415.
- NASH WP, MARINO CARPER TL, MILLS MA, AU T, GOLD-SMITH A, LITZ BT. Psychometric evaluation of the moral injury events scale. Mil Med 2013;178:646–652.
- VARGAS AF, HANSON T, KRAUS D, DRESCHER K, FOY D. Moral injury themes in combat veterans' narrative responses from the National Vietnam Veterans' Readjustment Study. Traumatology 2013;19:243-250.
- WITVLIET CVO, PHIPPS KA, FELDMAN ME, BECKHAM JC. Posttraumatic mental and physical health correlates of forgiveness and religious coping in military veterans. J Trauma Stress 2004;17:269–273.
- LEE DA, SCRAGG P, TURNER S. The role of shame and guilt in traumatic events: a clinical model of shame-based and guilt-based PTSD. Br J Med Psychol 2001;74:451–466.
- HENDIN H, HAAS AP. Suicide and guilt as manifestations of PTSD in Vietnam combat veterans. Am J Psychiatry 1991;148:586-591.
- MARX BP, HUMPHREYS KL, WEATHERS FW, et al. Development and initial validation of a statistical prediction instrument for assessing combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis 2008;196:605-611.

17

- KEANE TM, MALLOY PF, FAIRBANK JA. Empirical development of an MMPI subscale for the assessment of combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol 1984;52:888–891.
- KEANE TM, CADDELL JM, TAYLOR KL. Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: three studies in reliability and validity. J Consult Clin Psychol 1988;56:85–90.
- GLOVER H, PELESKY CA, BRUNO R, SETTE R. Post-traumatic stress disorder conflicts in Vietnam combat veterans: a confirmatory factor analytic study. J Trauma Stress 1990;3:573–591.
- 94. BLAIR RJ. The amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex in morality and psychopathy. Trends Cogn Sci 2007;11:387–392.
- GREENE JD, SOMMERVILLE RB, NYSTROM LE, DARLEY JM, COHEN JD. An fMRI investigation of emotional engagement in moral judgment. Science 2001;293:2105–2108.
- ROBERTSON D, SNAREY J, OUSLEY O, et al. The neural processing of moral sensitivity to issues of justice and care. Neuropsychologia 2007;45:755–766.
- MICHL P, MEINDL T, MEISTER F, et al. Neurobiological underpinnings of shame and guilt: a pilot fMRI study. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 2014;9:150–157.
- BANDURA A. Moral disengagement in the perpetration of inhumanities. Pers Soc Psychol Rev 1999;3:193–209.
- 99. WONG Y, TSAI J. Cultural models of shame and guilt. In: TRACY JL, ROBINS RW, TANGNEY JP, eds. The self-conscious emotions: theory and research. New York, NY: Guilford Press, 2007.
- BREMNER JD, SOUTHWICK SM, JOHNSON DR, YEHUDA R, CHARNEY DS. Childhood physical abuse and combatrelated posttraumatic stress disorder in Vietnam veterans. Am J Psychiatry 1993;150:235-239.
- 101. HOGLUND CL, NICHOLAS KB. Shame, guilt, and anger in college students exposed to abusive family environments. J Fam Violence 1995;10:141–157.
- 102. MILLIKEN CS, AUCHTERLONIE JL, HOGE CW. Longitudinal assessment of mental health problems among active and reserve component soldiers returning from the Iraq war. JAMA 2007;298:2141–2148.
- 103. MONSON CM, TAFT CT, FREDMAN SJ. Military-related PTSD and intimate relationships: from description to theory-driven research and intervention development. Clin Psychol Rev 2009;29:707–714.
- CHARUVASTRA A, CLOITRE M. Social bonds and posttraumatic stress disorder. Annu Rev Psychol 2008;59:301– 328.
- HALL JH, FINCHAM FD. The temporal course of self-forgiveness. J Soc Clin Psychol 2008;27:174–202.
- 106. Department of Veterans Affairs MST Support Team. Military sexual trauma (MST) screening report FY 2008. Washington, DC: Department of Veterans Affairs, 2008.
- 107. REST JR. DIT manual: manual for the defining issues test. Minneapolis, MN: Center for the Study of Ethical Development, University of Minnesota, 1990.
- LIND G. Moral Competence Test (MCT). [Internet]. [cited 2014 Sep 26]. Available from: http://www.unikonstanz.de/ag-moral/mut/mjt-engl.htm.
- 109. WARNER CH, APPENZELLER GN, MOBBS A, et al. Effectiveness of battlefield-ethics training during combat deployment: a programme assessment. Lancet 2011;378:915–924.
- 110. THOMPSON MM, JETLY R. Battlefield ethics training: integrating ethical scenarios in high-intensity military field exercises. Eur J Psychotraumatol 2014;**5**:23668.

- 111. BOULANGER G, KADUSHIN C, MARTIN J. Legacies of Vietnam, Vol. 4: long term stress reactions. Washington, DC: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1981.
- 112. DOHRENWEND BP, LEVAV I, SHROUT PE. Screening scales from the Psychiatric press Epidemiology Research Interview (PERI). In: MYERS JK, WEISSMAN M, Ross C, eds. Community surveys: a volume in the series on psychosocial epidemiology. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1984.
- 113. SPITZER RL, WILLIAMS JB, GIBBON M, FIRST MB. Structured clinical interview for DSM-III-R, patient edition/ non-patient edition (SCID-P/SCID-NP). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press Inc, 1990.
- 114. HAMILTON M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1960;23:56–62.
- 115. LAUFER R, YAGER T, FREY-WOUTERS E, DONNELLAN J. Legacies of Vietnam, Vol. III: Post-war trauma: social and psychological problems of Vietnam veterans and their peers. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1981.
- 116. ROSENHECK R, FONTANA A. War stress interview (WSI). West Haven, CT: Department of Veterans Affairs, Northeast Program Evaluation Center, 1989.
- 117. LAUFER RS, BRETT E, GALLOPS M. Dimensions of posttraumatic stress disorder among Vietnam veterans. J Nerv Ment Dis 1985;173:538–545.
- BLAKE DD, WEATHERS FW, NAGY LM, et al. The development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale. J Trauma Stress 1995;8:75–90.
- KUGLER K, JONES WH. On conceptualizing and assessing guilt. J Pers Soc Psychol 1992;62:318–327.
- 120. WEATHERS F, LITZ B, HERMAN D, HUSKA J, KEANE T. THE PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, Validity, and Diagnostic Utility. Annual Convention of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies San Antonio, TX, 1993.
- 121. KEANE TM, FAIRBANK JA, CADDELL JM, ZIMERING RT, TAY-LOR KL, MORA CA. Clinical evaluation of a measure to assess combat exposure. Psychol Assess 1989;1:53–55.
- 122. HARDER DW, LEWIS SJ. The assessment of shame and guilt. In: BUTCHER JN, SPIELBERGER CD, eds. Advances in personality assessment. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage, 1986.
- 123. KUBANY ES, HAYNES SN, ABUEG FR, MANKE FP, BRENNAN JM, STAHURA C. Development and validation of the Trauma-Related Guilt Inventory (TRGI). Psychol Assess 1996;8:428-444.
- DAVIDSON JR, BOOK SW, COLKET JT, et al. Assessment of a new self-rating scale for post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychol Med 1997;27:153-160.
- 125. WILSON JP, KRAUSS GE. Posttraumatic stress disorders (PTSD): collected papers. Cleveland, OH: Cleveland State University, 1983.
- 126. LAUFER R, FREY-WOUTERS E. War Trauma and the Role of Guilt in Post-War Adaptation. Annual meeting of the Society for Traumatic Studies, Dallas, TX. 1988.
- 127. MAUGER PA, PERRY JE, FREEMAN T, et al. The measurement of forgiveness: preliminary research. J Psychol Christ 1992;11:170–180.
- PARGAMENT KI, KOENIG HG, PEREZ LM. The many methods of religious coping: development and initial validation of the RCOPE. J Clin Psychol 2000;56:519– 543.
- 129. SHEEHAN DV, SHEEHAN KH, SHYTLE RD, et al. Reliability and validity of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (MINI-KID). The J Clin Psychol 2010;71:313–326.

## Military mental health and morality

- 130. BAYER CP, KLASEN F, ADAM H. Association of trauma and PTSD symptoms with openness to reconciliation and feelings of revenge among former Ugandan and Congolese child soldiers. JAMA 2007;**298**:555–559.
- 131. WATSON CG, JUBA MP, MANIFOLD V, KUCALA T, ANDERSON PE. The PTSD interview: rationale, description, reliability, and concurrent validity of a DSM-III-based technique. J Clin Psychol 1991;47:179–188.
- 132. McSherry E. Ongoing clinical research in the spiritual dimension of health specialist practitioners. Care Giver J 1987;4:30–32.
- HOGE DR. A validated intrinsic religious motivation scale. J Sci Study Relig 1972;11:369–376.
- 134. EXLINE JJ, YALI AM, SANDERSON WC. Guilt, discord, and alienation: the role of religious strain in depression and suicidality. J Clin Psychol 2000;56:1481–1496.
- 135. TEDESCHI RG, CALHOUN LG. The posttraumatic growth inventory: measuring the positive legacy of trauma. J Trauma Stress 1996;9:455–471.

- 136. FOA EB, EHLERS A, CLARK DM, TOLIN DF, ORSILLO SM. The Posttraumatic Cognitions Inventory (PTCI): development and validation. Psychol Assess 1999;11: 303-314.
- 137. FOA EB, RIGGS DS, DANCU CV, ROTHBAUM BO. Reliability and validity of a brief instrument for assessing post-traumatic stress disorder. J Trauma Stress 1993;6: 459-473.
- 138. ROBINS L, MARCUS L, REICH W, CUNNINGHAM RTG. NIMH diagnostic interview schedule—version IV (DIS-IV). St. Louis, MO: Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, 1996.
- 139. KING DW, KING LA, GUDANOWSKI DM, VREVEN DL. Alternative representations of war zone stressors: relationships to posttraumatic stress disorder in male and female Vietnam veterans. J Abnorm Psychol 1995;104: 184–195.