

Dear Sir/Madam.

I like to share some ideas which may be useful for your Committee. My native language is Russian, sorry if something in my letter is odd.

1. National and international best practice strategies to encourage people to settle and stay in regional areas

- Introduce shorter waiting periods for SOME (not all!) Centrelink payments (kindergarten for children probably most important) and citizenship for migrants who settle in regions. Or other way around: longer waiting periods in overcrowded postcodes. Applicable for ANY visa.
- return 457 visa with option change it into PR (Permanent Resident) after some WORKING years.

I believe MAJORITY migrants should come into Australia by 457 visa or similar. Get skill and English in your country, come here to work, work for some years, apply for PR, after some years apply for citizenship.

Same way should be used by people who come to Australia as students.

Student visa - 457 - PR- citizen. If you cannot get job after graduation - why Australia needs you?

So there will be plenty of time to kick out criminals, jihad warriors, Centrelink parasites.

Tourist visa should be changed, option “no further stay” removed in most cases. If tourist wants - he can find job during his visit and get 457 visa. This way (Tourist - 457 - PR) may be available only in regional areas.

Strategies to develop regional skilled migration;

People on regional visas like 489/887 should be able to have kindergarten Centrelink payments. So both parents can look for job.

Strategies to develop regional humanitarian migration;

- Take refugees on condition they settle in regions for some period of time.
- Do not count living in postcodes where % of people same race/religion minority TOO BIG. For example 5 times more than Australian average. So only one way to get Australian citizenship - live in postcode where people of same background not really present. It will reduce “ghetto” problems and encourage refugees to learn English.

Key local, state and federal initiatives for successful regional settlement outcomes;

Good way may be if Federal government just refuse to take ANY migrants. And shift it to city councils/share councils.

So Canberra will take immigrants according to States "orders". States would ask city councils/share councils for their "orders" and sum it up.

With conditions: migrants settled in area which "ordered" them. For at least 5 years.

So local authorities will be responsible for matching people intake with infrastructure.

Also, in this way we will be able to ensure most of refugees are living in refugee-friendly, "Green", electorates.

Relevant migration policy, including administration and state specific migration mechanisms;

There is clear statistical data about crime situation and some specific nationalities/races/religions.

If Federal government try to settle Africans in some areas - more police and prisons must be financed from Federal, not State budget there.

More Muslims - more finance for local anti-terrorist forces.

Related infrastructure matters; and

As far as I see whole country needs plenty of infrastructure. Shifting immigration into regions will not help.

Welcoming only people who WORK and blocking Centrelink parasites would help, but it is not politically correct.

Any other related matter.

1. Currently I do not see racial equality in refugee intake.

In 1990s people of Slavic race (Russians, Ukrainians) were prosecuted in Muslims parts of USSR, thousands were murdered, several thousands forced to run away. No one lifted a finger to give them refugee status.

White farmers were evicted from their land in Zimbabwe - no one was able to get refugee status.

Same story with white people in South Africa.

There is plenty of talk about prosecutions for political reasons in Russia. But just few Russians were able to get refugee status in Europe, and most of them did it by appeal process.

I got strong impression refugee status only for Muslims or Africans. People of white race can get it only as exception. This policy is against international laws and Australian constitution. Everyone is equal and has same rights.

Even if he is belong to white race.

2. I like to see more responsibility from organisations who "supply" Australia with refugees. They fight government to ensure some particular person gets into Australia. But often government is not

sure this person good because security concerns.

Problem can be solved if “refugee advocates” or whatever they are called pays for insurance which will cover all future expenses related to possible crimes committed by this person.

Compensation to victims, keeping him in jail and like this. Insurance must be valid until the end of his natural life.

How much insurance company charge - it is problem of “refugee advocates”.

3. ISIS/Al-Qaeda/Taliban fighters and other jihad warriors.

If someone take oath to fight for ISIS (or other similar organisation) I assume he become citizen of ISIS since this moment.

So his Australian citizenship can be cancelled as soon as Australian government will find out about oath to ISIS. If warrior is not Australian citizen any more - his children has nothing to do with Australia.

There is international law which does not allow to cancel citizenship if person becoming stateless. Not in this case: he has ISIS citizenship.

ISIS is not recognisable by any country? It is NOT Australian problem. This particular man believes ISIS exists, he voluntarily become ISIS citizen, so he is NOT stateless.

4. Other way to solve problems with ISIS warriors: we have USA as friend. They have Guantanamo Bay. As far as I know it is almost empty now...

So USA can take all these warriors there and “investigate” their terrorist-related activities. As long as USA like.

With option to release the man at any moment, if he agrees to settle in country where he would feel comfortable from point of view his religion: Iraq, Afghanistan or like this.

I assume as long we have troops there we can ask local government for some passports.

Beauty of this solution - Guantanamo is not reachable by “human rights advocates” and other troublemakers.

5. Pity refugees do not settled in areas where they are most welcomed. “Green” electorates would be great for this purpose.

6. No PROPER English = No Citizenship (exception only for aged and disabled). If person lived in country for 4 years and still just barely speak country's language he has the PROBLEM.

I do not think Australian needs people with problems. With PR status it will be easier to kick him out if need arise.

Also, without citizenship he will not be able to vote. If man barely understand English how can he vote?

Current English test for Citizenship just a joke. IELTS 5 should be minimum.

7. Do not issue citizenship anyone who arrived into Australia without 100 point ID and crime record check. Permanent Resident should be the limit.

How is it possible to issue passport to person, whose identity is not properly established?

IDs issued in Australia should not be counted.

8. If migrant family lives in Australia and got child.

The child should not be given “higher” immigration status than parents.

If parents already Citizens - Ok, child also citizen.

If parents have PR visa - child also given PR visa.

If parents have any kind of temporary visa - child gets the same.

When child will reach 18yo, has no serious crime problems and his parents are NOT EVICTED from Australia - he will be able to apply for Citizenship.

We must cancel possibility use Australian-born child as “anchor” which does not allow to kick out from country his parents in case of troubles.

Also, in way I proposing Australia would not breach international law by making someone stateless canceling his citizenship. Because we from the start did not issue the child with citizenship.

Until he will reach 18yo we can evict whole family from the country just canceling their visas, no need to deal with Australia citizenship status.

Thank you.

O. Valuyev, living in Australia since 2004.
Lakelands WA.