

Interventions for enhancing medication adherence (Review)

Haynes RB, Ackloo E, Sahota N, McDonald HP, Yao X



**THE COCHRANE
COLLABORATION®**

This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2008, Issue 4

<http://www.thecochranelibrary.com>



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	2
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	3
RESULTS	4
DISCUSSION	17
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	19
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	20
REFERENCES	20
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	42
DATA AND ANALYSES	127
WHAT'S NEW	155
HISTORY	155
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	157
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	157
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	157
INDEX TERMS	158

[Intervention Review]

Interventions for enhancing medication adherence

R. Brian Haynes¹, Elizabeth Ackloo², Navdeep Sahota³, Heather Pauline McDonald⁴, Xiaomei Yao⁵

¹Departments of Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics, and Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada. ²Health Research Methodology Program, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada. ³Bachelor of Health Sciences Program, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada. ⁴Health Research Methodology Program, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada. ⁵Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

Contact address: R. Brian Haynes, Departments of Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics, and Medicine, McMaster University, Faculty of Health Sciences, 1200 Main Street West, Room 3v43c, Hamilton, Ontario, L8N 3Z5, Canada. bhaynes@mcmaster.ca.

Editorial group: Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group.

Publication status and date: Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 4, 2008.

Review content assessed as up-to-date: 30 January 2007.

Citation: Haynes RB, Ackloo E, Sahota N, McDonald HP, Yao X. Interventions for enhancing medication adherence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD000011. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000011.pub3.

Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

People who are prescribed self-administered medications typically take less than half the prescribed doses. Efforts to assist patients with adherence to medications might improve the benefits of prescribed medications, but also might increase their adverse effects.

Objectives

To update a review summarizing the results of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of interventions to help patients follow prescriptions for medications for medical problems, including mental disorders but not addictions.

Search methods

We updated searches of *The Cochrane Library*, MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts (IPA), PsycINFO (all via OVID) and Sociological Abstracts (via CSA) in January 2007 with no language restriction. We also reviewed bibliographies in articles on patient adherence and articles in our personal collections, and contacted authors of relevant original and review articles.

Selection criteria

Articles were selected if they reported an unconfounded RCT of an intervention to improve adherence with prescribed medications, measuring both medication adherence and treatment outcome, with at least 80% follow-up of each group studied and, for long-term treatments, at least six months follow-up for studies with positive initial findings.

Data collection and analysis

Study design features, interventions and controls, and results were extracted by one review author and confirmed by at least one other review author. We extracted adherence rates and their measures of variance for all methods of measuring adherence in each study, and all outcome rates and their measures of variance for each study group, as well as levels of statistical significance for differences between study groups, consulting authors and verifying or correcting analyses as needed. The studies differed widely according to medical condition, patient population, intervention, measures of adherence, and clinical outcomes. Therefore, we did not feel that quantitative analysis was scientifically justified; rather, we conducted a qualitative analysis.

Interventions for enhancing medication adherence (Review)

Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

1

Main results

For short-term treatments, four of ten interventions reported in nine RCTs showed an effect on both adherence and at least one clinical outcome, while one intervention reported in one RCT significantly improved patient adherence, but did not enhance the clinical outcome. For long-term treatments, 36 of 83 interventions reported in 70 RCTs were associated with improvements in adherence, but only 25 interventions led to improvement in at least one treatment outcome. Almost all of the interventions that were effective for long-term care were complex, including combinations of more convenient care, information, reminders, self-monitoring, reinforcement, counseling, family therapy, psychological therapy, crisis intervention, manual telephone follow-up, and supportive care. Even the most effective interventions did not lead to large improvements in adherence and treatment outcomes.

Authors' conclusions

For short-term treatments several quite simple interventions increased adherence and improved patient outcomes, but the effects were inconsistent from study to study with less than half of studies showing benefits. Current methods of improving adherence for chronic health problems are mostly complex and not very effective, so that the full benefits of treatment cannot be realized. High priority should be given to fundamental and applied research concerning innovations to assist patients to follow medication prescriptions for long-term medical disorders.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Interventions for enhancing adherence to prescribed medications

Many people do not take their medication as prescribed. Our review considered trials of ways to help people follow prescriptions. For short-term drug treatments, counseling, written information and personal phone calls helped. For long-term treatments, no simple intervention, and only some complex ones, led to improvements in health outcomes. They included combinations of more convenient care, information, counseling, reminders, self-monitoring, reinforcement, family therapy, psychological therapy, mailed communications, crisis intervention, manual telephone follow-up, and other forms of additional supervision or attention. Even with the most effective methods for long-term treatments, improvements in drug use or health were not large. Several studies showed that telling people about adverse effects of their medications did not affect their use of the medications.

BACKGROUND

Patient adherence and adherence are synonyms. Adherence can be defined as the extent to which patients follow the instructions they are given for prescribed treatments. Thus, if a person is prescribed an antibiotic to be taken as one tablet four times a day for a week for an infection, but takes only two tablets a day for five days, his / her adherence would be $(10 / 28 =)$ 36%. The term, adherence, is intended to be non-judgmental, a statement of fact rather than of blame of the patient, prescriber, or treatment. Adherence is not the same as "concordance", which includes a consensual agreement about treatment taking established between patient and practitioner.

Many reasons exist for non-adherence to medical regimens, including (but not restricted to) problems with the regimen (such as adverse effects), poor instructions, poor provider-patient relationship, poor memory, and patients' disagreement with the need for treatment or inability to pay for it. Assessing the evidence concern-

ing reasons for low adherence is beyond the scope of this review; the interested reader is referred to other sources (e.g. [Burke 1997](#); [Haynes 1979a](#); [Houston 1997](#)).

Low adherence with prescribed treatments is very common. Typical adherence rates for prescribed medications are about 50% with a range from 0% to over 100% ([Sackett 1979](#)). To the extent that treatment response is related to the dose and schedule of a therapy, non-adherence reduces treatment benefits ([Gordis 1979](#)) and can bias assessment of the efficacy of treatments ([Haynes 1979a](#); [Haynes 1987a](#)). With increasing numbers of efficacious self-administered treatments, the need is apparent for better understanding and management of non-adherence.

In previous reviews, we examined the accuracy of clinical measures of non-adherence ([Stephenson 1993](#)), interventions to improve attendance at appointments for needed medical services ([Macharia 1992](#)), and interventions to enhance medication ad-

herence (Haynes 1987b; Haynes 1999; McDonald 2002, Haynes 2005). We found inconsistent evidence of effects on adherence, and even more limited evidence of effects on patient outcomes.

The current version of our review updates our 2005 version (Haynes 2005, which included 57 trials) with 21 new studies (Andrade 2005; Bailey 1999; Beaucage 2006; Collier 2005; Ellis 2005; Hederos 2005; Howe 2005; Lee 2006; MarquezContreras2005; MarquezContreras2006; Odegard 2005; Portsmouth 2005; Remien 2005; Rickles 2005; Rudd 2004; Sadik 2005; Samet 2005; Schroeder 2005; Van Servellen 2005; Vergouwen 2005; Yopp 2004).

Ethical standards for adherence research dictate that attempts to increase adherence must be judged by their clinical benefits, not simply their effects on adherence rates (NHLBI 1982). Accordingly, we included only studies in which both adherence and treatment effects were measured.

OBJECTIVES

In the current review, we sought to summarize all unconfounded randomized controlled trials of interventions to change adherence with prescribed medications in which both adherence and treatment effects were measured.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that provided unconfounded tests of interventions expected to affect adherence. A confounder is a characteristic that is extraneous to the question being addressed in the study, but which can influence the outcome and is unequally distributed between the exposure groups being compared (Sinclair 1992). For example, in one study (Colcher 1972), two groups received the same prescription for phenoxymethyl penicillin, but different instructions, providing an unconfounded comparison for the instructions, but a third group in the same trial received a different drug (penicillin G benzathine) by a different route (intramuscularly) with a different dose (1.2 million units) and schedule (one dose), making it impossible to separate out independent adherence effects of this regimen. Thus, only the unconfounded comparison of instructions for phenoxymethyl penicillin was included in the review.

Types of participants

Patients who were prescribed medication for a medical (including psychiatric) disorder, but not for addictions.

Types of interventions

Interventions of any sort intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications.

Types of outcome measures

We reviewed original studies concerning medication adherence, with at least 80% follow-up of participants, and with one or more measures of both medication adherence and treatment outcome. For long-term regimens, studies with initially positive findings were required to have at least six months follow-up from the time of patient entry; negative trials with shorter follow-ups were included on the grounds that initial failure was unlikely to be followed by success (Sackett 1979).

Search methods for identification of studies

We searched: *The Cochrane Library*, MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts (IPA), PsycINFO (all via OVID) and Sociological Abstracts (via CSA). We completed database searches for relevant articles on February 1, 2007, updating previous searches that were undertaken on: September 1, 1993; December 12, 1993; June 1, 1994; June 30, 1995; February 28, 1997; July 31, 1998; August 15, 2001; and September 30, 2004. All databases were originally searched from their start date. The search strategy of the MEDLINE and CINAHL database at each time was as follows: ((patient compliance (mh) OR patient adjacent to compliance (title and abstract) AND (clinical trials (pt) OR clinical trial (mh) OR all random: (textword))). An additional search strategy, first implemented in February 1997 was also replicated in July 1998: ((random: or control:) AND (patient compliance/ or patient dropouts/ or psychotherapy or treatment refusal/ or patient education/ or regimen: tw.) AND (intervention: tw. or outcome: tw.) AND (medicat: tw. or drug therapy)).

The PsycINFO search strategy was as follows: ((random\$ or clinical or control or trial) AND (adherence or compliance or non-compliance or dropouts or patient education) AND (drug therapy or drug or medicat\$ or treatment or regimen) AND (intervention or outcomes or treatment outcomes)).

The Sociological Abstracts search strategy was as follows: ((patient or treatment or dropouts) AND (clinical trials or control) AND (drugs or medicine)).

The International Pharmaceutical Abstracts (IPA) search strategy was as follows: ((random\$ or clinical or control) AND (patient or adherence or treatment adherence or noncompliance or dropouts or medication compliance) AND (drug therapy or drug or medicat\$ or treatment or drug regimen or medical regimen) AND

(intervention or outcomes)). An additional strategy incorporated into this IPA search involved the joining of all pairs of words with a (w). For example, treatment (w) adherence, drug (w) regimen. *The Cochrane Library* search strategy was as follows: ((random\$ AND (complan\$ or adheren\$ or pharmacotherapy or regimen\$ or educat\$) AND (medicat\$)); patient compliance; patient adherence; medication compliance.

We conducted an additional search of the EMBASE database for citations in any language, with the words appearing anywhere, using the following strategy: ((random\$ or control\$) AND (patient compliance or patient dropouts or illness behavior or psychotherapy or treatment refusal or patient education or regimen\$) AND (intervention\$ or outcome\$ or treatment outcome) AND (medicat\$ or drug therapy) AND (clinical trial or controlled study or randomized controlled trial)).

We also contacted authors of included trials in November 1994, during winter 1997, in the summer of 1998, and in mid 2001, inviting them to suggest other published or unpublished trials that had been missed.

Data collection and analysis

Each full text article was reviewed independently by at least two of the review authors according to the criteria for review (see 'Criteria for considering studies for this review'), reading until one or more exclusionary characteristics were found or until the end of the article, whichever came first. Articles were selected if they reported an unconfounded RCT of an intervention to improve adherence with prescribed medications in any formulation (tablets, liquid, injectables, and so on), measuring both medication adherence and treatment outcome, with at least 80% follow-up of each group studied and, for long-term treatments, at least six months of follow-up for studies with positive initial findings. Disagreements (primarily of assessment of confounding and adequacy of follow-up) were resolved by discussion.

For each eligible study, one review author extracted study design features, features of the interventions and controls, and the results, and the extraction was reviewed and confirmed by at least one other review author. We extracted adherence rates and their measures of variance for all methods of measuring adherence in each study, and all outcome rates and their measures of variance for each study group, as well as levels of statistical significance for differences between study groups. We reviewed other articles on the same project for details and contacted authors for missing, incomplete or unclear methods or data, and verified or corrected analyses as needed. We also assessed whether randomization was concealed, according to the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* procedure (Higgins 2005). If allocation concealment was unclear, where possible we consulted with the study author. The studies differed widely according to medical condition, patient population, intervention, measures of adherence, and clinical outcomes. Therefore, we did not feel that quan-

titative analysis was scientifically justified; rather, we conducted a qualitative analysis.

Consumer participation

No consumer referees were involved in the editorial process for the 2007 update of this review.

RESULTS

Description of studies

See: [Characteristics of included studies](#); [Characteristics of excluded studies](#); [Characteristics of ongoing studies](#).

The most recent (January 2007) searches of all sources retrieved a total of 5806 citations (including 46 review articles), 65 of which were judged to merit scrutiny of the full articles. Twenty of the latter met all review criteria, testing 22 unconfounded interventions in 20 new trials (references as noted in Background). In addition, a study that was awaiting assessment in the last update of the review (Bailey 1999) was included in this update after further examination.

Thus, to date, searches have retrieved a total of 18,867 citations (including 504 review articles), 1020 of which were judged to merit scrutiny of the full articles. Eighty-two citations describing 78 trials (Gallefoss 1999 was described in two papers; Ludman 2003 and Von Korff 2003 provided supplementary information for the study described by Katon 2001) met all review criteria, testing 93 unconfounded interventions.

Key features of these 78 trials are summarized at [Analysis 1.1](#). A narrow range of disorders was studied for long-term conditions. Numbers of participants in the table refer to those allocated to each study group, rather than the number included in the analyses. This is because some articles did not indicate how many were lost to follow-up for each group; rather, they state an overall percentage that remained at the end of the study period. As per our study criteria, this was at least 80% in each study. These included: twelve studies in hypertension; ten in schizophrenia or acute psychosis; eleven in asthma (and / or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)); two in rheumatoid arthritis; one in epilepsy; three in hyperlipidemia; one in ischaemic heart disease; one in heart failure; one in hypertension and hyperlipidemia; four in depression; twelve in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV); six in diabetes; one in tuberculosis; one in oral anticoagulant therapy; one in contraception; and two studies concerning complex regimens in the elderly. Only nine studies concerned short-term conditions, acute infections in all cases; namely, three for *Helicobacter-pylori* infection, two for bacterial and/or viral infections, one for seasonal rhinitis and asthma, one for Streptococcal pharyngitis, one for malaria, and one for macrolide antibiotics.

Of the 21 new studies, only one study assessed an acute disorder - acute infections (Beaucage 2006). The remaining 20 new studies evaluated chronic conditions, including HIV infection (Andrade 2005; Collier 2005; Portsmouth 2005; Remien 2005; Samet 2005; Van Servellen 2005); hypertension (MarquezContreras2005; MarquezContreras2006; Rudd 2004; Schroeder 2005); diabetes (Ellis 2005; Howe 2005; Yopp 2004; Odegard 2005); heart failure (Sadik 2005); depression (Rickles 2005; Vergouwen 2005); hypertension and hyperlipidemia (Lee 2006); and asthma (Bailey 1999; Hederos 2005). Among the 21 new studies, hypertension combined with hyperlipidemia, and heart failure, had not been assessed in articles meeting eligibility criteria for previous reviews. There were differences across studies in venues, clinical disorders, interventions, adherence measures and reporting, and outcome measures, so that there was insufficient common ground for quantifying differences between groups or calculating effect sizes that would permit quantitative summarization of findings across studies. Thus, the results of the studies are indicated in Analysis 1.1 only as to whether there were statistically significant differences in adherence or treatment outcomes between the study groups being compared within studies. However, as noted in the text descriptions of studies below, some of the negative results were unconvincing because of the small numbers of participants studied (i.e. low statistical power).

Risk of bias in included studies

Some trials, or arms of trials, did not meet our criteria because of confounding (see table Characteristics of excluded studies); for example, an arm of the Colcher study (Colcher 1972), as described in Types of studies.

Before July 1998, none of the studies from previous reviews clearly dealt with 'concealment of allocation', intended to prevent investigators from anticipating and influencing which group their patient might be allocated to, although Friedman (Friedman 1996) used a paired randomization protocol, Bailey (Bailey 1990) did mention using envelopes (not stated to be opaque), and Haynes (Haynes 1976) claimed that the method of minimization that they used was "immune to experimental bias." From August 1998 until September 30, 2004, 14 studies (36% of the 39 eligible articles) reported concealment of allocation. In the latest update (until February 1, 2007), 6 of 21 new studies reported concealment of allocation, so this marker of quality does not appear to be improving in the most recent studies.

None of the studies adjusted for multiple comparisons, although one (Bailey 1990) mentioned that "none of the outcomes for significance would have changed if adjustment for multiple comparisons had been made". It bears mentioning, however, that most of the studies had clearly stated primary analyses and only two or three statistical challenges of the data. Further, most of the studies reported no effect of interventions on patient outcomes and

suffered not from the hazards of multiple comparisons, but rather from those of low power to detect potentially clinically important effects on patient outcomes.

Effects of interventions

Many diverse interventions were tested. No taxonomy of simple labels would do justice to the often complex interventions tested (which we have attempted to summarize in the table Characteristics of included studies), but the following common themes and groupings suggest themselves:

- a) more instruction for patients, e.g. verbal, written, or visual material (Bailey 1999; Becker 1986; Brus 1998; Canto De Cetina 2001; Colcher 1972; Cote 1997; Farber 2004; Gallefoss 1999; Ginde 2003; Henry 1999; Katon 2001; Laporte 2003; Levy 2000; MarquezContreras04a; Merinder 1999; Peveler 1999; Schaffer 2004; van Es 2001); programmed learning (Sackett 1975); and formal education sessions (Howe 2005; Van Servellen 2005);
- b) counseling about the patients' target disease, the importance of therapy and compliance with therapy, the possible side-effects, patient empowerment, couple-focused therapy to increase social support (Al-Eidan 2002; Bailey 1999; Cote 2001; Gani 2001; Hill 2001; Kemp 1996; Kemp 1998; Morice 2001; O'Donnell 2003; Pradier 2003; Ran 2003; Rawlings 2003; Razali 2000; Remien 2005; Rudd 2004; Stevens 2002; Tuldra 2000; Vergouwen 2005; Wysocki 2001);
- c) automated telephone, computer-assisted patient monitoring and counseling (Friedman 1996; Piette 2000);
- d) manual telephone follow-up (Al-Eidan 2002; Collier 2005; Farber 2004; Howe 2005; Katon 2001; MarquezContreras04a; MarquezContreras2005; Stevens 2002);
- e) family intervention (Merinder 1999; Ran 2003; Razali 2000; Strang 1981; Xiong 1994; Zhang 1994);
- f) various ways to increase the convenience of care, e.g. provision at the worksite or at home (Berrien 2004; Haynes 1976; Nazareth 2001; Peterson 2004; Sackett 1975);
- g) simplified dosing (Baird 1984; Brown 1997a; Girvin 1999; Portsmouth 2005);
- h) involving patients more in their care through self-monitoring of their blood pressure (Haynes 1976; MarquezContreras2006), seizures (Peterson 1984) or respiratory function (Bailey 1990; Cote 1997; Morice 2001);
- i) reminders, e.g. programmed devices (Andrade 2005), and tailoring the regimen to daily habits (Haynes 1976; Knobel 1999; Sackett 1975);
- j) special 'reminder' pill packaging (Becker 1986);
- k) dose-dispensing units of medication and medication charts (Al-Eidan 2002; Henry 1999);
- l) appointment and prescription refill reminders (Peterson 1984);
- m) reinforcement or rewards for both improved adherence and treatment response, e.g. reduced frequency of visits and partial payment for blood pressure monitoring equipment (Haynes 1976);

- n) different medication formulations, such as tablet versus syrup (Ansah 2001);
- o) crisis intervention conducted when necessary, e.g. for attempted suicide, aggressive and destructive behaviour (Ran 2003);
- p) direct observation of treatments (DOTS) by health workers or family members (Walley 2001);
- q) lay health mentoring (Coull 2004);
- r) augmented pharmacy services (Andrade 2005; Beaucage 2006; Lee 2006; Odegard 2005; Rickles 2005; Sadik 2005; Volume 2001; Weinberger 2002);
- s) psychological therapy, e.g. cognitive behaviour therapy, multisystemic therapy (Ellis 2005; Pradier 2003; Weber 2004; Yopp 2004);
- t) mailed communications (MarquezContreras2005); and
- u) group meetings (Bailey 1999; Hederos 2005; Schroeder 2005).

Detailed information about each trial is included in the Adherence and Outcome table (Analysis 1.1) with salient features described below. The available evidence did not include direct comparisons of the strategies listed above, and more than one strategy was often included in an intervention, so this taxonomy is simply a descriptive guide, and not used for the analysis that follows. These groupings are broad to enable readers to organize studies as best suits their purpose for the review.

Less than half of the interventions tested (41 of 93 interventions: 5 for short-term treatments and 36 for long-term treatments) in the 78 studies were associated with statistically significant increases in medication adherence and only 29 of 93 interventions reported statistically significant improvements in treatment outcomes (4 for short-term treatments and 25 for long-term treatments). Most of the studies were quite small, however, and the possibility of a false-negative (beta) error was quite high.

Short-term treatments

For short-term treatments, we did not find enough studies on any individual disease condition to permit grouping by disease. A study testing an intervention to increase adherence with a regimen for streptococcal pharyngitis (Colcher 1972) reported success with a relatively simple maneuver of counseling patients about the importance of full adherence, reinforced by written instructions.

A second study in an acute setting (Howland 1990) attempted to assess whether providing patients with information about adverse effects of their antibiotic treatment might cause harm. The investigators concluded that no harm was found for either adherence or adverse effects.

Three studies concerned *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) treatment. Henry 1999 evaluated an intervention consisting of three components, an information sheet on *H. pylori* treatment (10-day course), medication in dose-dispensing units, and a medication chart, versus a usual practice control, for patients receiving medication for *H. pylori* eradication. There was no significant improvement in adherence or rate of *H. pylori* eradication between

the intervention and control groups. It is important to note, however, that adherence to therapy was very high in both groups, thus limiting the effect of any additional intervention. In Stevens 2002 both the intervention and control groups received blister packs with daily doses clearly marked; both groups were also counseled by a pharmacist: five minutes for the control group, and longer and more detailed for the intervention group (including a detailed review of possible side effects). The intervention group also received a follow-up phone call after two or three days of therapy. Self-report was used for measuring adherence (an insensitive method). No difference was found in adherence or *H. pylori* eradication, and the rates of adherence were high for both. Given the short duration of the treatment (seven days), and the provision of blister packs and counseling for both groups, the study would appear to indicate that five minutes of counseling was sufficient. Al-Eidan 2002 added counseling from a hospital pharmacist and a follow-up phone call after three days of therapy in the intervention group (including mentioning possible side-effects) for *H. pylori* eradication. The control patients were only given a standard advice sheet and referral to their family physician, who prescribed the same medication. Both adherence (pill count) and *H. pylori* eradication were improved in the intervention group compared with the control group.

Ansah 2001 investigated whether the use of pre-packed chloroquine tablets versus chloroquine syrup could improve adherence to malaria treatment for children. Adherence in the tablet group was more than twice that of the syrup group, but in 44% of cases of non-adherence in the syrup group, the problem was parents giving more than the prescribed dose. In any event, there was no difference in the clinical outcomes.

Ginde 2003 assessed whether free dispensing of azithromycin for infections in the emergency department resulted in higher adherence than providing patients with a prescription that could be filled for free at a pharmacy eight blocks away. Significantly fewer patients in the control group filled their prescription. However, there was no significant difference between the two groups in completing the course of antibiotics by patient report. Further, there was no difference in subsequent emergency room visits or hospital admissions. The treatment filling rate for the control group was based on the assumption that control patients used the participating pharmacy eight blocks away that provided the drug free of charge; patients were apparently not asked if they filled their prescription elsewhere. The 'course completed' rate was based on self-report during telephone calls, with no indication that interviewers were blinded to groups, nor was the exact question given. Technically, this study qualified for the review, but the reliability and credibility of the measures were suspect. The intervention is also impractical in any setting where giving drugs for free is not possible.

Gani 2001 separated patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) into three groups. Patients in the group A were given nasal spray with the instructions provided by the manufacturer. Patients in the

group B received a brief training on how to use the nasal spray and were given simplified written instructions on the use of the device. Patients in the group C attended a one-hour informal lesson on the clinical and pathogenic aspects of SAR, the treatment strategy, the correct use of medications, and the possible side effects of drugs. After eight weeks follow-up, the adherence in the groups B and C was statistically significantly higher than in the group A, but there was no difference between the groups B and C. The severity of symptoms during the pollen season did not differ among the three groups, but this factor was counterbalanced by the significantly higher use of rescue medications in the group A. Groups B and C had a significantly lower occurrence of asthma symptoms, as confirmed by a lower intake of bronchodilator. Thus, for patients with SAR, both interventions of training and detailed information enhanced clinical treatment outcomes.

Beaucage 2006 used a pharmacist telephone follow-up intervention (PTFI) to improve adherence to antibiotic treatment for patients who were initiating treatment with oral antibiotics. On day 3 of antibiotic treatment, a pharmacist contacted patients assigned to PTFI. The pharmacist documented the patient's condition, checked on the presence of adverse effects and on the patient's understanding of the dosage. They stressed the importance of adherence to treatment and offered encouragement. Patients were encouraged to contact the pharmacist if they had further concerns. If patients could not be reached on day 3 of antibiotic treatment, they were contacted until reached or until day 5. Adherence, measured with a pill count, was not found to be significantly different between the intervention group (n = 126) and the control group (n = 129). A count of infectious symptoms and an infection severity score were not significantly different between PTFI patients and those assigned to usual care. The authors acknowledged that patients' self-reports of infectious symptoms might not have been substantial enough to indicate a cure. To support the patients' subjective reporting of symptoms, antimicrobial cultures taken before and after treatment would have been helpful.

Longer-term treatments

Dosing schedules

The following studies implemented changes in dosing schedules as a strategy for improving medication adherence. **Baird 1984** randomized patients to receive either a once-daily or twice-daily dose of Betaloc (metoprolol) for patients with hypertension. While a significant difference was found for adherence rates, there was no significant improvement for clinical outcomes. **Brown 1997a** tested controlled-release niacin, twice daily, versus regular niacin, four times daily, in the treatment of hyperlipidemia and coronary artery disease. Both medication adherence and treatment outcome were improved. Adherence significantly improved in the intervention group with 95% with the controlled-release niacin versus

85% with regular niacin. There was a significant improvement in the lipid profile in the group using controlled-release niacin versus regular niacin. The controlled-release niacin was associated with fewer episodes of flushing than the regular niacin and this might have contributed to the increase in adherence and thus the better outcome. This intervention would be generalizable to those situations where a reduction in the dosing frequency is possible, while maintaining the same total dose.

Girvin 1999 tested enalapril 20 mg once daily versus enalapril 10 mg twice daily in the treatment of high blood pressure. In this crossover study, overall medication adherence was improved with once-a-day dosing, but treatment outcomes were not. The difference in percentage of doses taken by pill count between the two periods was significantly in favour of the once daily regimen, as was the percentage of doses taken as measured by a pill container that recorded lid openings (Medication Event Monitoring System; MEMS), and the percentage of days with the correct number of doses taken. However, the percentage of days when no doses were taken was also significantly higher in the once daily regimen. By contrast, for treatment outcomes, there was a greater reduction in blood pressure, which almost reached statistical significance, in the twice-a-day group. This study did not have a 6-month follow-up period (only 16 weeks long). However, because the results were negative for the blood pressure outcomes, it qualified for this adherence review. It should also be noted that this study was small in sample size (n = 27 per group) and may not be of sufficient power to detect improvements in clinical outcomes.

Portsmouth 2005 simplified the dose for a thymidine-based nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) from a twice-daily to a once daily dose. Patients in the intervention group (n = 22) received d4T PRC/3TC/EFV once daily while those in the usual care group (n = 21) continued with the twice-daily regimen of d4T IR/3TC/EFV or Combivir/EFV. MEMS caps for this drug were used to measure taking adherence, timing adherence, and correct dosing adherence. None of the measures of adherence was significantly different between groups. The intervention group did not perform better on any clinical outcome. The lack of significant results for both adherence and clinical outcomes may be due to the small sample size.

Because we found little commonality in the interventions tested for longer-term treatments other than the dosing schedules just described, we have chosen to describe the studies according to disease conditions. In doing so, we lament the limited theoretical underpinnings and lack of consistent features of most adherence interventions, point out that adherence problems are a constant feature of all medical regimens, and do not wish to imply that readers can learn only from studies for specific disease conditions they might be interested in.

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

In **Cote 1997** a complex intervention did not improve adher-

ence to medications. The intervention did result in an increase in asthma knowledge scores over the course of the study, but had no effect on the associated asthma morbidities. In contrast, Levy 2000 reported that a similar intervention involving asthma education from hospital-based specialist asthma nurses improved adherence and clinical outcomes in asthmatic patients. Self-reported adherence was significantly higher in the intervention group for use of inhaled topical steroids and rescue medication for severe asthmatic attacks, but there was no significant difference between the groups for use of these medications for mild attacks. In terms of clinical outcomes, intervention patients had significantly higher peak expiratory flow (PEF) values and significantly fewer symptoms at six months than patients in the control group. Furthermore, patients in the intervention group had fewer days off work and fewer consultations with health professionals.

In a later study Cote 2001 assessed two different educational interventions for adult patients consulting with an acute asthma exacerbation. Patients in 'Group Limited Education (LE)' were given a self-action plan that was explained by the on-call physician. The action plan used 'traffic lights' (green, yellow, red) to describe specific states of asthma control based on Peak Expiratory Flow and symptoms and actions that the patient should take for each state. Patients in a 'Structured Educational Group (SE)', in addition to what patients in Group LE received, participated in a structured asthma educational program based on the PRECEDE model of health education. This model took into consideration three different issues that were important when dealing with health-related behaviours: predisposing factors (belief, attitude, knowledge), enabling factors (community resource, family support), and reinforcement. The intervention focused mainly on self-management. No significant improvements in medication adherence or in clinical outcomes between the two groups were obtained. The method of measuring adherence was very insensitive: it only indicated whether a person had a prescription for inhaled corticosteroids, not whether they used it.

Gallefoss and Bakke (Gallefoss 1999) tested an educational intervention in patients with asthma or COPD. This consisted of a specially constructed patient brochure, and two two-hour group sessions (separate groups for asthmatics and patients with COPD). The sessions concentrated on pathophysiology, anti-obstructive medication, symptom awareness, treatment plans, and physiotherapy, with one session delivered by a physician and the second by a pharmacist. In addition, one or two 40-minute individual sessions were supplied by a nurse and another one or two 40-minute educational sessions by a physiotherapist. The patient's pulmonary symptoms were registered and discussed with emphasis on the early symptoms experienced at exacerbations. Individual factors causing attacks / exacerbations and concerns regarding adverse effects of medication were discussed and inhalation technique was checked. At the final teaching session the patients received an individual treatment plan on the basis of the acquired personal information and two weeks of peak flow monitoring. The authors reported a

statistically significant increase in the proportion of intervention group asthma patients who collected at least 75% of prescribed steroid inhaler doses from the pharmacy, compared with asthma controls, but the difference in adherence was not quite significant when based on median adherence. A fatal flaw in the study design undermined the credibility of even these marginally positive results: participants assigned to the educational program but not attending all sessions were withdrawn from the study analysis (Gallefoss 1999, reported in the *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 1999; 160 (6) 2000-5). Thus, the results for adherence were based on follow-up of 38 of 39 control group participants but only 30 of 39 intervention group participants. Data obtained via personal contact with the authors on forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) outcomes for patients at 12 months follow-up indicated that there was a significant improvement for asthmatic intervention patients in FEV1 scores compared with the control group. However, this statistical analysis was also based on per protocol methods (i.e. including only participants who followed the study protocol), and therefore this result was not considered as a clinical improvement for the purposes of our review. Furthermore, the sample size of this study was relatively small and, thus, the power to detect improvements in adherence or clinical outcomes was very low. Finally, there were no improvements in adherence or clinical outcomes for patients with COPD, even based on the per protocol analysis.

Bailey 1990 provided asthma patients with detailed instructional booklets (control group n = 135). In addition an intervention group (n = 132) received a skill-oriented self-help workbook, a one-to-one counselling session, and were subjected to several adherence enhancing strategies, such as attending an asthma support group and receiving telephone calls from a health educator. Positive effects were observed for both adherence and clinical outcomes.

Bailey 1999 investigated two self-management interventions to improve adherence to asthma medications in comparison to a group of patients receiving usual care. The first intervention is an asthma self-management program (ASMP) where the main component was a skill-oriented self-help workbook about asthma, asthma triggers, and asthma care services. ASMP patients (n = 78) also received a one-on-one session with an educator to discuss the workbook, concerns about asthma, and barriers to adherence. ASMP patients attended a minimum of two group sessions with other asthma patients and received a peak flow monitor to help them identify impending asthma attacks. Patients received two telephone calls and a follow-up letter at one, two, and four weeks, after the counseling session about the use of the peak flow monitor and general concerns. The second intervention was a core elements program (CEP), which included a shortened version of the asthma workbook. The workbook was reviewed in a brief (15 to 20 minutes) one-on-one session with an educator. Patients in this second intervention (n = 76) were also trained to use peak flow meters and inhalers. The two interventions were compared to a

group of asthma patients receiving usual care (n = 78). Neither intervention was shown to be superior to usual care for adherence and clinical outcomes.

van Es 2001 tested the effectiveness of a one-year intervention involving individual instruction and review of asthma control for the prior two weeks from a pediatrician, individual and group educational sessions with an asthma nurse, and written summaries of group sessions. At 12 months, there were no significant improvements in adherence to prophylactic medications or in clinical outcomes such as lung function, severity of asthma, or morbidity variables for patients in the intervention group. (There was evidence of a significant improvement in self-reported adherence at 24 months for the intervention group, but the follow-up at this point was less than 77%.)

Morice and Wrench (Morice 2001) explored the role of an asthma nurse in treatment adherence and self-management. Compared with the control group, patients in the educational intervention group had a minimum of two separate sessions, lasting on average 30 minutes each. An agreed individualized self-management plan was determined, with written instructions using the 'Sheffield Asthma Card'. Each patient was given a peak flow meter to take home and instructions on monitoring, with documentation of predicted peak low measurement and parameters for altering treatment, as well as clear written guidelines on when to seek emergency care. All guidance offered throughout the educational program was based on the British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines for the management of asthma in adults. There were no significant improvements in adherence or clinical outcomes at six months. The small sample sizes (n = 40 for each group) limited the power of the study to differentiate between the two groups.

In a cluster randomized trial of 36 pharmacies, Weinberger 2002 investigated the effectiveness of a pharmaceutical care program for patients with asthma or COPD. The pharmaceutical care program (12 pharmacies, 447 patients) provided pharmacists with recent patient-specific clinical data (peak expiratory flow rates (PEFRs), emergency department visits, hospitalizations, and medication adherence), training, customized patient educational materials, and resources to facilitate program implementation. The PEFR monitoring control group (12 pharmacies, 363 patients) received a peak flow meter, instructions about its use, and monthly calls to elicit PEFRs. However, PEFR data of these participants were not provided to the pharmacist. Patients in a usual care group (12 pharmacies, 303 patients) did not receive peak flow meters; during monthly telephone interviews, PEFR rates were not elicited. Pharmacists in both control groups had a training session but received no components of the pharmaceutical care intervention. There were no significant between-group differences in medication adherence or health-related quality of life (HRQOL) at six months and at one year.

Farber and Oliveria (Farber 2004) determined the effect of an asthma education and management intervention. Subjects in the intervention group (n = 28) received basic asthma education; in-

structions on use of a metered-dose inhaler with holding chamber; a written asthma self-management plan illustrated by zones coloured green, yellow, and red; a sample age-appropriate holding chamber; and prescriptions for medication needed to implement the plan. Three brief follow-up phone calls were placed to patients in the intervention group at one to two weeks, four to six weeks and three months after enrolment. Subjects in the control group (n = 28) received routine care in the emergency department (ED), hospital, or both, from their physicians. This study showed that a single session of educational and management intervention and three brief follow-up phone calls were not sufficient to have a major positive impact on treatment outcomes, but improvement in asthma controller medication use was reported. With its small sample size, the power of this study to detect a benefit was low.

Schaffer and Tian (Schaffer 2004) compared the effects of a theoretically-focused audiotape with a standard educational booklet on asthma preventive medication adherence and asthma outcomes. Patients were separated into 4 treatment groups: (a) standard provider education (control) (n = 13); (b) audiotape alone (n = 10); (c) National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) booklet alone (n = 12); and (d) audiotape plus NHLBI booklet (n = 11). The results showed a positive effect on adherence by pharmacy-refill measure (but not by self-report) for NHLBI booklet versus control, and for NHLBI booklet plus audiotape versus control, but not for audiotape versus control at six months. No therapeutic benefit was observed at six months, but, again, the small sample size meant a low power for this study.

In Hederos 2005 the intervention targeted parents of children with asthma (ages three months to six years) and consisted of three weekly meetings in a group setting with parents and another meeting six months later. The interventions were conducted by a multidisciplinary team (three pediatricians, three nurses, and two psychologists). Usual care (n = 28) consisted of education about asthma and its treatment. After 18 months, doctors' rating of very good adherence on a visual analogue scale (VAS) was in favour of the intervention group. The verified mean adherence was not significant but the verified poor adherence (score < 50) was found to be significant with the control group indicating lower adherence. Despite the positive outcomes in some measures of adherence, there were no positive outcomes for the clinical outcomes. A larger sample size would be needed to detect a clinically meaningful difference between the two groups, should one exist.

Hypertension

A study of hypertension that reported positive effects on both adherence and patient outcomes (Haynes 1976) had an intensive intervention, including care provided at the worksite, special pill containers, counseling, reminders, self-monitoring, support groups, feedback and reinforcement, all administered by staff who were supported from study funds. Johnson 1978 used a factorial design of the following interventions in addition to usual care: self-

monitoring of blood pressure only; home visits only; self-monitoring of blood pressure and home visits; or usual care. Neither intervention, nor their combination, was found to be effective at improving adherence or clinical outcomes, but the sample size was small.

Another study in hypertensive patients, [Friedman 1996](#), tested a telephone-linked computer system (TLC) for monitoring and counseling patients. The unadjusted results did not demonstrate significant improvement in adherence or clinical outcome in patients using TLC as compared to those patients receiving usual care. However, when the data were adjusted for age, sex, and baseline adherence, the patients using TLC demonstrated a greater improvement in medication adherence than those receiving usual care. Further adjustment, for baseline blood pressure, resulted in a significant improvement in diastolic blood pressure in the TLC group but no difference between the groups for systolic blood pressure. Sub-group analysis showed, in people who were non-adherent at baseline (n = 26), that those using TLC had significantly greater improvement in medication adherence and diastolic blood pressure than those receiving usual care. In people who were adherent at baseline (n = 241), TLC showed no significant difference in adherence between the two groups over the course of the trial. [Rudd 2004](#) used a nurse-led intervention to help improve adherence to hypertension medication. The intervention group (n = 74) received a call from a nurse at one week and one, two, and four months. These patients received baseline counseling on the use of an automated blood pressure device, advice on improving adherence to medication, and discussion of how to recognize drug side effects. The nurse also changed medication dosage if needed, and asked permission from the doctor if a new medication was introduced. This intervention led to positive results for adherence measured with eDEM pill caps in comparison to a group of patients receiving usual care (n = 76). This intervention also proved beneficial for the intervention group in significantly reducing both systolic and diastolic blood pressure, but the adjustment of medications by the interventions nurse may mean that this was due to increased medication rather than increased adherence.

[Schroeder 2005](#) used a nurse-led adherence support group to help patients in the intervention group (n = 128) talk about concerns with the diagnosis of hypertension and problems they might have with their medication. The first session was 20 minutes long, and a 10 minute reinforcement session was held 2 months later. The control group (n = 117) received standard care for hypertension. Adherence was measured with MEMS pill bottle caps to calculate 'timing adherence', 'correct taking' adherence, and 'taking adherence'. Blood pressure was collected as a measure of clinical outcome. There were no statistically significant results for blood pressure or any measure of adherence, despite the large sample size.

[MarquezContreras2005](#) reported positive findings for two interventions to help control hypertension and increase adherence to anti-hypertensive medication. The first intervention was a telephone intervention group (TIG) where patients received tele-

phone calls to reinforce adherence from a nurse who asked participants specific questions about their medication and gave feedback on adherence performance. In the second intervention, patients received mailed communications (MIG) to promote adherence through education in hypertension and reinforcement of medication adherence. The control group (n = 212) received routine care. Percentage adherence via pill counts was shown to be significantly greater on all visits in both TIG (n = 216) and MIG (n = 212) than control. There were significant reductions in blood pressure in all groups but greatest improvements were seen in TIG. The TIG group also showed significantly better control of blood pressure at the end of the study in comparison to the control group.

A later study by [Marquez Contreras and colleagues \(MarquezContreras2006\)](#) incorporated an automatic blood pressure monitor for patients allocated to the intervention group (n = 100). Patients receiving the intervention also received cards to record their blood pressure measurements and instructions on how to use the monitor. A phone call was made to these patients to explain how to use the monitor and how frequently the measurements should be taken. Adherence to hypertension medication, measured with MEMS pill bottle caps, revealed a significantly greater rate in the intervention group than in the control group (n = 100). At 6 months there were no differences between the two groups for systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

A comprehensive pharmacy care program by [Lee and colleagues \(Lee 2006\)](#) showed promising results for adherence and some clinical outcomes in patients with both hypertension and hyperlipidemia. Patients assigned to the pharmacy care program (n = 83) received individualized medication education, their medication dispensed using blister packs to promote adherence, and a follow-up meeting every two months with a clinical pharmacist. The intervention was compared with a group of patients receiving usual care (n = 76) for treatment of hypertension and hyperlipidemia. A pill count showed significantly greater adherence rates for patients receiving the intervention. While there was no difference for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and diastolic blood pressure levels between the two groups, intervention patients had significantly lower systolic blood pressure than the control group.

Diabetes mellitus

Six studies evaluated adherence interventions for patients with diabetes. [Piette 2000](#) evaluated the effect of bi-weekly automated telephone assessment and self-care education calls with nurse follow-up on the management of diabetes. Compared with usual care, patients in the intervention group reported significantly fewer problems with medication adherence. Patients in the intervention group also had lower glycosylated haemoglobin levels, lower serum glucose levels and fewer diabetic symptoms than those in the control group.

[Wysocki 2001](#) reported 6- and 12-month follow-up data for the comparison of Behavioral-Family Systems Therapy (BFST) and

Education and Support (ES) with current therapy for adolescents with diabetes. BFST included group instruction about diabetes and “problem-solving training, communication skills training, cognitive restructuring and functional and structural family therapy”. ES included group instruction about diabetes and social support but not family communication and communication skills. BFST and ES patients received a monetary incentive (US\$100) for attending all sessions. Although it was not evident immediately post-treatment, BFST was associated with an improvement in medication adherence at 6 and 12 months. However, BFST had no effect on clinical outcomes such as adjustment to diabetes or diabetic control, and ES was not associated with any improvements in adherence or clinical outcomes. Again, it should be noted that the sample size in this study was relatively small (BFST: n = 38, ES: n = 40; current therapy: n = 41), thus limiting the power of the study.

In [Yopp 2004](#) adolescent patients with type 1 diabetes were randomized to a multisystemic therapy (n = 27) or usual care (n = 26). Multisystemic therapy (MST) is a home-based psychotherapy administered by a multidisciplinary team to understand how the patient’s poor metabolic control is related to other systems (i.e.: peers, school, families) and to determine how these factors affect their health status. The Diabetes Management Scale showed no difference between the intervention and control group for adherence to insulin. However, the 24-Hour Recall Interview showed significant results in favour of the intervention group for insulin use but not for glucose testing, diet, and exercise. Glycosylated haemoglobin levels were not significantly different between the two groups, however, glucose meter reading frequency increased in the intervention group.

[Ellis 2005](#) also used MST on adolescent patients diagnosed with type 1 diabetes and randomized to receive the intervention (n = 64). Therapists met with families assigned to receive the intervention two to three times per week. These meetings ended when the treatment goals were met. MST interventions targeted problems with adherence to insulin in the family, peer network, and the broader community in which the family was associated. The control group of patients (n = 63) received usual care for their diabetes treatment. Despite the intensive intervention, neither adherence nor clinical outcomes differed significantly between the two groups.

[Odegard 2005](#) tested a pharmacist-led intervention to develop a diabetes care plan (DCP) for patients allocated to the intervention group (n = 43) in comparison to a group receiving standard care (n = 34). The pharmacist developed the DCP with patients; this was then communicated to their physician via electronic medical records. Medication-related problems requiring intervention were identified as part of the DCP. The pharmacist maintained regular contact with the participants with in-person or telephone meetings. For measures of self-reported adherence, intervention recipients reported more difficulty in remembering to take prescribed medications (56% intervention versus 35% control) at baseline. Control patients also reported significantly better adher-

ence than intervention patients throughout the intervention period. Although glycosylated haemoglobin levels in the intervention were not significantly different from the control group, there was a significant decrease in both groups at the 6 to 12 month interval. These results did not change after stratifying by glycosylated haemoglobin levels or self-reported adherence.

[Howe 2005](#) compared two interventions to usual care to improve adherence to treatment for children with type 1 diabetes. In addition to usual care by a nurse and endocrinologist, patients allocated to the education (ED) intervention (n = 21) received a one-time educational session by the study coordinator to provide families with basic diabetes management skills. The second intervention included the educational session described as well as a telephone case-management (ED+TCM) intervention (n = 26), which consisted of telephone calls to parents and children (if over 13 years) to review blood sugar levels, meal planning, changing insulin dosage, and behaviour management skills. This was compared to a group of patients who received usual care (n = 28) from a nurse and endocrinologist. An adherence questionnaire, completed by physicians, showed patients in the ED + TCM groups had significantly improved by 24% over a 6-month period in comparison to the group receiving usual care. Glycosylated haemoglobin levels were not significantly different between groups. This may have been due to the small sample size.

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)

A number of investigations assessed interventions to enhance adherence to antiretroviral therapy for HIV. [Knobel 1999](#) reported significant improvements in adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) and significant reduction of viral loads in patients receiving individualized counseling involving detailed information about drug therapy, adaptation of treatment regimens to suit the patient’s lifestyle, phone support and monthly clinic visits.

In another study evaluating a psycho-educative intervention (“primarily to improve patients’ knowledge and customs in handling medication to increase self-efficacy”), [Tuldra 2000](#) assessed effects among HIV patients prescribed HAART. In an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis, no improvements were found in adherence or clinical outcomes, although the P values bordered on the 0.05 significance level. A per protocol analysis showed improvements in adherence to HAART at 48 weeks and an increase in the proportion of patients with a viral load less than 400 copies/ml. The lack of statistical significance observed using the ITT analysis might be a reflection of a low power to detect differences due to the relatively small sample size for each arm (n = 55 for intervention, n = 61 for control). The per protocol analysis is suspect in any adherence study as it ignores patients who dropped out, the most severe form of non-adherence.

In [Pradier 2003](#), patients in the intervention group received an

educational and counseling approach based on the principles of motivational psychology, client-centered therapy and the use of an “empathic therapeutic to enhance participants’ self efficacy”. The intervention focused on cognitive (knowledge, beliefs, assumptions about medication), emotional (uncertainties, loss of hope, anxieties), social (stigma associated with the disease) and behavioral (plans to achieve treatment adherence) determinants affecting adherence and consisted of three individually delivered sessions by nurses lasting 45 to 60 minutes. Both self-reported adherence (available for 83% of patients) and mean difference in HIV RNA between baseline and six months (for all patients) were significantly improved in the intervention group, versus control. However, the clinical significance of these findings was unclear - the adherence rate was based on self-report in an unblinded trial, the mean HIV RNA (measuring viral load) was no different at six months for the two groups, and no actual clinical outcomes were reported.

The intervention in [Berrien 2004](#) consisted of eight structured home visits over a three-month period by the same experienced home care registered nurse. The visits were designed to improve knowledge and understanding of HIV infection, to identify and resolve real and potential barriers to medication adherence, and ultimately to improve adherence. In the control group, clinicians and social workers provided standard medication adherence education at clinic appointments generally scheduled at three-month intervals. Medication adherence, as measured by pharmacy report of refill frequency, was substantially better in the intervention group than in the control group. The intervention group also showed improvement in self-reported adherence in comparison to the control group, although the difference was not quite statistically significant. Again, the small sample size (n = 20 for intervention group and n = 17 for control group) limits the power of the study. No statistical differences in CD4 T-cell counts or viral load were observed between groups.

The Tools for Health Empowerment (THE) course is an 11-module educational program for HIV-infected patients and their informal caregivers in which interactive small group sessions are facilitated by a healthcare professional trained in the principles of adult learning, with skills-building exercises aimed at behavior change in participants, flip charts, videotapes, patient logbooks, and patient workbooks. Rawlings and his colleagues ([Rawlings 2003](#)) only used four modules focusing on patient empowerment, HIV pathogenesis and treatment, and medication management and adherence. These were delivered to the intervention group (one session per week) during weeks one through four of this clinical trial. No benefit was shown for patient adherence, virological suppression or immunologic changes.

[Weber 2004](#) investigated whether cognitive behavior therapy could improve medication adherence. Participants were randomly assigned to a psychotherapist and given the contact information to schedule their own first appointment. Protocol defined a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 25 sessions within the one-year

study period. The method of intervention had to be based on concepts of cognitive behavior therapy. Both intervention and control groups continued to receive standard care, including monthly visits for 12 months with assessments of clinical and laboratory data, course of treatment, drug adverse events and HIV-1 RNA. CD4 lymphocyte counts were measured every three months. Follow-up of participants continued with six monthly visits. There was no significant difference in mean adherence between the two groups, but both groups had very high mean adherence rates (92.8% versus 88.9%), and a significantly higher proportion of intervention group patients were at or above 95% adherence (70% versus 50%). The two groups did not differ for viral outcomes. Perhaps the standard care worked very well in this situation or the small sample size (n = 32 for intervention group and n = 28 for control group) reduced the power of the study to detect a difference in outcomes between the groups.

In [Andrade 2005](#), patients were randomized to receive an individualized adherence counseling session each month (n = 29) or usual care (n = 29). Both groups received general education about the barriers to adherence, hazards of non-adherence, and discussions about their prescribed highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) regimen with a pharmacist each month. The intervention group also received a Disease Management Assistance System (DMAS) device that was programmed with reminder messages and dosing times for each medication in the HAART regimen for HIV patients. No significant difference was found for adherence measures between groups. However, when this data was stratified by memory-intact patients and memory-impaired patients, the memory-impaired patients in the intervention group showed significant improvement. For clinical outcomes, the intervention group showed a significant decrease in plasma HIV RNA viral load but no difference was found for CD4+ cell counts.

[Collier 2005](#) used serial supportive calls from a nurse to HIV patients assigned to receive the intervention (n = 142). Telephone calls to patients were made approximately 1 to 3 days after the initiation of the study regimen and at weeks 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, and every 8 weeks thereafter, for a maximum of 16 telephone calls over the course of the study. The control group comprised HIV patients receiving usual care (n = 140), consisting of in-person counseling by a nurse at the start of antiretroviral therapy and phone calls to patients if necessary. Adherence rates, measured with a questionnaire, and time to virologic failure were not significantly different between groups.

In [Remien 2005](#), the intervention involved couples-focused therapy to increase adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) and subsequently improve clinical outcomes. The patients with HIV and their partner met with a nurse for four sessions over the course of five weeks. These sessions addressed treatment and adherence education, adherence barriers, communication and problem-solving strategies, and tips to optimize partner support. Adherence rates to ART, measured with MEMS pill bottle caps, were not significantly different between the intervention and control group at 32

weeks. HIV RNA viral load and CD4+ cell counts were also not significantly different between the two groups.

Samet and colleagues (Samet 2005) randomized patients to receive the ADHERE (Adherence to Drug for HIV, an Experimental Randomized Enhancement) intervention (n = 74) administered by a nurse or usual care (n = 77). The intervention had four components: a) assessment and discussion of the patient's alcohol and substance abuse; b) a medication timing device to improve adherence; c) enhancement of perceived efficacy of medications; and d) individualized HIV counseling. A one-hour session occurred at baseline, with shorter home visits occurring at 3 weeks, at 1 month, and 3 months after randomization. All baseline characteristics were approximately the same except the CD4 cell count, which was significantly higher in the intervention group at baseline. No significant differences were found for medication adherence at short-term and long-term follow-ups. CD4 cell counts and HIV RNA viral loads were also not significantly different between groups.

In Van Servellen 2005 a nurse practitioner provided modular instructions to patients randomized to the intervention (n = 43), which included five sessions aimed at increasing patients' HIV knowledge and abilities to communicate with medical staff. After the sessions, the nurse practitioner phoned or met with patients to address their risk for non-adherence. While a trend was present for intervention patients to report better adherence than control (n = 43), it did not reach significance. Using the criteria for greater than 90% adherence, the control group showed a decline in adherence at 6 weeks and 6 months. Patients in the intervention group showed an increase in the proportion reporting greater than 90% adherence at 6 weeks but not at 6 months. Using the criteria of greater than 95% adherence, the intervention group showed a trend towards being more adherent than the comparison group (P > 0.10). There were no significant differences between the two groups for HIV RNA viral loads, CD4+ cell counts, and disease progression.

Rheumatoid arthritis

Two studies tested adherence interventions for patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Brus 1998 evaluated an intervention involving six patient education meetings focusing on adherence with both medication therapy and a number of physical activities in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Four two-hour meetings were offered during the first month of the intervention, and reinforcement meetings were given after four and eight months. Patients made contracts with themselves concerning their intentions. This program was implemented in groups and partners were invited to attend the meetings. Patients receiving this intervention (n = 29) did not demonstrate any improvement in adherence or clinical outcomes compared with patients in the control group (n = 31) who simply received a brochure on rheumatoid arthritis.

In Hill's study (Hill 2001) of rheumatoid arthritis, all patients

in the education program (n = 51) were seen by a rheumatology nurse practitioner for a 30-minute appointment at monthly intervals over a 6-month period comprising seven visits. The non-education cohort group (n = 49) received the same D-penicillamine (DPA) drug information leaflet as the intervention group. This was in question-and-answer format and supplied information about DPA, how and when to take it, unwanted side effects, and safety monitoring. There was significant difference in adherence, but no difference in improvement of clinical outcomes at six months. The sample sizes of both Brus 1998 and Hill 2001 were small, so that the power to detect improvements in adherence or clinical outcomes was very low.

Dyslipidemia

Four studies concerned dyslipidemia. One study by Lee and colleagues (Lee 2006) is previously described with the hypertension studies as this study aimed to improve adherence to medication for patients with both hypertension and hyperlipidemia using a comprehensive pharmacy care programme. A pill count showed significantly greater adherence rates for patients receiving the intervention. While there were no differences for LDL-C and diastolic blood pressure levels between the two groups, intervention patients had significantly lower systolic blood pressure than the control group.

Peterson 2004 provided enhanced pharmacy support for patients in the intervention group (n = 45). A pharmacist educated the patients on the goals of lipid-lowering treatment and the importance of lifestyle issues in dyslipidemia and of adherence with therapy. The pharmacist assessed patients for drug-related problems, and measured total blood cholesterol levels using point-of-care testing at patients' homes monthly. Patients in the control group (n = 49) received standard medical care. There was no further contact with patients in the control group after the initial collection of baseline data, until six months had lapsed. This intervention did not improve self-reported adherence or reduce cholesterol levels between the two groups. The power of the study was limited by the small sample size.

MarquezContreras04a reported a significant difference in adherence and treatment outcome between two study groups. The control group of 63 patients received usual medical treatment, which included oral information about hypercholesterolemia and its control, brochures about dietary recommendations, 3 month-long prescriptions for a cholesterol-lowering medication, and titration of that medication if indicated at 3 months. The intervention group of 63 patients received this care, and also received a telephone call at 7 to 10 days, 2 months, and 4 months. The telephone intervention improved the percentage of patients complying with lipemia treatment according to pill counts, produced a larger mean decrease in total cholesterol and LDL-C over six months of treatment, and resulted in more patients reaching goals for their overall cholesterol profile and LDL-C.

Brown 1997a (details provided earlier) compared regular niacin with a polygel controlled-release formulation of niacin for lipid lowering. Adherence was significantly greater for the controlled-release preparation and a higher proportion of patients receiving it achieved the lipid control goal over eight months.

Mental health

Adherence studies for mental health disorders were methodologically challenging to interpret or accept at face value. Some interventions were highly complex and it was unlikely that their effects were mediated solely through changes in medication adherence. For example, Zhang and colleagues (Zhang 1994) demonstrated that there was an effect of family therapy that was independent of increased medication adherence in preventing relapses among patients with schizophrenia. This study might be confounded, and thus ineligible for our review, but the details of the interventions were not clearly enough described to determine if this was the case. The generalizability of several interventions was unclear. For example, two studies from China among patients with schizophrenia (Strang 1981; Xiong 1994) tested an intensive intervention of clinical staff working closely with families, compared with providing control patients with 'usual care'. 'Usual care' was a prescription for two to three months of medication and then leaving patients to their own resources, including the decision of whether or not to seek follow-up care. It would be difficult to generalize the findings of these studies to settings in which either usual care was more vigorous, or the intensive intervention was not feasible. Strang 1981 allocated patients with schizophrenia to receive either family therapy or individual support sessions. There were fewer relapses for patients receiving family therapy than individual support sessions. The rate of adherence was also higher for those in family therapy. Xiong 1994 reported no significant difference between groups for adherence. However, significant clinical outcomes in favour of the intervention group were observed for rehospitalisation, number of days of rehospitalisation, months of employment and less psychopathology.

One study (Chaplin 1998) tested whether educating schizophrenic patients about benefits and adverse effects of their treatments, including tardive dyskinesia, decreases adherence with antipsychotic medications. Results showed no significant differences between study and control patients in terms of medication adherence or clinical deterioration. Again, with 28 patients per group in this study, the power to detect a difference in adherence or relapse was low. Five other studies, not about mental health (Al-Eidan 2002; Canto De Cetina 2001; Gani 2001; Howland 1990; Stevens 2002), also informed patients in intervention groups about the possible side effects of drugs without decreasing adherence, but this information was always part of more complex interventions that also included information about drug benefits and encouragement to adhere, except in the case of Howland.

O'Donnell 2003 also had 28 patients per group in their study

to detect whether schizophrenic patients could benefit from "adherence therapy". The control group received non-specific counseling comprised of five sessions lasting 30 to 60 minutes. The experimental group received five sessions of "adherence therapy", each session lasting 30 to 60 minutes. The sessions covered a review of the patient's illness history, understanding of the illness and his or her ambivalence to treatment, maintenance medication and stigma. The results were not different for adherence or clinical outcomes, perhaps because both interventions were effective, or the power of the study was too low to detect a difference.

In an earlier study with a similar intervention, Kemp 1998 reported 18-month follow-up data on the effectiveness of "adherence therapy" ("a brief pragmatic intervention targeting treatment adherence in psychotic disorders, based on motivational interviewing and recent cognitive approaches to psychosis") in patients with psychotic disorders. However, the follow-up rate was only 35% at 18 months. At 12 months, certain data were collected on more than 80% of patients. Patients receiving adherence therapy demonstrated significantly better social functioning and higher adherence ratings than those patients receiving non-specific counseling. However, there was no difference between the two groups for performance on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. Only six-month data were available on insight, showing that patients who received adherence therapy had significantly greater insight into their condition and the effect of treatment than those receiving non-specific counseling.

Merinder 1999 was also unsuccessful in improving adherence or clinical outcomes. They found that an intervention consisting of family psycho-education (eight didactic interactive sessions) for schizophrenic patients had no effect on improving adherence or a number of clinical outcomes such as psychopathology, psychosocial functioning, or insight into psychosis. There was evidence of some effect on disease knowledge and patient satisfaction, but overall the intervention had no effect on adherence or major clinical endpoints. It is important to note, however, that this study was also of very small sample size (23 patients per group).

Razali 2000 compared the effects of "culturally modified family therapy" (CMFT) with "behavioral family therapy" (BFT) for patients with schizophrenia. Both interventions were delivered by a psychiatrist in a university hospital in West Malaysia. At six months and one year, patients in the intervention group (CMFT) had significantly higher adherence than those in the control (BFT) group. At one year, patients in the CMFT also had significantly greater reduction of family burden, reduction in number of exacerbated cases (according to BPRS scale), and improvement in global assessment of functioning (GAF) scores. However, this result did not take "clustering" into account: one psychiatrist treated all the control patients, while a second psychiatrist treated all the intervention patients. Further, it was possible that the therapist himself might be a factor in the outcomes reported in this study and thus must be considered part of the intervention and control procedure.

Ran 2003 was a second successful trial of enhancing adherence and clinical outcomes among patients with schizophrenia. The interventions in the family education group included:

a) Family education conducted once per month for nine months. During each visit, which lasted one and a half to three hours, patients' relatives were taught basic knowledge of mental diseases, treatment and rehabilitation. Advice and information were given according to the patient's specific condition, such as the stage of illness, recent onset or chronic. The patients were encouraged to join the meeting.

b) Multiple family workshops held once every three months. During the workshop, general questions were discussed, and relatives shared the experiences of caring for patients.

c) Crisis intervention conducted when necessary (e.g. for attempted suicide, aggressive and destructive behavior).

The local village broadcast network was also employed for health education during the first two months. There were two control groups. Patients in the drug treatment group (the first control group) only received drug prescriptions but no further support from the study team. In the second control group, patients were neither encouraged nor discouraged to take the medication, and they could seek assistance from other doctors in the local area if they wished. The psychoeducational intervention improved treatment adherence and decreased the rate of relapse compared with the two control groups. Other than the relapse rate, the intervention group did not improve clinical status compared with the drug treatment group, but both were better than the second control group.

One study evaluating educational interventions (Peveler 1999) compared the effects of treatment information leaflets, drug counseling or a combination of both to usual care in patients suffering from depression. The treatment leaflets had no effect on adherence, depressive symptoms or overall health status. This study was only 12 weeks long, which is shorter than our usual 6 months follow-up criterion. However, because the results were negative for adherence and clinical outcomes with the leaflet intervention, the paper was included for this review. (Counseling about drug treatment, however, did result in significant improvements in adherence and clinical outcomes. Nonetheless, because the follow-up was less than six months in duration, the results for counseling are not considered in the conclusions of this review.)

Another complex intervention resulted in improvements in adherence and depression symptoms in Katon 2001. In this study, medication adherence and depressive symptoms were improved through a program involving patient instruction (book and videotape), 2 visits to a depression specialist, 3 telephone visits over a period of one year (aimed at enhancing adherence to antidepressant medications, monitoring of symptoms and development of a written relapse prevention plan), 4 personalized mailings at 2, 6, 10 and 12 months, and telephone follow-up assessments at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months. Patients in the intervention group had greater adherence to adequate dosage of antidepressant medication and were

significantly more likely to refill medication prescriptions during the 12 month follow-up period. Patients in the intervention group also had significantly fewer depressive symptoms, but did not have fewer episodes of relapse or recurrence of depression.

Rickles 2005 randomized patients with depression to a Pharmacist-Guided Education and Monitoring (PGEM) program (n = 31) or usual care (n = 32). A pharmacist contacted patients 3 times within a 3-month period and patients were followed for 6 months from baseline. Some goals of this intervention were to assess patients' antidepressant knowledge, address concerns and treatment goals, to follow-up on patient non-adherence, and to help them better use their antidepressants. For follow-up calls, pharmacists used a monitoring tool to guide their follow-up on any issues or concerns identified in earlier calls. Intention-to-treat analysis showed no significant differences in missed doses (per protocol analysis revealed significantly lower percentage of missed doses for the intervention group at 6 months). The rate of missed doses at the end of the study period was significantly lower in the PGEM group than the control group. The number of depression symptoms, measured using the Beck Depression Inventory II, was not significantly different between the intervention and control groups.

Vergouwen 2005 randomized patients with depression to take part in a Depression Care Programme (DCP) (n = 81) by their general practitioners or usual care (n = 96). Participants in the DCP group received a newsletter about depression and adherence before scheduled visits with their practitioner. They also completed homework assignments to show their comprehension of this knowledge prior to each visit. Adherence rates were not significantly different between the two groups at 26 weeks. Although the Beck Depression Inventory showed a decrease in depressive symptoms in both groups by week 10, this trend was not statistically significantly different at week 26 between groups. The Clinical Global Impression and Symptom Checklist-90-Revised (SCL-90-R) also did not reveal differences between the two groups.

Heart failure

Sadik 2005 randomized patients with heart failure to usual care (n = 112) or to a structured care program (n = 109) led by a pharmacist. The intervention involved: simplification of drug therapy if appropriate, informational booklet about heart failure, and instruction on a self-monitoring programme (signs and symptoms of heart failure and adherence to prescribed medication). Self-reported medication adherence was shown to be significantly improved after 12 months in the intervention group compared with the control group. Clinical outcomes such as pulse, blood pressure, 2-minute walk test, symptoms of heart failure, forced vital capacity, and quality of life were shown to be significant at the P = 0.05 level, but it should be noted that patients were not blinded to study group, most measures were subjective, and, while there were more hospital admissions in the control group, the intervention

group had more casualty department visits.

Ischemic heart disease

[Coull 2004](#) investigated senior lay health mentoring in older people with ischemic heart disease. The intervention group participated in a mentor-led group, with monthly two-hour long meetings in community facilities over a one-year period. The core activities covered in the program were lifestyle risk factors of smoking, diet and exercise; blood pressure and cholesterol; understanding of and ability to cope with ischemic heart disease; and drug adherence. Input was provided from a pharmacist, cardiac rehabilitation specialist nurse, dietician, welfare benefits advisor and Recreation Services. Volunteer lay health mentors, aged 54 to 74 years and recruited from the local community, led the groups. Both intervention and control groups continued to receive standard care. The mentored group reported significantly more adherence with medication (measured by self-report) than the control group but there was no improvement in the treatment outcome. In this study setting, the patients' medications could not be standardized, and they used a self-reported method to measure adherence.

Oral anticoagulant therapy

[Laporte 2003](#) assessed the adherence with, and the stability of, oral anticoagulant therapy following an educational intervention that began prior to hospital discharge. While in hospital, the standard education group received the minimum information consistent with ethical oral anticoagulant therapy with no particular emphasis on the necessity of strict adherence. Patients in the intensive education group received information through visual material about the causes of anticoagulation instability and the importance of strict adherence, were visited daily in hospital by nurses and physicians to repeat some items, and were tested daily about their knowledge. Either standard or intensive education was given until hospital discharge. The results for adherence and treatment outcome were not significantly different between the groups at a follow-up period of three months. Both the standard education group and the intensive education group had high adherence; thus, standard education appeared to be sufficient in this situation.

Tuberculosis

[Walley 2001](#) tested the effectiveness of directly observed treatments (DOTS) for new, sputum-positive tuberculosis. One hundred and seventy patients were assigned to DOTS with direct observation of treatment taking by health workers 6 days per week; 165 patients were assigned to DOTS with direct observation of treatment by family members; and 162 patients were assigned to self-administered treatment, obtained by visiting a health facility once every two weeks. There was no additional benefit in the treatment adherence or clinical cure of tuberculosis from direct

observation of treatment over and above usual service, whether supervision was by health workers or family members.

Contraception

[Canto De Cetina 2001](#) determined the effect of pretreatment counseling on discontinuation of 150 mg depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate (Depo-Provera, DMPA) given for contraception. The women in the counseling group received structured pretreatment counseling with indications about the mode of action of DMPA and the common side effects of the drug, including the possibility of irregular menstrual periods, heavy bleeding, spotting, and amenorrhea. To mentally prepare users for potential side effects, it was stressed that these side effects would be not detrimental to their health. Although the structured counseling group had a statistically significantly lower dropout rate than the routine counseling group, there was no difference in the number of pregnancies. In this situation, however, longer follow-up (greater than 12 months) would be needed to observe an effect on the incidence of pregnancy.

Complex regimens in the elderly

[Nazareth 2001](#) and [Volume 2001](#) investigated the effectiveness of a pre-discharge pharmacy intervention for elderly hospitalised patients on multiple medications, compared with usual care. Neither study found a benefit. In [Nazareth 2001](#), patients in the intervention group, who were aged 75 years and older and on four or more medicines, were visited by community pharmacists at home between 7 and 14 days after hospital discharge. The pharmacists assessed the patient's understanding of, and adherence to, their medication regimens and intervened when appropriate. Interventions included counseling patients or carers on the purpose and appropriate doses of the medication, disposing of excess medicines and liaising with general practitioners. The pharmacists arranged further community visits at their discretion. Patients randomized to the control group were discharged from hospital following standard procedures. These included a discharge letter to the general practitioner indicating the diagnosis, investigations and current medications. There were no significant differences between the groups in adherence or the proportion of patients re-admitted to hospital. In [Volume 2001](#), patients in the intervention group, aged 65 years old, and using three or more medications, received a comprehensive pharmaceutical care service. Pharmacists met with patients for 30 to 45 minutes to better understand their drug-related needs, acquiring data through the Pharmacists' Management of Drug-Related Problems (PMDRP) form, and then provided frequent follow-up communication with the patient and other caregivers, documenting all contacts in a standardized format. Control pharmacies provided usual services, with pharmacist-patient contact being triggered by receipt of a prescription (the different services between intervention and control pharmacies were reported

in [Kassam 2001](#)). No difference in adherence or clinical outcome was observed over the year of the study.

DISCUSSION

The current version of our review updated our 2005 version ([Haynes 2005](#)) with 21 new studies ([Andrade 2005](#); [Bailey 1999](#); [Beaucage 2006](#); [Collier 2005](#); [Ellis 2005](#); [Hederos 2005](#); [Howe 2005](#); [Lee 2006](#); [MarquezContreras2005](#); [MarquezContreras2006](#); [Odegard 2005](#); [Portsmouth 2005](#); [Remien 2005](#); [Rickles 2005](#); [Rudd 2004](#); [Sadik 2005](#); [Samet 2005](#); [Schroeder 2005](#); [Van Servellen 2005](#); [Vergouwen 2005](#); [Yopp 2004](#)). The interventions and findings of these studies did not substantively alter the conclusions of the previous version of the review. Of these 21 studies (evaluating 22 interventions), 8 interventions were associated with significant improvements in at least 1 adherence measure at 6 to 12 months. Six of the studies demonstrated improvements in at least one clinical outcome at six to nine months. It should be noted that the clinical improvements in both older and newer studies were seldom in major clinical outcomes such as death or stroke; rather, the studies usually evaluated intermediate outcomes such as serum cholesterol, diastolic blood pressure, or CD4+ cell count.

Overall, for short-term treatments, four of ten interventions reported in nine RCTs showed an effect on both adherence and at least one clinical outcome, while one intervention reported in one RCT significantly improved patient adherence, but did not enhance the clinical outcome. For long-term treatments, 36 of 81 interventions reported in 69 RCTs were associated with improvements in adherence, but only 26 interventions led to improvement in at least one treatment outcome. Almost all of the interventions that were effective for long-term care were complex, including combinations of more convenient care, information, reminders, self-monitoring, reinforcement, counseling, family therapy, psychological therapy, crisis intervention, manual telephone follow-up, and supportive care. The diversity, complexity, and uncertain effects of the interventions make generalizations problematic about which interventions work and which do not. Even the most effective interventions did not lead to large improvements in adherence and treatment outcomes.

Most people do not follow self-administered medical treatments as prescribed. The benefits from such treatments are diminished according to the degree of non-adherence and the efficacy of the treatments ([Sackett 1979](#)).

With the astonishing advances in medical therapeutics during the past two decades, one would think that studies of the nature of non-adherence and the effectiveness of strategies to help patients overcome it would flourish. On the contrary, the literature concerning interventions to improve adherence with medications remains surprisingly weak. There were very few improvements to

potentially promising interventions and there was only one innovative intervention to improve adherence in this update of the review. One study investigated whether increasing social support for patients with HIV by using a couple-based therapy would have an effect on adherence to antiretroviral therapy ([Remien 2005](#)). Remien and colleagues found no significant benefit with respect to adherence or clinical effects. Compared with the many thousands of trials for individual drugs and treatments, only a few relatively rigorous trials of adherence interventions exist. These provide little evidence that medication adherence can be improved consistently, within the resources usually available in clinical settings, and that this will predictably lead to improvements in treatment outcomes.

Indeed, as only published studies were considered in the review, these findings are likely to overestimate the benefits of the interventions tested to date ([Dickersin 1992](#); [Easterbrook 1991](#)). Furthermore, many of the adherence interventions for long-term medications were exceedingly complex and labor-intensive. It is therefore difficult to see how they could be carried out in non-research settings, particularly under the current pall of cost-containment and staff reductions.

On the other hand, some studies might have underestimated intervention effects. In this 2007 update, about half of the studies used measures of adherence that are imprecise, often relying on self-report, a method that is known to overestimate adherence ([Gordis 1979](#); [Haynes 1980](#); [Stephenson 1993](#)) and that could easily blur any differences between groups. However, more studies (43%) are incorporating objective measures of adherence such as using Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) pill bottle caps. These caps contain a microchip that measures the date and time at which the bottles are opened. Other objective measures that were used include pharmacy refill records. In our view, this is a productive and necessary step forward in the field of adherence research, as it will lead to further valid and reliable results. Although objective measures are more expensive, they provide a more accurate measure of true adherence and should be incorporated into studies whenever possible.

Further, some interventions might work well, but they were not tested well. For example, once or twice a day dosing might secure higher adherence than three or four times a day. However a study looking into dosing frequency only compared once versus twice a day, finding a difference in adherence but not in clinical effects ([Baird 1984](#)). [Portsmouth 2005](#) changed the dosing regimen for one drug from twice-daily to once-daily for HIV patients. No benefit was shown for adherence or clinical effects. Given that HIV patients take many prescribed medications, changing the dose for one drug might not be enough to improve adherence. A study looking into a wider range of dosing schedules failed to meet our inclusion criteria ([Echt 1991](#)). More recently, a study comparing two versus four times per day dosing ([Brown 1997a](#)) showed an improvement in medication adherence and in treatment outcome in the twice per day group. However, this study was completed by

29 men who had previously participated in a trial investigating the regression of coronary artery disease as a result of intensive lipid-lowering therapy, and these patients probably did not represent those in usual care well.

As a general guide, studies with a single intervention group and control group would need to include at least 60 participants per group if they are to have at least 80% power to detect an absolute difference of 25% in the proportion of patients judged to have adequate adherence. The study group numbers in the table shows that only 36 of the 78 investigations to date have met this standard, so most studies lack power to detect clinically important effects. For example, in a study of 38 patients (Haynes 1976), there was a significant increase in adherence associated with the intervention and an interesting within-group reduction of blood pressure of 5.4 mm Hg ($P < 0.001$) in the intervention group. However, the difference between the intervention and control groups for blood pressure change was not statistically significant (3.5 mm Hg; $P = 0.12$). In another study reporting no improvement in either adherence or clinical outcome (Cote 1997), there were two intervention groups and one control group and each of the groups contained fewer than 60 people. This study was clearly low powered. In a more recent example, group meetings were shown to improve adherence to asthma medication on some measures (Hederos 2005) but failed to show an improvement in any of clinical measures. There were less than 35 patients per group, making the study underpowered. Of the 21 newly identified studies for this review, 40% suffered from low power due to small sample size, including Andrade 2005, Hederos 2005, Howe 2005, Odegard 2005, Portsmouth 2005, Rickles 2005, Van Servellen 2005, and Yopp 2004.

Of the 21 new RCTs in this update describing 24 interventions to improve adherence to prescribed medications, only 5 studies (21% of the new studies) showed positive outcomes for both adherence and clinical outcomes (Lee 2006; MarquezContreras2005; MarquezContreras2006; Rudd 2004; Sadik 2005). (This is not statistically different than the 18 of 58 interventions (31%) reported in the last update of this review (Haynes 2005). The medical condition of the population and the complexity of interventions vary greatly in these five studies but one commonality is that there were more than 75 patients per group, which indicates adequate power to detect a meaningful difference. Three of these studies involved allied health professionals such as nurses and pharmacists leading the adherence interventions. Generally, the interventions included in this review were led by research teams and multidisciplinary teams, which cannot easily be translated into real-life practice. If the roles of nurses and pharmacists can be expanded to include counseling with patients intended to enhance medication adherence, this may be feasible in practice. As such, the effectiveness of adherence interventions led by allied health professionals should be further explored.

It is important to note that our review is focused on interventions

to increase medication adherence, excluding studies that reported only on reducing drop out rates and missed appointments. An earlier review showed that adherence with appointments for medical care could be enhanced by a number of strategies (Macharia 1992). Patients dropping out of care are unlikely to be receiving any medication, and if those in care average about 50% adherence, keeping patients in care is arguably the most important adherence intervention at present. This assumes, however, that those who are prevented from dropping out, or who are returned to care by intervention, assume medication adherence rates that are sufficient to achieve clinically important benefits. This merits further testing.

Several commentators on this review have remarked on the negative message it conveys. They have suggested that the findings would not have been so discouraging, perhaps, had we included studies that measured only adherence. Certainly, investigators who seek to advance the methods for enhancing adherence would do well to look into studies that did not meet our criteria for measurement of both adherence and clinical outcomes. However, this criticism does not pertain to the purpose of this review, that is, to determine whether adherence interventions make a difference to clinical care outcomes. It simply cannot be assumed that measures to increase adherence do more good than harm even if they increase adherence. By analogy, the enthusiasm engendered by certain drugs that reduced cardiac arrhythmias in patients with unstable heart rhythms following myocardial infarction turned to dismay when more important clinical outcomes were assessed: these drugs decreased arrhythmias, but also increased mortality (CAST Trialists 1992; Echt 1991). Adherence is a process measure, a means to an end. Interventions to increase adherence consume resources and attempts to increase adherence can have adverse effects (loss of privacy and autonomy, increased adverse effects of treatments (Simpson 2006), and so on).

Most studies assessing successful complex interventions did not assess the separate effects of the components, begging the question of whether all elements were required. Johnson and colleagues (Johnson 1978) attempted to address this question among hypertensive patients by studying the separate and combined effects of a more complex intervention including self-monitoring of blood pressure and home visits from study staff. However, there were no measurable benefits even from the combined interventions.

It is interesting to note that a recent but unpublished manuscript for a meta-review—a review of systematic reviews on interventions designed to improve medication adherence—demonstrated findings that were not consistent with the results of this review (Sahota 2007). The meta-review was performed with the objective of integrating current research on patient adherence interventions, to uncover and suggest promising interventions warranting more extensive investigation. All the included reviews examined interventions intended to improve adherence with prescription medicine and the results were drawn from the primary articles that each review investigated. Complex strategies were shown not to be the

most effective in the meta-review, rather, it was some of the more simple interventions that displayed the best results in improving adherence: the simplification of dosage regimen (12/15 primary studies (80%) statistically supported the intervention) and the use of adherence enhancing packaging (10/13 primary studies (77%) statistically supported the intervention). Moreover, a recent meta-analysis performed by Bangalore and colleagues (Bangalore 2007) supports the effectiveness of interventions that simplify dose regimens for patients. They demonstrated that fixed-dose combinations, designed to simplify the medication regimen, decreased the risk of non-adherence by 24 to 26% in comparison to free-drug combination regimens, lending support—limited, since the results are based only on nine studies—for dosage simplification as an effective strategy to improve adherence. van Dulmen 2007 also recently published a meta-review of 38 adherence reviews published between 1990 and 2005, and reported positive findings in 23 of 38 reviews, including support for “mechanical interventions” such as modified dosage regimens. It is important to note, however, that none of these meta-reviews required the measurement of clinical outcomes. Thus, the reviews reported only adherence results and included studies that measured only adherence, as well as studies that measured both adherence and clinical endpoints. This may contribute to the differences in the conclusions of this review and other reviews and meta-reviews in the area. As stated before, improving medication adherence will not necessarily translate into clinical benefits for the patient and so both measures need to be taken in account. Hence, interventions that have been shown to be successful in improving adherence outcomes, such as dosage simplification, need to measure clinical outcomes as well to further validate their effectiveness.

Some authors did not adequately describe all parts of their interventions. For example, while the report might clearly describe that patients received reminders, the person or method of administering the reminder program was not described, or the role was described in some part of the text other than the section on intervention. Most studies paid research staff to administer interventions, raising issues in generalizability to usual practice settings. This also raised the issue of attribution in many studies: if the control group received ‘usual care’, there would be no ‘attention control’ in the study and any effects observed could be due to either the intervention proper or simply the non-specific effects of increased attention paid to the intervention group. Furthermore, some studies (e.g. MarquezContreras2005) reported that the patients in control group received “standard medical care”, but did not describe what the standard medical care included. If the standard medical care took adherence factors into account, whether explicitly or inherently, it might have worked very well. If so, the result could be no significant difference between the control group and the intervention group, not because neither intervention worked but because both did.

To determine applicability to patient care, we only selected studies

that measured both adherence and treatment outcome. However, the measures for both were not often objective and, when subjective, the assessors were sometimes aware of the study group of patients, increasing the possibility of biased assessments.

No studies examined major clinical endpoints. For chronic diseases, the follow-up was relatively short-term, the longest being 24 months. Indeed, some studies demonstrated intervention effects on adherence and/or outcome in the short-term, but did not observe patients for a full six months, thereby failing to meet the eligibility criteria for this review (e.g. Goodyer 1995; Rimer 1987). Further, most studies failed to assess adherence after the intervention had been discontinued, precluding assessment of the durability of the effect in studies with positive findings. Thus, there are many shortcomings in the research to date.

Despite extensive searching, it is quite possible that we missed some trials that met all of our criteria. The literature on patient adherence is not well indexed because the number of studies is quite small and because it is scattered across traditional disease boundaries. We invite readers to send us any studies published or unpublished that may meet our criteria.

Our review is quite narrow in its focus, being restricted to prescribed medications and to studies that assessed both adherence and treatment outcomes. Numerous other reviews in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews refer to issues of adherence. Reviews with a major focus on adherence include Harvey 2001 on obesity; Volmink 2007 on tuberculosis; Gibson 2002 on asthma; Schroeder 2004 on hypertension in ambulatory settings; Heneghan 2006 on long-term medications; Orton 2005 on malaria; Rueda 2006 on HIV; Lancaster 2005, Lumley 2004, Stead 2008 and many others on smoking. Also, van Dulmen 2007 is a recent a meta-review indicating the focus, scope and conclusions of 38 reviews of adherence to medical treatment.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

For short-term treatments, several interventions improve adherence, including simply informing patients that all of the prescribed medication is to be consumed, but these findings were not consistent from study to study, with only 4 of 10 trials reporting benefits for both adherence and clinical outcomes. The studies were typically small, however, and may have hidden real benefits. For long-term treatments, simplifying the dosage regimen and several complex strategies, including combinations of more thorough patient instructions and counseling, reminders, close follow-up, supervised self-monitoring, rewards for success, family therapy, couple-focused therapy, psychological therapy, crisis intervention, and manual telephone follow-up can improve adherence and treatment outcomes. If there is a common thread to these at all, it is more

frequent interaction with patients with attention to adherence. However, these complex strategies for improving adherence with long-term medication prescriptions are not very effective despite the amount of effort and resources they can consume.

There is no evidence that low adherence can be 'cured'. Thus, efforts to improve adherence must be maintained for as long as the treatment is needed.

Implications for research

To achieve fuller benefits of current medical therapies, we need further innovation in treatment methods themselves (preferably cures, or perhaps implantable treatments with minimal adverse effects), or better understanding of adherence, or unexpectedly positive findings from continued testing of permutations and combinations of the adherence intervention strategies tested to date.

There are many factors that can contribute to non-adherence such as: frequent changes to drug regimen, misunderstanding prescribing instructions, limited education about the medication, and forgetfulness (Vlasnik 2005). In our view, important innovations are more likely to occur if investigators join across clinical disciplines to tackle the problem, and take into account the resistance that many patients have to taking medicines (Pound 2005), perhaps including patients in the development of new interventions. There is little evidence that low adherence with medications is disease- or regimen-specific, with the possible exception of psychiatric disorders (Haynes 1979b).

As low adherence affects all self-administered treatments, and as the numbers of efficacious, self-administered treatments continue to grow, investment in fundamental and applied adherence research is likely to pay large dividends. The largest trial reported

here (Weinberger 2002) had only 1113 patients and none of the trials sought effects on major morbidity or mortality. Most studies had fewer than 50 patients per group. These smaller studies may be appropriate until an innovation appears to have clinically useful effects. At that point, the innovation should be tested in more substantial trials to document effects on clinically important outcomes (including adverse effects), feasibility in usual practice settings, and durability. Interventions involving allied health professionals appear to be promising and should be examined further for their effectiveness for adherence and clinical benefit.

If complex interventions show positive effects, it would be appropriate to test their components in factorial studies.

Future studies on improving adherence to prescribed medication should incorporate more objective measures for adherence to provide a more accurate view of the intervention's effect.

Because the results could be applied so broadly, effective ways to help people follow medical treatments could have far larger effects on health than any treatment itself. This is particularly so as low adherence to treatments has been associated with poor outcomes, even when the treatment was a placebo (Haynes 1987a).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks to Victor Montori for assisting with the translation of Knobel 1999, and Riekie de Vet and Toshi Furuka for assisting us with two of the excluded studies. This review has benefited greatly from suggestions from external peer reviewers over the years and we thank them for their advice.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Al-Eidan 2002 {published data only}

Al-Eidan FA, McElnay JC, Scott MG, McConnell JB. Management of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication--the influence of structured counselling and follow-up. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2002;**53**(2):163-71. [MEDLINE: 11851640]

Andrade 2005 {published data only}

Andrade ASA, McGruder HF, Wu AW, Cilano SA, Skolasky RL Jr, Selnes OA, Huang IC, McArthur JC. A programmable prompting device improves adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected subjects with memory impairment. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2005; **41**(6):875-82.

Ansah 2001 {published data only}

Ansah EK, Gyapong JO, Agyepong IA, Evans DB. Improving adherence to malaria treatment for children:

the use of pre-packed chloroquine tablets vs. chloroquine syrup. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 2001;**6**(7):496-504. [MEDLINE: 11469941]

Bailey 1990 {published data only}

Bailey WC, Richards JM Jr, Brooks CM, Soong SJ, Windsor RA, Manzella BA. A randomized trial to improve self-management practices of adults with asthma. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1990;**150**:1664-8.

Bailey 1999 {published data only}

Bailey WC, Kohler CL, Richards JM, Windsor RA, Brooks CM, Gerald LB, et al. Asthma self-management: do patient education programs always have an impact?. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1999;**159**:2422-8.

Baird 1984 {published data only}

Baird MG, Bentley-Taylor MM, Carruthers SG, Dawson KG, Laplante LE, Larochelle P, et al. A study of efficacy, tolerance and compliance of once-daily versus twice-

- daily metoprolol (Betaloc) in hypertension. Betaloc Compliance Canadian Cooperative Study Group. *Clinical and Investigative Medicine* 1984;7:95–102.
- Beaucage 2006** *{published data only}*
Beaucage K, Lachance-Demers H, Ngo TT, Vachon C, Lamarre D, Guévin JF, Martineau A, Desroches D, Brassard J, Lalonde L. Telephone follow-up of patients receiving antibiotic prescriptions from community pharmacies. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy* 2006;63(6): 557–63.
- Becker 1986** *{published data only}*
Becker LA, Glanz K, Sobel E, Mossey J, Zinn SL, Knott KA. A randomized trial of special packaging of antihypertensive medications. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Practice* 1986;22:357–61.
- Berrien 2004** *{published data only}*
Berrien VM, Salazar JC, Reynolds E, McKay K, HIV Medication Adherence Intervention Group. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected pediatric patients improves with home-based intensive nursing intervention. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2004;18:355–63. [MEDLINE: 15294086]
- Brown 1997a** *{published data only}*
Brown BG, Bardsley J, Poulin D, Hillger LA, Dowdy A, Maher VM, et al. Moderate dose, three-drug therapy with niacin, lovastatin, and colestipol to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol <100 mg/dl in patients with hyperlipidemia and coronary artery disease. *The American Journal of Cardiology* 1997;80:111–5.
- Brus 1998** *{published data only}*
Brus HL, van de Laar MA, Taal E, Rasker JJ, Wiegman O. Effects of patient education on compliance with basic treatment regimens and health in recent onset active rheumatoid arthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 1998; 57(3):146–51.
- Canto De Cetina 2001** *{published data only}*
Canto De Cetina TE, Canto P, Ordonez Luna M. Effect of counseling to improve compliance in Mexican women receiving depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate. *Contraception* 2001;63(3):143–6. [MEDLINE: 11368986]
- Chaplin 1998** *{published data only}*
Chaplin R, Kent A. Informing patients about tardive dyskinesia: controlled trial of patient education. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1998;Jan(172):78–81. [MEDLINE: 98196336]
- Colcher 1972** *{published data only}*
Colcher IS, Bass JW. Penicillin treatment of streptococcal pharyngitis. A comparison of schedules and the role of specific counseling. *JAMA* 1972;222:657–9.
- Collier 2005** *{published data only}*
Collier AC, Ribaud H, Mukherjee AL, Feinberg J, Fischl MA, Chesney M, Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group 746 Substudy Team. A randomized study of serial telephone call support to increase adherence and thereby improve virologic outcome in persons initiating antiretroviral therapy. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2005;192(8):1398–406.
- Cote 1997** *{published data only}*
Cote J, Cartier A, Robichaud P, Bourin H, Malo JL, Rouleau M, et al. Influence on asthma morbidity of asthma education programs based on self-management plans following treatment optimization. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 1997;155:1509–14.
- Cote 2001** *{published data only}*
Cote J, Bowie DM, Robichaud P, Parent JG, Battisti L, Boulet LP. Evaluation of two different educational interventions for adult patients consulting with an acute asthma exacerbation. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2001;163(6):1415–9. [MEDLINE: 11371411]
- Coull 2004** *{published data only}*
Coull AJ, Taylor VH, Elton R, Murdoch PS, Hargreaves AD. A randomised controlled trial of senior Lay Health Mentoring in older people with ischaemic heart disease: The Braveheart Project. *Age and Ageing* 2004;33:348–54. [MEDLINE: 15136288]
- Ellis 2005** *{published data only}*
Ellis DA, Frey MA, Naar-King S, Templin T, Cunningham P, Cakan N. Use of multisystemic therapy to improve regimen adherence among adolescents with type 1 diabetes in chronic poor metabolic control: a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28(7):1604–10.
- Farber 2004** *{published data only}*
Farber HJ, Oliveria L. Trial of an asthma education program in an inner-city pediatric emergency department. *Pediatric Asthma Allergy & Immunology* 2004;17(2):107–15. [MEDLINE: Not available]
- Friedman 1996** *{published data only}*
Friedman RH, Kazis LE, Jette A, Smith MB, Stollerman J, Torgerson J, Carey K. A telecommunications system for monitoring and counseling patients with hypertension. Impact on medication adherence and blood pressure control. *American Journal of Hypertension* 1996;9:285–92.
- Gallefoss 1999** *{published data only}*
Gallefoss E, Bakke PS, Kjaergaard P. Quality of life assessment after patient education in a randomized controlled study on asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 1999;159(3):812–7.
* Gallefoss F, Bakke PS. How does patient education and self-management among asthmatics and patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease affect medication?. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 1999;160(6):2000–5.
- Gani 2001** *{published data only}*
Gani F, Pozzi E, Crivellaro MA, Senna G, Landi M, Lombardi C, et al. The role of patient training in the management of seasonal rhinitis and asthma: clinical implications. *Allergy* 2001;56(1):65–8. [MEDLINE: 11167354]
- Ginde 2003** *{published data only}*
Ginde AA, Von Harz BC, Turnbow D, Lewis LM. The effect of ED prescription dispensing on patient compliance.

- The American Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2003;**21**(4): 313–5. [MEDLINE: 12898489]
- Girvin 1999** *{published data only}*
Girvin B, McDermott BJ, Johnston GD. A comparison of enalapril 20mg once daily vs. 10mg twice daily in terms of blood pressure lowering and patient compliance. *Journal of Hypertension* 1999;**17**:1627–31.
- Haynes 1976** *{published data only}*
Haynes RB, Sackett DL, Gibson ES, Taylor DW, Hackett BC, Roberts RS, et al.Improvement of medication compliance in uncontrolled hypertension. *Lancet* 1976;**1**: 1265–8.
- Hederos 2005** *{published data only}*
Hederos CA, Janson S, Hedlin G. Group discussions with parents have long-term positive benefits on the management of asthma with good cost-benefit. *Acta Paediatrica* 2005;**94** (5):602–8.
- Henry 1999** *{published data only}*
Henry A, Batey RG. Enhancing compliance not a prerequisite for effective eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*: the HelP Study. *The American Journal of Gastroenterology* 1999;**94**:811–5.
- Hill 2001** *{published data only}*
Hill J, Bird H, Johnson S. Effect of patient education on adherence to drug treatment for rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised controlled trial. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2001;**60**(9):869–75. [MEDLINE: 11502614]
- Howe 2005** *{published data only}*
Howe CJ, Jawad AF, Tuttle AK, Moser JT, Preis C, Buzby M, Murphy KM. Education and telephone case management for children with type 1 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing* 2005;**20**(2):83–95.
- Howland 1990** *{published data only}*
Howland JS, Baker MG, Poe T. Does patient education cause side effects? A controlled trial. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Practice* 1990;**31**:62–4.
- Johnson 1978** *{published data only}*
Johnson AL, Taylor DW, Sackett DL, Dunnett CW, Shimizu AG. Self recording of blood pressure in the management of hypertension. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1978;**119**:1034–9.
- Katon 2001** *{published data only}*
* Katon W, Rutter C, Ludman EJ, Von Korff M, Lin E, Simon G, et al.A randomized trial of relapse prevention of depression in primary care. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;**58**(3):241–7.
Ludman E, Katon W, Bush T, Rutter C, Lin E, Simon M, et al.Behavioural factors associated with symptom outcomes in a primary care-based depression prevention intervention trial. *Psychological Medicine* 2003;**33**:1061–70.
Von Korff M, Katon W, Rutter C, Ludman E, Simon G, Lin E, et al.Effect on disability outcomes of a depression relapse prevention program. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2003; **65**(6):938–43.
- Kemp 1996** *{published data only}*
Kemp R, Hayward P, Applewhite G, Everitt B, David A. Compliance therapy in psychotic patients: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 1996;**312**:345–9.
- Kemp 1998** *{published data only}*
Kemp R, Kirov G, Everitt B, Hayward P, David A. Randomised controlled trial of compliance therapy. 18-month follow-up. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1998; **172**:413–9.
- Knobel 1999** *{published data only}*
Knobel H, Carmona A, Lopez LJ, Gimeno JL, Saballs P, Gonzalez A, et al.Adherence to very active antiretroviral treatment: impact of individualized assessment [spanish] [Adherencia al tratamiento antirretroviral de gran actividad: impacto de una intervencion de asesamiento individualizado]. *Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiologia Clinica* 1999;**17**:78–81.
- Laporte 2003** *{published data only}*
Laporte S, Quenet S, Buchmuller-Cordier A, Reynaud J, Tardy-Poncet B, Thirion C, et al.Compliance and stability of INR of two oral anticoagulants with different half-lives: a randomised trial. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 2003;**89**(3): 458–67. [MEDLINE: 12624628]
- Lee 2006** *{published data only}*
Lee JK, Grace KA, Taylor AJ. Effect of a pharmacy care program on medication adherence and persistence, blood pressure, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006;**296**(21): 2563–71.
- Levy 2000** *{published data only}*
Levy ML, Robb M, Allen J, Doherty C, Bland JM, Winter RJD. A randomized controlled evaluation of specialist nurse education following accident and emergency department attendance for acute asthma. *Respiratory Medicine* 2000;**94**: 900–8.
- MarquezContreras04a** *{published data only}*
Márquez Contreras E, Casado Martínez JJ, Corchado Albalat Y, Chaves Gonzalez R, Grandio A, Losada Velasco C, et al.Efficacy of an intervention to improve treatment compliance in hyperlipidemias [Spanish]. *Atencion Primaria* 2004;**33**:443–50. [MEDLINE: 15151791]
- MarquezContreras2005** *{published data only}*
Márquez Contreras E, Vegazo García O, Claros NM, Gil Guillén V, de la Figuera von Wichmann M, Casado Martínez JJ, Fernández R. Efficacy of telephone and mail intervention in patient compliance with antihypertensive drugs in hypertension: ETECUM-HTA Study. *Blood Pressure* 2005;**14**(3):151–8.
- MarquezContreras2006** *{published data only}*
Márquez-Contreras E, Martell-Claros N, Gil-Guillén V, de la Figuera-Von Wichmann M, Casado-Martínez JJ, Martín-de Pablos JL, et al.Compliance Group of the Spanish Society of Hypertension (SEE). Efficacy of a home blood pressure monitoring programme on therapeutic compliance in hypertension: the EAPACUM-HTA study. *Journal of Hypertension* 2006;**24**(1):169–75.

- Merinder 1999** *{published data only}*
Merinder LB, Viuff AG, Laugesen HD, Clemmensen K, Misfelt S, Espensen B. Patient and relative education in community psychiatry: a randomized controlled trial regarding its effectiveness. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 1999;**34**(6):287–94.
- Morice 2001** *{published data only}*
Morice AH, Wrench C. The role of the asthma nurse in treatment compliance and self-management following hospital admission. *Respiratory Medicine* 2001;**95**(11): 851–6. [MEDLINE: 11716197]
- Nazareth 2001** *{published data only}*
Nazareth I, Burton A, Shulman S, Smith P, Haines A, Timberal H. A pharmacy discharge plan for hospitalized elderly patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Age and Ageing* 2001;**30**(1):33–40. [MEDLINE: 11322670]
- O'Donnell 2003** *{published data only}*
O'Donnell C, Donohoe G, Sharkey L, Owens N, Migone M, Harries R, et al. Compliance therapy: a randomized trial in schizophrenia. *BMJ* 2003;**327**(7419):834–7. [MEDLINE: 14551096]
- Odegard 2005** *{published data only}*
Odegard PS, Goo A, Hummel J, Williams KL, Gray SL. Caring for poorly controlled diabetes mellitus: a randomized pharmacist intervention. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2005;**39**(3):433–40.
- Peterson 1984** *{published data only}*
Peterson GM, McLean S, Millington KS. A randomised trial of strategies to improve patient compliance with anticonvulsant therapy. *Epilepsia* 1984;**25**:412–7.
- Peterson 2004** *{published data only}*
Peterson GM, Fitzmaurice KD, Naunton M, Vial JH, Stewart K, Krum H. Impact of pharmacist-conducted home visits on the outcomes of lipid-lowering drug therapy. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics* 2004;**29**(1): 23–30. [MEDLINE: 14748894]
- Peveler 1999** *{published data only}*
Peveler R, George C, Kimmonth AL, Campbell M, Thomson C. Effect of antidepressant drug counselling and information leaflets on adherence to drug treatment in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. *BMJ* 1999;**319** (7210):612–5.
- Piette 2000** *{published data only}*
Piette JD, Weinberger M, McPhee SJ, Mah CA, Kraemer FB, Crapo LM. Do automated calls with nurse follow-up improve self-care and glycaemic control among vulnerable patients with diabetes?. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2000;**108**:20–7.
- Portsmouth 2005** *{published data only}*
Portsmouth SD, Osorio J, McCormick K, Gazzard BG, Moyle GJ. Better maintained adherence on switching from twice-daily to once-daily therapy for HIV: a 24-week randomized trial of treatment simplification using stavudine prolonged-release tablets. *HIV Medicine* 2005;**6**(3):185–90.
- Pradier 2003** *{published data only}*
Pradier C, Bentz L, Spire B, Tourette-Turgis C, Morin M, Souville M, et al. Efficacy of an educational and counseling intervention on adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy: French prospective controlled study. *HIV Clinical Trials* 2003;**4**(2):121–31. [MEDLINE: 12671780]
- Ran 2003** *{published data only}*
Ran MS, Xiang MZ, Chan CLW, Leff J, Simpson P, Huang MS, et al. Effectiveness of psychoeducational intervention for rural Chinese families experiencing schizophrenia: a randomised controlled trial. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2003;**38**(2):69–75. [MEDLINE: 12563548]
- Rawlings 2003** *{published data only}*
Rawlings MK, Thompson MA, Farthing CF, Brown LS, Racine J, Scott RC, et al. NZTA4006 Helping Enhance Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy (HEART) Study Team. Impact of an educational program on efficacy and adherence with a twice-daily lamivudine/zidovudine/abacavir regimen in underrepresented HIV-infected patients. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2003;**34**(2):174–83. [MEDLINE: 14526206]
- Razali 2000** *{published data only}*
Razali SM, Hasanah CI, Khan UA, Subramaniam M. Psychosocial interventions for schizophrenia. *Journal of Mental Health* 2000;**9**(3):283–9.
- Remien 2005** *{published data only}*
Remien RH, Stirratt MJ, Dolezal C, Dognin JS, Wagner GJ, Carballo-Diequez A, et al. Couple-focused support to improve HIV medication adherence: a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS* 2005;**19**(8):807–14.
- Rickles 2005** *{published data only}*
Rickles NM, Svarstad BL, Statz-Paynter JL, Taylor LV, Kobak KA. Pharmacist telemonitoring of antidepressant use: effects on pharmacist-patient collaboration. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association* 2005;**45**(3):344–53.
- Rudd 2004** *{published data only}*
Rudd P, Miller NH, Kaufman J, Kraemer HC, Bandura A, Greenwald G, et al. Nurse management of hypertension: a systems approach. *American Journal of Hypertension* 2004;**17**(10):921–7.
- Sackett 1975** *{published data only}*
Sackett DL, Haynes RB, Gibson ES, Hackett BC, Taylor DW, Roberts RS, et al. Randomised clinical trial of strategies for improving medication compliance in primary hypertension. *Lancet* 1975;**1**:1205–7.
- Sadik 2005** *{published data only}*
Sadik A, Yousef M, McElroy JC. Pharmaceutical care of patients with heart failure. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2005;**60**(2):183–93.
- Samet 2005** *{published data only}*
Samet JH, Horton NJ, Meli S, Dukes K, Tripps T, Sullivan L, Freedberg KA. A randomized controlled trial to enhance antiretroviral therapy adherence in patients with a history of alcohol problems. *Antiviral Therapy* 2005;**10**(1):83–93.

- Schaffer 2004** *{published data only}*
Schaffer SD, Tian L. Promoting adherence: effects of theory-based asthma education. *Clinical Nursing Research* 2004;**13**(1):69–89. [MEDLINE: 14768768]
- Schroeder 2005** *{published data only}*
Schroeder K, Fahey T, Hollinghurst S, Peters TJ. Nurse-led adherence support in hypertension: a randomized controlled trial. *Family Practice* 2005;**22**(2):144–51.
- Stevens 2002** *{published data only}*
Stevens V, Shneidman RJ, Johnson RE, Steele PE, Lee NL. Helicobacter pylori eradication in dyspeptic primary care patients: a randomized controlled trial of a pharmacy intervention. *The Western Journal of Medicine* 2002;**176**(2): 92–6. [MEDLINE: 11897728]
- Strang 1981** *{published data only}*
Strang JS, Falloon IRH, Moss HB, Razani J, Boyd JL. The effects of family therapy on treatment compliance in schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology Bulletin* 1981;**17**:87–8.
- Tuldra 2000** *{published data only}*
Tuldra A, Fumaz CR, Ferrer MJ, Bayes R, Arno A, Balague M, et al. Prospective randomized two-arm controlled study to determine the efficacy of a specific intervention to improve long-term adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2000;**25**(3):221–8.
- van Es 2001** *{published data only}*
van Es SM, Colland VT, Nagelkerke AF, Bezemer PD, Scholten RJP, Bouter LM. An intervention programme using the ASE-model aimed at enhancing adherence in adolescents with asthma. *Patient Education & Counseling* 2001;**44**(3):193–203.
- Van Servellen 2005** *{published data only}*
van Servellen G, Nyamathi A, Carpio F, Pearce D, Garcia-Teague L, Herrera G, et al. Effects of a treatment adherence enhancement program on health literacy, patient-provider relationships, and adherence to HAART among low-income HIV-Positive Spanish-Speaking Latinos. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs* 2005;**19**(11):745–59.
- Vergouwen 2005** *{published data only}*
Vergouwen AC, Bakker A, Burger H, Verheij TJ, Koerselman F. A cluster-randomized trial comparing two interventions to improve treatment of major depression in primary care. *Psychological Medicine* 2005;**35**(1):25–33.
- Volume 2001** *{published data only}*
Volume CI, Garris KB, Kassam R, Cox CE, Cave A. Pharmaceutical care research and education project: patient outcomes. *Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association* 2001;**41**(3):411–20. [MEDLINE: 11372906]
- Walley 2001** *{published data only}*
Walley JD, Khan MA, Newell JN, Khan MH. Effectiveness of the direct observation component of DOTS for tuberculosis: a randomised controlled trial in Pakistan. *Lancet* 2001;**357**(9257):664–9. [MEDLINE: 11247549]
- Weber 2004** *{published data only}*
Weber R, Christen L, Christen S, Tschopp S, Znoj H, Schneider C, et al. Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Effect of individual cognitive behaviour intervention on adherence to antiretroviral therapy: prospective randomized trial. *Antiviral Therapy* 2004;**9**(1):85–95. [MEDLINE: 15040540]
- Weinberger 2002** *{published data only}*
Weinberger M, Murray MD, Marrero DG, Brewer N, Lykens M, Harris LE, et al. Effectiveness of pharmacist care for patients with reactive airways disease: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002;**288**(13):1594–602. [MEDLINE: 12350190]
- Wysocki 2001** *{published data only}*
Wysocki T, Greco P, Harris MA, Bubbs J, White NH. Behavior therapy for families of adolescents with diabetes: maintenance of treatment effects. *Diabetes Care* 2001;**24**(3):441–6.
- Xiong 1994** *{published data only}*
Xiong W, Phillips MR, Hu X, Wang R, Dai Q, Kleinman J, et al. Family-based intervention for schizophrenic patients in China. A randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1994;**165**:239–47.
- Yopp 2004** *{unpublished data only}*
Yopp JM. *The impact of family functioning on treatment adherence and metabolic control for adolescents with poorly controlled T1DM [dissertation]*. Mount Pleasant, Michigan: Central Michigan University, 2004.
- Zhang 1994** *{published data only}*
Zhang M, Wang M, Li J, Phillips MR. Randomised-control trial of family intervention for 78 first-episode male schizophrenic patients. An 18-month study in Suzhou, Jiangsu. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1994;**165**(suppl 24):96–102.

References to studies excluded from this review

- Adamian 2004** *{published data only}*
Adamian MS, Golin CE, Shain LS, DeVellis B. Brief motivational interviewing to improve adherence to antiretroviral therapy: development and qualitative pilot assessment of an intervention. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs* 2004;**18**:229–38.
- Adams 2000** *{published data only}*
Adams J, Scott J. Predicting medication adherence in severe mental disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2000;**101**(2):119–24.
- Adams 2001** *{published data only}*
Adams RJ, Boath K, Homan S, Campbell DA, Ruffin RE. A randomized trial of peak-flow and symptom-based action plans in adults with moderate-to-severe asthma. *Respirology* 2001;**6**:297–304.
- Adler 2004** *{published data only}*
Adler DA, Bungay KM, Wilson B, Pei Y, Supran S, Peckham E, et al. The impact of a pharmacist intervention on 6-month outcomes in depressed primary care patients. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 2004;**26**:199–209.
- Al Rashed 2002** *{published data only}*
Al Rashed SA, Wright DJ, Roebuck N, Sunter W, Chrystyn H. The value of inpatient pharmaceutical counselling to

- elderly patients prior to discharge. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2002;**54**:657–64.
- Al-Saffer 2005** *{published data only}*
Al-Saffer N, Deshmekh AA, Carter P, Adib AM. Effect of information leaflets and counselling on antidepressant adherence: open randomized controlled trial in a psychiatric hospital in Kuwait. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice* 2005;**13**:123–31.
- Allen 2002** *{published data only}*
Allen JK, Blumenthal RS, Margolis S, Rohm YD, Miller ER, et al. Nurse case management of hypercholesterolemia in patients with coronary heart disease: Results of a randomized clinical trial. *American Heart Journal* 2002;**144**:678–86.
- Alves da Costa 2005** *{published data only}*
Alves da Costa F, Guerreiro JP, Nunes de Melo M, da Costa Miranda A, Martins AP, Garcao J, et al. Effect of reminder cards on compliance with antihypertensive medication. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice* 2005;**13**:205–11.
- Antoni 2006** *{published data only}*
Antoni MH, Carrico AW, Durán RE, Spitzer S, Penedo F, Ironson G, et al. Randomized clinical trial of cognitive behavioral stress management on human immunodeficiency virus viral load in gay men treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2006;**68**(1):143–51.
- Arthur 2002** *{published data only}*
Arthur AJ, Jagger C, Lindesay J, Matthews RJ. Evaluating a mental health assessment for older people with depressive symptoms in general practice: a randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of General Practice* 2002;**52**:202–7.
- Atherton-Naji 2001** *{published data only}*
Atherton-Naji A, Hamilton R, Riddle W, Naji S. Improving adherence to antidepressant drug treatment in primary care: a feasibility study for a randomized controlled trial of education intervention. *Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2001;**7**:61–7.
- Azrin 1998** *{published data only}*
Azrin NH, Teichner G. Evaluation of an instructional program for improving medication compliance for chronically mentally ill outpatients. *Behavior Research and Therapy* 1998;**36**:849–61.
- Baker 2001** *{published data only}*
Baker R, Reddish S, Robertson N, Hearnshaw H, Jones B. Randomised controlled trial of tailored strategies to implement guidelines for the management of patients with depression in general practice. *British Journal of General Practice* 2001;**51**:737–41.
- Ball 2006** *{published data only}*
Ball JR, Mitchell PB, Corry JC, Skillecorn A, Smith M, Malhi GS. A randomized controlled trial of cognitive therapy for bipolar disorder: focus on long-term change. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2006;**67**(2):277–86.
- Banet 1997** *{published data only}*
Banet GA, Felchli MA. The potential utility of a shared medical record in a “first-time” stroke population. *Journal of Vascular Nursing* 1997;**15**(1):29–33.
- Barbanel 2003** *{published data only}*
Barbanel D, Eldridge S, Griffiths C. Can a self-management programme delivered by a community pharmacist improve asthma control? A randomised trial. *Thorax* 2003;**58**(10):851–4.
- Barcelo 2001** *{published data only}*
Barcelo A, Robles S, White F, Jadue L, Vega J. An intervention to improve diabetes control in Chile. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Publica/Pan American Journal of Public Health* 2001;**10**:328–33.
- Bass 1986** *{published data only}*
Bass MJ, McWhinney IR, Donner A. Do family physicians need medical assistants to detect and manage hypertension?. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1986;**134**:1247–55.
- Begley 1997** *{published data only}*
Begley S, Livingstone C, Hodges N, Williamson V. Impact of domiciliary pharmacy visits on medication management in an elderly population. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice* 1997;**5**(3):111–21.
- Berg 1997** *{published data only}*
Berg J, Dunbar-Jacob J, Sereika SM. An evaluation of a self-management program for adults with asthma. *Clinical Nursing Research* 1997;**6**(3):225–38.
- Bertakis 1986** *{published data only}*
Bertakis KD. An application of the health belief model to patient education and compliance: acute otitis media. *Family Medicine* 1986;**18**(6):347–50.
- Binstock 1986** *{published data only}*
Binstock ML, Franklin KL, Formica EK. Therapeutic adherence programme improves compliance and lowers blood pressure. *Journal of Hypertension* 1986;**4** Suppl:375–7.
- Birrer 1984** *{published data only}*
Birrer RB, Chille E, Weiner M, Gruber S. Hypertension: a double-blind study of compliance in an urban community. *The Family Practice Research Journal* 1984;**3**:251.
- Birtwhistle 2004** *{published data only}*
Birtwhistle RV, Godwin MS, Delva MD, Casson RI, Lam M, MacDonald SE, et al. Randomised equivalence trial comparing three and six months of follow up of patients with hypertension by family practitioners. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:204–6.
- Bisserbe 1997** *{published data only}*
Bisserbe JC, Lane RM, Flament MF, the Franco-Belgian OCD Study Group. A double-blind comparison of sertraline and clomipramine in outpatients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *European Psychiatry* 1997;**12**:82–93.
- Bodsworth 1997** *{published data only}*
Bodsworth NJ, Crooks RJ, Borelli S, Vejlsgaard G, Paavonen J, Worm A-M, et al. The International Valaciclovir HSV Study Group. Valaciclovir versus aciclovir in

- patient initiated treatment of recurrent genital herpes - a randomised, double blind clinical trial. *Genitourinary Medicine* 1997;**73**:110–6.
- Bonner 2002** {published data only}
Bonner S, Zimmerman BJ, Evans D, Irigoyen M, Resnick D, Mellins RB. An individualized intervention to improve asthma management among urban Latino and African-American families. *Journal of Asthma* 2002;**39**:167–79.
- Bouvy 2003a** {published data only}
Bouvy ML, Heerdink ER, Urquhart J, Grobbee DE, Hoe AW, Leufkens HGM. Effect of a pharmacist-led intervention on diuretic compliance in heart failure patients: a randomized controlled study. *Journal of Cardiac Failure* 2003;**9**:404–11.
- Bouvy 2003b** {published data only}
Bouvy ML, Heerdink ER, Urquhart J, Grobbee DE, Hoe AW, Leufkens HGM. Guidance improves compliance. Pharmacist stimulates proper use of loop diuretics in patient with heart failure. *Pharmaceutisch Weekblad* 2003;**138**:1432–9.
- Brodsky 1983** {published data only}
Brodsky H, Andrews G. Brief psychotherapy in family practice: a controlled prospective intervention trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1983;**143**:11–19.
- Brook 2002** {published data only}
Brook I. Antibacterial therapy for acute group A streptococcal pharyngotonsillitis: short-course versus traditional 10-day oral regimens. *Paediatric Drugs* 2002;**4**:747–54.
- Brook 2003** {published data only}
Brook O. Impact of coaching by community pharmacists on drug attitude of depressive primary care patients and acceptability to patients; a randomized controlled trial. *European Neuropsychopharmacology* 2003;**13**:1–9.
- Brook 2005** {published data only}
Brook OH, van Hout H, Stalman W, Nieuwenhuysen H, Bakker B, Heerdink E, et al. A pharmacy-based coaching program to improve adherence to antidepressant treatment among primary care patients. *Psychiatric Services* 2005;**56**(4):487–9.
- Brotans 2005** {published data only}
Brotans C, Martínez M, Rayó E, Morralla C, Ballarín E, Pérez E, Investigadores del Estudio IC-DOM. Randomised clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of a multi-factorial intervention to reduce hospitalisation and improve the quality of life of patients with heart failure [Spanish]. *Atencion Primaria* 2005;**36**(5):280–3.
- Brown 1987** {published data only}
Brown CS, Wright RG, Christensen DB. Association between type of medication instruction and patients' knowledge, side effects, and compliance. *Hospital & Community Psychiatry* 1987;**38**:55–60.
- Brown 1997b** {published data only}
Brown SJ, Lieberman DA, Gemeny BA, Fan YC, Wilson DM, Pasta DJ. Educational video game for juvenile diabetes: results of a controlled trial. *Medical Informatics* 1997;**22**(1):77–89.
- Browne 2002** {published data only}
Browne G, Steiner M, Roberts J, Gafni A, Byrne C, Dunn E, et al. Sertraline and/or interpersonal psychotherapy for patients with dysthymic disorder in primary care: 6-month comparison with longitudinal 2-year follow-up of effectiveness and costs. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2002;**68**:317–30.
- Buchanan-Lee 2002** {published data only}
Buchanan-Lee B, Levetan BN, Lombard CJ, Commerford PJ. Fixed-dose versus adjusted-dose warfarin in patients with prosthetic heart valves in a peri-urban impoverished population. *Journal of Heart Valve Disease* 2002;**11**:583–93.
- Bukstein 2003** {published data only}
Bukstein DA, Luskin AT, Bernstein A. "Real-world" effectiveness of daily controller medicine in children with mild persistent asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology* 2003;**90**:543–9.
- Bungay 2004** {published data only}
Bungay KM, Adler DA, Rogers WH, McCoy C, Kaszuba M, Supran S, et al. Description of a clinical pharmacist intervention administered to primary care patients with depression. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 2004;**26**:210–8.
- Burkhardt 2002** {published data only}
Burkhardt PV, Dunbar-Jacob JM, Fireman P, Rohay J. Children's adherence to recommended asthma self-management. *Pediatric Nursing* 2002;**28**:409–14.
- Burnand 2002** {published data only}
Burnand Y, Andreoli A, Kolatte E, Venturini A, Rosset N. Psychodynamic psychotherapy and clomipramine in the treatment of major depression. *Psychiatric Services* 2002;**53**:585–90.
- Caine 2002** {published data only}
Caine N, Sharples W, Hollingworth J, French J, Leogan A, Exley D, et al. A randomised controlled crossover trial of nurse practitioner versus doctor-led outpatient care in a bronchiectasis clinic. *Health Technology Assessment* 2002;**6**:1–71.
- Cantor 1985** {published data only}
Cantor JC, Morisky DE, Green LW, Levine DM, Salkever DS. Cost-effectiveness of educational interventions to improve patient outcomes in blood pressure control. *Preventive Medicine* 1985;**14**:782–800.
- Capoccia 2004** {published data only}
Capoccia KL, Boudreau DM, Blough DK, Ellsworth AJ, Clark DR, Stevens NG, et al. Randomized trial of pharmacist interventions to improve depression care and outcomes in primary care. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy* 2004;**61**:364–72.
- Cargill 1992** {published data only}
Cargill JM. Medication compliance in elderly people: influencing variables and interventions. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 1992;**17**:422–6.

- Carroll 2004** *{published data only}*
Carroll CL, Feldman SR, Camacho FT, Balkrishnan R. Better medication adherence results in greater improvement in severity of psoriasis. *The British Journal of Dermatology* 2004;**151**(4):895–7.
- Celik 1997** *{published data only}*
Celik O, Gok U, Yalcin S, Karlidag T, Susaman N, Cetinkaya T. Comparison of the clinical efficacy of azithromycin and cerufoxime axetile in the treatment of patients with acute otitis media. *Mikrobiyoloji Bulteni* 1997;**31**:253–61.
- Chaisson 2001** *{published data only}*
Chaisson RE, Barnes GL, Hackman J, Watkinson L, Kimbrough L, Metha S, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of interventions to improve adherence to isoniazid therapy to prevent tuberculosis in injection drug users. *American Journal of Medicine* 2001;**110**:610–5.
- Cheng 2001** *{published data only}*
Cheng CL, Chee SP, Tan DT. Patient reliability in the administration of topical ocular medication. *Singapore Medical Journal* 2001;**42**:252–4.
- Cheung 1988** *{published data only}*
Cheung R, Sullens CM, Seal D, Dickins J, Nicholson PW, Deshmukh AA, et al. The paradox of using a 7 day antibacterial course to treat urinary tract infections in the community. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1988;**26**:391–8.
- Chien 2006** *{published data only}*
Chien WT, Chan SW, Thompson DR. Effects of a mutual support group for families of Chinese people with schizophrenia: 18-month follow-up. *The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science* 2006;**189**:41–9.
- Chiou-Tan 2003** *{published data only}*
Chiou-Tan FY, Garza H, Chan KT, Parsons KC, Donovan WH, Robertson CS, et al. Comparison of dalteparin and enoxaparin for deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis in patients with spinal cord injury. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2003;**82**:678–85.
- Chisholm 2001** *{published data only}*
Chisholm MA, Mulloy LL, Jagadeesan M, DiPiro JT. Impact of clinical pharmacy services on renal transplant patients' compliance with immunosuppressive medications. *Clinical Transplantation* 2001;**15**:330–6.
- Choi 2002** *{published data only}*
Choi IJ, Jung HC, Choi KW, Kim JH, Ahn DS, Yang US, et al. Efficacy of low-dose clarithromycin triple therapy and tinidazole-containing triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2002;**16**:145–51.
- Clancy 2003** *{published data only}*
Clancy DE, Brown SB, Magruder KM, Huang P. Group visits in medically and economically disadvantaged patients with type 2 diabetes and their relationships to clinical outcomes. *Topics in Health Information Management* 2003;**24**:8–14.
- Clarkin 1998** *{published data only}*
Clarkin JF, Carpenter D, Hull J, Wilner P, Glick I. Effects of psychoeducational intervention of married patients with bipolar disorder and their spouses. *Psychiatric Services* 1998;**49**(4):531–3.
- Clifford 2002** *{published data only}*
Clifford RM, Batty KT, Davis TME, Davis W, Stein G, Stewart G, et al. A randomised controlled trial of a pharmaceutical care programme in high-risk diabetic patients in an outpatient clinic. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice* 2002;**10**:85–9.
- Cochran 1984** *{published data only}*
Cochran, SD. Preventing medical noncompliance in the outpatient treatment of bipolar affective disorders. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 1984;**52**:873–8.
- Cockburn 1997** *{published data only}*
Cockburn J, Thompson SC, Marks R, Jolley D, Schofield P, Hill D. Behavioural dynamics of a clinical trial of sunscreens for reducing solar keratoses in Victoria, Australia. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 1997;**51**(6):716–21.
- Cohn 2002** *{published data only}*
Cohn SE, Kamman E, Williams P, Currier JS, Chesney MA. Association of adherence to Mycobacterium avium complex prophylaxis and antiretroviral therapy with clinical outcomes in acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2002;**34**:1129–36.
- Colom 2003** *{published data only}*
Colom F, Vieta E, Reinares M, Martinez-Aran A, Torrent C, Goikolea JM, et al. Psychoeducation efficacy in bipolar disorders: beyond compliance enhancement. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2003;**64**:1101–5.
- Cooper 2004** *{published data only}*
Cooper, C. Efficacy and safety of oral weekly ibandronate in the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2004;**88**:4609–15.
- Cordina 2001** *{published data only}*
Cordina M, McElnay JC, Hughes CM. Assessment of a community pharmacy-based program for patients with asthma. *Pharmacotherapy* 2001;**21**:1196–203.
- Couturaud 2002** *{published data only}*
Couturaud F, Proust A, Frachon I, Dewitte JD, Oger E, Quiot, JJ, et al. Education and self-management: a one-year randomized trial in stable adult asthmatic patients. *Journal of Asthma* 2002;**39**:493–500.
- Cramer 2003** *{published data only}*
Cramer JA, Rosenheck R, Kirk G, Krol W, Krystal J. Medication compliance feedback and monitoring in a clinical trial: Predictors and outcomes. *Value in Health* 2003;**6**:566–73.
- Crilly 2005** *{published data only}*
Crilly M, Esmail A. Randomized controlled trial of a hypothyroid educational booklet to improve thyroxine adherence. *British Journal of General Practice* 2005;**55**(514):362–8.

- Crockett 2006** *{published data only}*
Crockett J, Taylor S, Grabham A, Stanford P. Patient outcomes following an intervention involving community pharmacists in the management of depression. *The Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2006;**14**(6):263–9.
- Daley 1992** *{published data only}*
Daley BJ. Sponsorship for adolescents with diabetes. *Health & Social Work* 1992;**17**:173–82.
- Datto 2003** *{published data only}*
Datto CJ, Thompson R, Horowitz D, Disbot M, Oslin DW. The pilot study of a telephone disease management program for depression. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 2003;**25**:169–77.
- De Jonghe 2001** *{published data only}*
De Jonghe F, Kool S, van Aalst G, Dekker J, Peen J. Combining psychotherapy and antidepressants in the treatment of depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2001;**64**:217–29.
- de Klerk 2001** *{published data only}*
de Klerk E. Patient compliance with enteric-coated weekly fluoxetine during continuation treatment of major depressive disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2001;**62**:43–7.
- de Lusignan 2001** *{published data only}*
de Lusignan S, Wells S, Johnson P, Meredith K, Leatham E. Compliance and effectiveness of 1 year's home telemonitoring. The report of a pilot study of patients with chronic heart failure. *European Journal of Heart Failure* 2001;**3**:723–30.
- de Wit 2001** *{published data only}*
de Wit R, van Dam F, Loonstra S, Zandbelt L, van Buuren A, van der HK, et al. Improving the quality of pain treatment by a tailored pain education programme for cancer patients in chronic pain. *European Journal of Pain* 2001;**5**:241–56.
- Dehesa 2002** *{published data only}*
Dehesa M, Larisch J, Dibildox M, Di Silvio M, Lopez LH, Ramirez-Barba E, et al. Comparison of three 7-day pantoprazole-based Helicobacter pylori eradication regimens in a Mexican population with high metronidazole resistance. *Clinical Drug Investigation* 2002;**22**:75–85.
- Deinzer 2006** *{published data only}*
Deinzer A, Babel H, Veelken R, Kohnen R, Schmieder RE. Shared decision-making with hypertensive patients. Results of an implementation in Germany. *Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift* 2006;**131**(46):2592–6.
- Delaronde 2005** *{published data only}*
Delaronde S, Peruccio DL, Bauer BJ. Improving asthma treatment in a managed care population. *The American Journal of Managed Care* 2005;**11**(6):361–8.
- Demiralay 2002** *{published data only}*
Demiralay R. Comparison of the effects of three forms of individualized education on asthma knowledge in asthmatic patients. *Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences* 2002;**32**:57–64.
- Demyttenaere 1998** *{published data only}*
Demyttenaere K, Van-Ganse E, Gregoire J, Gaens E, Mesters P. Compliance in depressed patients treated with fluoxetine or amitriptyline. *International Clinical Psychopharmacology* 1998;**13**(1):11–7.
- Demyttenaere 2001** *{published data only}*
Demyttenaere K, Mesters P, Boulanger B, Dewe W, Delsemme MH, Gregoire J, et al. Adherence to treatment regimen in depressed patients treated with amitriptyline or fluoxetine. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2001;**65**(3):243–52.
- DiIorio 2003** *{published data only}*
DiIorio C, Resnicow K, McDonnell M, Soet J, McCarty F, Yeager K. Using motivational interviewing to promote adherence to antiretroviral medications: a pilot study. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care* 2003;**14**:52–62.
- Dittrich 2002** *{published data only}*
Dittrich R, Parker L, Rosen JB, Shangold G, Creasy GW, Fisher AC. Transdermal contraception: evaluation of three transdermal norelgestromin/ethinyl estradiol doses in a randomized, multicenter, dose-response study. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2002;**186**:15–20.
- Donadio 2001** *{published data only}*
Donadio JV, Jr, Larson TS, Bergstralh EJ, Grande JP. A randomized trial of high-dose compared with low-dose omega-3 fatty acids in severe IgA nephropathy. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2001;**12**:791–9.
- Edworthy 1999** *{published data only}*
Edworthy SM, Devins GM. Improving medication adherence through patients education distinguishing between appropriate and inappropriate utilization. *The Journal of Rheumatology* 1999;**26**:1793–801.
- Elixhauser 1990** *{published data only}*
Elixhauser A, Eisen SA, Romeis JC, Homan SM. The effects of monitoring and feedback on compliance. *Medical Care* 1990;**28**:882–93.
- Eron 2000** *{published data only}*
Eron JJ, Yetzer ES, Ruane PJ, Becker S, Sawyerr GA, Fisher RL, et al. Efficacy, safety and adherence with a twice-daily combination lamivudine/zidovudine tablet formulations, plus a protease inhibitor, in HIV infection. *AIDS (London, England)* 2000;**14**(6):671–81.
- Eshelman 1976** *{published data only}*
Eshelman FN, Fitzloff J. Effect of packaging on patient compliance with an antihypertensive medication. *Current Therapeutic Research, Clinical and Experimental* 1976;**20**:215–9.
- Evers 2002** *{published data only}*
Evers AW, Kraaimaat FW, van Riel PL, de Jong AJ. Tailored cognitive-behavioral therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis for patients at risk: a randomized controlled trial. *Pain* 2002;**100**:141–53.
- Falloon 1985** *{published data only}*
Falloon IR, Boyd JL, McGill CW, Williamson M, Razani J, Moss HB, et al. Family management in the prevention of morbidity of schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1985;**42**:887–96.

- Feinstein 1959** *{published data only}*
Feinstein AR, Wood HF, Epstein JA, Taranta A, Simpson R, Tursky E. A controlled study of three methods of prophylaxis against streptococcal infection in a population of rheumatic children. II Results of the first three years of the study, including methods for evaluation the maintenance of oral prophylaxis. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1959;**260**:697–702.
- Fennell 1994** *{published data only}*
Fennell RS, Foulkes LM, Boggs SR. Family-based program to promote medication compliance in renal transplant children. *Transplantation Proceedings* 1994;**26**:102–3.
- Finkelstein 2003** *{published data only}*
Finkelstein JS, Hayes A, Hunzelman JL, Wyland JJ, Lee H, Neer RM. The effects of parathyroid hormone, alendronate, or both in men with osteoporosis.[see comment]. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2003;**349**(13):1216–26.
- Finley 2003** *{published data only}*
Finley PR, Rens HR, Pont JT, Gess SL, Louie C, Bull SA, et al.Impact of a collaborative care model on depression in a primary care setting: a randomized controlled trial. *Pharmacotherapy* 2003;**23**:1175–85.
- Finney 1985** *{published data only}*
Finney JW, Friman PC, Rapoff MA, Christophersen ER. Improving compliance with antibiotic regimens for otitis media. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 1985;**139**:89–95.
- Fisher 2001** *{published data only}*
Fisher RS, Sachdeo RC, Pellock J, Penovich PE, Magnus L, Bernstein P. Rapid initiation of gabapentin: a randomized, controlled trial. *Neurology* 2001;**56**:743–8.
- Franchini 2006** *{published data only}*
Franchini L, Bongiorno F, Spagnolo C, Florita M, Santoro A, Dotoli D, et al.Psychoeducational group intervention in addition to antidepressant therapy as relapse preventive strategy in unipolar patients. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry* 2006;**3**(4):282–5.
- Francis 2001** *{published data only}*
Francis C. School clinics for adolescents with asthma. *Professional Nurse* 2001;**16**:1281–4.
- Frangou 2005** *{published data only}*
Frangou S, Sachpazidis I, Stassinakis A, Sakas G. Telemonitoring of medication adherence in patients with schizophrenia. *Telemedicine Journal and e-Health* 2005;**11**(6):675–83.
- Freemantle 2002** *{published data only}*
Freemantle N, Nazareth I, Eccles M, Wood J, Haines A, Evidence-based OutReach trialists. A randomised controlled trial of the effect of educational outreach by community pharmacists on prescribing in UK general practice. *The British Journal of General Practice* 2002;**477**:290–5.
- Frick 2001** *{published data only}*
Frick PA, Lavreys L, Mandaliya K, Kreiss JK. Impact of an alarm device on medication compliance in women in Mombasa, Kenya. *International Journal of STD & AIDS* 2001;**12**:329–33.
- Fujioka 2003** *{published data only}*
Fujioka K, Pans M, Joyal S. Glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus switched from twice-daily immediate-release metformin to a once-daily extended-release formulation. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2003;**25**:515–29.
- Fumaz 2002** *{published data only}*
Fumaz CR, Tuldra A, Ferrer M, Paredes R, Bonjoch A, Jou T, et al.Quality of life, emotional status, and adherence of HIV-1-infected patients treated with efavirenz versus protease inhibitor-containing regimens. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2002;**29**:244–53.
- Gabriel 1977** *{published data only}*
Gabriel M, Gagnon JP, Bryan CK. Improved patients compliance through use of a daily drug reminder chart. *American Journal of Public Health* 1977;**67**:968–9.
- Gallefoss 2004** *{published data only}*
Gallefoss, F. The effects of patient education in COPD in a 1-year follow-up randomised, controlled trial. *Patient Education & Counseling* 2004;**52**:259–66.
- Garcao 2002** *{published data only}*
Garcao JA, Cabrita J. Evaluation of a pharmaceutical care program for hypertensive patients in rural Portugal. *Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association* 2002;**42**:858–64.
- Garety 2006** *{published data only}*
Garety PA, Craig TK, Dunn G, Fornells-Ambrojo M, Colbert S, Rahaman N, Read J, Power P. Specialised care for early psychosis: symptoms, social functioning and patient satisfaction: randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science* 2006;**188**:37–45.
- Garnett 1981** *{published data only}*
Garnett WR, Davis LJ, McKenney JM, Steiner KC. Effect of telephone follow-up on medication compliance. *American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy* 1981;**38**:676–9.
- Gibbs 1989** *{published data only}*
Gibbs S, Waters WE, George CF. The benefits of prescription information leaflets. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1989;**27**:723–39.
- Gilfillin 2002** *{published data only}*
Gilfillin C, Body JJ, Boonen S, Valimaki M, Roux C, Felsenberg D, et al.Two-year results of once-weekly administration of alendronate 70 mg for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Journal of Bone & Mineral Research* 2002;**17**:1988–96.
- Godemann 2003** *{published data only}*
Godemann F. Is interval medication a successful treatment regimen for schizophrenic patients with critical attitudes towards treatment?. *European Psychiatry* 2003;**18**:82–4.
- Goodyer 1995** *{published data only}*
Goodyer LI, Miskelly F, Milligan P. Does encouraging good compliance improve patients' clinical condition in heart failure?. *The British Journal of Clinical Practice* 1995;**49**:173–6.

- Goujard 2003** *{published data only}*
Goujard C, Bernard N, Sohier N, Peyramond D, Lancon F, Chwalow J, et al. Impact of a patient education program on adherence to HIV medication: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2003;**34**(2):191–4.
- Graham 2002a** *{published data only}*
Graham DY, Agrawal NM, Campbell DR, Haber MM, Collis C, Lukasik NL, et al. Ulcer prevention in long-term users of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: results of a double-blind, randomized, multicenter, active- and placebo-controlled study of misoprostol vs lansoprazole. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2002;**162**:169–75.
- Graham 2002b** *{published data only}*
Graham MR, Lindsey CC, Kennedy JA. Maintenance of low-density lipoprotein goal with step-down pravastatin therapy. *Pharmacotherapy* 2002;**22**:21–6.
- Grant 2003** *{published data only}*
Grant RW, Devita NG, Singer DE, Meigs JB. Improving adherence and reducing medication discrepancies in patients with diabetes. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2003;**37**:962–9.
- Gupta 2001** *{published data only}*
Gupta AK, Konnikov N, Lynde CW. Single-blind, randomized, prospective study on terbinafine and itraconazole for treatment of dermatophyte toenail onychomycosis in the elderly. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2001;**44**:479–84.
- Guthrie 2001** *{published data only}*
Guthrie RM. The effects of postal and telephone reminders on compliance with pravastatin therapy in a national registry: results of the first myocardial infarction risk reduction program. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2001;**23**:970–80.
- Gwady-Sridhar 2005** *{published data only}*
Gwady-Sridhar FH, Arnold JMO, Zhang Y, Brown JE, Marchiori G, Guyatt G. Pilot study to determine the impact of a multidisciplinary educational intervention on patients hospitalized with heart failure. *American Heart Journal* 2005;**150**(5):982.
- Hamet 2003** *{published data only}*
Hamet P, Campbell N, Curnew G, Eastwood C, Pradhan A. Avapromise: a randomized clinical trial for increasing adherence through behavioural modification in essential hypertension. *Experimental & Clinical Cardiology* 2003;**7**:165–72.
- Hamilton 2003** *{published data only}*
Hamilton GA. Measuring adherence in a hypertension clinical trial. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing* 2003;**2**:219–28.
- Hammond 2001** *{published data only}*
Hammond A, Freeman K. One-year outcomes of a randomized controlled trial of an educational-behavioural joint protection programme for people with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2001;**40**:1044–51.
- Hampton 2001** *{published data only}*
Hampton RM, Short M, Bieber E, Bouchard C, Ayotte N, Shangold, G, et al. Comparison of a novel norgestimate/ ethinyl estradiol oral contraceptive (Ortho Tri-Cyclen Lo) with the oral contraceptive Loestrin Fe 1/20. *Contraception* 2001;**63**:289–95.
- Hardstaff 2003** *{published data only}*
Hardstaff R, Green K, Talbot D. Measurement of compliance posttransplantation: the results of a 12-month study using electronic monitoring. *Transplantation Proceedings* 2003;**35**:796–7.
- Haubrich 1999** *{published data only}*
Haubrich RH, Little SJ, Currier JS, Forthal DN, Kemper CA, Beall GN, et al and the California Collaborative Treatment Group. The value of patient-reported adherence to antiretroviral therapy in predicting virologic and immunologic response. *AIDS (London, England)* 1999;**13**:1099–107.
- Hayes 2003** *{published data only}*
Hayes A, Buffum M, Fuller D. Bowel preparation comparison: flavored versus unflavored colyte. *Gastroenterology Nursing* 2003;**26**:106–9.
- Heard 1999** *{published data only}*
Heard AR, Richards IJ, Alpers JH, Pilotto LS, Smith BJ, Black JA. Randomized controlled trial of general practice based asthma clinics. *Medical Journal of Australia* 1999;**171**:68–71.
- Herschorn 2004** *{published data only}*
Herschorn S, Becker D, Miller E, Thompson M, Forte L. Impact of a health education intervention in overactive bladder patients. *The Canadian Journal of Urology* 2004;**11**(6):2430–7.
- Hertling 2003** *{published data only}*
Hertling I, Philipp M, Dvorak A, Glaser T, Mast O, Beneke M, et al. Flupenthixol versus risperidone: subjective quality of life as an important factor for compliance in chronic schizophrenic patients. *Neuropsychobiology* 2003;**47**:37–46.
- Hesselink 2004** *{published data only}*
Hesselink AE, Penninx BW, van der Windt DA, van Duin BJ, de Vries P, Twisk JW, Bouter LM, van Eijk JT. Effectiveness of an education programme by a general practice assistant for asthma and COPD patients: results from a randomised controlled trial. *Patient Education and Counseling* 2004;**55**:121–8.
- Hoffman 2003** *{published data only}*
Hoffman L, Enders J, Luo J, Segal R, Pippins J, Kimberlin C. Impact of an antidepressant management program on medication adherence. *American Journal of Managed Care* 2003;**9**:70–80.
- Holzemer 2006** *{published data only}*
Holzemer WL, Bakken S, Portillo CJ, Grimes R, Welch J, Wantland D, Mullan JT. Testing a nurse-tailored HIV medication adherence intervention. *Nurse Research* 2006;**55**(3):189–97.
- Hornung 1998a** *{published data only}*
Hornung WP, Klingberg S, Feldmann R, Schonauer K, Schulze MH. Collaboration with drug treatment by schizophrenic patients with and without psychoeducational

- training: results of a 1-year follow-up. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 1998;**97**:213–9.
- Hovell 2003** *{published data only}*
Hovell MF, Sipan CL, Blumberg EJ, Hofstetter CR, Slymen D, Friedman L, et al. Increasing Latino adolescents' adherence to treatment for latent tuberculosis infection: a controlled trial. *American Journal of Public Health* 2003;**93** (11):1871–7.
- Insull 2001** *{published data only}*
Insull W, Jr, Toth P, Mullican W, Hunninghake D, Burke S, Donovan JM, et al. Effectiveness of colesvelam hydrochloride in decreasing LDL cholesterol in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia: a 24-week randomized controlled trial. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* 2001;**76**:971–82.
- Jameson 1995** *{published data only}*
Jameson J, VanNoord G, Vanderwoud K. The impact of a pharmacotherapy consultation on the cost and outcome of medical therapy. *The Journal of Family Practice* 1995;**41**: 469–72.
- Johnson 1997** *{published data only}*
Johnson GD, Girvin B, McGavock H. A comparison of conventional dose versus low dose and alternate day dosing of bendrofluzide in terms of blood pressure lowering and patient compliance. *Journal of Hypertension* 1997;**15**[12 I]: 1549–50.
- Johnson 2006** *{published data only}*
Johnson MO, Gamarel KE, Dawson Rose C. Changing HIV treatment expectancies: a pilot study. *AIDS care* 2006;**18**(6):550–3.
- Jones 2003** *{published data only}*
Jones DL, Ishii M, LaPerriere A, Stanley H, Antoni M, Ironson G, et al. Influencing medication adherence among women with AIDS. *AIDS Care* 2003;**15**:463–74.
- Kakuda 2001** *{published data only}*
Kakuda TN, Page LM, Anderson PL, Henry K, Schacker TW, Rhame FS, et al. Pharmacological basis for concentration-controlled therapy with zidovudine, lamivudine, and indinavir. *Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy* 2001;**45**:236–42.
- Kardas 2001** *{published data only}*
Kardas P, Ratajczyk-Pakalska E. Patient adherence in respiratory tract infections: cefitibuten versus other antibiotics (PARTICULAR study). *Polski Merkuriusz Lekarski* 2001;**10**:445–9.
- Katellaris 2002** *{published data only}*
Katellaris PH, Forbes GM, Talley NJ, Crotty B. A randomized comparison of quadruple and triple therapies for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: the QUADRATE study. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**123**:1763–9.
- Katon 2002** *{published data only}*
Katon W, Russo J, Von Korff M, Lin E, Simon G, Bush T, et al. Long-term effects of a collaborative care intervention in persistently depressed primary care patients. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2002;**17**:741–8.
- Katon 2004** *{published data only}*
Katon WJ, Von Korff M, Lin EH, Simon G, Ludman E, Russo J, et al. The Pathways Study: a randomized trial of collaborative care in patients with diabetes and depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2004;**61**(10):1042–9.
- Kelly 1988** *{published data only}*
Kelly JM. Sublingual nitroglycerin: improving patient compliance with a demonstration dose. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Practice* 1988;**1**:251–4.
- Kelly 1990** *{published data only}*
Kelly GR, Scott JE, Mamon J. Medication compliance and health education among outpatients with chronic mental disorders. *Medical Care* 1990;**28**:1181–97.
- Kelly 1991** *{published data only}*
Kelly GR, Scott JE, Mamon J. Medication compliance and health education among outpatients with chronic mental disorders [erratum]. *Medical Care* 1991;**29**:889.
- Kiarie 2003** *{published data only}*
Kiarie JN, Kreiss JK, Richardson BA, John-Stewart GC. Compliance with antiretroviral regimens to prevent perinatal HIV-1 transmission in Kenya. *AIDS (London, England)* 2003;**17**:65–71.
- Klein 2001** *{published data only}*
Klein JJ, Van der Palen J, Uil SM, Zielhuis GA, Seydel ER, Van Herwaarden CL. Benefit from the inclusion of self-treatment guidelines to a self-management programme for adults with asthma. *European Respiratory Journal* 2001;**17**: 386–94.
- Kogos Jr. 2004** *{published data only}*
Kogos Jr. SC. Support groups and treatment adherence in a geriatric outpatient clinic. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings* 2004;**11**(4):275–82.
- Krein 2004** *{published data only}*
Krein SL, Klamerus ML, Vijan S, Lee JL, Fitzgerald JT, Pawlow A, et al. Case management for patients with poorly controlled diabetes: a randomized trial. *American Journal of Medicine* 2004;**116**:732–9.
- Krudsood 2002** *{published data only}*
Krudsood S, Looareesuwan S, Silachamroon U, Chalermrut K, Pittrow D, Cambon N, et al. Artesunate and mefloquine given simultaneously for three days via a prepacked blister is equally effective and tolerated as a standard sequential treatment of uncomplicated acute *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria: randomized, double-blind study in Thailand. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine & Hygiene* 2002;**67**: 465–72.
- Kumar 2002** *{published data only}*
Kumar H, Sudan R, Sethi HS, Sony P. Timolol maleate 0.5% versus timolol maleate in gel forming solution 0.5% (Timolol GFS) in open angle glaucoma in India. Preliminary safety and efficacy study. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology* 2002;**50**:21–3.
- Kutcher 2002** *{published data only}*
Kutcher S, Leblanc J, Maclaren C, Hadrava V. A randomized trial of a specific adherence enhancement program in

- sertraline-treated adults with major depressive disorder in a primary care setting. *Progress in Neuro -Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry* 2002;**26**:591–6.
- Lafeuillade 2001** *{published data only}*
Lafeuillade A. Factors affecting adherence and convenience in antiretroviral therapy. *International Journal of STD & AIDS* 2001;**12**(suppl 4):18–24.
- Laffel 2003** *{published data only}*
Laffel LM, Vangsness L. Impact of ambulatory, family-focused teamwork intervention on glycemic control in youth with type 1 diabetes. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2003;**142**:409–16.
- Lam 2003** *{published data only}*
Lam DH, Watkins ER, Hayward P, Bright J, Wright K, Kerr N, et al. A randomized controlled study of cognitive therapy for relapse prevention for bipolar affective disorder: outcome of the first year. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2003;**60**:145–52.
- Laramée 2003** *{published data only}*
Laramée AS, Levinsky SK, Sargent J, Ross R, Callas P. Case management in a heterogeneous congestive heart failure population: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2003;**163**:809–17.
- Lawson 2005** *{published data only}*
Lawson ML, Cohen N, Richardson C, Orrbine E, Pham B. A randomized trial of regular standardized telephone contact by a diabetes nurse educator in adolescents with poor diabetes control. *Pediatric Diabetes* 2005;**9**:32–40.
- Leal 2004** *{published data only}*
Leal HM, Abellan AJ, Martinez CJ, Bastida NA. Written information on the use of aerosols in COPD patients. Can we improve their use? [see comment]. *Atencion Primaria* 2004;**33**:6–10.
- Lee 2003** *{published data only}*
Lee TJ, Baraff LJ, Wall SP, Guzy J, Johnson D, Woo H. Parental compliance with after hours telephone triage advice: nurse advice service versus on-call pediatricians. *Clinical Pediatrics* 2003;**42**:613–9.
- Leenan 1997** *{published data only}*
Leenen FHH, Wilson TW, Bolli P, Larochelle P, Myers M, Handa SP, et al. Patterns of compliance with once versus twice daily antihypertensive drug therapy in primary care: a randomized clinical trial using electronic monitoring. *The Canadian Journal of Cardiology* 1997;**13**(10):914–20.
- Lemstra 2002** *{published data only}*
Lemstra M, Stewart B, Olszynski WP. Effectiveness of multidisciplinary intervention in the treatment of migraine: a randomized clinical trial. *Headache* 2002;**42**:845–54.
- Leung 2003** *{published data only}*
Leung CC, Law WS, Chang KC, Tam CM, Yew WW, Chan CK, Wong MY. Initial experience on rifampin and pyrazinamide vs isoniazid in the treatment of latent tuberculosis infection among patients with silicosis in Hong Kong. *Chest* 2003;**124**(6):2112–8.
- Levesque 1983** *{published data only}*
Levesque D, Pare P, Lacerte M, Parent JP, Rousseau B, Halle M, et al. Comparative efficacy of two dosage regimens of cimetidine in the treatment of symptomatic duodenal ulcer: results of a multicenter clinical trial. *Current Therapeutic Research, Clinical and Experimental* 1983;**33**:70–4.
- Levine 1979** *{published data only}*
Levine DM, Green LW, Deeds SG, Chwalow J, Russell RP, Finlay J. Health education for hypertensive patients. *JAMA* 1979;**241**:1700–3.
- Levy 2004** *{published data only}*
Levy RW, Rayner CR, Fairley CK, Kong DC, Mijch A, Costello K, McArthur C, Melbourne Adherence Group. Multidisciplinary HIV adherence intervention: a randomized study. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs* 2004;**18**(12):728–35.
- Lewis 1984** *{published data only}*
Lewis CE, Rachelefsky G, Lewis MA, de la Sota A, Kaplan M. A randomized trial of A.C.T. (asthma care training) for kids. *Pediatrics* 1984;**74**:478–86.
- Lin 2003** *{published data only}*
Lin EHB, Von Korff M, Ludman EJ, Rutter C, Bush TM, Simon GE, et al. Enhancing adherence to prevent depression relapse in primary care. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 2003;**25**:303–10.
- Linkewich 1974** *{published data only}*
Linkewich JA, Catalano RB, Flack HS. The effect of packaging and instruction on outpatient compliance with medication regimens. *Drug Intelligence & Clinical Pharmacy* 1974;**8**:10–5.
- Linszen 1996** *{published data only}*
Linszen D, Dingemans P, Van der Does JW, Nugter A, Scholte P, Lenior R, et al. Treatment, expressed emotion and relapse in recent onset schizophrenic disorders. *Psychological Medicine* 1996;**26**:333–42.
- Logan 1979** *{published data only}*
Logan AG, Milne BJ, Achber C, Campbell WP, Haynes RB. Cost-effectiveness of a worksite hypertension treatment program. *Hypertension* 1981;**3**(2):211–8.
* Logan AG, Milne BJ, Achber C, Campbell WP, Haynes RB. Work-site treatment of hypertension by specially trained nurses: a controlled trial. *Lancet* 1979;**2**:1175–8. [MEDLINE: 91901]
- Lopez-Vina 2000** *{published data only}*
Lopez-Vina A, del Castillo-Arevalo F. Influence of peak expiratory flow monitoring on an asthma self-management education programme. *Respiratory Medicine* 2000;**94**:760–6.
- Lwilla 2003** *{published data only}*
Lwilla F, Schellenberg D, Masanja H, Acosta C, Galindo C, Aponte J, et al. Evaluation of efficacy of community-based vs. institutional-based direct observed short-course treatment for the control of tuberculosis in Kilombero district, Tanzania. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 2003;**8**:204–10.

MacIntyre 2003 {published data only}

MacIntyre CR, Goebel K, Brown GV, Skull S, Starr M, Fullinlaw RO. A randomised controlled clinical trial of the efficacy of family-based direct observation of anti-tuberculosis treatment in an urban, developed-country setting. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2003;7(9):848–54.

Maiman 1978 {published data only}

Maiman LA, Becker MH, Liptak GS, Nazarian LF, Rounds KA. Improving pediatricians' compliance-enhancing practices: a randomized trial. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 1978;142:773–9.

Malotte 2001 {published data only}

Malotte CK, Hollingshead JR, Larro M. Incentives vs outreach workers for latent tuberculosis treatment in drug users. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2001;20:103–7.

Manders 2001 {published data only}

Manders AJ, Banerjee A, van den Borne HW, Harries AD, Kok GJ, Salaniponi FM. Can guardians supervise TB treatment as well as health workers? A study on adherence during the intensive phase. *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease* 2001;5:838–42.

Mann 2001 {published data only}

Mann T. Effects of future writing and optimism on health behaviors in HIV-infected women. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 2001;23:26–33.

Mannheimer 2002 {published data only}

Mannheimer S, Friedland G, Matts J, Child C, Chesney M. The consistency of adherence to antiretroviral therapy predicts biologic outcomes for human immunodeficiency virus-infected persons in clinical trials. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2002;34:1115–21.

Mannheimer 2006 {published data only}

Mannheimer SB, Morse E, Matts JP, Andrews L, Child C, Schmetter B, Friedland GH, Terry Beirn Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS. Sustained benefit from a long-term antiretroviral adherence intervention. Results of a large randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2006;43(Suppl 1):S41–7.

Mantzaris 2002 {published data only}

Mantzaris GJ, Petraki K, Archavlis E, Amberiadis P, Christoforidis P, Kourteas D, et al. Omeprazole triple therapy versus omeprazole quadruple therapy for healing duodenal ulcer and eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a 24-month follow-up study. *European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 2002;14:1237–43.

MarquezContreras04b {published data only}

Márquez Contreras E, de la Figuera von Wichmann M, Gil Guillén V, Ylla-Catalá A, Figueras M, Balaña M, Naval J. Effectiveness of an intervention to provide information to patients with hypertension as short text messages and reminders sent to their mobile phone (HTA-Alert) [Spanish]. *Atención Primaria* 2004;34(8):399–405.

Maslennikova 1998 {published data only}

Maslennikova GY, Morosova ME, Salman NV, Kulikov SM, Oganov RG. Asthma education programme in Russia: educating patients. *Patient Education & Counseling* 1998;33:113–27.

Maspero 2001 {published data only}

Maspero JF, Duenas-Meza E, Volovitz B, Pinacho DC, Kosa L, Vrijens F, et al. Oral montelukast versus inhaled beclomethasone in 6- to 11-year-old children with asthma: results of an open-label extension study evaluating long-term safety, satisfaction, and adherence with therapy. *Current Medical Research & Opinion* 2001;17:96–104.

Matsuyama 1993 {published data only}

Matsuyama JR, Mason BJ, Jue SG. Pharmacists' interventions using an electronic medication-event monitoring device's adherence data versus pill counts. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 1993;27:851–5.

Maxwell 2002 {published data only}

Maxwell GL, Synan I, Hayes RP, Clarke-Pearson DL. Preference and compliance in postoperative thromboembolism prophylaxis among gynecologic oncology patients. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2002;100:451–5.

Mazzuca 1986 {published data only}

Mazzuca SA, Moorman NH, Wheeler ML, Norton JA, Fineberg NS, Vinicor F, et al. The diabetes education study: a controlled trial of the effects of diabetes patient education. *Diabetes Care* 1986;9:1–10.

McCrinkle 1997 {published data only}

McCrinkle BW, O'Neill MB, Cullen-Dean G, Helden E. Acceptability and compliance with two forms of cholestyramine in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia in children: a randomized, crossover trial. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 1997;130[2]:266–73.

McFarlane 1995 {published data only}

McFarlane WR, Lukens E, Link B, Dushay R, Deakins SA, Newmark M, et al. Multiple-family groups and psychoeducation in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1995;52:679–87.

Miklowitz 2000 {published data only}

Miklowitz DJ, Simoneau TL, George EL, Richards JA, Kalbag A, Sachs-Ericsson N, et al. Family-focused treatment of bipolar disorder: 1-year effects of a psychoeducational program in conjunction with pharmacotherapy. *Biological Psychiatry* 2000;48(6):582–92.

Miklowitz 2003 {published data only}

Miklowitz DJ, George EL, Richards JA, Simoneau TL, Suddath RL. A randomized study of family-focused psychoeducation and pharmacotherapy in the outpatient management of bipolar disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2003;60(9):904–12.

Millard 2003 {published data only}

Millard MW, Johnson PT, McEwen M, Neatherlin J, Lawrence G, Kennerly DK, et al. A randomized controlled trial using the school for anti-inflammatory therapy in asthma. *Journal of Asthma* 2003;40:769–76.

- Miller 1990** *{published data only}*
Miller P, Wikoff R, Garrett MJ, McMahon M, Smith T. Regimen compliance two years after myocardial infarction. *Nursing Research* 1990;**39**(6):333–6.
- Mita 2003** *{published data only}*
Mita Y, Dobashi K, Shimizu Y, Akiyama M, Ono A, Nakazawa, T, et al. Levofloxacin 300 mg once-daily versus levofloxacin 100 mg three-times-daily in the treatment of respiratory tract infections in elderly patients. *Kitakanto Medical Journal* 2003;**53**:251–5.
- Morisky 1980** *{published data only}*
Morisky DE, Levine DM, Green LW, Russell RP, Smith C, Benson P, et al. The relative impact of health education for low- and high-risk patients with hypertension. *Preventive Medicine* 1980;**9**:550–8.
- Morisky 1983** *{published data only}*
Morisky DE, Levine DM, Green LW, Shapiro S, Russell RP, Smith CR. Five-year blood pressure control and mortality following health education for hypertensive patients. *American Journal of Public Health* 1983;**73**:153–62.
- Morisky 1990** *{published data only}*
Morisky DE, Malotte CK, Choi P, Davidson P, Rigler S, Sugland B, et al. A patient education program to improve adherence rates with antituberculosis drug regimens. *Health Education Quarterly* 1990;**17**:253–67.
- Morisky 2001** *{published data only}*
Morisky DE, Malotte CK, Ebin V, Davidson P, Cabrera D, Trout PT, et al. Behavioral interventions for the control of tuberculosis among adolescents. *Public Health Reports* 2001;**116**:568–74.
- Moulding 2002** *{published data only}*
Moulding TS, Caymittes M. Managing medication compliance of tuberculosis patients in Haiti with medication monitors. *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease* 2002;**6**:313–9.
- Moya 2006** *{published data only}*
Moya J, Casado JL, Aranzabal L, Moreno A, Antela A, Dronza F, et al. Limitations of a simplification antiretroviral strategy for HIV-infected patients with decreasing adherence to a protease inhibitor regimen. *HIV Clinical Trials* 2006;**7**(4):210–4.
- Muhlig 2001** *{published data only}*
Muhlig S, Bergmann KC, Twesten O, Petermann F. Therapy participation in ambulatory asthma patients: empirical comparison of compliance rates using different operationalization methods for drug compliance. *Pneumologie* 2001;**55**:177–89.
- Mundt 2001** *{published data only}*
Mundt JC, Clarke GN, Burroughs D, Brenneman DO, Griest GH. Effectiveness of an antidepressant pharmacotherapy: the impact of medication compliance and patient education. *Depression and Anxiety* 2001;**13**:1–10.
- Murphy 2002** *{published data only}*
Murphy DA, Lu MC, Martin D, Hoffman D, Marelich WD. Results of a pilot intervention trial to improve antiretroviral adherence among HIV-positive patients. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care* 2002;**13**:57–69.
- Murray 1993** *{published data only}*
Murray MD, Birt JA, Manatunga AK, Darnell JC. Medication compliance in elderly outpatients using twice-daily dosing and unit-of-use packaging. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 1993;**27**:616–21.
- Myers 1984** *{published data only}*
Myers ED, Calvert EJ. Information, compliance and side-effects: a study of patients on antidepressant medication. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1984;**17**:21–5.
- Myers 1992** *{published data only}*
Myers ED, Branthwaite A. Out-patient compliance with antidepressant medication. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1992;**160**:83–6.
- Naunton 2003** *{published data only}*
Naunton M, Peterson GM. Evaluation of home-based follow-up of high-risk elderly patients discharged from hospital. *Journal of Pharmacy Practice & Research* 2003;**33**:176–82.
- Nessman 1980** *{published data only}*
Nessman DG, Carnahan JE, Nugent CA. Increasing compliance. Patient-operated hypertension groups. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1980;**140**:1427–30.
- Ngo 1997** *{published data only}*
Ngo LN, Shepherd MD. Design, development, and evaluation of visual aids for communicating prescription drug instructions to nonliterate patients in rural Cameroon. *Patient Education & Counseling* 1997;**30**:257–70.
- Nides 1993** *{published data only}*
Nides MA, Tashkin DP, Simmons MS, Wise RA, Li VC, Rand CS. Improving inhaler adherence in a clinical trial through the use of the nebulizer chronolog. *Chest* 1993;**104**:501–7.
- Noonan 2001** *{published data only}*
Noonan M, Karpel JP, Bensch GW, Ramsdell JW, Webb DR, Nolop KB, et al. Comparison of once-daily to twice-daily treatment with mometasone furoate dry powder inhaler. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology* 2001;**86**:36–43.
- Nyomba 2004** *{published data only}*
Nyomba BLG, Berard L, Murphy LJ. Facilitating access to glucometer reagents increases blood glucose self-monitoring frequency and improves glycaemic control: a prospective study in insulin-treated diabetic patients. *Diabetic Medicine* 2004;**21**:129–35.
- O'Connor 1996** *{published data only}*
O'Connor PJ, Rush WA, Peterson J, Morben P, Cherney L, Keogh C, et al. Continuous quality improvement can improve glycemic control for HMO patients with diabetes. *Archives of Family Medicine* 1996;**5**:502–6.
- O'Suilleabhain 2002** *{published data only}*
O'Suilleabhain P, Dewey RB Jr. Randomized trial comparing primidone initiation schedules for treating essential tremor. *Movement Disorders* 2002;**17**:382–6.

- Onyirimba 2003** {published data only}
Onyirimba F, Apter A, Reisine S, Litt M, McCusker C, Connors M, et al. Direct clinician-to-patient feedback discussion of inhaled steroid use: its effect on adherence. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology* 2003;**90**:411–5.
- Phan 1995** {published data only}
Phan TT. Enhancing client adherence to psychotropic medication regimens: a psychosocial nursing approach. *International Journal of Psychiatric Nursing Research* 1995;**2**(1):146–72.
- Polonsky 2003** {published data only}
Polonsky WH, Earles J, Smith S, Pease DJ, Macmillan M, Christensen R, et al. Integrating medical management with diabetes self-management training: a randomized control trial of the Diabetes Outpatient Intensive Treatment program. *Diabetes Care* 2003;**26**:3048–53.
- Ponnusankar 2004** {published data only}
Ponnusankar S, Surulivelrajan M, Anandamoorthy N, Suresh B. Assessment of impact of medication counseling on patients' medication knowledge and compliance in an outpatient clinic in South India. *Patient Education & Counseling* 2004;**54**:55–60.
- Poplawska 2004** {published data only}
Poplawska R, Czernikiewicz A, Szulc A, Galinska B, Konarzewska B, Rudnik-Szalaj I. The effectiveness of psychoeducation in schizophrenic and depressive patients—preliminary report [Polish]. *Psychiatria Polska* 2004;**38**:433–42.
- Portilla 2003** {published data only}
Portilla J, Jorda P, Esteban J, Sanchez-Paya J, Merino E, Boix V, et al. Directly observed treatment of latent tuberculosis infection: comparative study of two isoniazid regimens. *Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica* 2003;**21**:293–5.
- Purcell 2004** {published data only}
Purcell DW, Metsch LR, Latka M, Santibanez S, Gómez CA, Eldred L, Latkin CA, INSPIRE Study Group. Interventions for seropositive injectors—research and evaluation: an integrated behavioral intervention with HIV-positive injection drug users to address medical care, adherence, and risk reduction. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2004;**37**(Suppl 2):S110–8.
- Putnam 1994** {published data only}
Putnam DE, Finney JW, Barkley PL, Bonner MJ. Enhancing commitment improves adherence to a medical regimen. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 1994;**62**(1):191–4.
- Qazi 2002** {published data only}
Qazi S. Clinical efficacy of 3 days versus 5 days of oral amoxicillin for treatment of childhood pneumonia: a multicentre double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2002;**360**:835–41.
- Rapoff 2002** {published data only}
Rapoff MA, Belmont J, Lindsley C, Olson N, Morris J, Padur J. Prevention of nonadherence to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications for newly diagnosed patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Health Psychology* 2002;**21**:620–3.
- Rathbun 2005** {published data only}
Rathbun RC, Farmer KC, Stephens JR, Lockhart SM. Impact of an adherence clinic on behavioral outcomes and virologic response in treatment of HIV infection: a prospective, randomized, controlled pilot study. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2005;**27**(2):199–209.
- Raynor 1993** {published data only}
Raynor DK, Booth TG, Blenkinsopp A. Effects of computer generated reminder charts on patients' compliance with drug regimens. *BMJ* 1993;**306**:1158–61.
- Razali 1997** {published data only}
Razali SM, Yahya H. Health education and drug counseling for schizophrenia. *International Medical Journal* 1997;**4**:187–9.
- Rehder 1980** {published data only}
Rehder TL, McCoy LK, Blackwell B, Whitehead W, Robinson A. Improving medication compliance by counseling and special prescription container. *American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy* 1980;**37**:379–85.
- Reid 2005** {published data only}
Reid SC, Teesson M, Sannibale C, Matsuda M, Haber PS. The efficacy of compliance therapy in pharmacotherapy for alcohol dependence: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Studies of Alcohol* 2005;**66**(6):833–41.
- Rettig 1986** {published data only}
Rettig BA, Shrauger DG, Recker RR, Gallagher TF, Wiltse H. A randomized study of the effects of a home diabetes education program. *Diabetes Care* 1986;**9**(2):173–8.
- Rich 1996** {published data only}
Rich MW, Gray DB, Beckham V, Wittenberg C, Luther P. Effect of a multidisciplinary intervention on medication compliance in elderly patients with congestive heart failure. *The American Journal of Medicine* 1996;**101**:270–6.
- Rickheim 2002a** {published data only}
Rickheim PL, Weaver TW, Flader JL, Kendall DM. Assessment of group versus individual diabetes education: a randomized study. *Diabetes Care* 2002;**25**:269–74.
- Rickheim 2002b** {published data only}
Rickheim PL, Weaver TW, Flader JL, Kendall DM. Assessment of group versus individual diabetes education: a randomized study. *Diabetes Care* 2002;**25**:269–74.
- Rigsby 2000** {published data only}
Rigsby MO, Rosen MI, Beauvais JE, Cramer JA, Rainey PM, O'Malley SS, et al. Pilot study of an antiretroviral adherence intervention. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2000;**15**:841–7.
- Riis 2001** {published data only}
Riis BJ, Ise J, von Stein T, Bagger Y, Christiansen C. Ibandronate: a comparison of oral daily dosing versus intermittent dosing in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Journal of Bone & Mineral Research* 2001;**16**:1871–8.

- Rimer 1987** *{published data only}*
Rimer B, Levy MH, Keintz MK, Fox L, Engstrom PF, MacElwee N. Enhancing cancer pain control regimens through patient education. *Patient Education & Counseling* 1987;**10**:267–77.
- Robinson 1986** *{published data only}*
Robinson GL, Gilbertson AD, Litwack L. The effects of a psychiatric patient education to medication program on post-discharge compliance. *The Psychiatric Quarterly* 1986; **58**:113–8.
- Rodriguez 2003** *{published data only}*
Rodriguez RM, Sanchez F. Effectiveness of a group educational intervention in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Enfermeria Clinica* 2003;**13**:131–6.
- Rosen 2004** *{published data only}*
Rosen MI, Rigsby MO, Salahi JT, Ryan CE, Cramer JA. Electronic monitoring and counseling to improve medication adherence. *Behaviour Research & Therapy* 2004; **42**:409–22.
- Ross 2004** *{published data only}*
Ross SE, Moore LA, Earnest MA, Wittevrongel L, Lin CT. Providing a web-based online medical record with electronic communication capabilities to patients with congestive heart failure: randomized trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2004;**6**(2):e12.
- Roy-Byrne 2001** *{published data only}*
Roy-Byrne PD, Katon W, Cowley DS, Russo J. A randomized effectiveness trial of collaborative care for patients with panic disorder in primary care. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;**58**:869–76.
- Rudnicka 2003** *{published data only}*
Rudnicka AR, Ashby D, Brennan P, Meade T. Thrombosis prevention trial: compliance with warfarin treatment and investigation of a retained effect. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2003;**163**:1454–60.
- Ruoff 2005** *{published data only}*
Ruoff G. A method that dramatically improves patient adherence to depression treatment. *Journal of Family Practice* 2005;**54**(10):846–52.
- Safren 2003** *{published data only}*
Safren SA, Hendriksen ES, Desousa N, Boswell SL, Mayer KH. Use of an on-line pager system to increase adherence to antiretroviral medications. *AIDS Care* 2003;**15**:787–93.
- Sanchez 2002** *{published data only}*
Sanchez F, Balague M, Hernandez P, Lopez-Colomes JL, De Olalla PG, Garcia-Vidal, J, et al. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in injecting drug users co-infected by HIV. *Enfermedades Emergentes* 2002;**4**:62–5.
- Sanmarti 1993** *{published data only}*
Salleras Sanmarti L, Alcaide Megias J, Altet Gomez MN, Canela Soler J, Navas Alcala E, Sune Puigbo MR, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of health education on the compliance with antituberculosis chemoprophylaxis in school children. A randomized clinical trial. *Tubercle and Lung Disease* 1993;**74**:28–31.
- Saunders 1991** *{published data only}*
Saunders LD, Irwig LM, Gear JS, Ramushu DL. A randomized controlled trial of compliance improving strategies in Soweto hypertensives. *Medical Care* 1991;**29**:669–78.
- Sawicki 1999** *{published data only}*
Sawicki PT. A structured teaching and self-management program for patients receiving oral anticoagulation. *JAMA* 1999;**281**:145–50.
- Schmaling 2001** *{published data only}*
Schmaling KB, Blume AW, Afari N. A randomized controlled pilot study of motivational interviewing to change attitudes about adherence to medications for asthma. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings* 2001;**8**:167–72.
- Schoenbaum 2001** *{published data only}*
Schoenbaum M, Unutzer J, Sherbourne C, Duan N, Rubenstein LV, Miranda J, et al. Cost-effectiveness of practice-initiated quality improvement for depression: results of a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2001;**286**:1325–30.
- Schousboe 2005** *{published data only}*
Schousboe JT, DeBod RC, Kuno LS, Weiss TW, Chen YT, Abbott TA. Education and phone follow-up in postmenopausal women at risk for osteoporosis. *Disease Management & Health Outcomes* 2005;**13**(6):395–404.
- Schwartz 1981** *{published data only}*
Schwartz RH, Wientzen RL Jr, Pedreira F, Feroli EJ, Mella GW, Guandolo VL. Penicillin V for group A streptococcal pharyngotonsillitis: a randomized trial of seven vs ten days' therapy. *JAMA* 1981;**246**:1790–5.
- Sciar 1991** *{published data only}*
Sciar DA, Chin A, Skaer TL, Okamoto MP, Nakahiro RK, Gill MA. Effect of health education in promoting prescription refill compliance among patients with hypertension. *Clinical Therapeutics* 1991;**13**:489–95.
- Seal 2003** *{published data only}*
Seal KH, Kral AH, Lorvick J, McNeas A, Gee L, Edlin BR. A randomized controlled trial of monetary incentives vs. outreach to enhance adherence to the hepatitis B vaccine series among injection drug users. *Drug & Alcohol Dependence* 2003;**71**:127–31.
- Segador 2005** *{published data only}*
Segador J, Gil-Guillen VF, Orozco D, Quirce F, Carratalá MC, Fernández-Parker A, et al. The effect of written information on adherence to antibiotic treatment in acute sore throat. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 2005;**26**(1):56–61.
- Seggev 1998** *{published data only}*
Seggev JS, Enrique RR, Brandon ML, Larsen LS, Van Tuyt RA, Rowinski CA, and the Acute Bacterial Maxillary Sinusitis Collaborative Study Group. A combination of amoxicillin and clavulanate every 12 hours vs every 8 hours for treatment of acute bacterial maxillary sinusitis. *Archives of Otolaryngology: Head & Neck surgery* 1998;**124**:921–5.

- Sellors 1997** *{published data only}*
 Sellors J, Pickard L, Mahony JB, Jackson K, Nelligan P, Zimic-Vincetic M, Chernesky M. Understanding and enhancing compliance with the second dose of hepatitis B vaccine: a cohort analysis and a randomized controlled trial. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1997;**157**:143–8.
- Sellwood 2001** *{published data only}*
 Sellwood W, Barrowclough C, Tarrier N, Quinn J, Mainwaring J, Lewis S. Needs-based cognitive-behavioural family intervention for carers of patients suffering from schizophrenia: 12-Month follow-up. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2001;**104**:346–55.
- Serfaty 2002** *{published data only}*
 Serfaty D, Bruhat MA, Colau JC, de Reilhac P, De Lignieres B, Roger D, et al. Compliance with hormone replacement therapy in menopausal women: Effect of an original education program. The COMET study. *Gynecologie, Obstetrique & Fertilite* 2002;**30**:374–82.
- Serfaty 2003** *{published data only}*
 Serfaty D, de Reilhac P, Eschwege E, Ringa V, Blin P, Nandeuil A, et al. Compliance with hormone replacement therapy in menopausal women: results of a two-year prospective French study comparing transdermal treatment with fixed oral combination therapy. *Gynecologie, Obstetrique & Fertilite* 2003;**31**:525–33.
- Shames 2004** *{published data only}*
 Shames RS, Sharek P, Mayer M, Robinson TN, Hoyte EG, Gonzalez-Hensley F, et al. Effectiveness of a multicomponent self-management program in at-risk, school-aged children with asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology* 2004;**92**:611–8.
- Sharpe 1974** *{published data only}*
 Sharpe TR, Mikeal RL. Patient compliance with antibiotic regimens. *American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy* 1974;**31**:479–84.
- Shepard 1979** *{published data only}*
 Shepard DS, Foster SB, Stason WB, Solomon HS, McArdle PJ, Gallagher SS. Cost-effectiveness of interventions to improve compliance with anti-hypertensive therapy. *Preventive Medicine* 1979;**8**:229.
- Sherbourne 2001** *{published data only}*
 Sherbourne CD, Wells KB, Duan N, Miranda J, Unutzer J, Jaycox L, et al. Long-term effectiveness of disseminating quality improvement for depression in primary care. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;**58**:696–703.
- Sherman 2001** *{published data only}*
 Sherman J, Patel P, Hutson A, Chesrown S, Hendeles L. Adherence to oral montelukast and inhaled fluticasone in children with persistent asthma. *Pharmacotherapy* 2001;**21**:1464–7.
- Shetty 1997** *{published data only}*
 Shetty MK, Chowdhury SA, Trivus RH, Lynn DL, Titus R. Flexible therapy versus traditional psychotherapy: a preliminary study. 150th Annual Meeting of the American Psychiatric Association. San Diego, California, May 1997: 17–22.
- Silverman 2002** *{published data only}*
 Silverman BL, Blethen SL, Reiter EO, Attie KM, Neuwirth RB, Ford KM. A long-acting human growth hormone (Nutropin Depot): Efficacy and safety following two years of treatment in children with growth hormone deficiency. *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2002;**15**:715–22.
- Simkins 1986** *{published data only}*
 Simkins CV, Wenzloff NJ. Evaluation of a computerized reminder system in the enhancement of patient medication refill compliance. *Drug Intelligence & Clinical Pharmacy* 1986;**20**:799–802.
- Simmons 2001** *{published data only}*
 Simmons SF, Alessi C, Schnelle JF. An intervention to increase fluid intake in nursing home residents: prompting and preference compliance. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2001;**49**:926–33.
- Simon 2002** *{published data only}*
 Simon JA, Lewiecki EM, Smith ME, Petruschke RA, Wang L, Palmisano JJ. Patient preference for once-weekly alendronate 70 mg versus once-daily alendronate 10 mg: a multicenter, randomized, open-label, crossover study. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2002;**24**:1871–86.
- Simon 2005** *{published data only}*
 Simon SR, Majumdar SR, Prosser LA, Salem-Schatz S, Warner C, Kleinman K, et al. Group versus individual academic detailing to improve the use of antihypertensive medications in primary care: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *The American Journal of Medicine* 2005;**118**(5):521–8.
- Simon 2006** *{published data only}*
 Simon GE, Ludman EJ, Bauer MS, Unützer J, Operskalski B. Long-term effectiveness and cost of a systematic care program for bipolar disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2006;**63**(5):500–8.
- Smith 1986** *{published data only}*
 Smith NA, Seale JP, Ley P, Shaw J, Bracs PU. Effects of intervention on medication compliance in children with asthma. *The Medical Journal of Australia* 1986;**144**:119–22.
- Smith 2003** *{published data only}*
 Smith SR, Rublein JC, Marcus C, Brock TP, Chesney MA. A medication self-management program to improve adherence to HIV therapy regimens. *Patient Education & Counseling* 2003;**50**:187–99.
- Solomon 1988** *{published data only}*
 Solomon MZ, DeJong W. The impact of a clinic-based educational videotape on knowledge and treatment behavior of men with gonorrhoea. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases* 1988;**15**:127–32.
 Solomon MZ, DeJong W, Jodrie TA. Improving drug regimen adherence among patients with sexually transmitted disease. *The Journal of Compliance in Health Care* 1988;**3**:41–56.
- Solomon 1997** *{published data only}*
 Solomon PL. Outcomes of two brief family education programs. 150th Annual Meeting of the American

- Psychiatric Association. San Diego, California, May 17–22, 1979.
- Stringer 2003** *{published data only}*
Stringer JS, Sinkala M, Stout JP, Goldenberg RL, Acosta EP, Chapman V, et al. Comparison of two strategies for administering nevirapine to prevent perinatal HIV transmission in high-prevalence, resource-poor settings. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2003;**32**: 506–13.
- Stuart 2003** *{published data only}*
Stuart GW, Laraia MT, Ornstein SM, Nietert PJ. An interactive voice response system to enhance antidepressant medication compliance. *Topics in Health Information Management* 2003;**24**:15–20.
- Sturgess 2003** *{published data only}*
Sturgess IK, McElnay JC, Hughes CM, Crealey G. Community pharmacy based provision of pharmaceutical care to older patients. *Pharmacy World & Science* 2003;**25**: 218–26.
- Stuurman-Bieze 2005** *{published data only}*
Stuurman-Bieze AG, de Boer WO, Kokenberg ME, Hugtenburg JG, de Jong-van den Berg LT, Tromp TF. Complex pharmaceutical care intervention in pulmonary care: part A. The process and pharmacists' professional satisfaction. *Pharmacy World & Science* 2005;**27**(5):376–84.
- Suppavitiporn 2005** *{published data only}*
Suppavitiporn S. Effect of diabetes drug counseling by pharmacist, diabetic disease booklet and special medication containers on glycemic control of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 2005;**88**(Suppl 4):S134–41.
- Surwit 2002** *{published data only}*
Surwit RS, van Tilburg MA, Zucker N, McCaskill CC, Parekh P, Feinglos MN, et al. Stress management improves long-term glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2002;**25**:30–4.
- Sworen 2003** *{published data only}*
Sworen BM, Butler D. Reducing acute adverse outcomes in youths with type 1 diabetes: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2003;**112**:914–22.
- Swartz 2001** *{published data only}*
Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Wagner HR, Burns BJ, Hiday VA. Effects of involuntary outpatient commitment and depot antipsychotics on treatment adherence in persons with severe mental illness. *Journal of Nervous & Mental Disease* 2001;**189**:583–92.
- Taggart 1981** *{published data only}*
Taggart AJ, Johnston GD, McDevitt DG. Does the frequency of daily dosage influence compliance with digoxin therapy?. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1981;**11**: 31–4.
- Takala 1979** *{published data only}*
Takala J, Niemela N, Rosti J, Sievers K. Improving compliance with therapeutic regimens in hypertensive patients in a community health center. *Circulation* 1979;**59**:540–3.
- Tapanya 1997** *{published data only}*
Tapanya S. A biopsychosocial intervention program to improve medical regimen adherence and glycemic control in type ii diabetic patients. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: the Sciences and Engineering 1997.
- Taylor 2001** *{published data only}*
Taylor R, Mallinger AG, Frank E, Rucci P, Thase ME, Kupfer DJ. Variability of erythrocyte and serum lithium levels correlates with therapist treatment adherence efforts and maintenance treatment outcome. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2001;**24**:192–7.
- Taylor 2003** *{published data only}*
Taylor CT, Byrd DC, Krueger K. Improving primary care in rural Alabama with a pharmacy initiative. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy* 2003;**60**(11):1123–9.
- Tinkelman 1980** *{published data only}*
Tinkelman DG, Vanderpool GE, Carroll MS, Page EG, Spangler DL. Compliance differences following administration of theophylline at six- and twelve-hour intervals. *Annals of Allergy* 1980;**44**:283–6.
- Toyota 2003** *{published data only}*
Toyota E, Kobayashi N, Houjou M, Yoshizawa A, Kawana A, Kudo K. Usefulness of directly observed therapy (DOT) during hospitalization as DOTS in Japanese style. *Kekkaku* 2003;**78**:581–5.
- Treiber 2002** *{published data only}*
Treiber G, Wittig J, Ammon S, Walker S, Van Doom L-J, Klotz U. Clinical outcome and influencing factors of a new short-term quadruple therapy for Helicobacter pylori eradication: a randomized controlled trial (MACLOR study). *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2002;**162**:153–60.
- Trienekens 1993** *{published data only}*
Trienekens TA, London NH, Houben AW, De Jong RA, Stobberingh EE. Treating acute urinary tract infections. An RCT of 3-day versus 7-day norfloxacin. *Canadian family physician. Médecin de famille canadien* 1993;**39**:514–8.
- Unutzer 2001** *{published data only}*
Unutzer J, Rubenstein L, Katon WJ, Tang L, Duan N, Lagomasino IT, Wells KB. Two-year effects of quality improvement programs on medication management for depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;**58**:935–42.
- Unutzer 2002** *{published data only}*
Unutzer J, Katon W, Callahan CM, Williams JW Jr, Hunkeler E, Harpole L, et al. IMPACT Investigators. Improving mood-promoting access to collaborative treatment. Collaborative care management of late-life depression in the primary care setting: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002;**288**:2836–45.
- Vale 2003** *{published data only}*
Vale MJ, Jelinek MV, Best JD, Dart AM, Grigg LE, Hare DL, et al. COACH Study Group. Coaching patients On Achieving Cardiovascular Health (COACH): a multicenter randomized trial in patients with coronary heart disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2003;**163**(22):2775–83.

- Valles 2003** {published data only}
Valles J-A, Barreiro M, Cereza G, Ferro J-J, Martinez, M-J, EscribaJ-M, et al. A prospective multicenter study of the effect of patient education on acceptability of generic prescribing in general practice. *Health Policy* 2003;**65**: 269–75.
- Van der 2001** {published data only}
Van der PJ, Klein JJ, Zielhuis GA, van Herwaarden CL, Seydel ER. Behavioural effect of self-treatment guidelines in a self-management program for adults with asthma. *Patient Education & Counseling* 2001;**43**:161–9.
- Van Dyke 2002** {published data only}
Van Dyke RB, Lee S, Johnson GM, Wiznia A, Mohan K, Stanley K, et al. Reported adherence as a determinant of response to highly active antiretroviral therapy in children who have human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics* 2002;**109**:61–7.
- van Es 2001** {published data only}
van Es SM, Nagelkerke AF, Colland VT, Scholten RJ, Bouter LM. An intervention programme using the ASE-model aimed at enhancing adherence in adolescents with asthma. *Patient Education & Counseling* 2001;**44**:193–203.
- Vander Stichele 1992** {published data only}
Vander Stichele RH, Thomson M, Verkoelen K, Droussin AM. Measuring patient compliance with electronic monitoring: lisinopril versus atenolol in essential hypertension. *Post Market Surveillance* 1992;**6**:77–90.
- Velasco 2002** {published data only}
Velasco A, Roman C, Aragues M, Noguera X, Ventura C, Leris E. Comparison of the efficacy of 1% flutrimazole cream twice a day with 1% flutrimazole cream once a day for the treatment of superficial dermatophytoses. *Revista Iberoamericana de Micologia* 2002;**19**:169–72.
- VeldhuizenScott 1995** {published data only}
Van Veldhuizen-Scott MK, Widmer LB, Stacey SA, Popovich NG. Developing and implementing a pharmaceutical care model in an ambulatory care setting for patients with diabetes. *The Diabetes Educator* 1995;**21**(2): 117–23.
- Vestergaard 1997** {published data only}
Vestergaard P, Hermann AP, Gram J, Jensen LB, Kolthoff N, Abrahamsen B, et al. Improving compliance with hormonal replacement therapy in primary osteoporosis prevention. *Maturitas* 1997;**28**:137–45.
- Vetter 1999** {published data only}
Vetter N, Argyropoulou-Pataka P, Droszcz W, Estruch-Riba R, Thomas P, MacLeod CM. Efficacy and safety of once-daily and twice-daily clarithromycin formulations in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Advances in Therapy* 1999;**16**(1):13–21.
- Vivian 2002** {published data only}
Vivian EM. Improving blood pressure control in a pharmacist-managed hypertension clinic. *Pharmacotherapy* 2002;**22**:1533–40.
- Vrijens 1997** {published data only}
Vrijens B, Goetghebeur E. Comparing compliance patterns between randomized treatments. *Controlled Clinical Trials* 1997;**18**:187–203.
- Wagner 2002** {published data only}
Wagner GJ, Ghosh-Dastidar B. Electronic monitoring: adherence assessment or intervention?. *HIV Clinical Trials* 2002;**3**(1):45–51.
- Walker 2006** {published data only}
Walker EA, Molitch M, Kramer MK, Kahn S, Ma Y, Edelstein S, Smith K, Johnson MK, Kitabchi A, Crandall J. Adherence to preventive medications: predictors and outcomes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care* 2006;**29**(9):1997–2002.
- Wasilewski 2000** {published data only}
Wasilewski MM, Wilson MG, Sides GD, Stotka JL. Comparative efficacy of 5 days of dirithromycin and 7 days of erythromycin in skin and soft tissue infections. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2000;**46**:255–62.
- Webb 1980** {published data only}
Webb PA. Effectiveness of patient education and psychosocial counseling in promoting compliance and control among hypertensive patients. *The Journal of Family Practice* 1980;**10**(6):1047–55.
- Weiss 2002** {published data only}
Weiss K, Vanjaka A. An open-label, randomized, multicenter, comparative study of the efficacy and safety of 7 days of treatment with clarithromycin extended-release tablets versus clarithromycin immediate-release tablets for the treatment of patients with acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2002;**24**:2102–22.
- Wells 2004** {published data only}
Wells K, Sherbourne C, Schoenbaum M, Ettner S, Duan N, Miranda J, et al. Five-year impact of quality improvement for depression: results of a group-level randomized controlled trial. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2004;**61**:378–86.
- Williams 1986** {published data only}
Williams RL, Maiman LA, Broadbent DN, Kotok D, Lawrence RA, Longfield LA, et al. Educational strategies to improve compliance with an antibiotic regimen. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 1986;**140**:216–20.
- Williams 2006** {published data only}
Williams AB, Fennie KP, Bova CA, Burgess JD, Danvers KA, Dieckhaus KD. Home visits to improve adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2006;**42**(3):314–21.
- Windsor 1990** {published data only}
Windsor RA, Bailey WC, Richard JM Jr, Manzella B, Soong SJ, Brooks M. Evaluation of the efficacy and cost effectiveness of health education methods to increase medication adherence among adults with asthma. *American Journal of Public Health* 1990;**80**:1519–21.

Wise 1986 *{published data only}*

Wise PH, Dowlathahi DC, Farrant S, Fromson S, Meadows KA. Effect of computer-based learning on diabetes knowledge and control. *Diabetes Care* 1986;**9**(5):504–8.

Wohl 2006 *{published data only}*

Wohl AR, Garland WH, Valencia R, Squires K, Witt MD, Kovacs A, et al. A randomized trial of directly administered antiretroviral therapy and adherence case management intervention. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2006;**42**(11):1619–27.

Wong 1987 *{published data only}*

Wong BS, Norman DC. Evaluation of a novel medication aid, the calendar blister-pak, and its effect on drug compliance in a geriatric outpatient clinic. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1987;**35**:21–6.

Wright 2003 *{published data only}*

Wright SP, Walsh H, Ingley KM, Muncaster SA, Gamble GD, Pearl A, et al. Uptake of self-management strategies in a heart failure management programme. *European Journal of Heart Failure* 2003;**5**(3):371–80.

Wu 2006 *{published data only}*

Wu AW, Snyder CF, Huang IC, Skolasky R, McGruder HF, Celano SA, et al. A randomized trial of the impact of a programmable medication reminder device on quality of life in patients with AIDS. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs* 2006;**20**(11):773–81.

Xiang 1994 *{published data only}*

Xiang M, Ran M, Li S. A controlled evaluation of psychoeducational family intervention in a rural Chinese community. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1994;**165**:544–8.

Xiao 2001 *{published data only}*

Xiao SD, Liu WZ, Hu PJ, Ouyang Q, Wang JL, Zhou LY, et al. A multicentre study on eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* using four 1-week triple therapies in China. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2001;**15**:81–6.

Yeboah-Antwi 2001 *{published data only}*

Yeboah-Antwi K, Gyapong JO, Asare IK, Barnish G, Evans DB, Adjei S. Impact of prepackaging antimalarial drugs on cost to patients and compliance with treatment. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2001;**79**:394–9.

Yuan 2003 *{published data only}*

Yuan Y, Hay JW, McCombs JS. Effects of ambulatory-care pharmacist consultation on mortality and hospitalization. *American Journal of Managed Care* 2003;**9**:45–56.

Zarnke 1997 *{published data only}*

Zarnke KB, Feagan BG, Mahon JL, Feldman RD. A randomized study comparing a patient-directed hypertension management strategy with usual office-based care. *American Journal of Hypertension* 1997;**10**:58–67.

Zermansky 2002 *{published data only}*

Zermansky AG, Petty DR, Raynor DK, Lowe CJ, Freemantle N, Vail A. Clinical medication review by a pharmacist of patients on repeat prescriptions in general

practice: A randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment* 2002;**6**:76.

Ziauddin Hyder 2002 *{published data only}*

Ziauddin Hyder SM, Persson LA, Chowdhury AMR, Ekstrom E-C. Do side-effects reduce compliance to iron supplementation? A study of daily- and weekly-dose regimens in pregnancy. *Journal of Health, Population & Nutrition* 2002;**20**:175–9.

References to studies awaiting assessment**Clowes 2004** *{published data only}*

Clowes JA, Peel NF, Eastell R. The impact of monitoring on adherence and persistence with antiresorptive treatment for postmenopausal osteoporosis: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2004;**89**(3):1117–23.

Gallefoss 2002 *{published data only}*

Gallefoss F, Bakke PS. The effects of patient education in asthma: a randomised, controlled trial. *Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening* 2002;**28**:2702–6.

Hornung 1998b *{published data only}*

Hornung WP, Schonauer K, Feldmann R, Monking HS. Medication-related attitudes of chronic schizophrenic patients: a follow-up for 24 months after psychoeducational intervention. *Psychiatrische Praxis* 1998;**25**:25–8.

Kripalani 2006 *{unpublished data only}*

Kripalani S, et al. A randomized controlled trial to improve medication compliance among patients with coronary heart disease. <http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00208832> (accessed 1 Feb 2008).

Marquez 2000 *{published data only}*

Marquez Contreras E, Casado Martinez JJ, Celotti Gomez B, Gascon Vivo JL, Martin de Pablos JL, Gil Rodriguez R, et al. Therapeutic compliance in hypertension. Trial of two-year health education intervention [El cumplimiento terapeutico en la hipertension arterial. Ensayo sobre la intervencion durante 2 anos mediante educacion sanitaria]. *Atencion Primaria* 2000;**26**(1):5–10.

Shon 2002 *{published data only}*

Shon K-H, Park S-S. Medication and symptom management education program for the rehabilitation of psychiatric patients in Korea: the effects of promoting schedule on self-efficacy theory. *Yonsei Medical Journal* 2002;**43**:579–89.

References to ongoing studies**Bosworth 2005** *{published data only}*

Bosworth HB, Olsen MK, Goldstein MK, Orr M, Dudley T, McCant F, Gentry P, Oddone EZ. The veterans' study to improve the control of hypertension (V-STITCH): design and methodology. *Contemporary Clinical Trials* 2005;**26**(2):155–68.

Bosworth 2007 *{published and unpublished data}*

Bosworth HB, Olsen MK, Dudley T, Orr M, Neary A, Harrelson M, Adams M, Svetkey LP, Dolor RJ, Oddone EZ. The Take Control of Your Blood pressure (TCYB) study:

- study design and methodology. *Contemporary Clinical Trials* 2007;**28**(1):33–47.
- Ogedegbe 2007** {published and unpublished data}
Ogedegbe G, Schoenthaler A, Richardson T, Lewis L, Belue R, Espinosa E, et al. An RCT of the effect of motivational interviewing on medication adherence in hypertensive African Americans: rationale and design. *Contemporary Clinical Trials* 2007;**28**(2):169–81.

Additional references

- Bangalore 2007**
Bangalore S, Kamalakkannan G, Parkar S, Messerli FH. Fixed-dose combinations improve medication compliance: a meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Medicine* 2007;**120**(8):713–9.
- Burke 1997**
Burke LE, Dunbar-Jacobs JM, Hill MN. Compliance with cardiovascular disease prevention strategies: a review of the research. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 1997;**19**:239–63.
- CAST Trialists 1992**
The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial II Investigators. Effect of the antiarrhythmic agent moricizine on survival after myocardial infarction. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1992;**327**:227–33.
- Dickersin 1992**
Dickersin K, Min Y-I, Meinert CL. Factors influencing publication of research results. Follow-up of applications submitted to two institutional review boards. *JAMA* 1992;**267**:374–8.
- Easterbrook 1991**
Easterbrook PJ, Berlin JA, Gopalan R, Matthews DR. Publication bias in clinical research. *Lancet* 1991;**337**:867–72.
- Echt 1991**
Echt DS, Liebson PR, Mitchell LB, Peters RW, Obias-Manno D, Barker AH, et al. Mortality and morbidity in patients receiving encainide, flecainide, or placebo. The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1991;**324**:781–8.
- Gibson 2002**
Gibson PG, Powell H, Coughlan J, Wilson AJ, Hensley MJ, Abramson M, et al. Limited (information only) patient education programs for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2002, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001005]
- Gordis 1979**
Gordis L. Conceptual and methodologic problems in measuring compliance. In: Haynes RB, Taylor DW, Sackett DL editor(s). *Compliance in Health Care*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1979:23–5.
- Harvey 2001**
Harvey EL, Glennly A-M, Kirk SFL, Summerbell CD. Improving health professionals' management and the organisation of care for overweight and obese people. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000984]
- Haynes 1979a**
Haynes RB. Determinants of compliance: the disease and the mechanics of treatment. In: Haynes RB, Taylor DW, Sackett DL editor(s). *Compliance in health care*. Baltimore, USA: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1979:49–62.
- Haynes 1979b**
Haynes RB, Taylor DW, Sackett DL (editors). Determinants of compliance: the disease and the mechanics of treatment. *Compliance in Health Care*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1979.
- Haynes 1980**
Haynes RB, Taylor DW, Sackett DL, Gibson ES, Bernholz CD, Mukherjee J. Can simple clinical measurements detect patient compliance?. *Hypertension* 1980;**2**:757–64.
- Haynes 1987a**
Haynes RB, Dantes R. Patient compliance in the design and interpretation of clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials* 1987;**8**:12–9.
- Haynes 1987b**
Haynes RB, Wang E, Da Mota Gomes M. A critical review of interventions for improving compliance with prescribed medications. *Patient Education & Counseling* 1987;**10**:155–66.
- Heneghan 2006**
Heneghan CJ, Glasziou P, Perera R. Reminder packaging for improving adherence to self-administered long-term medications. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005025.pub2]
- Higgins 2005**
Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* 4.2.5 [updated May 2005]. The Cochrane Library. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2005, issue 3.
- Houston 1997**
Houston Miller N, Hill M, Kottke T, et al. The multilevel compliance challenge: recommendations for a call to action. *Circulation* 1997;**95**:1085–90.
- Kassam 2001**
Kassam R, Farris KB, Burbach L, Volume CI, Cox CE, Cave A. Pharmaceutical care research and education project: pharmacists' interventions. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association* 2001;**41**:401–10.
- Lancaster 2005**
Lancaster T, Stead LF. Self-help interventions for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001118.pub2]
- Lumley 2004**
Lumley J, Oliver S, Chamberlain C, Oakley L. Interventions for promoting smoking cessation during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001055.pub2]
- Macharia 1992**
Macharia WM, Leon G, Rowe BH, Stephenson BJ, Haynes RB. An overview of interventions to improve appointment keeping for medical services. *JAMA* 1992;**267**:1813–7.

McDonald 2002

McDonald HP, Garg AX, Haynes RB. Interventions to enhance patient adherence to medication prescriptions. Scientific review. *JAMA* 2002;**288**:2868–79.

NHLBI 1982

National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Working Group on Patient Compliance. Management of patient compliance in the treatment of hypertension. *Hypertension* 1982;**4**: 415–23.

Orton 2005

Orton L, Barnish G. Unit-dose packaged drugs for treating malaria. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004614.pub2]

Pound 2005

Pound P, Britten N, Morgan M, Yardley L, Pope C, Daker-White G, Campbell R. Resisting medicines: a synthesis of qualitative studies of medicine taking. *Social Science & Medicine* 2005;**61**(1):133–55.

Rueda 2006

Rueda S, Park-Wyllie LY, Bayoumi AM, Tynan AM, Antoniou TA, Rourke SB, et al. Patient support and education for promoting adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy for HIV/AIDS. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001442.pub2]

Sackett 1979

Sackett DL, Snow JC. The magnitude of adherence and nonadherence. In: Haynes RB, Taylor DW, Sackett DL editor(s). *Compliance in Health Care*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1979:11–22.

Sahota 2007

Sahota N. Systematic meta-review on interventions intended to improve patient adherence to prescription medication. Unpublished manuscript.

Schroeder 2004

Schroeder K, Fahey T, Ebrahim S. Interventions for improving adherence to treatment in patients with high blood pressure in ambulatory settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004804]

Simpson 2006

Simpson SH, Eurich DT, Majumdar SR, Padwal RS, Tsuyuki RT, Varney J, et al. A meta-analysis of the association between adherence to drug therapy and mortality. *BMJ* 2006;**333**(7557):15.

Sinclair 1992

Sinclair JC. Assessing evidence concerning treatment and prevention of diseases of the newborn. *Effective Care of the Newborn Infant*. Oxford University Press, 1992.

Stead 2008

Stead LF, Perera R, Bullen C, Mant D, Lancaster T. Nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000146.pub3]

Stephenson 1993

Stephenson BJ, Rowe BH, Macharia WM, Leon G, Haynes RB. Is this patient taking their medication?. *JAMA* 1993; **269**:2779–81.

van Dulmen 2007

van Dulmen S, Sluijs E, van Dijk L, de Ridder D, Heerdink R, Bensing J. Patient adherence to medical treatment: a review of reviews. *BMC Health Services Research* 2007;**7**:55.

Vlasnik 2005

Vlasnik JJ, Aliotta SL, DeLor B. Medication adherence: factors influencing compliance with prescribed medication plans. *Case Manager* 2005;**16**(2):47–51.

Volmink 2007

Volmink J, Garner P. Directly observed therapy for treating tuberculosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003343.pub3]

References to other published versions of this review**Haynes 1996**

Haynes RB, McKibbin KA, Kanani R. Systematic review of randomised trials of interventions to assist patients to follow prescriptions for medications. *Lancet* 1996;**348**:383–6.

Haynes 1999

Haynes RB, Montague P, Oliver T, McKibbin KA, Brouwers MC, Kanani R. Interventions for helping patients to follow prescriptions for medications. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1999, Issue 3.

Haynes 2002

McDonald HP, Garg AX, Haynes RB. Interventions to enhance patient adherence to medication prescriptions. Scientific review. *JAMA* 2002;**288**:2868–79.

Haynes 2005

Haynes RB, Yao X, Degani A, Kripalani S, Garg A, McDonald HP. Interventions to enhance medication adherence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 4.

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Al-Eidan 2002

Methods	Patients were randomly assigned to the intervention or control group using a sealed envelope technique	
Participants	Seventy-six dyspeptic patients, who at endoscopy were found to have gastritis, duodenitis or ulceration, and a positive <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> (H-pylori) urease test, were recruited. Patients were excluded if they were unsuitable for eradication therapy or hypersensitive to its ingredients	
Interventions	After diagnosis and enrolment, all patients were to be prescribed a 1 week regimen of lansoprazole 30 mg daily, amoxicillin 1 g twice a day (bid), and clarithromycin 500 mg bid. Patients in the intervention group received their medication from the hospital pharmacy and were counseled by the hospital pharmacist (average 9.5 minutes) on: their disease and the importance of eradication of the organism; the medicines to be taken and possible side-effects, the importance of compliance with the prescribed dosage. Intervention patients received a patient information leaflet about their medication and the need for H-pylori eradication. They were also given a compliance diary chart and telephoned 3 days after the initiation of therapy to provide further counseling about the importance of complying to the medication regimen. Control patients were treated according to normal hospital procedures. They were given a letter to be given to their GP with the recommendation to start triple-therapy and a letter explaining the nature of infection, the need for treatment and the importance of compliance (ambiguous in the article, but it seems that the latter letter went to the patient rather than (just) their doctor)	
Outcomes	Compliance Measurements 1) Patient interview by telephone (structured questionnaire) by the same pharmacist for both groups, after the intended end of the eradication course 2) Pill counts on returned medication when patients returned for a urea breath test. Patient clinical outcome measures included: -H-pylori status: Assessed with a urea breath test 4 to 6 weeks post eradication therapy. Eradication was defined as an absence of H-pylori. -Adverse Effects: Contacted by hospital pharmacist 10 days post endoscopy and asked about any adverse effects experienced from the eradication therapy. -Modified version of the Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale: to assess the presence and severity of dyspeptic symptoms. The presence and severity symptoms was judged by the patient. They were assessed at the time of endoscopy, at 1-month and 6-months	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Andrade 2005

Methods	Sixty-four patients were randomized in this study with 32 in the intervention group and 32 in the control group. Allocation concealment and method of randomization were not described
Participants	Enrolled patients who were 18 years of age or older, able to self-medicate, and currently receiving care at the Johns Hopkins Moore (HIV) Clinic. Subjects eligible for inclusion were either previously treatment naive and initiating highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for the first time or antiretroviral experienced and switching HAART regimens. Among subjects in the latter group, we included only those who had received 3 or less HAART regimens before study enrolment. Exclusion criteria were inability to self-medicate, presence of severe dementia, and institutionalization
Interventions	All subjects participated in an individualized, 30 minute adherence counseling session each month and received adherence feedback from a standardized transcript that provided general education about the barriers to adherence, the hazards of non-adherence, and their prescribed HAART regimen. A clinical pharmacist with extensive experience in the field of Human Immunodeficiency Virus / Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS) provided adherence counseling and feedback. Patients in the intervention group were also given the Disease Management Assistance System (DMAS) device for 24 weeks. The DMAS device was programmed with reminder messages and dosing times for each medication in the HAART regimen. Devices were inspected monthly and reprogrammed when the HAART regimen was changed or replaced if they were lost or malfunctioning. Patients in the control group did not receive the DMAS
Outcomes	Adherence was assessed monthly with the DMAS, data from the electronic drug-exposure monitoring (eDEM) caps and completion of the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) Baseline Adherence Questionnaire during the initial study visit and the ACTG Follow-up Adherence Questionnaire during subsequent visits. Four-day average adherence was calculated as the number of prescribed doses minus the number of missed doses, divided by the number of prescribed doses. For the DMAS device, adherence was calculated as the number of times the response button was pressed divided by the number of medication prompts during the 4-day period preceding the study visit. Clinical endpoints included CD4+ cell count and plasma HIV RNA load were assessed at baseline and at weeks 12 and 24 of follow-up. Validated neuropsychological (NP) tests were used to assess attention, memory, new learning, psychomotor speed, and executive functions and administered twice during the study, at baseline and after 24 weeks of HAART. Symptoms of depression were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale. Patients were also questioned about active illicit drug use and alcohol use during the past 4 days
Notes	The DMAS prompting device improved adherence for memory-impaired subjects but not for memory-intact subjects; this was shown at 24 weeks with a 20% increase in adherence for the memory-impaired group compared with 6% for the memory-intact patients. Although the DMAS resulted in improved adherence, the overall mean adherence score was only 77% for DMAS users with memory deficits. This is lower than the optimal adherence rate of 95% required for optimal viral suppression

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Ansah 2001

Methods	If children coming in on Monday received pre-packed tablets, those who came in on Tuesday received syrup. The formulation assigned to a particular day changed from week to week. 155 received pre-packed chloroquine tablets, and 146 received syrup
Participants	Children aged 0 to 5 years diagnosed with malaria at the clinic over a 6-week period received either pre-packed tablets or syrup by random assignment (n = 301)
Interventions	Chloroquine tablets were dispensed in polythene packages divided into three parts each containing the daily dose. The brand of tablets used for this study easily dissolved in water to form a homogenous suspension. Caregivers were advised at the dispensary to crush the tablets and to add a little honey or sugar to the mixture to mask its bitter taste. Staff of the health centres pre-packed the chloroquine on a weekly basis. Packages were available for eight treatment regimes based on weight. The other group got chloroquin syrup
Outcomes	The measure used to dispense the medication (in the case of syrups), frequency and duration of administration. A standard graded measuring syringe was used to assess the volume of the implement used for measuring the dose at home, and then compared adherence to treatment and its cost between the two groups
Notes	The investigators varied which day of the week was assigned to which intervention, making this trial closer in methodology to a cluster randomized trial

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	D - Not used

Bailey 1990

Methods	Random allocation by sealed envelope technique. Blinding of patients or staff to the experimental treatment that individual patients were receiving was not performed, however, contacts/care givers of control patients were kept separate from those of the intervention group
Participants	Patients meeting the following diagnostic criteria were included in the study: recurrent episodes of wheezing or dyspnea, objective evidence of significantly increased airflow resistance during episodes, objective evidence of improvement in airflow when symptom free. Patients excluded from the study were those less than 18 years of age, those who refused to participate, or those with another pulmonary or severely debilitating disease that may have confused result interpretation
Interventions	Patients randomised to the control or usual care group were provided with a standardised set of asthma pamphlets which contained comprehensive information about asthma. No special steps, however, were taken to ensure that patients actually read the pamphlets, and no special counselling, support groups, or systematic encouragement beyond routine physician encouragement were provided. While patients in the interventional self-management group were also provided with the standardised asthma pamphlets, they in addition were provided with a skill-oriented self-help workbook, a one-to-one counselling session, and were subject to several adherence-enhancing strategies, such as attending an asthma support group and receiving telephone calls from a health educator. Physicians emphasised these skills at regular clinic visits.

Bailey 1990 (Continued)

	A standard protocol for classifying patients in terms of level of severity and for relating their treatment regimen to their level of severity was employed	
Outcomes	Measurement of adherence: Three outcome measures directly assessed adherence to recommended regimens: a ten-item observational checklist to assess inhaler use skills, self-report scales to determine adherence to medications and inhaler use, and subjective assessment on a three-point scale by a project staff member. Measurement of healthcare outcomes: Four status scales were employed in assessing healthcare outcomes: the first assessed the severity of asthma symptoms during the past seven days, the next focused on psychological/psychosomatic aspects of asthma (whether the patients were 'bothered' by asthma in the past seven days), the next scale assessed the number of episodes of respiratory problems/diseases experienced in the last three months, and the final scale measured whether asthma had interfered with the patients' lives in the last three months (prevented them from doing something)	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Bailey 1999

Methods	Patients (n = 236) were stratified by asthma severity (moderate or severe) and randomly assigned to the 3 groups using the closed-envelope technique: University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) Asthma Self Management group (n = 78), UAB Core-Elements group (n = 76) and usual care group (n = 78). As well, standard computer procedures were employed to create a stratified, blocked randomization schedule, which consisted of block sizes of six to ensure two out of every six patients in each stratum were assigned to each group. Immediately following randomization, staff collected baseline data and implemented the designated educational treatment	
Participants	All subjects were patients in the clinics of the UAB Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine with a primary diagnosis of asthma who met the following diagnostic criteria: (1) recurrent episodes of dyspnea or wheezing, (2) objective evidence of significantly increased resistance to airflow during episodes, (3) objective evidence of improvement in airflow when symptom-free, and (4) moderate to severe (rather than mild) asthma as assessed by their asthma care physician. New and current patients were included in the study, although patients who had participated in the earlier self-management study were excluded	
Interventions	UAB Asthma Self Management Program (n = 78): The core component of the UAB Asthma Self Management Program (ASMP) was a skill-oriented self-help workbook, which patients were counseled about in a one-on-one session and during two asthma support group meetings. The workbook included information on physiology of asthma, asthma medications, identification and avoidance of triggers, detection of and response to asthma attacks, and asthma care services. The 1-hour counseling session included reviewing the workbook content and skills, identifying personal expectations, asthma triggers, and barriers to adherence, and practicing inhaler use until patients were able to do so correctly. Patients were also given peak flow meters and trained to use them for early detection of impending asthma attacks. Asthma support groups, facilitated by a health educator, consisted of 4 to 6 patients with asthma and, if possible, asthma control partners (spouses or close friends). Support group meetings were held once each month	

Bailey 1999 (Continued)

many patients came every month. Patients were encouraged to share asthma concerns, discuss adherence problems, and exchange patient-initiated solutions. Patients received 2 telephone calls and a follow-up letter at 1, 2, and 4 weeks, after the counseling session. The first telephone call allowed the discussion of problems and to collect baseline peak flow readings and to help determine their expected peak flow rates. The letter reinforced actions to take at different levels of peak flow readings. The second telephone call provided closure for the intervention. Overall, the intervention spanned about 6 to 8 weeks for patients. UAB Core-Elements Program (n = 76): This program consisted of a revised, shortened workbook that was given to patients. It was reviewed in a brief (15 to 20 minutes) one-to-one counseling session. Patients were trained to use inhalers and peak flow meters and rehearsed until these devices were used correctly. A follow-up telephone counseling session was conducted approximately one week later to review the patient's medication regimen and inhaler and peak flow meter skills. Two weeks later, a follow-up letter was sent to patients, stressing the importance of adhering to the prescribed regimen and responding immediately to a drop in peak flow rate or other early signs of an attack. Usual-care control group (n = 78): Patients received the education that was the standard practice of their physician. They also received a standardized set of pamphlets that contained information about asthma. No steps were taken to ensure that patients read the pamphlets, and no special counseling, support groups, or telephone calls were provided

Outcomes
 Compliance was measured using two 4-item self-reports, which were based on the prototype self-report scale described by Morisky et al (25) but were modified to be more applicable to asthma. The psychometric characteristics of the asthma therapy adherence scales were good to excellent. Adherence was analyzed in terms of the percentage of subjects with the highest possible scores on these scales. Four clinical outcome measures addressed asthma status, specifically, the impact of asthma on respiratory symptoms and illnesses, functional status, and use of healthcare services. Two scales addressed the severity of asthma symptoms in the past 7 days and the number of respiratory illnesses in the past 3 months. The functional impairment scale assessed the extent to which asthma had a negative impact on daily activities in the past 3 months. The scale for measurement of use of healthcare services classified patients as users if they had visited an emergency department for asthma and/or been hospitalized for asthma in the past 6 months. Other patients were classified as nonusers. These measures also analyzed the percentage of subjects who obtained the highest possible score as users

Notes

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	No	C - Inadequate

Baird 1984

Methods	Random allocation without indication of concealment.
Participants	Mild-moderate hypertensive patients who, at the time of study entry, were adequately controlled with a regimen of metoprolol 200 mg (range 150 to 250 mg) daily, or propranolol 160 mg (range 120 to 200 mg) daily, either as monotherapy or in conjunction with a diuretic were included in the study. Patients excluded from the study were those with a condition in which beta-blockade was contraindicated

Baird 1984 (Continued)

Interventions	Patients were taken off whatever beta-blocker they were taking at entry and then allocated to one of the 2 interventional groups: (1) Betaloc tablets 100 mg in the morning (0600 to 0900 hours), and in the evening (12 hours later), or (2) Betaloc Durules 200 mg every morning (0600 to 0900 hours)	
Outcomes	Two measurements of adherence were utilised: (1) tablet counts at 6 and 10 weeks, and (2) spot checks of metoprolol concentration in the urine at 6 and 10 weeks. The mean heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressures were assessed before, during, and after the trial, and compared between the two treatment regimens	
Notes	Outcome assessments were not blinded to study group.	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Beaucage 2006

Methods	Patients (n = 255) were randomly assigned to (1) Pharmacist telephone follow-up intervention (PTFI; n = 126) or (2) usual pharmacist intervention (UPI; n = 129). Randomization was stratified by pharmacy in balanced blocks of 10 patients (1:1 ratio) using a computer-generated random-number table and provided to the pharmacist investigators in sealed envelopes identified by patient number. Patients were randomized sequentially by patient number	
Participants	Patients had an expected duration of antibiotic treatment of 5 to 14 days, spoke French or English, were able to converse over the telephone, and were available for a telephone call during and at the expected end of antibiotic treatment and for up to 48 hours thereafter. Patients were excluded from the trial if they were initiating prophylactic antibiotic treatment, were not self-managing their medication, were already participating in a clinical trial, in the opinion of the pharmacist, required intense clinical follow-up, or would benefit from more intensive follow-up in a special medical hospital clinic	
Interventions	Pharmacist telephone follow-up intervention (PTFI) patients received a telephone call from a pharmacist on day 3 of their antibiotic treatment. The pharmacist documented the patient's general condition, checked for adverse effects and the patient's understanding of the dosage, stressed the importance of adherence to treatment, and offered encouragement. Patients were invited to ask questions and to contact their pharmacist if needed. At the initial pharmacy visit, Usual Pharmacist Intervention (UPI) patients were invited to contact their pharmacist if needed. They received no telephone calls during their treatment	
Outcomes	Compliance was measured by patients reporting the number of antibiotic tablets or capsules left. Compliance was defined as the percentage of tablets consumed of the total number of tablets provided. Patients receiving azithromycin treatment and those who had a change in antibiotics during their treatment were excluded from this analysis. The clinical outcomes of patients were measured by asking for the number of infectious symptoms and their descriptions; as well, a 5-point Likert scale was used to evaluate the severity of the infections. This was measured at baseline and upon completion of the treatment	
Notes		

Beaucage 2006 (Continued)

<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Becker 1986

Methods	Random allocation without an indication of concealment.
Participants	Patients between the ages of 20 and 80 years who were already taking medication for previously diagnosed hypertension, and who had already demonstrated poor blood pressure control (diastolic blood pressure > 90 mm Hg) on at least one visit during the preceding two years were included in the study. Patients who had significant visual, auditory, or mental problems that could interfere with their adherence were excluded
Interventions	Patients in the control group received all of their antihypertensive medications in the traditional pill vials (separate vials for each pill that were labelled with the drug name, the dosage, the medication instructions, and the physician's name), whereas patients assigned to the experimental group received all their medications in the special packaging format (all pills taken together were packaged in a single plastic blister sealed with a foil backing on which was printed the day of the week and the time of day at which each medication was to be taken). All medications for both groups were provided free of charge to ensure that all patients would receive their medications
Outcomes	Patient self-reports of adherence, where patients were asked non-threatening, non-judgemental questions about their adherence behaviour (patients who admitted less than perfect adherence were considered non-adherent), and pill counts (patients were considered adherent if they had taken 80% or more of their prescribed medication) were employed in order to assess adherence. Blood pressure was taken three times during each visit. The first measure was discarded and an average of the second and third measures was used as the blood pressure measurement for that visit. Blood pressure control was defined as diastolic blood pressure less than 90 mm Hg
Notes	All data collection was done by a nurse research assistant prior to regular office visits. Physicians caring for patients were aware that adherence studies were in progress, but were not told the aims of the study nor the group to which an individual patient had been assigned

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Berrien 2004

Methods	37 patients were randomized 1:1 to either the home intervention or control group using the Small Table of Random Digits. The randomization process was number-based, with patient names not identified. The randomization list was held by the clinical coordinator of the HIV program and kept in a locked file
Participants	All eligible HIV-positive patients (n = 37) followed in the program. Informed consent was obtained from each participant's legal guardian. Children ranged in age between 1.5 to 12 years of age (mean 8.7 years) for the intervention group and 5 to 11 years (mean 8.4 years) in the control group. Assent was obtained from all minors older than 7 years of age
Interventions	The intervention group received eight structured home visits over a 3-month period by the same home care experienced registered nurse. The visits were designed to improve knowledge and understanding of HIV infection, to identify and resolve real and potential barriers to medication adherence, and ultimately to improve adherence. Spanish-speaking case managers, incentives, notebooks with stickers and pill-swallowing training were also part of the home visit training sessions. In the clinic setting for control group, the physician, nurse and social worker provided standard medication adherence education at clinic appointments generally scheduled at 3-month intervals. Phone follow-ups and a single home visit were planned if the staff felt they were needed. Visual aids for remembering medications, medication boxes, beepers, and general technical and emotional support were regularly offered. The clinic nurse contacted the family by telephone when the patient was starting a new medication, was having difficulty with adherence, or needed clarification and support. A single home visit was planned when and if the clinic staff believed medication adherence was poor despite the implementation of the above listed techniques
Outcomes	Knowledge and adherence were measured at the beginning of the study and at the end of the intervention. Changes in viral load and CD4 counts were measured at baseline and after treatment, or for 6 to 11 months beyond the initial study period
Notes	

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Brown 1997a

Methods	The method of random allocation was not described.
Participants	Patients were men < or = 65 years of age at high risk for future cardiac events by virtue of: 1) an elevated apoprotein B > or = 125 mg/dl, 2) at least one coronary lesion > or = 50% stenosis or 2 lesions > or = 30% stenosis as documented by baseline angiogram, and 3) a family history of premature cardiovascular events
Interventions	Regular niacin (four times each day (qid)) versus polygel controlled release niacin (twice-daily dosage (bid)). All patients received lovastatin 20 mg bid, colestipol 10 g bid, and niacin 500 mg qid for 12 months, with dosage adjustment to target cholesterol of 150 to 175 mg/dl, and to minimize side effects. At 12 months, patients were randomly assigned to 1) continue with regular niacin at a dose identical to that established during the 12 month dose-finding period, or 2) change to polygel controlled-release niacin at that daily dosage, but given twice rather than 4 times/day. At 20 months, groups 1) and 2) were reversed

Brown 1997a (Continued)

	(crossover). This regimen continued for 8 more months	
Outcomes	Compliance with the recommended (and variable) dosage was calculated for each drug using a computer program that accounted for all drug supplies given, the recommended dosage, and a count of returned medication. It is expressed as a percentage of the dose recommended for the patient at the time. Clinical outcome measurements included plasma very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, apolipoprotein B, and aspartate aminotransferase measured at baseline and every 4 months. Other laboratory measurements included uric acid, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, creatinine kinase and fibrinogen at entry (before treatment), 6 months, 12 months, 20 months, 28 months, and 6 weeks after stopping the triple-drug regimen	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Brus 1998

Methods	Patients were allocated at random to experimental (n = 29) or control group (n = 31). The randomisation was carried out blockwise per rheumatologist. No statement concerning concealment of allocation. Outcome assessors were blinded for allocation	
Participants	Patients suffering from rheumatoid arthritis (RA), based on ACR Criteria, for less than three years. Active disease defined by an erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) greater than 28 mm 1st hour, the presence of six or more painful joints, and the presence of three or more swollen joints. Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy with sulphasalazine had to be indicated by the attending rheumatologist and agreed for by the patients. Patients who had used any DMARD other than hydroxychloroquine were excluded	
Interventions	The experimental group attended six patient education meetings. The education programme focused on compliance with sulphasalazine therapy, physical exercises, endurance activities (walking, swimming, bicycling), advice on energy conservation, and joint protection. Four (two hour) meetings were offered during the first months. Reinforcement meetings were given after four and eight months. The programme was implemented in groups and partners were invited to attend the meetings. One instructor (HB) provided information on RA, attendant problems, and basic treatment. The related beliefs of the patients were discussed and, when necessary, corrected. If patients anticipated problems with the applications of any of the treatments, these were discussed, including possible solutions. A training was given in proper execution of physical exercise. Patients were encouraged to plan their treatment regimens. Their intentions were discussed and help was given in recasting unrealistic ones. Patients made contracts with themselves regarding their intentions. Feedback on the eventual implementation of therapeutic advice was included in each meeting. The control group received a brochure on RA, as provided by the Dutch League against Rheumatism. This brochure gives comprehensive information on medication, physical and occupational therapy. Sulfasalazine in the form of 500mg enteric coated tablets was prescribed to all patients. The daily dose was increased in four weeks by steps of one tablet, until a daily dose of four tablets was reached. In individual cases, this could be increased to six tablets a day, reduced as deemed necessary, or stopped in case of inefficacy or toxicity, at the description of the attending rheumatologist. All patients obtained the	

Brus 1998 (Continued)

	sulphasalazine tablets from the pharmacists according to the local Health Care System
Outcomes	Compliance with sulfasalazine therapy was evaluated at 3, 6, and 12 months. Medical records and pharmacy records were the source of data on the number of tablets prescribed and the number of tablets obtained. At each evaluation, the number of remaining tablets were counted. Compliance was defined as the number of tablets that had been taken during the preceding period divided by the number of tablets prescribed. Disease activity was measured by the disease activity score (DAS). This is a function of ESR, Ritchie score (0 to 78) and number of swollen joints (0 to 52). The DAS ranges from 0 to 10, where 0 represents the lowest level of disease activity possible, and 10 the highest. Physical function was measured by a Dutch version of the M-HAQ. The Dutch-AIMS questionnaire was used to assess physical function, psychological function, pain and social activities. Compliance rates with prescriptions for physical exercise and with endurance activity regimens (walking, swimming, bicycling) were measured by questionnaire. Compliance with prescriptions for energy conservation was measured by questioning whether patients spread their activities over the day to prevent fatigue. A test for joint protection performance was used as an indication for the level of compliance with the prescription of joint protection. Patients were asked to perform actions, representing relevant ergonomic principles. The test score ranges from 0 to 10, where 0 represents a poor performance and a 10 good performance

Notes	
-------	--

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Canto De Cetina 2001

Methods	After the initial injection (Depo-Provera), 350 patients were randomised to receive either structured counseling or routine indications about the contraceptive method (175 women in counseling group and 175 women in control group)
Participants	The study was conducted at the Family Planning Clinic of the "Centro de Investigaciones Hideyo Noguchi" in Merida, Yucatan, Mexico. Women were eligible if they were between the ages of 18 and 35 years old and living in a rural area. They had to have proven fertility, have regular menstrual cycles during the previous 6 months, not breastfeeding, and have at least one child. They also had to have normal PAP smears of grade CI, CII, and be willing to use Depot Medroxyprogesterone Acetate (DMPA) as the only contraceptive agent during the course of the study and be willing to return to the clinic every 3 months. Exclusion criteria included current or a history of thrombophlebitis, thromboembolic disorders, hypertension, cerebral vascular disease, active or chronic liver disease, known or suspected breast or genital organ malignancy, endocrinopathy undiagnosed, vaginal bleeding, and diabetes mellitus. 350 women voluntarily participated in this study
Interventions	The initial injection (Depo-Provera) was given within the first 5 days of the menstrual cycle. The women in the first group (counseling group) received a structured pretreatment counseling with indications about the mode of action of DMPA, the common side effects of the drug, including the possibility of irregular menstrual periods, heavy bleeding, spotting, and amenorrhoea. To mentally prepare users for potential side effects, it was stressed that these side effects would be not detrimental to their health. These indications were repeated at each follow-up visit. Women were encouraged to return to the clinic if they had concerns

Canto De Cetina 2001 (Continued)

	<p>about the effect that DMPA was having on their health; the information was provided by means of an audiovisual set specially developed to uniform messages on risks, benefits and overall characteristics of the injectable contraceptive</p> <p>Patients of the second group (control group) were simply told that they were in the study to investigate the efficacy of an injectable contraceptive, and they were given routine information on the expected side effects of DMPA</p>
Outcomes	Women of both groups were evaluated in the clinic and had gynaecological examinations. They were instructed to fill out the diary cards
Notes	The pregnancy rates were not measured. Injectable DMPA is used in order to prevent pregnancy. The author might have been referring to the compliant rates of the two groups as an indicator of clinical health

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Chaplin 1998

Methods	Patients were randomly assigned to 2 groups of 28 patients each. No statement concerning concealment of randomization
Participants	Patients were included if they had an ICD-10 diagnosis of functional psychosis, were clinically stable, living in the community, and receiving anti-psychotic medication for at least 6 months. Patients were excluded if they were prescribed clozapine or were hospital in-patients. Sixty patients were approached. Fifty-six patients agreed to participate
Interventions	The study group participated in a discussion about the risks and benefits of neuroleptic medications based on individual semi-structured educational sessions with reference to a standardised information sheet modified from Kleinman et al (1989). The patients were asked whether they had heard of tardive dyskinesia (TD). The common movements of TD were modelled and the patients were asked whether they thought they had the condition or had seen others with it. They were informed that they were receiving an antipsychotic drug and were given information about extrapyramidal symptoms and TD, its risk factors, prevalence, treatment, potential irreversibility and the 1% risk of TD in non-antipsychotic-treated patients. They were told that gradual discontinuation of antipsychotic medication was the best way to prevent the condition but if done abruptly carries a high risk of relapse and of precipitating TD. It was stated that the optimum maintenance treatment, taking into account its risks and benefits, was to use the lowest dose of antipsychotic drug that would keep them well. Most importantly, they were asked not to make any changes to their treatment without discussion with their psychiatrist. Finally, they were given the opportunity to ask questions in an informal interactive session lasting 30 minutes, and were given an information sheet for reference. The control group received usual care
Outcomes	1. Relapse, defined as a period of hospitalization, evidence of clear clinical deterioration in the case-notes or in discussion with the keyworker, or evidence of deterioration at follow-up interview. 2. Increase in antipsychotic dose of > 200 mg chlorpromazine equivalents. 3. If the patient missed more than 2 weeks of their antipsychotic medication they were considered non-compliant

Chaplin 1998 (Continued)

Notes	In this study, the intent was not to increase compliance, rather it tested whether information about benefits and adverse effects of the treatment would decrease compliance	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Colcher 1972

Methods	Random allocation without an indication of concealment.	
Participants	All children (aged 1 to 15) presenting to a pediatric outpatient clinic with streptococcal pharyngitis were included except those known to have received previous antimicrobial therapy of any type during the previous month, or those known to be allergic to penicillin	
Interventions	The parents of the 'normally informed' group were given instructions that the penicillin was to be taken three times per day for ten days, and any questions that they had were answered. Parents of the 'optimally informed' group received specific counselling stressing the necessity that the penicillin be taken for the full ten days in order to achieve the best cure/prevent relapse, and further, were given written instructions	
Outcomes	There was a single measurement of adherence: <i>Sarcina lutea</i> growth inhibition by urine (a test for the presence of antimicrobial activity). Throat cultures were obtained at nine days, three and six weeks post-treatment. As well, the incidence of relapse was estimated in the various patient groups	
Notes	There was no indication of blinding of the outcome measures.	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Collier 2005

Methods	Patients (n = 282) were randomized upon entry to receive either each study site's usual adherence support measures (n = 140) or each study site's usual adherence support measures and scripted serial telephone calls from study site staff members (n = 142). Exact method of allocation concealment was not described	
Participants	Patients had < or = to 200 CD4+ T cells/mm ³ or >80,000 HIV RNA copies/mL of plasma at screening, no or limited previous antiretroviral therapy (no previous use of lamivudine, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, or protease inhibitors), haemoglobin > or = to 9.1 g/dL (for men) or > or = to 8.9 g/dL (for women), > or = to 850 neutrophils/mm ³ , > 65,000 platelets/mm ³ , hepatic aminotransferase levels < 5 times the upper limit of reference values, and amylase levels < 1.5 times the upper limit of reference values, and could not be pregnant or breast-feeding	

Collier 2005 (Continued)

Interventions	<p>The intervention group received serial, supportive telephone calls. Study site staff members (mostly nurses) followed a standardized script for telephone calls and received training by the study team. Spanish and Italian translations of the English script were provided. The telephone calls focused on each subject's medication-taking behavior, and study site staff members identified barriers to adherence and developed individualized strategies to increase adherence. During the telephone calls, the study site staff members also provided social support and assistance with the management of side effects. The telephone calls were to be made at specific times: 1 to 3 days after the initiation of the study regimen and at weeks 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, and every 8 weeks thereafter, as long as the subject continued to receive the assigned study regimen, for a maximum of 16 telephone calls over the course of 96 weeks. For each telephone call, a minimum of two attempts were made to contact the patient before leaving a counseling or general message. Also, a complete telephone call was one where all the topics described above were discussed, however, if that didn't occur, calls were categorized as being only partially completed. The usual adherence support measures included an average of 35 minutes of in-person counseling provided by a study site nurse or pharmacist at the start of treatment. According to a study site survey, 67% of study sites reported providing written materials to study subjects as part of their usual adherence support measures. As well, 41% reported making at least 1 telephone call to selected subjects (deemed at being high risk for low adherence) as part of their usual support measures; however, the telephone calls were made to a minority of patients, the number of calls per patient was limited, and the content was not standardized</p>	
Outcomes	<p>Compliance was measured at baseline using a standardized adherence questionnaire and a follow-up questionnaire evaluating medication-taking behavior during the preceding 4 days at weeks 8, 16, 24, 48, 72, and 96</p> <p>The primary health outcome was a measure of antiretroviral drug activity, specifically, the time to virologic failure. Virologic failure was defined as (1) having > than or = to 200 HIV RNA copies/mL of plasma at or after week 24 or (2) having an increase of > 1.0 log₁₀ above nadir levels or an increase to or above baseline levels before week 24 or (3) having 2 consecutive HIV RNA levels > than or = to 200 copies/mL at any time after having 2 consecutive HIV RNA levels < 200 copies/mL</p>	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Cote 1997

Methods	The method of random allocation was not described.
Participants	Patients were 16 years of age or older, with moderate to severe asthma and the need to take daily anti-inflammatory agent. The diagnosis of asthma was confirmed by either a documented reversibility greater than 15% in FEV1 or a PC20 methacholine less than or equal to 8 mg/ml when determined by the method described by Cockcroft and coworkers
Interventions	The intervention is an asthma education program with an action plan based on peak-flow monitoring (Group P) or an action plan based on asthma symptoms (Group S). The Control group (Group C) received instructions from their pulmonologists regarding medication use and influence of allergenic and

Cote 1997 (Continued)

	<p>nonallergenic triggers. They were taught how to use their inhaler properly by the educator. A verbal action plan could be given by the physician. Groups P and S received the same education as the Controls plus individual counselling with the specialized educator during a 1-hour session. All participants received a book entitled "Understand and Control Your Asthma" at no extra charge. Group P received a self-management plan based on peak expiratory flow (PEF). They were asked to continue measuring PEF twice a day and to keep a diary of the results. Each time, subjects only recorded the best of three measurements. Every attempt was made to ensure that patients knew how to interpret the measurement and how to respond to a change in PEF. At each follow-up visit, the patient's diary card was reviewed, and if the action plan had not been implemented when required, further explanations were given regarding when treatment should be modified. Group S received a self-management plan based on asthma symptom monitoring. These patients were asked to keep a daily diary of asthma symptom scores, using a scale of 0 (no symptoms) to 3 (nighttime asthma symptoms, severe daily symptoms preventing usual activities), and adjust their medications according to the severity of respiratory symptoms using the guidelines of the action plan</p>
Outcomes	<p>Adherence was assessed at each follow-up by weighing the used medication canisters. Patients were unaware of this. Treatment outcome was assessed, in terms of asthma morbidity, by a count of the days missed from work or school, the number of hospitalizations or visits to the emergency room for asthma, and the number of oral corticosteroids courses used since their last visit. These were self-reported in a diary and recorded at each of the 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 month visits after randomization. Data regarding the number of visits to the emergency room, number of hospitalizations, and absenteeism at work or school during the 12 months prior to enrollment in the study were also collected for all patients by administering a questionnaire and reviewing the medical charts. Knowledge of asthma was also measured pre-run-in, at randomization and at the final visit using a questionnaire</p>
Notes	<p>To reduce financial barriers to treatment adherence, the investigators supplied asthma medication at no charge throughout the trial</p>

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Cote 2001

Methods	<p>All patients were stratified for treatment center. The first 45 patients among 126 patients were recruited in the control group to avoid contamination. Subsequent eligible patients were randomized in the two educated groups. Only the randomized groups are eligible for our review</p>
Participants	<p>126 patients were enrolled in the study, but 105 attended for randomization. Patients (aged > 18 years) with an acute exacerbation of asthma who had not previously taken part in any asthma educational program. Patients older than 40 years of age in whom the best forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) was lower than 80% of predicted were excluded. All patients with concurrent medical illnesses that in the judgment of the investigators contraindicated study participation were also excluded</p>
Interventions	<p>The patients in Group C (control) received the usual treatment given for an acute asthma exacerbation. In addition to standard treatment as for Group C treatment, patients in Group Limited Education (LE) were given a self-action plan that was explained by the on call physician. The action plan used "traffic</p>

Cote 2001 (Continued)

	lights” (green, yellow, red) to describe specific states of asthma control based on Peak Expiratory Flow and symptoms and actions that the patient should take for each state (pages 1415 to 1416). Subjects were all instructed by a respiratory therapist or study nurse in the proper use of an inhaler. In addition to what patients in Group LE received, the patients in Group Structured Education participated in a structured asthma educational program based on the PRECEDE model of health education within 2 weeks after their randomization. Structured educational intervention group Group SE. In addition to what patients in Group LE received, the patients in Group SE participated in a structured asthma educational program based on the PRECEDE model of health education within two weeks after their randomization. Briefly, this model takes into consideration three different issues that are important when dealing with health-related behaviors: predisposing factors (belief, attitude, knowledge); enabling factors (community resource, family support); and reinforcement. The teaching was provided individually or in small groups according to patient preference. The intervention focused mainly on self-management. To increase patient self-confidence in making his or her own treatment decisions, the interaction with the patient was based on the self-efficacy theory of Bandura. Reinforcement was provided at the 6-month follow-up visit	
Outcomes	Compliance with inhaled corticosteroids was evaluated according to the patient’s own estimation at 2 weeks and 12 months. Patient outcome measures included number of urgent visits for an acute exacerbation of asthma, lung function tests, knowledge, use of an action plan, compliance with inhaled corticosteroids, quality of life score	
Notes	The method of measuring adherence is very insensitive because it only indicates whether the person had a prescription for inhaled corticosteroids, not whether they used it	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors’ judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Coull 2004

Methods	319 patients were randomized by the researchers after giving informed consent. 165 patients were in the mentoring group and 154 in the control group. Eligible patients were stratified by sex, disease modality (myocardial infarction or angina) and location (five areas identified)	
Participants	Patients aged 60 or over that had been either admitted to hospital, or had attended the outpatient department, with a clinical diagnosis of ischaemic heart disease (IHD). Exclusion criteria were terminal illness, an abbreviated mental health test score < 8, inability to complete 3 minutes of Bruce Protocol exercise tolerance testing, awaiting angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting, participation in another clinical study involving coronary risk factor modification or at the request of their consultant or general practitioner	
Interventions	Intervention consisted of participation in a mentor-led group, through attending monthly 2-hour-long meetings in community facilities over a 1-year period. There was an average of 10 patients per group, each led by two mentors. Both intervention and control groups continued to receive standard care. The core activities covered in the programme were lifestyle risk factors of smoking, diet and exercise; blood pressure and cholesterol; understanding of and ability to cope with IHD; and drug concordance. Each mentored group was also encouraged to develop its own agenda. Input was provided from a pharmacist, cardiac	

Coull 2004 (Continued)

	rehabilitation specialist nurse, dietician, welfare benefits advisor and Recreation Services. Volunteer lay health mentors, aged 54 to 74 recruited from the local community, led the groups	
Outcomes	Perceived change in taking of medication was measured using a five point Likert scale in the exit questionnaire. Outcome measures were changes in blood pressure, cholesterol and medication, and cardiovascular events; non-medical support requirement, health status and psychological functioning, and social inclusion	
Notes	This is self-reported concordance and there was no attempt to standardize the regimens, so this may be explained by differences in medications, insensitive/biased measure of adherence, or low power	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	D - Not used

Ellis 2005

Methods	Randomization was completed immediately after baseline data collection by the project statistician. To ensure equivalence across treatment condition, randomization was stratified by level of glycosylated hemoglobin levels (HbA1c) at the baseline visit. A total of 127 adolescents and their families were randomized to either receive the multisystemic therapy (MST) intervention group (n = 64) or the control group (n = 63)	
Participants	Patients were 1) diagnosed with type 1 diabetes for at least 1 year; 2) had an average HbA1c [A1C] > or = to 8% during the year before study entry, as well as a most recent A1C > or = to 8%; 3) aged 10 to 17 years, and 4) sufficient mastery of English to communicate with therapists and complete study measures. Patients were excluded from the study if they possessed moderate/severe mental retardation or psychosis	
Interventions	Adolescents assigned to the intervention condition received multisystemic therapy (MST) plus standard medical care. MST is an intensive, family-centered, community-based treatment. Therapists conducted a multisystemic assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the family, then tailored treatment goals and interventions to each family to best treat the adherence problem. MST interventions targeted adherence-related problems within the family system, peer network, and the broader community systems within which the family was embedded. The therapists drew upon evidence-based intervention techniques that included cognitive behavioral therapy, parent training, and behavioral family systems therapy; the various interventions were incorporated at home, school, with peers, and within the healthcare system. Therapists were expected to meet with families a minimum of two to three times per week at the beginning of treatment. Treatment was terminated when treatment goals were met and the mean length of treatment in the study was 5.7 months. Adolescents in the control condition received standard medical care. Standard care at the hospital where adolescents were cared for consisted of quarterly medical visits with a multidisciplinary medical team composed of an endocrinologist, nurse, dietitian, social worker, and psychologist	
Outcomes	Patients completed the Twenty-Four Hour Recall Interview to assess adherence behaviors for the previous day. Clinical outcomes included HbA1c values, number of ER visits and number of hospitalizations	
Notes		

Ellis 2005 (Continued)

<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Farber 2004

Methods	Randomization was accomplished using a randomized block design in which block size was randomly allocated between 2 and 4 to ensure that the size of the intervention and control groups was equivalent. Randomization was not balanced on any other variables. Random group assignments were generated and were placed in sequentially numbered envelopes. Envelopes were not opened to reveal group assignments until informed consent was obtained and enrolment (baseline) interviews were completed	
Participants	56 subjects to be included in the study, subjects were between the ages of 2 to 18 years, had State of Louisiana Medicaid insurance, had a telephone at home, had a history of asthma, had not been intubated or mechanically ventilated for asthma, did not have other clinically significant (i.e., moderate to severe) chronic illness, presented to the ED when an investigator was available, had informed consent provided by a parent or guardian, child voluntarily assents to participation in the study if older than 12 years	
Interventions	Subjects in the intervention group received basic asthma education; instructions on use of a metered-dose inhaler with holding chamber; a written asthma self-management plan illustrated by zones Coloured green, yellow, and red; a sample age-appropriate holding chamber; and prescriptions for medication needed to implement the plan. This medication included an inhaled corticosteroid drug for everyday use and a quick-acting bronchodilator for use as needed. The importance of seeking urgent medical care in the red zone was emphasized. Three brief followup phone calls were placed to patients in the intervention group at 1 to 2 weeks, 4 to 6 weeks and 3 months after enrolment	
Outcomes	Self-reported method to measure the compliance plus pharmacy refills. Medicaid claims files used to assess frequency of medication dispensing, dates of asthma-related hospital admissions and dates of ED visits (identified by ICD-9) discharge diagnosis)	
Notes		

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Friedman 1996

Methods	Random allocation using a paired randomization protocol.	
Participants	Patients were 60 years or older, under the care of a physician for hypertension and prescribed an antihypertensive medication. They needed to have systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 160 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg based on an average of two determinations	

Friedman 1996 (Continued)

	taken 5 minutes apart. Individuals were excluded if they had a life-threatening illness, were not English-speaking, did not have a telephone or could not use one, or refused to consent to participate	
Interventions	Control patients received regular medical care. The intervention group received regular medical care plus the telephone-linked computer system (TLC). TLC is an interactive computer-based telecommunications system that converses with patients in their homes, using computer-controlled speech, between office visits to their physicians. The intervention patients would call the TLC on a weekly basis. Before calling, subjects would record their own blood pressure using an automated sphygmomanometer with a digital readout. During the conversation, subjects would answer a standard series of questions and the TLC would provide education and motivational counselling to improve medication adherence. The TLC then transmitted the reported information to the subject's physician	
Outcomes	Antihypertensive medication adherence was assessed by home pill count conducted by the field technicians. Clinical outcome measures included change in systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Outcome measures were recorded by the field technicians, at the two home visits performed 6 months apart. The measures were also reported on a weekly basis by the participant	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Gallefoss 1999

Methods	At inclusion, patients signed a written consent and were then randomized to an intervention group or a control group. Concealment of allocation was unclear. Technical staff assessing bronchodilator spirometry were blinded for control and intervention patients. (Study reported in two papers)	
Participants	Eligible subjects were patients with bronchial asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) between 18 and 70 years of age, not suffering from any serious disease such as unstable coronary heart disease, heart failure, serious hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or kidney or liver failure. Participants with stable asthma were to have a prebronchodilator FEV1 equal to or higher than 80% of predicted value "in stable phase". Furthermore, either a positive reversibility test, a documented 20% spontaneous variability (PEF or FEV1) or a positive methacholine test (provocative dose causing a 20% decrease in FEV1 [PD20]) was required. A positive reversibility test required at least a 20% increase (FEV1 or PEF) after inhalation of 400ug salbutamol. Subjects with COPD were to have a prebronchodilator FEV1 equal to or higher than 40% and lower than 80% of predicted	
Interventions	The control group participants were followed by their GPs and the intervention group received an education program and were then also transferred to a 1 year follow-up by their GPs The educational intervention consisted of a specially-constructed 19-page patient booklet with essential information about asthma/COPD, medication, compliance, self-care, and self-management plan. Instructions in the recording of PEF and symptoms in a diary were given to both asthmatics and patients with COPD. There were also two 2-hour group sessions (separate groups for asthmatics and patients with COPD) of five to eight people on two separate days. The COPD group received more information about	

Gallefoss 1999 (Continued)

	<p>tobacco weaning, but besides this the educational interventions were comparable</p> <p>The first session was given by a medical doctor, concentrating on pathophysiology, symptom awareness, prevention of attacks and factors causing exacerbations, especially smoking. The second group session was given by a pharmacist, focusing on drugs and their appropriate use. One or two 40-minute individual sessions were then supplied by a nurse, and another one or two 40-minute individual sessions, by a physiotherapist. With regard to antiobstructive medication the following was emphasized: the components of obstruction were explained together with the site of action of the actual medication. The patient's pulmonary symptoms were registered and discussed with emphasis on the early symptoms experienced at exacerbations. The individual factors causing attacks/exacerbations and concerns regarding adverse effects of medication were discussed and inhalation technique was checked. At the final teaching the patients received an individual treatment plan on the basis of the acquired personal information and 2 weeks of peak flow monitoring. The personal understanding of the treatment plan with regard to changes in PEF and symptoms was discussed and tested</p>	
Outcomes	<p>One paper reported compliance of regular medication, calculated as a % age: (dispensed Defined Daily Dosage/ Prescribed Defined Daily Dosage) x 100 during the 1-year follow-up. Patients were defined as compliant when dispensed regular medication was greater than 75% of prescribed regular medication during the study period. Prebronchodilator spirometry was performed before randomization and at 12 month follow-up by standard methods</p> <p>The other paper reported that four simple health-related quality of life (HRQoL) questions were asked at baseline. HRQoL as measured by the St-George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) at 12 months plus the same 4 questions asked at baseline. FEV measured via spirometry prior to randomization and at 12 months</p>	
Notes	<p>Patients who failed to attend all group sessions or who failed to meet at individual sessions were withdrawn. There was no similar "faintness of heart" procedure for the control group. Thus, 38 of 39 control asthma patients were included in the compliance assessment but only 30 of 39 intervention group patients. (2p = 0.014 by Fisher's exact test)</p>	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Gani 2001

Methods	<p>101 patients were randomized into three groups: A (n = 30) with drug therapy alone, B (n = 35) with drug therapy plus training on the use of nasal spray, and C (n = 36) the same as B plus a lesson on rhinitis and asthma. All patients received mometasone furoate nasal spray for 8 weeks as regular therapy, plus rescue medications on demand. Symptoms and drug consumption were evaluated during the pollen season</p>	
Participants	<p>One hundred and one patients (62 male, 39 female, age range 12 ± 60 years) had suffered for at least 2 years from Seasonal Asthma and Rhinitis (SAR) solely due to pollens (grasses, birch, Parietaria, and Compositae), Patients with sensitization to multiple pollens were included, whereas sensitization to cat dander, mites, or mold was a reason for exclusion. Exclusion criteria were as follows: anatomical abnormalities of the upper respiratory airways (septal deviation, polyposis), previous or ongoing immunotherapy, pregnancy/ lactation, chronic treatment with systemic corticosteroids, malignancies, and major psychiatric disorders</p>	

Gani 2001 (Continued)

Interventions	The first group of patients (group A = 30 patients) was given only the drug with the instructions provided by the manufacturer. The second group (group B = 35 patients) received a brief training on how to use the nasal spray and were given simplified written instructions on the use of the device. The third group (group C = 36 patients) also attended a 1-hour informal lesson on the clinical and pathogenic aspects of SAR, the treatment strategy, the correct use of medications, and the possible side-effects of drugs. A trained allergist (one per clinic) gave the lesson to patients, and the set of slides used was the same in the three clinics	
Outcomes	All patients completed a symptom diary, recording the presence and severity of their symptoms (self-reported). The compliance with therapy was evaluated on the basis of the returned diaries and canisters. Symptoms were subdivided as follows: nasal (itching, sneezing, rhinorrhoea, and blockage), ocular (itching, redness, lacrimation, and swelling), and respiratory (cough, wheezing, and chest tightness). The severity of symptoms was graded on a 10-cm visual analog scale (0: no symptoms, 10: severe symptoms). Patients were also required to record carefully each dose of each drug taken, in addition to the nasal corticosteroid	
Notes	8 week-follow-up during the whole pollen season is satisfactory for the seasonal disease	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Ginde 2003

Methods	RCT: Consenting patients were randomized to the ED (intervention) or pharmacy (control) group	
Participants	The study was conducted from November 2001 to May 2002. During the 6-month study period, all adult patients (> 18 years old) presenting to the ED for whom an outpatient prescription for a macrolide antibiotic was being considered in discharge planning were eligible for the study. The need for outpatient treatment with an antibiotic was determined by the attending Emergency Physician who was primarily responsible for the patient. Patients who were unwilling or unable to give informed consent or were unavailable for telephone follow-up were excluded from the study. In addition, all females of childbearing potential were given urine pregnancy tests, and pregnant or breast-feeding females were excluded. 77 patients were recruited	
Interventions	Patients in the ED group were provided a full course of azithromycin (6 x 250 mg) at no charge and given instructions on the proper dose and frequency before discharge from the ED. Patients in the pharmacy group received a written prescription for a full course of azithromycin before discharge from the ED. To minimize the potential for economic bias, the patients were able to fill their prescriptions free of charge at a 24-hour pharmacy located 8 blocks from the hospital	
Outcomes	The primary outcome was compliance of obtaining medication as determined by pharmacy records. A secondary outcome was compliance in completing the course of medication as determined by a telephone survey. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Return visits to the ED and hospitalization	

Ginde 2003 (Continued)

Notes	The prescription filling rate for the control group is based on the assumption that control patients used a participating pharmacy eight blocks away that provided the drug free of charge and patients were apparently not asked if they filled their prescription elsewhere. The prescription filling rates could have been clarified for the control group. The “course completed” rate is based on self-report on a telephone call and there was no indication that interviewers were blinded to group or if the exact question given. Technically, this study qualified for the review, but the reliability and credibility of these measures is suspect. This intervention may be impractical in any setting where giving drugs out for free is not possible	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Girvin 1999

Methods	Randomization was conducted by an independent advisor by resampling without replacement after the placebo run-in period. The study was not double-blind because one outcome was the difference in compliance between once-daily and twice-daily regimens. However, the investigator responsible for analyzing the results was blinded as to the treatment phase	
Participants	27 patients with a history of mild hypertension (well controlled on monotherapy), with a diastolic BP between 90 to 110 mmHg were included. Patients were excluded if they had secondary hypertension or significant end organ damage, were pregnant or lactating mothers, had cardiovascular complications in addition to hypertension (eg. MI within the past 6 months), stroke, congestive heart failure, angina pectoris, had poor renal function, a history of renal artery stenosis, were obese (weighing over 125% of ideal body weight), had hyperkalemia, had a history of angioneurotic oedema, had any contraindication or hypersensitivity to ACE inhibitors, or if they were taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids or any other medication that would significantly alter blood pressure	
Interventions	Patients were randomly assigned to a sequence of enalapril 20mg once daily or 10mg twice daily in three 4-week periods following a 4-week run-in period. Treatment A comprised enalapril 20mg once daily, and treatment B comprised enalapril 10 mg twice daily. The first two periods in each group constituted a conventional 2-period crossover design. The third treatment period was included to detect any carryover effects between the periods without having to incorporate a washout phase between treatments. The 4 study arms were organized as follows (each period lasted 4 weeks): ABB BAA ABA BAB	
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: Patient compliance was measured via pill counts and electronic monitoring using medication electronic monitoring system (MEMS), which record the exact date and time of each opening and closing of the drug container. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Blood pressure reduction was measured at each visit. Patients were asked not to take their blood pressure tablet on the morning of the clinical visit until after the investigator had measured their blood pressure so that the blood pressure (BP) readings were trough values. Two readings were taken after 10 minutes rest in the seated position. The arm was supported at heart level and the diastolic blood pressure taken as the disappearance of the Korotkoff sounds (phase V). Ambulatory blood pressure was measured at the end of the placebo run-in period and at the end of periods 1 and 2	
Notes		

Girvin 1999 (Continued)

<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Haynes 1976

Methods	Random allocation by 'minimisation', a method stated to be impervious to bias
Participants	This was the second phase of a two phase study. Male steel company employees with high blood pressure (when sitting quietly on three separate days, a standard series of fifth phase diastolic blood-pressures were > 95 mm Hg) who were treated with antihypertensive medications during the first phase of the study were included in the second phase if they were nonadherent with prescribed antihypertensive therapy (pill counts less than 80%), and not at goal blood pressures (fifth phase < 90 mm Hg) in the sixth month of treatment of phase 1
Interventions	Patients in the experimental group were all taught the correct method to measure their own blood pressures, were asked to chart their home blood pressures and pill taking, and taught how to tailor pill taking to their daily habits and rituals. These men also visited fortnightly at the worksite a high-school graduate with no formal health professional training who reinforced the experimental manoeuvres and rewarded improvements in adherence and blood pressure. Rewards included allowing participants to earn credit, for improvements in adherence and blood pressure, that could be applied towards the eventual purchase of the blood pressure apparatus they had been loaned for the trial. Control patients received none of these interventions
Outcomes	An unobtrusive pill count done in the patient's home by a home visitor was the method of determining medication adherence. Adherence rates are reported as the proportion of pills prescribed for the twelfth month of therapy which were removed from their containers and, presumably, swallowed by the patients. In the twelfth month of treatment, patients were evaluated for adherence and blood pressure both at home and at the mill by examiners who were 'blind' to their experimental group allocation
Notes	

<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Hederos 2005

Methods	The parents of the 60 children were randomized consecutively in groups of four to either the intervention or the control group by the nurses. This resulted in 32 children in the intervention group and 28 children in the control group. The three doctors that were involved in the group sessions also performed the follow-up visits. Blinding could not be completed since the intervention was led by their physicians	
Participants	Patients were 60 children aged between 3 months to 6 years and had been given a diagnosis of asthma in the region, 1 to 2 months earlier. Asthma was defined by three or more episodes of wheezing before 2 years of age, or the first wheezing episode after the age of 2, or the first episode of wheezing in a child with other atopic diseases. The patients also had fulfilled the following criteria implying high risk for permanent asthma: wheezing without symptoms of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) and/or proven allergy and/or atopic heredity	
Interventions	The intervention consisted of meetings in a group setting with the parents. The sessions took place in the afternoon and lasted about 1.5 hours. Shortly after the children were diagnosed as an asthmatic, three meetings (one every week for three weeks) took place and a follow-up meeting took place 6 months later. Three paediatricians, three nurses and two psychologists were involved in these sessions: one nurse was present on all occasions, and the doctors and psychologists on three each. The goal of all the meetings was to reach the parents' "main worry" and, apart from teaching about asthma, the following key question was asked: "What is asthma to you?" The use of dialogue and peer education, whereby the group was encouraged to share personal experiences was emphasized. The control group, as well as the intervention group, received basic education about asthma and its treatment, including how to use the Nebunette, and information on environmental control at the first visit to the clinic. They received a written treatment plan where the principle was high dose (0.2 mgr4 of budesonide for 3 days) initially and then, in association with URTI, stepping down the therapy to the lowest possible dose according to the status of the child. The treatment was stopped if the child had no asthma for 6 months	
Outcomes	Compliance was measured in the following ways: 1) parents and doctors estimated adherence on a visual analogue scale (VAS) at inclusion, at 6 months and after 18 months; 2) adherence was measured between the 12- and 18-months follow-up visits using a diary in which the parents recorded the consumption of medicines, asthma symptoms and other illnesses; 3) all the Metered Dose Inhalers (MDIs) with budesonide used during this period were weighed and the real consumption was estimated; and 4) the adherence according to parents was calculated as the number of doses given according to the diaries/the number of doses prescribed * 100. The verified adherence was defined as the real number of doses/the number of doses prescribed * 100. The verified adherence was considered acceptable if the index was 50 to 150 and poor if the index was < 50. The following clinical outcomes were assessed: 1) parents estimated their children's asthma problems during the last 6 months, after 6 months and after 18 months on another VAS.; 2) three doctors classified the children according to GINA guidelines including medication in four groups: mild, moderate, rather severe and severe at inclusion, after 6 mo and after 18 months; 3) during the first 6 and last 6 months of the 18-month-long study, the parents noted how many days the child was hospitalized and how many times they had to seek emergency help due to asthma; and 4) frequency of exacerbations, defined by the need for parents to stay at home to take care of their child due to asthma symptoms	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description

Hederos 2005 (Continued)

Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear
-------------------------	---------	-------------

Henry 1999

Methods	119 patients were randomly allocated to intervention (n = 60) and control (n = 59) groups. The trial was single blinded in that, although patients were aware of the names of the study medication and the fact the study was an H. Pylori treatment trial, they were unaware of either the differences between the treatment groups or the compliance enhancing purpose of the trial	
Participants	All adult patients over the age of 18 years with H. Pylori infection were screened for eligibility. Patient exclusion criteria included inability or refusal to give informed consent, contraindication to the study medication, consultant's recommendation not to treat patient, consultant wish to use an H. pylori therapy other than the study medication, and inpatient status as patient compliance is imposed in this situation	
Interventions	All patients received 10 days of omeprazole 20 mg twice a day., amoxicillin 500 mg three times a day. , and metronidazole 400 mg three times a day, as well as verbal advice on medication use and possible side effects, in an initial 20 minute consultation. In addition, patients in the intervention group received medication in dose-dispensing units, an information sheet on H. Pylori treatment, and a medication chart. Compliance in intervention group patients was also encouraged by a phone call two days after the start of therapy	
Outcomes	Measurement of compliance: Compliance was assessed by phone interview on day 10 of therapy, and by returned tablet count at the follow-up C-urea breath test (C-UBT) visit. Patients were defined as compliant if they were assessed by both pill count and interview as taking = 80% of study medications. Total percentage of tablets taken in both groups was assessed by taking the lower of the two estimates of tablet consumption (pill count or interview data) for each patient. Measurement for healthcare outcomes: Patients were considered H. Pylori- positive if the CLO-test, histopathology, or 13C-UBT was positive. 13C-UBT test using kits sent to a single central laboratory for analysis was performed for more than one month after cessation of H. pylori treatment and any other antimicrobial therapy (including bismuth), 2 weeks after cessation of proton-pump inhibitor therapy and 1 week after cessation of histamine-receptor antagonists. An increase of 5 per million in the CO2 30 min after ingestion of C-urea compared with baseline measurements was considered positive for H. Pylori. Treatment was considered successful if 13C-UBT was negative. Side effects were assessed by phone interview on day 10 of therapy and by returned side effects form. Patients were asked to rate specific side effects and give an overall rating where none = 0, mild = 1 (does not limit daily activities), moderate = 2 (interferes with daily activities), and severe = 3 (incapacitating, stops normal daily activities)	
Notes		

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Hill 2001

Methods	Patients were stratified into bands of low, medium, or high knowledge of their rheumatoid arthritis (RA) by means of a validated patient knowledge questionnaire. 21 Patients in each band were randomly allocated to the Education Group and Control Group using a separate computer generated code for each band. This was done to ensure that the two groups had comparable levels of initial knowledge. Allocation was carried out by a clerk who had no study input or patient contact	
Participants	Rheumatologists referred 100 patients with active RA; all were deemed to require D-penicillamine (DPA) as their slow acting antirheumatic drugs (SAARD). Entry criteria required that all patients were aged 18 years or above, had a positive diagnosis of RA using the American Rheumatism Association criteria, a plasma viscosity (PV) > 1.75 mPa.s or a C reactive protein (CRP) > 10 mg/l. In addition, they should have two out of three clinical features: an articular index > 15, morning stiffness > 45 minutes, a minimum of moderate levels of pain. Patients were excluded if they had received DPA previously, had a contraindication such as kidney impairment or pregnancy, or were receiving incompatible concomitant drugs. Patients who were awaiting hospital admission were excluded as the nursing staff often give drugs during their stay	
Interventions	The chosen intervention was a Patient Education programme taught by a rheumatology nurse practitioner. Where practicable, variables that could confound the results were eliminated. All patients took the same SAARD, were given the same number and length of appointments, and were seen by the same rheumatology nurse practitioner. All patients were seen by the rheumatology nurse practitioner for a 30 minute appointment at monthly intervals over a six month period comprising seven visits. The Education Group received a comprehensive programme of Patient education based on the theory of self efficacy: a person's confidence in their ability to perform a specific task or achieve a certain objective. The non-education cohort received the same DPA drug information leaflet as the intervention group. This was in question and answer format and supplied information about DPA, how and when to take it, unwanted side effects, and described safety monitoring	
Outcomes	Clinical Health Outcomes included: PV, CRP, Three clinical assessments- Articular index (AI), Morning stiffness, Pain score	
Notes		
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Howe 2005

Methods	A randomization schedule was produced using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) program with subjects blocked by race, age group, sex, and family structure (single versus two parents). Within each block, patients (n = 89) were randomly assigned to one of the three treatment groups: the standard care (SC) group (n = 28), the education (ED) group (n = 21) or the education and telephone case management (ED + TCM) group (n = 26). The group allocation for the remaining fourteen patients (not included in the study analysis) was not described	
Participants	Patients had two consecutive glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) results of 8.5% or higher, were aged 1 to 16 years, and had been diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes for at least 1 year	

Howe 2005 (Continued)

Interventions	Patients in the SC group received standard care from a nurse practitioner and endocrinologist, typically every quarter. During the 30-minute office visits, HbA1c value was obtained, blood glucose records were reviewed, problems were identified, target goals were determined, and education was provided as needed. Patients in the ED group received the standard care in the clinic. They also participated in a one-time education session with the study coordinator, a nurse, with the goal of providing families with basic diabetes management skills such as insulin administration and carbohydrate counting. Children older than 8 years were asked to participate in the education sessions. Families were given customized written guidelines including insulin doses for hyperglycaemia and for varying carbohydrate loads. At the completion of the program, parents were expected to identify problems and to know when to call their nurse practitioner for assistance in insulin dose adjustment, for sick-day management, or for advice in coordination of the diabetes regimen. Patients in the ED + TCM group received both the standard care in clinic and the education program described above. They also received weekly telephone calls for 3 months or until the first clinic visit and then bimonthly calls for 3 months from the study coordinator. At the time of enrolment, subjects were given an appointment 3 months after the start of study. For children younger than 13 years, telephone calls were between the study coordinator and a designated parent. Some teens did elect to be involved in telephone calls as well. The study coordinator talked with both the teen and parent to ascertain that plans were clear between child and parent. The study coordinator followed a standardized telephone protocol to review blood sugars, safety issues related to hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia, problem-solving skills, diet and meal planning, and changing insulin dose. The study coordinator also discussed parenting and behavior management skills with parents as needed. Telephone calls were typically 5 to 15 minutes	
Outcomes	The ADH (an adherence questionnaire) was used to evaluate child/family behaviors related to diabetes safety and control. ADH was obtained at baseline and at the end of study. The HbA1c values were used as clinical measures and their levels were obtained at baseline, at 3 to 6 months, and at end of study	
Notes		
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Howland 1990

Methods	Method of randomisation not stated. The physician educating the patients was not blinded, whereas the office nurse questioning patients in the follow-up period was blinded as to which patient was in which group	
Participants	All patients over 18 years treated with erythromycin for an acute illness were included, while patients with a history of allergy/intolerance to erythromycin were excluded	
Interventions	Informed patients were told of six possible side-effects of treatment with erythromycin, while control (uninformed) patients were not made aware of potential side effects of treatment	
Outcomes	The occurrence of side effects both before and after treatment	

Howland 1990 (Continued)

Notes	Adherence was measured using the following methods: the mean number of erythromycin pills taken per day, patients reporting that they missed at least one pill, and the mean number of pills taken out of 40 pills	
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Johnson 1978

Methods	Random allocation in a 2x2 factorial design. No statement concerning concealment of randomisation	
Participants	Volunteers from shopping centre blood pressure screening in Canada, with follow-up by usual family doctors. Men and women aged 35 to 65 who had been receiving antihypertensive medications for at least one year, but whose diastolic blood pressure had remained elevated	
Interventions	The interventions consisted of (1) self-recording and monthly home visits, (2) self recording only, (3) monthly home visits, and the control group consisted of (4) neither self-recording nor home visits. Subjects in groups (1) and (2) received a blood pressure kit and instruction in self-recording. Patients in the self-recording groups were to keep charts of their daily blood pressure readings and were instructed to bring these charts to their physician at each appointment. Subjects in groups (1) and (3) had their blood pressure measured in their homes every four weeks, and the results were reported to both the patient and the physician	
Outcomes	Adherence with therapy was assessed by interview and pill counts (the percentage of prescribed pills that had been consumed was estimated by comparing pills on hand at a home visit with prescription records of pills dispensed and the regimen prescribed). Changes in mean diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) were assessed. Since the initial blood pressure bears an important relation to the change in blood pressure over time, the change scores were adjusted for differences in entry values by covariance analysis. Outcome assessors were blinded to study group	
Notes		
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Katon 2001

Methods	Patients were randomized to the relapse prevention intervention versus usual care in blocks of 8. Within each block, the randomization sequence was computer-generated. The telephone survey team conducting the follow-up assessments (at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months) were blinded to randomization status. Patients could not be blinded due to the nature of the intervention (i.e. patient education, visits with depression specialist, telephone monitoring and follow-up). The primary care physicians were also not blinded
Participants	Patients between the ages of 18 and 80 years who received a new antidepressant prescription (no prior prescriptions within the previous 120 days) from a primary care physician for the diagnosis of depression or anxiety were eligible for the study. Inclusion criteria for the relapse prevention study obtained during the baseline interview included patients with fewer than 4 DSM-IV major depressive symptoms and a history of 3 or more episodes of major depression or dysthymia or 4 residual depressive symptoms but with a mean Symptom Checklist 20 (SCL-20) depression score of less than 1.0 and a history a major depression/dysthymia. Exclusion criteria included having a screening score of 2 or more on the CAGE alcohol screening questionnaire, pregnancy or currently nursing, planning to disenroll from the Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound (GHC) within the next 12 months, currently seeing a psychiatrist, limited command of English, or recently using lithium or antipsychotic medication
Interventions	The intervention included patient education, 2 visits with a depression specialist, and telephone monitoring and follow-up. Before the first study visit, the intervention patients were provided a book and videotape developed by the study team that was aimed at increasing patient education and enhancing self-treatment of their depression. They were also scheduled for 2 visits with a depression specialist (one 90-minute initial session and one 60-minute follow-up session) in the primary care clinic. Three additional telephone visits at 1, 4, and 8.5 months from session 2 with the depression specialist and 4 personalized mailings (2, 6, 10 and 12 months) were scheduled over the following year. The mailed personalized feedback contained a graph of patients' Beck Depression scores over the course of the intervention program and checklists for patients to send back to the depression specialist, including early warning signs of depression and whether they were still adhering to their medication plan. The depression specialist reviewed monthly automated pharmacy data on antidepressant refills and alerted the primary care physician and telephoned the patients when mailed feedback or automated data indicated they were symptomatic and/or had discontinued medication. The ultimate aim of the intervention was to have each patient complete and follow a 2-page written personal relapse prevention plan, which was also shared with his/her primary care provider. Follow-up telephone calls and mailings were geared toward monitoring progress and adherence to each patient's plan. Usual care for most patients was provided by the GHC family physicians in the 4 primary care clinics and involved prescription of an antidepressant medication, 2 to 4 visits over the first 6 months of treatment, and an option to refer to GHC mental health services. Both intervention and control patients could also self-refer to a GHC mental health provider
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: Patients' adherence to antidepressant medication was measured at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after randomization by a telephone interviewer. Based on computerized automated data from prescription refills, patients were rated as adherent at the 3-, 6-, 9- and 12-month follow-up periods as well as whether they received adequate dosage of antidepressant medication for 90 days or more during the 1-year period. The lowest dosages in the ranges recommended in the Agency for Healthcare Policy and Research guidelines developed for newer agents were used to define a minimum dosage standard. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Baseline and follow-up interviews assessing depressive symptoms (at 3-, 6-, 9- and 12-months) included the SCL-20 depression items (scored on a 0 to 4 scale), the dysthymia and current depression modules of the SCID, the NEO Personality Inventory Neuroticism Scale and the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation to measure incidence and duration of episodes within each 3-month block of time

Katon 2001 (Continued)

Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Kemp 1996

Methods	Random allocation by means of a table of random numbers.	
Participants	Patients between the ages of 18 and 65 who were admitted to hospital with acute psychosis over eight months. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition, Revised (DSM III-R) diagnoses of subjects included schizophrenia, severe affective disorders, schizophreniform, schizoaffective disorder, delusional disorders, and psychotic disorder not otherwise classified. Non-English speakers and subjects with low IQ scores, deafness, or organic brain disease were excluded	
Interventions	Control group treatment consisted of 4 to 6 supportive counselling sessions with the same therapist. Therapists listened to patient concerns but declined to discuss treatment. Experimental intervention treatment consisted of 4 to 6 sessions of "compliance therapy" - a strategy that borrows from motivational interviewing. During session 1 and session 2, patients reviewed their illness and conceptualised the problem. In the next 2 sessions, patients focused on symptoms and the side effects of treatment. In the last 2 sessions, the stigma of drug treatment was addressed	
Outcomes	Adherence scores were measured using a 7-point scale (1 = complete refusal to 7 = active participation and ready acceptance). Measures were obtained preintervention, postintervention, at 3 month follow-up and at 6 month follow-up. Outcome measures included ratings on a brief psychiatric rating scale, global functioning assessment, and dose of antipsychotic drug	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Kemp 1998

Methods	Random allocation by means of a table of random numbers.	
Participants	Patients between the ages of 18 and 65 who were admitted to hospital with acute psychosis over 14 months. DSM III-R diagnoses of subjects included schizophrenia, severe affective disorders, schizophreniform, schizoaffective disorder, delusional disorders, and psychotic disorder not otherwise classified. Non-English speakers and subjects with low IQ scores, deafness, or organic brain disease were excluded	

Kemp 1998 (Continued)

Interventions	Control group treatment consisted of 4 to 6 supportive counselling sessions with the same therapist. Therapists listened to patients' concerns but when medication issues were broached, patients were directed to discuss such issues with their treatment teams. Experimental intervention treatment consisted of 4 to 6 sessions of "compliance therapy" - a strategy that borrows from motivational interviewing. During session 1 and session 2, patients reviewed their illness and conceptualised the problem. In the next 2 sessions, patients focused on symptoms and the side effects of treatment. In the last 2 sessions, the stigma of drug treatment was addressed
Outcomes	Adherence scores were measured using a 7-point scale (1 = complete refusal to 7 = active participation and ready acceptance of regimen). The clinical outcome measures included ratings on a brief psychiatric rating scale, global functioning assessment, schedule for assessment of insight, drug attitudes inventory, attitude to medication questionnaire, Simpson-Angus Scale for extrapyramidal side-effects. Measures were obtained in-hospital preintervention and postintervention. Following discharge, measurements were made at 3, 6, 12, and 18 months
Notes	Initial compliance was rated by the patient's primary nurse. Follow-up compliance ratings were obtained using the seven-point scale, based on corroboration from as many sources as possible (mean number of sources was approximately 2)

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Knobel 1999

Methods	Patients were randomly allocated using a 2:1 (control:intervention) ratio. There are no details about the randomization procedure or whether it allowed for concealment of allocation. The study was not blinded
Participants	There are no exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: all patients with HIV infection demonstrated by plasma viral load > 5000 copies/mL and CD4+ lymphocyte count < 600 X 10 ⁶ /L initiating treatment with indinavir (800 mg/8h), zidovudine (300 mg/12h), and lamivudine (150 mg/12h). They included all patients with HIV infection receiving prescription for this combination of agents from July 1996 to December 1997
Interventions	All patients were treated with zidovudine + lamivudine + indinavir. Control patients (n = 110) received conventional care in addition to the drug regimen (new refill every 2 months). Intervention patients (n = 60) received individualized counseling/assessments which consisted of adaptation of treatment to the patient's lifestyle, detailed information about highly active antiretroviral therapy, phone support (for questions or medication-related problems), and monthly visits to the HIV day clinic
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: Compliance was estimated every 2 months using a structured interview and by pill counts. The same person conducted all compliance evaluations blind to viral load (not to allocation). Patients were considered to be compliant when: (1) they took more than 90% of their drugs; AND (2) > 90% of pill intakes should be according to a pre-specified schedule (hours between doses, relation between doses and meals); AND (3) less than 2 mistakes in pill intake per day. Clinical Health Outcomes: Undetectable viral load was measured, as was reduction in viral load and increase in CD4+

Knobel 1999 (Continued)

	lymphocyte count	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Laporte 2003

Methods	A 2 by 2 factorial design with patients randomly allocated to warfarin (long half-life) or acenocoumarol (short-half life) and to either intensive education or standard education. Allocation concealment was achieved by central computerized randomization balanced in blocks of 2, 4 and 6 patients	
Participants	Patients over 18 years old were enrolled if they needed at least 3-month oral anticoagulant therapy (OAT) following IV infusion for a thromboembolic disease. Patients were excluded if they were pregnant, had any contra-indication to anticoagulant therapy, recent surgery (< 4 days) or progressive bleeding	
Interventions	Patients assigned to warfarin received a dose of 6 mg (up to 70 years old) or 4 mg (over 70 years) those assigned to acenocoumarol received a dose of 4 mg (up to 70 years old) or 3 mg (over 70 years). Subsequent doses were adjusted to maintain the international normalized ratio (INR) within the target range of 2 to 3. Patients took a single dose of the oral anticoagulant (OA) daily at 8pm. The standard education group received the minimum information consistent with ethical OAT with no particular emphasis on the necessity of strict compliance. Patients in the intensive education group received information about the causes of anticoagulation instability and the importance of strict adherence. The intensive education group were provided information through visual material, were visited daily by nurses and physicians to repeat some items, and were tested daily about their education. The education, either standard or intensive was given until hospital discharge	
Outcomes	The number of tablets left in the bottle were recorded at follow-up at 1, 2 and 3 months. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Lab INR measurements were made in the morning and recorded in the patient's diary. The raw INR levels, the % of INRs in target range, the % of time in target range and %age of dose adjustments were recorded. Follow up visits were scheduled at 1, 2 and 3 months. During each visit patients were asked about their symptoms or bleeding events	
Notes	The follow-up period was only 3-months but since the results proved to be negative it still meets the criteria for inclusion in the review	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Lee 2006

Methods	Patients (n = 159) were randomized to either usual care (n = 76) or continued pharmacy care (n = 83) in a 1:1 ratio using a computer-generated random number sequence. Patients were randomized in blocks based on the level of baseline medication adherence (above or below 55% baseline adherence). Neither the participants nor the clinical pharmacists assessing the outcomes were blind to the study group assignment	
Participants	Patients were from the Walter Reed Army Medical Center and were elderly men and women (> 65 years) taking 4 or more chronic medications daily. Patients were excluded from the study if they did not live independently or in the presence of any serious medical condition for which 1-year survival was expected to be unlikely	
Interventions	The intervention entailed a comprehensive pharmacy care program that consisted of 3 elements, including individualized medication education (using standardized scripts), medications dispensed using an adherence aid (blister packs) and regular follow-up with clinical pharmacists every 2 months. All medications were provided to patients in customized blister packs filled by pharmacy technicians and checked by clinical pharmacists. Patients were instructed to tape any medications not taken back into the blister pack, to account for any selective adherence. Usual care was defined as returning to their baseline (pre-study) status of medication provision; however, medication education and blister-packed medications were not provided. For the usual care group in phase 2, all medications were provided in new pill bottles with a 90-day supply and 1 refill prescription	
Outcomes	Compliance for the randomized stage was measured by the persistence of mean medication adherence. The clinical endpoints of the randomized stage were the changes in BP and LDL-C at study month 14	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Levy 2000

Methods	Patients were randomized consecutively into intervention and control groups using equal blocks of four generated using the Clinstat program. This was done by the two nurses at their respective hospitals, by first producing two patient lists, by date order of receipt of their consent forms i) completed when attending or ii) returned by post. 108 patients were randomly allocated into the control group, and 103 patients were randomly allocated into the intervention group. Study nurses were not blinded to allocation after randomization occurred	
Participants	211 patients over 18 years old attending emergency room department for asthma were included. Exclusion criteria not specified, except that patients with a previously recorded diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were excluded	
Interventions	The intervention group was invited to attend a 1 hour consultation with one of the nurses beginning 2 weeks after entry to the study, followed by two or more lasting half an hour, at 6-weekly intervals. The second and third could be substituted by a telephone call. Patients were phoned, by the nurse before each appointment in order to improve attendance rates. Patient's asthma control and management were	

Levy 2000 (Continued)

	assessed followed by education on recognition and self-treatment of episodes of asthma. The patients were taught to step-up medication when they recognized uncontrolled asthma using peak expiratory flow (PEF) or symptoms. The advice was in accordance with national guideline. Prescriptions were obtained from one of the doctors in the clinic or by providing the patient with a letter to their general practitioner. Patients presenting with severe asthma (severe symptoms of PEF below 60% of their best/normal) were referred immediately to the consultant. Patients in the control group continued with their usual medical treatment and were not offered any intervention during the study period	
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: The primary outcome was the patients' reported, appropriate adherence to self-management of mild attacks within the previous 2 weeks or severe attacks in the previous 6 weeks. Measurement for Clinical Health Outcomes: Home peak flow and symptom diaries. Patients recorded the best of 3 PEF readings in the morning and evening, and also recorded symptom scores daily for 7 days. Quality of life was also assessed using the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), and patients use of medical services was assessed	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

MarquezContreras04a

Methods	A controlled, randomized clinical trial was conducted in 6 primary care centers in Huelva province of Spain	
Participants	126 people diagnosed with hypercholesterolaemia according to Spanish Consensus criteria were chosen: 63 in Control Group and 63 in Intervention Group. Recruitment took place from January to June 2001	
Interventions	The Control Group (CG) of 63 patients, who received the doctor's normal treatment, which included oral information about hypercholesterolaemia, advice about its control, brochures about dietary recommendations, 3 month-long prescriptions for a cholesterol-lowering medication, and titration of that medication if indicated at 3 months. The Intervention Group (IG) of 63 patients received this care, and in addition, received a telephone call at 7 to 10 days, 2 months, and 4 months. The goal of the intervention was to establish the level of compliance, categorize this as adequate or inadequate, and make recommendations based on that. Level of compliance was determined by comparing the number of pills consumed to the number that should have been consumed (calculated using self-reported information about the number of pills remaining, number of pills dispensed, and fill date of the prescription). Compliance was defined as taking 80 to 110% of the pills that should have been taken thus far. Compliant patients were congratulated and encouraged to continue their good level of compliance as it would lower their risk of heart disease. Noncompliant patients were notified their behavior was considered noncompliant and encouraged to better comply with therapy as it would lower their risk of heart disease	
Outcomes	Pills were counted in person at 3 and 6 month follow-up visits to estimate compliance over the previous 3 months. Cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C and LDL-C were measured at the start, and at the third and sixth months	

MarquezContreras04a (Continued)

Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

MarquezContreras2005

Methods	Patients (n = 636) were randomly allocated to receive one of the two interventions, the telephone intervention (n = 216) or the mail intervention (n = 212), or usual care (n = 212). Allocation concealment was not specified
Participants	Patients were eligible for participation in the trial if the following criteria were met: (i) Outpatients of either sex and between 18 and 80 years of age; (ii) newly diagnosed or uncontrolled phase I and II hypertension (JNC-VI criteria) requiring antihypertensive treatment; (iii) provision of patient informed consent in writing. Patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: (i) Patients who at the start of the study required two or more antihypertensive drugs for hypertension control; (ii) acute myocardial infarction; (iii) secondary hypertension; (iv) known side-effects and contraindications to the use of angiotensin AT1 inhibitors; (v) pregnant or breastfeeding women; (vi) patients with conditions capable of interfering with the study; (vii) patients planning to donate blood; (viii) participants in other research studies; (ix) patients cohabiting with another person taking the same antihypertensive medication. Study withdrawal criteria were as follows: (i) Inadequate therapeutic effect requiring an increase of more than 20% in the scheduled number of visits; (ii) patient decision not to continue with the study and/or schedule follow-up visits; (iii) concomitant illnesses or adverse effects that in investigator opinion, the patient needs be withdrawn from the study
Interventions	Participants allocated to the telephone intervention group (TIG) received a controlled intervention in the form of three telephone calls: the first 15 days after the inclusion visit; the second and third being one week after visits 3 and 4. The telephone intervention was made by two expert nurses in this type of interventions. During the calls to patients in this group, the patients were reminded of scheduled visits and asked about the name, dosage and timing of their antihypertensive medication, and the number of remaining tablets. Patients were informed, according to the number of tablets in their possession, if they were good or poor compliance. In the event of good compliance, the patients were congratulated and encouraged to continue adhering to therapy. In the event of noncompliance, the patients were encouraged to comply, and the associated benefits were explained. For participants who were allocated to the mail intervention group (MIG), they received three mailed communications at home: the first 15 days after the inclusion visits; the second and third, being one week after visits 3 and 4; in order to promote compliance through health education in hypertension, reinforce compliance, and remind the subjects of the scheduled visits. The mailed messages included information about the following hypertension aspects: what is hypertension? ; diagnosis of hypertension; symptoms; related risk factors; why is necessary to treat the hypertension? ; what is the hypertension treatment?; and information about the correct taking of medication. Patients who were allocated to the control group (CG) received the center's routine primary care intervention and did not receive any additional intervention to improve adherence

MarquezContreras2005 (Continued)

Outcomes	Compliance was assessed using a pill count. Percentage compliance (PC) was calculated from the following formula: $PC = (\text{Total no. of presumably consumed tablets} / \text{total no. that should have been consumed}) * 100$. Compliance was accepted if it was in the range of 80 to 110%. The study final PC for each patient was defined as the cumulative PC at the end of follow-up (at the end of the last visit or at the time of withdrawal), while the monthly PC was taken to be the PC recorded between one follow-up visit and the next. Blood pressure was measured as the clinical endpoint during the scheduled visits by the primary care physician. The final blood pressure reading was taken as the mean of the two measurements made	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

MarquezContreras2006

Methods	The patients (n = 250) were randomly assigned to one of the two groups, stratified by age and sex: a control group (CG) and an intervention group (IG). The randomization process was centralized and blind, performed using random number tables and by a person not involved in the follow-up	
Participants	Patients were ambulatory patients between 18 and 80 years of age, newly diagnosed hypertensive patients or those already on antihypertensive treatment but not controlled and who did not have an electronic monitor for home blood pressure measurement (HBPM), patients with phase I or II arterial hypertension (AHT) according to the JNC-VI criteria, and patients who had given their written consent. Patients were excluded from the study if they were requiring two or more antihypertensive drugs at the start of the study, secondary AHT, pregnant or breast-feeding women, patients with diseases that could interfere with the study, patients who intended to donate blood, patients who were unable to give their consent, patients participating in other studies, and patients co-habiting with other individuals taking the same antihypertensive medication	
Interventions	Patients in this intervention, apart from receiving the usual care, also received an OMRON automatic monitor for home blood pressure measurement (HBPM). The patients received a kit in their home containing the monitor, an instruction manual, a summary of the functions, and a card on which to note the measurements. They were advised to follow the HBPM programme, which consisted of measuring the BP 3 days a week (Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays), twice before breakfast (0800 to 1000 hours) and twice before supper (2000 to 2200 hours) and record these results on the card (four times a day). The patients received a phone call to explain how to use the monitor and follow the HBPM programme. The control intervention involved patients receiving the care usually provided by their general practitioners	
Outcomes	Compliance was measured using Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) and the percentage compliance (PC) was calculated by dividing the total number of tablets the patients were assumed to have taken by the total number of tablets that the patients should have taken and multiplying by 100 to obtain a percentage. Compliance was considered to be present in patients with a percentage compliance between 80 and 100%. Blood pressure (taken as the mean of two measurements) was the clinical outcome used	
Notes		

MarquezContreras2006 (Continued)

<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Merinder 1999

Methods	Patients were block-randomized, stratified for gender and for illness duration. The randomization was carried out by an independent institution. Due to the nature of the intervention, patients could not be blinded. Ratings of psychopathology and psychosocial function were performed by researchers who were not informed of treatment allocation. Relapse and compliance outcomes were assessed by researchers blind to the allocation of the patients	
Participants	Patients aged 18 to 49 years and a clinical ICD-10 diagnosis of schizophrenia and in treatment at the time of recruitment were included. Patients were included based on a clinical diagnosis, validated by the use of operational criteria checklist for psychotic and affective illness (OPCRIT) on case records	
Interventions	The control group received usual treatment provided in community psychiatry. The experimental group received an 8-session intervention using a mainly didactic interactive method. The programme was standardized with a manual for group leaders, overhead presentations and a booklet for participants. Patient and relative interventions were conducted separately, with group sizes in both patient and relative groups of 5 to 8 participants. The programme was the same for both patients and relatives, and sessions were conducted weekly	
Outcomes	Compliance Measurements: Compliance measures were made at baseline and at follow-up (12 months after start of intervention). A non-compliance episode was rated if the case notes indicated that the patient did not receive medication for a period of 14 days. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Patient outcome measures included knowledge, relapse, psychosocial function, insight and satisfaction. The following scales were used: OPCRIT - operational criteria checklist for psychotic illness; BPRS - brief psychiatric rating scale; GAF - global assessment of function; IS - insight scale; VSS - Vern service satisfaction scale. Also, knowledge of schizophrenia was evaluated	
Notes		

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Morice 2001

Methods	The subjects were randomized into two groups: one receiving subsequent visits from the asthma nurse until discharge from hospital (n = 35) and a control group (n = 30) which received 'routine care' from medical and nursing staff but no further intervention from the asthma nurse	
Participants	A group of 80 patients (53 women), with an age range of 16 to 72 years (mean 36.1 years) was recruited. Patients who had been admitted on the general medical take to a large teaching hospital with a documented primary diagnosis of acute asthma were recruited for the study. Patients were not permitted to participate if they: (1) had underlying chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; (2) had previously participated in an educational programme from a hospital-based asthma nurse; (3) were unable or unwilling to complete a series of follow-up questionnaires	
Interventions	The education programme took place over a minimum of two separate sessions, lasting on average 30 minutes each and was carried out on an individual basis. The first session involved discussion on the basic mechanisms of asthma, including common triggers and an explanation of the changes which occur to the airways resulting in the symptoms experienced by the patient. This was supported by illustrations in the 'Regular Therapy with Asthma' booklet (11) which was given to each intervention group patient. Lifestyle influences, such as occupation and leisure activities were discussed where appropriate to the individual. The need for 'preventer' and 'reliever' medication was also emphasized during this session. Patients were encouraged to actively participate in the session and relatives were included at the patients' request. The second session took place on the following day. Previously given information was briefly summarized with input from the patient as a means of checking understanding. An agreed individualized self-management plan was determined, with written instructions using the 'Sheffield Asthma Card'. This also contained a telephone contact number. Each patient was given a peak low meter to take home and instructions on monitoring, with documentation of predicted peak low measurement and parameters for altering treatment, as well as clear written guidelines on when to seek emergency care. Home intervention was based upon a combination of symptoms and peak low recordings and all guidance offered throughout the educational programme was based on the British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines for the management of asthma in adults (3). A final visit was made to each patient where possible prior to discharge at which they were encouraged to express any fears or anxieties relating to their home managements	
Outcomes	Compliance was measured by questionnaire at 6 months. Clinical Health Outcomes included: (1) Occasions of GP call-outs and Re-admission; (2) Patients percentage of claiming to have a writing management plan; (3) Percentage of the compliance of using β -agonist inhaler regularly everyday; (4) first line action	
Notes		
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	D - Not used

Nazareth 2001

Methods	Patients were independently randomized by the health authority's central community pharmacy office using computer-generated random numbers. 165 patients were eligible at baseline in the intervention group and 151 patients were eligible in the control group. They used blocked randomization, stratified by trial centre, to ensure equal numbers of participants in each randomized group
Participants	From June 1995 to March 1997, patients discharged from elderly-care wards were asked by the hospital pharmacist to give informed consent. 362 patients were recruited. Patients over 75 years who were taking four or more medicines at discharge were asked to join the study. Patients who could not speak English or were too ill were excluded
Interventions	Between 7 and 14 days after discharge, community pharmacists visited the patients at home. This visit allowed the pharmacist to check for discrepancies between the medicines the patient was taking and those prescribed on discharge. The pharmacist assessed the patient's understanding of and adherence to the medication regimen and intervened when appropriate. Interventions included counseling patients or carers on the purpose and appropriate doses of the medication, disposing of excess medicines and liaising with general practitioners. The pharmacists arranged further community visits at their discretion. All assessments and interventions were sent to the hospital-based liaison pharmacist. A revised care plan was issued if a patient was re-admitted to hospital during the 6-month study period. Patients randomized to the control group were discharged from hospital following standard procedures. These included a discharge letter to the general practitioner who indicated the diagnosis, investigations and current medications. The pharmacists did not provide a review of discharge medication or follow-up in the community
Outcomes	Compliance measures were made at baseline and at follow-up (3 and 6 months after start of intervention). The primary outcome was re-admission to hospital in the follow-up period. Secondary outcomes were number of deaths, attendances at hospital outpatient clinics and general practice (at home or in the surgery) and days in hospital as a percentage of days of follow-up
Notes	

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

O'Donnell 2003

Methods	Random allocation of consenting patients to compliance therapy or control groups using odd and even digits from a standard random numbers table. The researcher obtaining outcome measures was blinded to the intervention
Participants	54 of 96 consecutive people with psychosis, who had been admitted to St. John of God Hospital, Dublin, agreed to join the study. Patients aged 18 to 65 years, an IQ greater than 80, fluent in English, with no evidence of organic disturbance and diagnosed with schizophrenia. Each person who signed for informed consent took part in a structured clinical interview to determine their diagnosis according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

O'Donnell 2003 (Continued)

Interventions	The control group received non-specific counseling comprising of 5 sessions lasting 30 to 60 minutes. The experimental group received 5 sessions of compliance therapy, each session lasting 30 to 60 minutes. The sessions covered a review of the patient's illness history, understanding of the illness and his or her ambivalence to treatment, maintenance medication and stigma. Compliance therapy is a cognitive behaviour intervention with techniques adapted from motivational interviewing, other cognitive therapies and psychoeducation	
Outcomes	A structured clinical interview was used to assess compliance 1 month before the intervention and 1 year post-intervention. Patient outcome measures included attitude towards medication, symptomatology, insight, functioning, quality of life and psychiatric hospital bed occupancy. The following scales were used: DAI - Drug attitude inventory; PANSS - positive and negative symptom scale; SAI - Schedule for assessment of insight; GAF - global assessment of function; QLS- Heinrich's quality of life scale	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Odegard 2005

Methods	A total of 77 patients were randomized, with 34 in the usual-care group and 43 in the pharmacist-provider intervention group	
Participants	Eligible patients included all adults at least 18 years of age and older with type 2 diabetes, taking at least one oral diabetes medication, with a glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) result > or = to 9%. Non-English speaking subjects, those with unstable psychiatric conditions, or patients with a terminal prognosis within 6 months were not eligible	
Interventions	The pharmacist intervention entailed the development of a diabetes care plan (DCP), regular pharmacist-patient communication on diabetes care progress, and pharmacist-provider communication on the subject's diabetes care progress. Medication-related problems requiring intervention were identified as part of the DCP. The pharmacist intervention was initiated one week after the baseline interview with an in-person appointment to develop the DCP that was then communicated to the primary care provider using electronic notation in the medical record. The pharmacist maintained regular contact with the subjects with weekly in-person or telephone meetings. Once the patient and pharmacist determined that the diabetes care needs were progressing as outlined in the DCP, follow-up phone call frequency was reduced to monthly through the 6-month intervention period. Patients in the usual-care group were instructed to continue normal care with their primary care provider	
Outcomes	HbA1c was used as the clinical endpoint and assessed at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months. Diabetes knowledge and quality of life with diabetes were assessed using a brief sample of questions used for identifying opportunities for diabetes care support and developing the DCP. Diabetes care history, adherence challenges, self-management skills, and diabetes knowledge were assessed at baseline during an in-person interview. Medication appropriateness of all prescribed drugs was assessed from the medical record by the Medication Appropriateness Index (MAI). Adherence was assessed at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months	

Odegard 2005 (Continued)

	using a self-reported, 2-question recall technique. Medication use history was collected at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months
--	---

Notes	
-------	--

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Peterson 1984

Methods	Coin toss randomisation.
---------	--------------------------

Participants	Adult and teenage epileptic patients who were consecutive attenders at outpatient clinics during a four month period, who were responsible for their own medication, and who possessed a hospital pharmacy prescription book were included in the study
--------------	---

Interventions	Patients in the intervention group received several adherence-improving strategies: patients were counselled on the goals of anticonvulsant therapy and the importance of good adherence in achieving these goals, a schedule of medication taking was devised that corresponded with the patient's everyday habits, patients were given a copy of an educational leaflet, each patient was provided with a 'Doseit' medication container and counselled on its utility, patients were instructed to use a medication/seizure diary, and patients were reminded by mail of upcoming appointments and of missed prescription refills. The control group received none of these interventions. The mean daily dosages of the most commonly prescribed anticonvulsant drugs (phenytoin, carbamazepine, and sodium valproate) were not significantly different between the two groups
---------------	---

Outcomes	Each patient had plasma anticonvulsant levels measured (provided that the patient's medication regimen had not been altered in the preceding two weeks), the patient's prescription record book was checked to assess prescription refill frequency (if the refill frequency was one or more weeks later than expected at least once during the previous six months, the patient was considered non-adherent), and patient appointment keeping frequency (patients who had attended all their scheduled appointments in the previous six months were considered compliant) were assessed. The median number of self-recorded seizures experienced by each patient was compared between the control and intervention groups
----------	--

Notes	Physicians were blinded to the intervention group of their patients
-------	---

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Peterson 2004

Methods	Random allocation, not otherwise specified.
Participants	210 eligible patients with established cardiovascular disease and an acute cardiovascular /cerebrovascular-related admission, and discharged from the hospital between April and October 2001 on statin therapy, were invited to participate in the study. Patients were excluded if they had dementia, lived in a domiciliary care facility or lived beyond the greater Hobart area. Ninety-four provided informed consent. Thirteen patients were subsequently lost to follow-up; six from the control group and seven from the intervention group
Interventions	Patients in the intervention group were visited at home monthly by a pharmacist, who educated the patients on the goals of lipid-lowering treatment and the importance of lifestyle issues in dyslipidaemia and compliance with therapy, assessed patients for drug-related problems, and measured total blood cholesterol levels using point-of-care testing. Patients in the control group received standard medical care. There was no further contact with patients in the control group after the initial collection of baseline data, until 6 months had lapsed. At that time, their final total blood cholesterol level was measured, and the current medication regimen and self-reported compliance were recorded
Outcomes	Self-reported compliance at 6 months. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: the total cholesterol levels

Notes

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	D - Not used

Peveler 1999

Methods	Immediately after referral patients were individually randomized in blocks of 8 to one of four treatment groups by prearranged random number sequence, stratified by drug type, in a factorial design. Patients were unaware of their allocation at first interview and were asked not to reveal drug-counseling sessions to the interviewer subsequently
Participants	Patients were included if they were aged 18 or over and starting new courses of treatment with dothiepin or amitriptyline. Inclusion was based on clinical diagnosis of depressive illness. Patients were excluded if they had received either drug within 3 months, had a contraindication (allergy, heart disease, glaucoma, or pregnancy) or were receiving other incompatible drugs. Any patients at high risk of suicide were also excluded
Interventions	The four treatment groups were as follows: treatment as usual, leaflet, drug counseling, or both interventions. The information leaflet contained information about the drug, unwanted side effects, and what to do in the event of a missing dose. Patients were given drug counseling by a nurse at weeks 2 and 8, according to a written protocol. Sessions included assessment of daily routine and lifestyle, attitudes to treatment, and understanding of the reasons for treatment. Education was given about depressive illness and related problems, self-help and local resources. The importance of drug treatment was emphasized, and side effects and their management discussed. Advice was given about the use of reminders and cues, the need to continue treatment for up to 6 months, and what to do in the event of forgetting a dose, and

Peveler 1999 (Continued)

	the feasibility of involving family or friends with medicine taking was explored	
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: At 6 weeks, self-reported adherence was assessed and was reassessed at the final visit. To check the reliability of self-reported adherence, adherence was measured in a subgroup using a Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) monitor. Patients were seen at 3 weeks to resupply drugs and pills were counted. At 6 weeks the container was collected and the cap data was downloaded. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Depressive symptoms were measured by the hospital anxiety and depression scale and functional status was measured by the SF-36 health survey. Interviews were conducted at baseline, 6 weeks, and when drugs were discontinued at 12 weeks (whichever was sooner). Also, at 6 weeks depressive symptoms and unwanted effects of treatment were assessed. At the final visit, satisfaction with treatment and unwanted effects were reassessed and the SF-36 repeated	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Piette 2000

Methods	Of the 588 patients identified as potentially eligible, 280 patients were enrolled and randomized to a treatment arm, 137 to intervention, 143 to control. Randomization was based on a table of randomly permuted numbers. Patients, caregivers, and outcome assessors were not blinded to patient allocation	
Participants	Patients included had a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus or an active prescription for a hypoglycaemic agent. Patients were excluded if they were over 75 years of age, had a diagnosed psychotic disorder, disabling sensory impairment, or life expectancy of less than 12 months, or whose primary language was neither English nor Spanish. Patients were also excluded if they controlled their blood glucose levels without hypoglycaemic medication, were newly diagnosed with diabetes (< 6 months), planned to discontinue receiving services from the clinic within the 12-month follow-up period, or did not have a touch-tone telephone	
Interventions	The intervention consisted of a series of automated telephone assessments designed to identify patients with health and self-care problems (Teleminder Model IV automated telephone messaging computer). Calls were made on a biweekly basis, up to 6 attempted calls, and involved a 5 to 8-minute assessment. During each assessment, patients used the touch-tone keypad to report information about self-monitored blood glucose readings, self-care, perceived glycaemic control, and symptoms of poor glycaemic control, foot problems, chest pain, and breathing problems, with automated prompts for out-of-range errors. The automated telephone calls were also used to deliver, at the patient's option, 1 of 30 targeted and tailored self-care education messages at the end of each telephone session. Patients only received a 1-page instruction sheet on the use of the phone. Each week, the automated assessment system generated reports organized according to the urgency of the reported problems, and a diabetes nurse educator used these reports to prioritize contacts for a telephone follow-up. During follow-up calls, the nurse addressed problems reported during the assessments and provided more general self-care information. After several months, intervention group patients were offered additional automated self-care calls that focused on glucose self-monitoring, foot care and medication adherence. In the medication adherence part of these sessions,	

Piette 2000 (Continued)

	<p>patients were asked about their adherence to insulin, oral hypoglycemic medications, antihypertensive medications, and antilipidemic medications. For each type of medication, patients without adherence problems received positive feedback and reinforcement. Patients reporting less than optimal adherence were asked about specific barriers and were given advice from the nurse about overcoming each barrier. The nurse was located outside the clinic and had no access to medical records other than the baseline info collected at enrollment and her own notes. She did not have any face-to-face contact with patients. The nurse addressed problems raised by patients in the automated calls and also gave general self-care education. The nurse also checked on patients who rarely responded to automated calls. A small no. of patients initiated calls to the nurse by toll free no. She referred these to the primary care physician as appropriate. During the course of the trial, patients in the intervention groups averaged 1.4 automated calls per month and had 6 minutes of nurse contact per month. Patients assigned to the usual care control group had no systematic monitoring between clinic visits or reminders of upcoming clinic appointments. Providers used their discretion to schedule follow-up visits. Additional visits were scheduled at the patients initiative</p>	
Outcomes	<p>Measurement of Compliance: At baseline and 12 months, patients were surveyed by trained interviewers over the telephone. Patients were considered to have a problem with medication adherence if they reported that they “sometimes forget to take their medication”, “sometimes stop taking their medication when they feel better”, or “sometimes stop taking their medication when they feel worse”. Measurement of Healthcare Outcomes: A 5-point Likert scale was used to measure self-care items such as glucose self-monitoring, foot inspection and weight monitoring. During interviews, patients reported whether they experienced each of 22 diabetes-related symptoms in the prior week (including symptoms of hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, vascular problems, or other problems). Glycosylated hemoglobin and serum glucose levels were measured at baseline and a 12 months</p>	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors’ judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Portsmouth 2005

Methods	<p>Patients (n = 43) were randomized at baseline to receive treatment in one of two dosing regimens: patients in the intervention (or PRC) group (n = 22) were assigned to take Stavudine d4T PRC/3TC/EFV all once-daily (24 hours apart) and patients in the usual care group (n = 21) were assigned to continue the twice daily version of d4T (IR/3TC/EFV or Combivirs/EFV) as per their screening regimen</p>	
Participants	<p>Patients were over 18 years of age and weighed over 40 kilograms. Patients were excluded from this study if they were pregnant (in women of childbearing potential, consent was obtained to ensure they used two effective forms of contraception and regularly underwent urinary pregnancy testing), they had proven or suspected hepatitis, an active AIDS-defining disease, a history of bilateral peripheral neuropathy or signs of bilateral peripheral neuropathy of grade 2 or higher</p>	
Interventions	<p>This intervention involved simplifying the dosage for a nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) from twice-daily to once-daily. Patients in the intervention group were assigned to take Stavudine d4T PRC/3TC/EFV all once-daily (24 hours apart). Control group patients continued either d4T IR/3TC/</p>	

Portsmouth 2005 (Continued)

	EFV or Combivirs/EFV as per their screening regimen	
Outcomes	Compliance was measured using the information obtained from Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) caps given with the medication and downloaded at baseline, week 12 and week 24 visits. Three compliance summary variables were computed: 1) taking compliance, the percentage of prescribed number of doses taken; 2) correct dosing compliance, the percentage of days with correct number of doses taken; and 3) timing compliance, the percentage of doses taken within + 3 h of the prescribed dosing intervals. At each visit, a clinical history was taken and a clinical examination was performed that entailed searching for symptoms of peripheral neuropathy, an examination of peripheral sensation, motor power and reflexes, checking viral load, total lymphocyte and subset analysis, full blood counts and measurements of serum transaminases (including gamma glutamyl transferase (g-GT), serum amylase, lactate and anion gap), total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoproteins (LDL), high-density lipoproteins (HDL), serum electrolytes, and urea and creatinine. At baseline, week 12 and week 24, a quality-of-life assessment was made using a Medical Outcomes Study HIV Health Survey (MOS-HIV) questionnaire	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Pradier 2003

Methods	RCT: Patients were randomized into the intervention group (IG) and the control group (CG)	
Participants	All HIV-infected patients who had medical follow-up at the Nice University Hospital between September 1999 and December 1999 were approached for the study participation. Patients were included if they were: 1) over 18 years of age; 2) Being treated for at least 1 month by a combination of at least 1 protease inhibitor (PI) or 1 nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI) or abacavir with 2 nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs); 3) Not having required hospitalization in the prior month or requiring it at the time of consultation; 4) Not being previously included in another protocol	
Interventions	The intervention combined an educational and counseling approach that was founded on the principles of motivational psychology, client centred therapy and the use of an "empathic therapeutic to enhance participants' self efficacy". The intervention focused on cognitive, emotional, social and behavioural determinants affecting adherence. The intervention consisted of 3 individually delivered sessions by nurses lasting 45 to 60 minutes. To standardize the intervention, intervention group manuals for the nurses were prepared and the nurses attended a 5-day intensive training course given by psychologists. Some flexibility was allowed for the nurses to tailor the intervention based on the needs of the individual patient. To ensure the quality of the intervention each nurse had supervision sessions with a psychologist and a clinical supervisor to review written material filled out by the nurses. No mention was made of the care that was provided for the control group	
Outcomes	This data was collected using a self-administered questionnaire at month 0 (M0) and month 6 (M6). Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: 1) Change in Viral Load between M0 and M6; 2) Percentage of patients achieving plasma HIV-1 RNA levels < 40 copies mL at M6; 3) 16-item HAART related	

Pradier 2003 (Continued)

	symptom scale; 4) Proportion of patients with reported toxic events; 5) Depressive mood using CES-D scale
Notes	The clinical significance of these findings is unclear - adherence rate was on self-report in an unblinded trial, the mean HIV RNA was no different at 6 months for the 2 groups and no actual clinical outcomes were reported

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Ran 2003

Methods	Cluster RCT: A random numbers table achieved block randomisation using townships as units. Xinle and Huaqiao were randomly selected into the family intervention group (FIG) (drug treatment plus psychoeducational family intervention), Anxi and Taiping townships into the drug treatment group (MG) (drug treatment only), and Xinyi and Longma townships into the control group (CG) (no intervention)
Participants	357 persons with schizophrenia from the six townships meeting the inclusion criteria were randomized. In fact, 127 received intervention (FIG) 105 patients received medication (MG) and 115 received no intervention (CG)
Interventions	The interventions were as follows: 1. Family education conducted once per month for 9 months. The purpose was to provide specific advice, support and information to the family. During each visit, which lasted 1.5-3 h, patients' relatives were taught basic knowledge of mental diseases, treatment and rehabilitation. Advice and information were given according to the patient's specific condition, such as the stage of illness, recent onset or chronic. The patient was encouraged to join the meeting. The major content of the family education component included: a) definitions of a schizophrenic disorder; b) a description of the various symptoms; c) comprehensive basis of the illness; d) general prognosis of the illness; e) treatment recommendations concerning pharmacotherapy; and f) long-term management of the illness including relapse prevention and social functioning rehabilitation. 2. Multiple family workshops were held once every 3 months. During the workshop, general questions were discussed, and relatives shared the experiences of caring for patients. 3. Crisis intervention conducted when necessary (e. g. for attempted suicide, aggressive and destructive behaviour). The local village broadcast network was also employed for health education during the first 2 months. Trained psychiatrists and village doctors conducted all these above-family interventions. Village doctors did not get the same training as psychiatrists, but assisted with the interventions. The drug treatment consisted of long-term injection of haloperidol decanoate (50 to 125mg/month) and/or an oral depot. There was no significant difference of drug dose between the family intervention group and the drug treatment group
Outcomes	Medication compliance was defined as the therapist's dichotomous rating (based on all available information) of the extent to which the patient takes his/her neuroleptic medication consistently. Fifteen independent researchers, each of whom conducted assessments in all six townships, conducted the assessment. Patient outcome measures included clinical status, relapse rate, ability to work, mental disability

Ran 2003 (Continued)

Notes	Although there was contamination bias between MG and CG (the participants might go to see the other doctors in local area and then take medication by themselves), it didn't impact the comparison between FIG and MG	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	D - Not used

Rawlings 2003

Methods	Consenting patients were randomized 1:1 to receive either: an EI (4 modules of the Tools for Health Empowerment course) plus routine counseling (RC) (EI + RC); or RC alone	
Participants	A 24-week open-label clinical trial was conducted in 195 HIV-infected adults commonly underrepresented in research (35% female, 71% African American, 21% Hispanic, and 20% injection drug users [IDUs])	
Interventions	The THE course is an 11-module educational program for HIV-infected patients and their informal caregivers in which there are interactive small arm sessions facilitated by a healthcare professional trained in the principles of adult learning, skills-building exercises aimed at behavior change in participants, flip charts, videotapes, patient logbooks, and patient workbooks. Program materials are designed at a fifth-grade reading level (English only). The goal of the THE course is to empower people living with HIV/AIDS and their informal caregivers with the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and resources to improve self-care, adherence, quality of life, and satisfaction with care, leading to improved quality of care. The following 4 modules focusing on patient empowerment, HIV pathogenesis and treatment, and medication management and adherence were delivered (1 session per week) during weeks 1 through 4 of this clinical trial. "The RC consisted of provision of the following information at each study visit: names and physical descriptions of the study drugs; instructions on how best to take the study drugs, including dosage and dosage schedules (taking the patient's daily routine into account) as well as how/when to remove the medications from bottles using Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) TrackCaps (APREX Corporation, Union City, CA); importance of taking the study drugs exactly as prescribed; and potential adverse events as well as actions to take if study participants experienced any of these"	
Outcomes	Adherence was measured using MEMS track caps which monitored and electronically recorded the date and time each medication was removed from the bottle. The primary efficacy measure was the proportion of patients attaining plasma HIV-1 RNA levels below the 40-copy/mL lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) of the NucliSens assay and below the 400-copy/mL LLOQ of the HIV-1 MONITOR version 1.0 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay (Roche, Nutley, NJ) at 24 weeks after starting treatment with COM + ABC. Viral load response (HIV-1 RNA in plasma) was the primary study end point. A secondary efficacy measure was an assessment of changes in the number of CD4 lymphocyte counts (immunologic response) . Patients were also monitored for adverse events, lab abnormalities and HIV-related illnesses at week 5, 8, 12, 16 and 24	
Notes		
Risk of bias		

Rawlings 2003 (Continued)

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Razali 2000

Methods	The selected patients were randomly assigned to the study group (n = 80), which received the culturally modified family therapy (CMFT), or control group (n = 86), which received the behavioral family therapy (BFT). Allocation was unblinded for treating psychiatrist and patient; outcome assessments were done by independent, blinded psychiatrists	
Participants	Recently discharged patients from the University Hospital with the diagnosis of schizophrenia (DSM-IV). Inclusion criteria included: at least 2 previous psychiatric admissions (including the latest admission), aged between 17 to 55 years, staying with a responsible relative who is willing to be involved in the study, stabilized for at least 4 weeks (stabilization was defined as rating of 4 or less on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) psychotic items). Exclusion criteria not specified	
Interventions	The CMFT consists of a sociocultural approach of family education, drug intervention programme and problem-solving skills. The sociocultural approaches to family education include explanations of the concept of schizophrenia from a cultural perspective and an attempt to correct negative attitudes toward modern treatment. The family education and drug intervention was delivered as a package. The drug intervention programme includes drug counseling, [from Table 1] clear instruction about dose, frequency and possible side effects, the role of carers in supervision of medication at home, and close monitoring of compliance by a drug intake check-list presented in every follow-up visit. Both groups of patients received routine prescription of medication. It should be noted that the one psychiatrist treated the intervention group throughout the study, and a second psychiatrist treated the control group throughout the study. Patients in each group were followed-up on the same schedule; monthly for the first 3 months and then every 6 weeks in the next 9 months	
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: Measured at the end of 6 months and 1 year after initiation of the intervention. Medication compliance was assessed through a semi-structured interview with the carer and examination of the amount of unused medication. A home visit was made to assess unused medication "in doubtful cases". Drug compliance was measured globally as a percentage of the total prescribed drug dosage actually taken during the previous 6 months. The compliance was reported on a 6-point ordinal scale, with 1 indicating non-compliant, 2: 25% compliant, 3: 50% compliant, 4: 75% compliant, 5: 90% compliant and 6: 100% compliant. 90% compliance was considered to be an ideal level. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Measured at the end of 6 months and 1 year after initiation of the intervention. Frequency of symptoms exacerbation, psychosocial functioning and behavioral difficulties were measured. Symptomatic exacerbation was determined by BPRS ratings. A rating of 5 or above in one or more of the psychoticism scales indicated an exacerbation. Overall psychosocial function was rated using the Global Assessment of Function (GAF) of DSM-IV, while the Social Behavior Schedule (SBS) measured the behavioral difficulties	
Notes		

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
------	--------------------	-------------

Razali 2000 (Continued)

Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear
-------------------------	---------	-------------

Remien 2005

Methods	Couples (n = 215) were randomly assigned to one of two groups: the four-session couple-focused adherence program (n = 106), or usual care through the medical provider of the HIV-sero-positive partner (n = 109). A randomization table was constructed from a random numbers list and stratified by couple type. Randomization was conducted by the study's project director while assessors and all other personnel (except for intervention facilitators) were blind to study arm assignment throughout the trial
Participants	Patients were eligible if they were an HIV-sero-discordant couple (self-report) with a relationship duration of 6 months or more, and both partners were English-speaking adults (over 18 years of age). The HIV-sero-positive partner needed to be in primary care and taking anti-retroviral therapy (ART) for at least 1 month. Couples meeting these criteria were scheduled for an in-person main screening appointment where the couple's relationship status was confirmed by independently asking each partner when and how they met, whether they considered themselves to be in a 'committed' relationship, and whether they expected to be in this relationship for at least another year. Couples returned 2 weeks later and were eligible for the study if less than 80% of prescribed doses were taken within specified time windows during the 2-week Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) observation period
Interventions	The intervention, a four-session couple-focused adherence program, aimed to improve patients' adherence to HIV/AIDS medical care regimens by fostering the support of their partners; as well, to help couples address their issues of sex and intimacy. The intervention was individually administered to each couple by a nurse practitioner through four 45 to 60 minute sessions held over 5 weeks. The session content included structured discussions and instruction, as well as specific problem solving and couple-communication exercises. Key components included education about the importance of adherence to avoid viral resistance and maintain health, identifying patterns of non-adherence, developing communication and problem-solving strategies to overcome adherence barriers, optimizing partner support, and building confidence in the couple for achieving and maintaining improved adherence. Standard care patients received attention to adherence-related issues from a multidisciplinary treatment team. Dosing, common side effects, and the importance of adherence to the regimen as prescribed were discussed. Patients were instructed to contact the clinic to speak with either their medical provider or a nurse if they have difficulties with the regimen. Follow-up with the patient's medical provider usually occurred within 2 to 4 weeks after initiating a new regimen. Any adherence problems were assessed in order to find the underlying causes and the appropriate manner to address them
Outcomes	The primary measure of adherence was the MEMS cap. MEMS data were downloaded into computer software to calculate adherence summary scores for the percentage of prescribed doses taken (without regard to timing) and the percentage of prescribed doses taken within specified time windows (e.g., for twice-a-day regimens, intended dosage times were set 12 hours apart, with \pm 2 hour windows around each intended dosage time). The adherence summary scores were adjusted through participant self-reports of errors in MEMS use. Viral HIV RNA load and CD4 cell count assays at baseline and at week 8 were used as clinical measures. If a blood sample was not given, the patient's medical chart was examined for clinical outcomes within appropriate time intervals. If neither a blood sample nor medical chart data was provided, self-reported biomarkers were used
Notes	

Remien 2005 (Continued)

Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Rickles 2005

Methods	Randomization involved the researcher preparing 10 pieces of paper with sequential numbers for each participating pharmacist at the site. Each of the eight pharmacies had a different cluster of numbers. When a patient was enrolled from a site, the researcher would randomly select a number out of the envelope. Selection of an odd or even number meant the patient was assigned to the control group or the intervention group, respectively. A total of 63 patients were randomized to either the intervention group (n = 31) or the control group (n = 32)
Participants	Patients were 18 years of age or older, willing to pick up their medication from a study pharmacy during the next four months, having no hearing impairment, having no antidepressant use in the last 4 months and planning to be in the local area in the next 4 months. Patients excluded from the study were those with a Beck Depression Inventory Second Edition (BDI-II) score below 16, requiring a translator, pregnant or nursing, receiving medications for a psychotic or bipolar disorder, and/or having physical conditions requiring additional caution with their antidepressant
Interventions	Patients in the intervention group received 3 monthly calls from the pharmacists providing pharmacist-guided education and monitoring (PGEM). On average, the first telephone call took place within the first 3 weeks of the patient picking up their initial antidepressant prescription from the pharmacy and took ~19 minutes to complete. During the first call, the pharmacist assessed the patient's antidepressant knowledge and beliefs and clarified or explained issues that were not understood by the patients. Pharmacists rated the severity of their concerns and made suggestions on how to handle adverse effects, difficulties remembering or paying for medications, and other concerns. Also, the pharmacists assessed the patient's treatment goals or areas in which they hoped the medication would help, and how the medication was being used during the week before the telephone call. Pharmacists were expected to follow up on any indication of medication non-adherence, inquire on why the doses were missed and make recommendations to increase medication compliance. The second and third telephone calls took place approximately 1 and 2 months after the initial call and on average, required 12 and 11 minutes to complete. During these calls, study pharmacists used the monitoring tool to guide their follow-up on any concerns identified in earlier calls and made new recommendations as needed. Pharmacists reviewed current adherence, whether any new adverse effects or concerns had developed, and evaluated the patient's progress in their medication goals. Patients in the control group received 3 monthly calls from the pharmacists providing usual pharmacist's care- defined as that education and monitoring which pharmacists may typically provide patients at the study pharmacies
Outcomes	Medication adherence was recorded after the first 3 months after enrollment and again, after another 3 months. The number of missed doses was calculated by multiplying the number of prescribed doses per day times the number of days late between refills for the first 3-month period and second 3-month period. Results were multiplied by 100 to yield the percentage of missed doses for each period. The pharmacy records used for this method of compliance measurement was validated in two ways. First, the pharmacy records were compared with prescription insurance claims for 49 of 63 patients for whom claims data were available from the participating managed care organization. Inconsistencies were resolved by the research

Rickles 2005 (Continued)

	pharmacist after case-by-case analysis. Second, the patient's self-reported antidepressant adherence was measured as part of the outcomes survey, "In the past 7 days ending yesterday, how many times did you miss taking a pill?" The BDI-II was used to measure depression symptoms	
Notes	While pharmacist-guided education and monitoring had no significant impact on adherence at 3 months, a per-protocol analysis revealed significantly improved adherence at 6 months for those who completed the study. When the three patients who withdrew from the study were included in the analysis, the difference did not reach significance at the .05 level	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	No	C - Inadequate

Rudd 2004

Methods	Eligible patients underwent randomization using computer-generated assignment to receive either usual medical care only (UC; n = 76) or usual care plus nurse care management intervention (INT; n = 74). At 3 and 6 months after randomization, a research assistant blinded to group assignment measured clinic blood pressure (BP) and interviewed patients about medications taken since the previous visit	
Participants	Patients had an elevation of BP to levels greater than 150 mm Hg systolic, 95 mm Hg diastolic, or both. This was confirmed by the mean of two BP values being greater than 150/95 mm Hg on two screening visits conducted on separate days at least 1 week apart	
Interventions	The intervention consisted of the nurse care manager conducting baseline counseling on the correct use of the automated BP device, regular return of the automatically printed BP reports, tips for enhancing drug adherence, and recognition of potential drug side effects. The nurse initiated follow up phone contacts at 1 week and at 1, 2, and 4 months that averaged 10 minutes in duration. During the phone calls, the nurse asked the patients about each medication dosage and any problems experienced since the previous contact. Patients were encouraged to telephone anytime during regular hours with questions or concerns. The nurse care manager contacted physicians to obtain permission to initiate any new BP drug but did not contact physicians regarding changes in medication dosage. Usual care in both groups consisted of patients continuing to receive the routine care that they had received before the study	
Outcomes	Compliance was measured in both groups from the data downloaded using the electronic drug event monitors (eDEMs). The same semi-automated portable device was used to measure BP at home and during each clinic visits. At home, patients recorded BP twice-daily at the same times each day and each week, the device generated a printed report of up to 14 measurements	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description

Rudd 2004 (Continued)

Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear
-------------------------	---------	-------------

Sackett 1975

Methods	Random allocation, 2 x 2 factorial design, no indication of concealment	
Participants	Male steel company employees who exhibited persistently elevated diastolic blood pressure on repeated examination (at or above 95 mm Hg (fifth phase)), were free of secondary forms of hypertension, were taking no daily medication, and had not been prescribed antihypertensive medications for at least six months before the trial were eligible for the study	
Interventions	Subjects in augmented convenience saw company physicians, rather than their family physicians, for hypertensive and follow-up care during paid working hours. The second intervention, mastery learning, was designed to give the facts about hypertension, its effects upon target organs, health, and life expectancy, the benefits of antihypertensive therapy, the need for adherence with medications and some simple reminders for taking pills (this information was provided in a slide-tape format, and reinforced by a secondary-school graduate 'patient educator')	
Outcomes	Adherence was calculated by comparing the number of tablets prescribed with medications still on hand, by the semi-quantitative identification of drugs and metabolites in the urine, by the identification of characteristic changes in serum potassium and uric acid in men on thiazide drugs, and by patient self-report. Adherence is reported in terms of the percent of medication prescribed for the sixth month which was removed from the bottle and, presumably, consumed by the patient. Patients whose pill counts were consistent with adherence levels of 80% or more were considered 'compliant'. Blood pressure control was assessed by trained observers. Only patients whose diastolic blood pressure was below 90 mm Hg at six months would be designated as being 'at goal blood pressure'. Outcome assessors were blinded to study group	
Notes		

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Sadik 2005

Methods	Patients (n = 221) were randomized to either the intervention group (n = 109) or control group (n = 112) using the minimization method. Both groups were matched as closely as possible, for the following parameters: severity of heart failure (HF) (NYHA Grade I- IV), renal function (serum creatinine > or = to 200 mmol l-1 or < 200 mmol l-1), other concomitant illness and cognitive status (CAPE survey score) . No method of allocation concealment was mentioned	
Participants	Patients had a diagnosis of heart failure, a score of more than 6 on the Clifton Assessments Procedures for the Elderly (CAPE) survey used to assess cognitive status, and the consent of a hospital consultant for the trial. Patients were excluded from the trial if they had significant airways disease and severe mobility	

Sadik 2005 (Continued)

	problems due to other causes	
Interventions	Patients receiving the intervention were 1) educated on heart failure (HF), their prescribed medication and the management of HF symptoms by the research pharmacist; 2) given a printed booklet developed for this type of education programme, which contained information on HF, its symptoms, the aims of treatment, the types of medication used and their possible side-effects, diet and lifestyle changes, advice to stick to one brand of digoxin (it having a narrow therapeutic index) and information on the action to take if doses of medication were missed; 3) instructed on a self-monitoring programme (signs and symptoms of HF; compliance with prescribed medication) in which they were asked to become engaged and involved a monitoring diary card (covering 1 month); 4) asked to record their weight daily in their diary card because they had been instructed to take an extra dose of their diuretic and to contact their physician immediately if their weight increased by 3 kilograms over 48 hours or if there was a marked deterioration in their HF signs/symptoms; 5) asked to perform daily exercise (walking); and 6) given rationalization of drug therapy or simplification of dosage regimens, when deemed appropriate. Control group patients received usual care, i.e. excluding counselling and education by the research pharmacist, self-monitoring, pharmacist liaison with physicians, etc	
Outcomes	At the 3-monthly outpatient clinics patients were assessed as per initial baseline assessments as follows: 2-minute walk test (including time to walk 25 and 50 metres), blood pressure, body weight, pulse, forced expiratory vital capacity (FVC), quality of life questionnaires (MLHF questionnaire and the SF36), questionnaire on symptoms and knowledge of, and compliance with, prescribed medication and lifestyle advice	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Samet 2005

Methods	Patients (n = 151) were randomly assigned to either the control (n = 77) or intervention group (n = 74) and balance between groups was ensured every 4 subjects that were enrolled	
Participants	Patients were HIV-infected and had a history of alcohol problems. HIV status was confirmed by laboratory tests and alcohol problems were defined as current or lifetime history of alcohol abuse or dependence and were determined by two or more positive responses to the CAGE alcohol screening questionnaire. Those who did not meet the CAGE criteria were eligible if one of two attending physicians made a clinical diagnosis of alcohol abuse. Patients also were fluent in English or Spanish, had a Mini-Mental State Examination score of at least 21 or greater and had no plans to move from Boston area in the following 2 years	
Interventions	The intervention (called ADHERE) incorporated 4 distinct components: 1) assessment and discussion of the patient's alcohol and other substance use based on stage of readiness for behavioural change; 2) use of a watch as a medication timing device to improve adherence; 3) enhancement of perceived efficacy of medications; and 4) individualized HIV counseling and exploration of ways to tailor medication use to specific circumstances. Visits for the intervention group was scheduled for an initial 60-minute	

Samet 2005 (Continued)

	appointment (within 2 weeks of randomization), a follow-up home visit within the first 3 weeks and two subsequent 15 to 30 minute appointments at 1 month and 3 months with the nurse interventionist who delivered the adherence enhancement intervention. Patients in the control group received standard care for HIV infection, which included verbal or written instructions about optimal medication strategies and regular medical care for HIV infection	
Outcomes	Adherence to anti-retroviral therapy (ART) was measured using the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) scale for both the previous 3 days and 30 days. Self-reported adherences were measured using the ACTG scale. Adherence was defined as > 95% adherence over the previous 30 days and 100% adherence over the previous 3 days. In addition to the dichotomous measure of adherence, a continuous measure of adherence for the past 30 days was measured using the actual proportion of doses taken versus doses prescribed. Both adherence outcomes were assessed at 6-months (short-term) and at 13-months or 12 months (long-term). Self-reported adherence assessment was verified with the MEMS caps of certain patients- ones who reported no change in HIV medications during the assessed interval and did not use a pill-organizing container. At 12 months, the following clinical outcomes were assessed: 1) CD4 cell count; 2) Log HIV RNA; and 3) Alcohol severity and consumption (using both the Addiction Severity Index and quantity and frequency questions assessing the previous 30 days), which was used to calculate the average number of drinks per day	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Schaffer 2004

Methods	Participants were recruited using flyers posted throughout the health science center campus, within the university student health center, and in health departments within the county. In an effort to approximate the ethnicity of the surrounding county, which is 19% African American, the principal investigator also recruited participants personally in an African American church. There were 46 participants at the beginning of the study. A computerized randomization protocol was used to assign participants to one of 4 treatment groups	
Participants	There were 46 participants at the beginning of the study. English-speaking adults aged 18 to 65, whose reported use of preventive medication for asthma during the 3 months prior to the study indicated that they had mild persistent to moderate persistent asthma according to the U.S. NAEPP (2002) guidelines. Individuals were excluded if they reported daily oral steroid use, diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD), or symptomatic cardiac disease	
Interventions	Four treatment groups: (a) standard provider education (control) (n = 13); (b) audiotape alone (n = 10) ; (c) National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) booklet alone (n = 12); and (d) audiotape plus NHLBI booklet (n = 11)	
Outcomes	Compliance Measurements: self-reported and pharmacy verified adherence to preventive medication. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Asthma control measured with the Asthma Control Ques-	

Schaffer 2004 (Continued)

	tionnaire (ACQ), asthma quality of life measured with the Mini Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (MiniAQLQ), and asthma self-efficacy assessed using the Perceived Control of Asthma Questionnaire (PCAQ). Asthma knowledge was measured with the Asthma Knowledge Scale, developed for this study	
Notes	The ACQ is a 7-item Likert-type scale designed to measure asthma treatment adequacy as measured by minimization of symptoms, bronchoconstriction, and short-acting beta-agonist use	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Schroeder 2005

Methods	An author not involved in the practice and patient recruitment randomized eligible patients (n = 245) stratified by age and sex to the intervention (n = 128) and control (n = 117) groups, using computer-generated random numbers that were assigned to an anonymized list of participants. The principal investigator passed the randomization schedule on to the practice nurses shortly before the appointment for delivering the intervention. The study participants and the practice nurses were aware of the group assignment	
Participants	Patients had hypertension and a latest blood pressure recording of > or = to 150 mm Hg systolic and/or 90 mm Hg diastolic in the past six months. Patients were excluded from the study if they did not control their medication intake (such as some nursing home patients), had secondary hypertension, severe dementia or other reasons for not approaching them, such as recent bereavement	
Interventions	Patients in the intervention group received, in addition to usual care, a nurse-led adherence support session lasting a maximum of 20 minutes, followed by a shorter reinforcement session (10 minutes) two months later. The intervention was aimed to provide an opportunity for patients to talk about any problems with their blood pressure lowering medication. Practice nurses investigated whether patients understood their diagnosis and agreed with the treatment process. They also addressed patient concerns with their medication and to agree to tailored strategies to resolve any medication problems. The control group received standard care delivered at their respective practices, apart from blood pressure checks at similar intervals as the participants in the intervention group. Wherever possible, these checks were carried out by another practice nurse who was not involved in delivering the intervention but all practice nurses were made aware of the risk of contamination and encouraged not to change their 'usual practice' for the control patients	
Outcomes	The primary adherence outcome was measured by Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) in the six months period following the intervention. Adherence was defined as 'timing compliance', which is the number of doses taken at 24 ± 6 hour intervals for a once daily regimen or 12 ± 3 hours for twice daily doses, divided by the total number of days and multiplied by 100%. Two additional measures of adherence were taken: 1) 'correct dosing', which was the percentage of days on which the correct number of doses was taken; and 2) 'taking compliance', was defined as the percentage of prescribed number of doses taken, equivalent to a 'pill count'. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured at baseline as well as 1, 2, and 6 months after randomization	

Schroeder 2005 (Continued)

Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Stevens 2002

Methods	Patients who tested positive for H pylori were randomly assigned to either usual care or special counseling using a computer-generated random sequence. The participating pharmacies were provided with a supply of opaque randomization envelopes, and the pharmacists were trained to open the top envelope to determine the treatment assignment for each research participant	
Participants	325 adult dyspeptic patients with positive for H pylori participated in the study	
Interventions	All the patients were provided a standard antibiotic regimen and randomly assigned to receive either usual-care counseling from a pharmacist (The control group participants met with the dispensing pharmacist for 5 minutes. The pharmacist described the proper protocol for taking the medication. This is consistent with standard care.) or a longer adherence counseling session and a follow-up phone call from the pharmacist during drug treatment (Patients received a 15-minute counseling session with the pharmacist, including a detailed review of possible side effects, emphasis on the importance about possible barriers to adherence and coping strategies, and encouragement to call the pharmacist in the event of any problems. The pharmacist also scheduled a follow-up telephone call with the patient 2 to 3 days after the start of therapy to check on adherence to the drug regimen.). All subjects were given the same 7-day course of omeprazole, bismuth subsalicylate, metronidazole, and tetracycline hydrochloride (OBMT)	
Outcomes	All the patients were contacted by telephone and were asked to report their adherence to regimen and their current symptoms	
Notes	The major problems with this study are that a) both groups received blister packs with daily doses clearly marked; b) both groups received counseling, although this was longer and more detailed for the intervention group than the control group; and c) self-report was used for measuring adherence (insensitive) . All these factors would bias towards no difference	
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Strang 1981

Methods	Random allocation, not otherwise specified.
Participants	Recently discharged patients with Present State Examination/CATEGO diagnoses of schizophrenia who were living with at least one parent who exhibited high 'expressed emotion' on the Camberwell Family Interview
Interventions	All patients had scheduled therapy and monthly medication appointments. Patients were allocated to family therapy or individual support sessions. All patients received oral neuroleptic medication (usually chlorpromazine)
Outcomes	All patients were seen monthly by the prescribing psychiatrist, blinded to the group assignment, where medication status and adherence were assessed. Medication was adjusted based on mental status, side effects, and blood plasma levels. Patients with poor compliance for oral medications were given fluphenazine decanoate injections. Adherence was defined in six ways: number of missed appointments with psychiatrist; number of patients change to intramuscular depot medication; tablet-taking compliance (pill counts, self-reports by patient or family, and blood plasma levels); variability in plasma levels; mean and modal doses prescribed for each treatment group; mean plasma level in each group. Relapse was the treatment outcome (no information on how measured)

Notes

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Tuldra 2000

Methods	116 patients were randomly allocated (no statement of allocation concealment) to one of two arms. 61 patients were randomized to the control group, and 55 were randomized to the "psychoeducative intervention" group. There is no statement in the report about blinding of physicians. Patients and psychologists were not blinded, and, if there was a separate outcome assessor, it is not stated
Participants	116 patients who initiated their first or second-line highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) at a general university hospital's HIV-outpatient unit were included. Exclusion criteria not specified
Interventions	The experimental group received a psychoeducative assessment in addition to the regular clinical follow-up. The individual(s) who delivered the intervention is not identified, but is apparently, a psychologist, rather than the treating physician. The intervention was intended "primarily to improve patients' knowledge and customs in handling medication to increase self-efficacy". Patients in this arm received explanations about the reasons for starting treatment and the relevance of appropriate adherence to prevent replication of viral mutations and the development of antiretroviral drug resistance. Patients' doubts about medication intake were solved and a dosage schedule was developed with the patients' input. Study subjects were also taught to manage medication and tackle problems such as forgetting, delays, side effects and changes in the daily routine. A phone number was also given should any questions arise before the next interview. During follow-up visits, adherence was verbally reinforced and strategies were developed to deal with problems that had appeared to that point, including rescheduling dose schedules to overcome adherence problems, providing skills to deal with minor adverse effects. Patients in the control group received a

Tuldra 2000 (Continued)

	standard assessment consisting of an interview with a psychologist following the regular medical visit, in which only variables related to adherence were recorded. The control group received only normal clinical follow-up. Both groups were interviewed for data collection at 0, 4, 24, and 48 weeks of follow-up	
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: Self-reported adherence was registered at each visit. The proportion of compliance was calculated by dividing the number of pills taken during the month before by the number of pills prescribed during the same period. Patients who consumed more than 95% of medication prescribed were considered "adherent patients". Randomized blood analyses were also performed without warning in 40% of the patients to measure plasma levels of protease inhibitors (PI). Plasma levels of PI > 0.01mg/L indicated adequate compliance, PI levels < 0.01 mg/dL indicated noncompliance. Measurement for Clinical Health Outcomes: HIV-1 RNA levels (copies/ml)	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

van Es 2001

Methods	Patients were randomly allocated to either usual care by a paediatrician (control group) or the intervention programme (experimental group). Randomization was stratified according to hospital. Allocation was concealed. Due to the nature of the intervention, paediatricians and patients were not blinded	
Participants	The criteria for inclusion were: asthma diagnosed by a physician, treatment prescribed by a paediatrician with daily inhalation of prophylactic asthma medication during a preceding period of at least two months, between 11 to 18 years of age, attending secondary school, and the ability to fill in a questionnaire in Dutch	
Interventions	Control Group: All patients received usual care from the paediatricians, who were instructed to provide the same care as they normally gave to adolescent patients with asthma. Patients visited the paediatrician every four months. The paediatricians agreed not to refer participants in the control group to an asthma nurse. Experimental Group: Patients in this group received the same usual care from a paediatrician every four months. During these visits the paediatrician also discussed an asthma management zone system with the participants. This system has been developed to instruct patients about disease characteristics, triggers for airway obstruction and treatment objectives. The paediatricians also discussed the peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurements which the participants had registered during the two weeks preceding the visit to the paediatrician. Furthermore, the 4 visits to the paediatrician were each combined with a visit to an asthma nurse. The asthma nurses discussed several aspects of the disease individually with the participants, making use of drawings and written information. Every participant also participated in three group sessions, which took place once a week after the 3 individual sessions with the asthma nurse had taken place. After the 3 group sessions were completed, a fourth individual visit to the asthma nurse took place. The participants also received a written summary of the group sessions they had attended. Each individual session with the asthma nurse lasted approximately 30 minutes and each group session was 90 minutes. The various sessions of the intervention programme were spread out over a period of one year. During the second year, all patients in both control and intervention groups received the same usual care from their paediatrician	

van Es 2001 (Continued)

Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: Self-reported adherence was assessed by asking participants to score their adherence on a 1 to 10-point scale (range: 1 = never take the meds, 10 = always takes prophylactic meds as prescribed). Expert-reported adherence was assessed by asking the participant's physician to rate the adherence of the patients on a visual analogue scale (VAS) on a 100% scale. The physicians were asked to estimate the adherence of the patient during the previous two months. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Lung function was measured via Forced Expiratory Volume (FEV). Subjective severity of asthma was assessed by asking the participant one question with a 5-point scale (1 = not at all bothered, no symptoms, to 5 = severely bothered, unable to function). Morbidity variables (number of admissions to hospital, number of prescriptions or oral steroids for an exacerbation) were also recorded	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Van Servellen 2005

Methods	Participants (n = 85) were randomly assigned to receive the intervention program (n = 42) and the control group (n = 43), receiving standard clinic care only. Clinic medical records were screened by the clinical trials staff for eligible patients. Neither the method of randomization nor the allocation concealment was described	
Participants	All clinic medical records were screened by the clinical trials staff and were identified as eligible if the following criteria were met: male or female, 18 years or older, and had problems with medication adherence as charted in the patients medical record, Spanish-speaking, detectable viral load, and taking antiretroviral medications for at least 3 months. Patients were excluded if they had both a problem with adherence as well as a detectable viral load or were having adherence problems with undetectable viral loads. Being naive to medication, on or off medication, and numbers of previous medication combinations, were not considered in including participants. Changes in medication, discontinuation of a medication or the addition of another, did not constitute a reason to drop participants from the study. No patients were taken off antiretroviral therapy	
Interventions	The intervention consisted first of modular instruction that was provided by the nurse practitioner and health educators to group patients only and included 5 sequential sessions aimed at increasing patients' HIV knowledge and abilities to communicate with medical staff. After these modular sessions, phone call and face-to-face encounters with the nurse practitioner were conducted with participants where the focus was to address patients' risks for non-adherence using problem-solving and motivational interviewing strategies. These sessions included reviewing content not fully understood in the group sessions, identifying and problem-solving ways to lower barriers to change, and to adherence management, and identifying community, treatment, and social support services or referrals to help them to address barriers to adherence. Patients in the control group received standard clinic care only	
Outcomes	Medication adherence self-efficacy was measured with the Adherence Baseline Questionnaire. Medication adherence was analyzed in a number of ways: 1) calculated as a percentage of those missing 2 or more doses in the last 24 hours and last 4 days; 2) the proportion of doses missed per day was calculated by	

Van Servellen 2005 (Continued)

	dividing the number of doses they should have taken by the number they took for each of the 4 days. The average proportion for the 4 days was calculated by averaging the mean proportion of doses missed for all 4 days; 3). percentages of participants who missed on average greater than 10% or 5% of their medications during the last 4 days were calculated for both groups; and 4) dichotomous variables were created identifying those who had greater than 90% and greater than 95% adherence in the past 4 days. Medical records were used to assess CD4 count, viral load and viral log changes at baseline, 3 months, and 6 months. Medication adherence was collected in interviews with participants at baseline, at 6 weeks and at 6 months. Health status and disease progression were assessed with self-report and clinical laboratory information in the patients' medical charts. Self-reported health status was measured with an item assessing perceived level of general health status in the past week. HIV/AIDS disease and treatment knowledge and misconceptions were assessed using a 17-item survey
Notes	When patients were initially screened they were included if they had a problem with adherence. These patients were then re-screened for eligibility based on viral load, and those with detectable viral load excluded. Those with adherence problems and without detectable viral load were included in the trial. In addition, there was a discrepancy of n = 1 in the reporting of results for the intervention and control group; however, this is not considered to be an important difference for the outcomes of the trial

Risk of bias

Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Vergouwen 2005

Methods	In this study the general practitioners (GPs; n = 30) were randomly assigned to either the Depression Care Programme (DCP; n = 16), or the Standard Follow-Up Programme (SFP; n = 14). Random treatment assignment was placed in advance in a set of sealed, opaque envelopes by an individual who was not involved in the opening of the envelopes. When a GP was randomized, the GP's name and the number of the envelope were recorded before the envelope was opened. There were a total of 211 patients, 101 from the DCP and 110 from the SFP. The number of patients analysed were n = 81 in the DCP group and n = 96 for the SFU group
Participants	Patients were eligible for the study if they met the following criteria: primary diagnosis of depression fulfilling the criteria of a major depressive episode according to DSM-IV; at least 18 years of age; no renal or hepatic dysfunction. Subjects had to give written informed consent prior to participation and the MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview was used for the diagnostic psychiatric screening. Exclusion criteria were: benzodiazepines not stabilized at a maximum level of 10 mg diazepam or equivalent rate at least 4 weeks prior to start of treatment; use of other psychopharmacological medication ; a history of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder ; previously unresponsive to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) therapy (for depression or other indications) ; women who were pregnant, lactating or not using adequate contraception; a history of seizures (except for febrile seizures in childhood); meeting DSM-IV criteria for substance abuse within 3 months prior to the start of the trial, respectively substance dependence within 6 months; any serious medical condition that would, in the opinion of the GP, preclude the administration of a SSRI; a current serious suicidal or homicidal risk in the GP's judgment; and current psychological or psychotherapeutic treatment

Interventions	Depression care programme: Prior to every scheduled visit (7 visits in 26 weeks), the DCP patients received a newsletter by mail, which reviewed the biology and symptoms for depression, as well as the importance of antidepressant medication, and its effects and side effects. In addition, the need to continue treatment for up to 6 months, the value of social support, social stigmas and common misconceptions about depression were explained. Patients also were asked to complete homework assignments that involved: (1) fill out a questionnaire addressing the perceived costs and benefits of antidepressant medication, (2) to plan activities, and (3) to discuss their illness and treatment with significant others. Before each visit, the GPs received a summary of the content of the newsletter and the homework assignments and both patients and GPs were encouraged to discuss the topics and homework assignments during the visits. In particular, the GPs clarified the benefits of and perceived costs of taking antidepressant medication. Systematic follow-up programme: Patients and GPs in the SFP received no letters, homework, nor instructions. The SFP targeted only the structure of care: follow-up visits were scheduled and structured, and patients were assessed with the same frequency and with the same instruments as the patients in the DCP group	
Outcomes	Adherence with antidepressant medication was assessed during the visits at weeks 2, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22 and 26, by pill counts. When a patient did not return pills, the patient's self-reports were used. Early adherence was defined as > 70% medication intake during the first 10 weeks. Late adherence was defined as > 70% medication intake during the full 26 weeks. Clinical outcomes were measured using: (a) The MINI at baseline and week 26; (b) Clinical Global Impression (CGI) at baseline and all the following visits; (c) The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) at weeks 2, 6, 10, 18 and 26; and (d) The Symptom Checklist-90-Revised (SCL-90 R) at weeks 2, 10, 18 and 26. The GPs did assessments of adherence, MINI, and CGI and the BDI and SCL-90 R were self-rating questionnaires	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Volume 2001

Methods	Cluster randomized trial with pharmacies as the unit of randomization. Two of the 16 pharmacies were located two blocks apart in the same rural community. To minimize the risk of sample contamination between these two pharmacies, they were included in the same study group. One pair from the same community were assigned as a block. Eight pharmacies were randomly placed in the treatment group and 8 pharmacies were randomly to be in the control group. Pharmacists from five of the eight treatment pharmacies completed the practice enhancement program and began enrolling patients into the study	
Participants	Ambulatory elderly (> or = 65 years of age) patients (n = 60) covered under Alberta Health & Wellness's senior drug benefit plan and who were concurrently using three or more medications according to pharmacy profiles	
Interventions	In intervention group, pharmacists used the Pharmacist's Management of Drug-Related Problems (PM-DRP) instrument to summarize the information collected during the patient interview and prepared a "SOAP" record (Subjective, Objective, Assessment, and Plan) to document actions and follow-up. Pharmacists at control pharmacies continued to provide traditional pharmacy care	

Outcomes	Adherence to medication regimens was assessed using a four item self-report measure. Health-related quality of life was assessed using the SF-36 health survey. The SF-36 has been used extensively to evaluate the success of clinical interventions	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Walley 2001

Methods	RCT: individual patients were contacted by telephone through a third party (who was unaware of any information about the patient) at the research-team office, with a list of random allocations computer-generated by the research team. To allow for the possibility of failed telephone contact, facilities were also provided with pre-prepared allocations sealed in opaque envelopes. After randomisation, the enrolment officer and the patient discussed and agreed on the details of the selected treatment protocol	
Participants	497 patients were enrolled in the trial. Each adult (aged 15 years or older) whose initial diagnosis at the diagnostic centre was as a new case of sputum-positive pulmonary tuberculosis was sent to the enrolment officer who interviewed the patient to confirm eligibility for enrolment; in particular, to confirm that no treatment for tuberculosis had been taken previously, and that the patient lived in one of the trial catchment areas. Urban Rawalpindi was a WHO-sponsored "demonstration" site, patients in the demonstration site catchment area were excluded	
Interventions	170 were assigned DOTS with direct observation of treatment by health workers; 165 were assigned DOTS with direct observation of treatment by family members; and 162 were assigned self-administered treatment. The first, and prevailing, strategy was self-administered treatment, in which each patient collects drugs fortnightly from the most convenient health facility. The second was health-worker direct observation of treatment-ie, supervision by a health worker at a health facility when the patient met criteria for access to the facility, and by a community health worker at or near the patient's home otherwise. The access criteria, determined from the exploratory studies, were that the return journey from the patient's home to the health facility was a distance of less than 2 kilometres, a duration of less than 2 hours, and a cost of less than 10 rupees; and for unmarried women, an accompanying relative was to be available. The patient nominated the health facility most convenient for him or her. If the access criteria were met, a health worker at that facility was identified to supervise treatment; otherwise, a community health worker local to the patient's home and acceptable to the patient was chosen as supervisor. The supervisor was oriented on his or her role by a visiting field officer. The patient was then expected to attend the health facility or community health worker six times per week in the initial 2-month intensive phase to take the drugs. In the 6-month continuation phase, patients continued on self-administered treatment, collecting drugs fortnightly from the most convenient health facility or community health worker. The third strategy was family-member direct observation of treatment, that is, supervision by a family member. The patient was assisted in the selection of a concerned and influential family member as supervisor. The family member was oriented on his or her role. The patient (or family member) collected drugs fortnightly from the health facility most convenient for him or her. In both strategies involving direct observation of treatment, the supervisor was taught how to record drug-taking using a specially designed form, and	

Walley 2001 (Continued)

	was made aware of the importance of observing drug-taking and of encouraging the patient to complete treatment	
Outcomes	“Defaulted” in Table 2 as a proxy for “noncompliant”. Default was defined as failing to collect treatment from the health centre for two consecutive months during the course of treatment. : The outcome measures used were cure, and cure plus treatment completion	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors’ judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Weber 2004

Methods	Random allocation, not otherwise specified.	
Participants	60 HIV patients were randomized by the researchers after giving informed consent. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: therapy containing a combination of at least three different antiretroviral drugs of at least two different drug classes, viral load below 50 copies/ml documented within the previous 3 months and at screening visit, participation in the Swiss HIV cohort study, no intravenous drug use or on stable methadone maintenance in case of drug addiction	
Interventions	Participants were randomly assigned to a psychotherapist and given the contact information to schedule their own first appointment. Protocol defined a minimum of three and a maximum of 25 sessions within the 1-year study period. Participant and psychotherapist determined the frequency of appointments and set their own goals for future interventions. The method of intervention had to be based on concepts of cognitive behaviour therapy. Both intervention and control groups continued to receive standard care. Standard care included monthly visits for 12 months with assessments of clinical and laboratory data, course of treatment, drug adverse events and HIV-1 RNA	
Outcomes	An electronic medication exposure monitoring system was used to measure adherence. Outcome measures included virological and immunological outcomes, CD4 lymphocyte end-points, change in antiretroviral therapy during study, and psychosocial measures	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors’ judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate

Weinberger 2002

Methods	A cluster RCT: The 36 drugstores were divided into 12 clusters of 3 geographically proximal drugstores ("triplets"). The 3 drugstores within each triplet were matched on percentage of Medicaid-insured adults with reactive airways disease (to control for customers' socioeconomic status) and number of prescriptions filled (high versus low volume). Within each triplet, a random-number chart was used to assign drugstores to 1 of 3 study groups
Participants	1113 eligible patients were enrolled. 453 were chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients and 660 people with asthma. Patients were censored from the study if they died, were placed in a nursing home, moved away permanently from Indianapolis, their insurance no longer covered using these drugstores, or they lost telephone access. Customers were eligible if they: (1) filled a prescription for methylxanthines, inhaled corticosteroids, inhaled or oral sympathomimetics, inhaled parasympathetic antagonists, or inhaled cromolyn sodium during the preceding 4 months; (2) reported having COPD or asthma as an active problem; (3) were 18 years or older; (4) received 70% or more of their medications from a single study drugstore; (5) reported no significant impairment in vision, hearing, or speech that precluded participation; (6) did not reside in an institution (eg. nursing home); and (7) provided written informed consent
Interventions	Components of intervention in Pharmaceutical care program group (Group 1) included: 1) Computer Display of Patient-Specific Data. When a study patient filled any prescription (not only breathing medications), the drugstore computer alerted pharmacists to review patient-specific data contained in a separate study computer behind the counter. To safeguard patients' confidentiality, access to patient-specific data required pharmacists' individualized passwords. Study computers contained: (1) contact information for patients and 1 to 2 physicians caring for their breathing problem; (2) graphical display of all Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR) data gathered during monthly interviews; (3) dates and locations of recent emergency department visits and hospitalizations; and (4) breathing medications (including compliance rates and refill histories). These data were obtained during monthly telephone interviews. Pharmacists were encouraged to document their pharmaceutical care activities at the bottom of the screen. 2). Written Patient Educational Materials. One-page handouts were developed corresponding to specific problems associated with clinical data stored in the study computer. Handouts, designed to be easily understood by patients, used mnemonic devices and color coding to facilitate distribution by pharmacists. 3). Resource Guide. Attached to the study computer, guides contained laminated pages with practical suggestions to help pharmacists implement the program in a busy practice. 4). Pragmatic Strategies to Facilitate Pharmaceutical Care. To reinforce pharmacist training and facilitate program implementation: (1) pharmacists were encouraged to page the on-call investigator with questions; (2) an investigator made personal visits to each intervention drugstore every 1 to 2 months; (3) periodic newsletters containing information about reactive airways disease and suggestions on implementing the program were distributed; (4) weekly lists were faxed of recent patient activity (eg, medication refill, ED or hospital visit) and pharmacists' documented activities; and (5) pharmacists were provided with telephone appointment scheduling cards to facilitate interactions with patients at a mutually convenient time. During the final year of the study, pharmacists were paid \$50 per month for high rates of compliance with the pharmaceutical care protocol (viewing data on the study computer for 90% of patients and documenting actions for 75% of patients). Patients in the pharmaceutical care group received a peak flow meter, instruction about its use, and monthly calls from research personnel to obtain current PEFR results. The peak flow meter monitoring control group (group 2) also received a peak flow meter, instructions about its use, and monthly calls to elicit PEFRs. However, PEFR data were not provided to the pharmacist. Patients in the usual-care group received neither peak flow meters nor instructions in their use; during monthly telephone interviews, PEFR rates were not elicited. Pharmacists in both control groups also had a 4-hour training session although the topics were different and they received no components of the pharmaceutical care program

Weinberger 2002 (Continued)

Outcomes	Compliance measures were made at baseline, at 6 month and 12 months by face-to-face interview using 2 validated measures: a single-item indicator (proportion of noncompliance), and a 4-item scale ranging from 0 (low) to 4 (high) noncompliance. Self-report had been found to be valid when inquiries were made in a nonthreatening manner. Clinical Health Outcomes included: Peak expiratory flow rates, breathing-related emergency department or hospital visits, health-related quality of life (HRQOL), medication compliance, and patient satisfaction	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Wysocki 2001

Methods	At the end of baseline evaluation, a research assistant randomly assigned each family to one of the three groups. Randomization was stratified by the adolescent's sex and by the treatment center. (no statement of concealment of allocation). It is also unclear whether outcomes assessors were blinded. Due to the nature of the intervention, patients could not be blinded. It should be noted that despite randomization the three treatment groups differed demographically at baseline. The BFST group included significantly fewer intact families and more single-parents families than did the other two groups	
Participants	Inclusion criteria included the following: 12 to 17 years of age, having Type I diabetes for more than 1 year, no other major chronic diseases, no mental retardation, not incarcerated in foster care or in residential psychiatric treatment, no diagnoses of psychosis major depression or substance abuse disorder in adolescents or parents during the previous 6 months. Also, at least one family member had to obtain a score on the Diabetes Responsibility and Conflict scale > 24 or a score > 5 on the Conflict Behavior Questionnaire	
Interventions	Families were randomized to three months of treatment with either Behavioral-Family Systems Therapy (BFST), an education and support (ES) group, or current therapy (CT). Current Therapy: patients in the CT group (as well as those in the other groups) received standard diabetes therapy from pediatric endocrinologists, including an examination by a physician and a GHb assay at least quarterly; two or more daily injection of mixed intermediate- and short-acting insulins; self-monitoring of blood glucose and recording of test results; diabetes self-management training; a prescribed diet; physical exercise and an annual evaluation for diabetic complications. Education and Support: In the first 3 months of the study, families attended 10 groups meetings that provided diabetes education and social support. A social worker at one center and a health educator at another center served as group facilitators. Panels of 2 to 5 families began and completed 10 sessions together; the parents and the adolescent with the diabetes attended the sessions. Family communication and conflict resolution skills were specifically excluded from session content, because these are the primary targets of BFST. Each session included a 45-minute educational presentation by a diabetes professional, followed by a 45-minute interaction among the families about a topic led by the facilitator. A monetary incentive, outlined below, was also provided to patients in this group. BFST: Adolescents and caregivers in this group received 10 sessions of BFST. BFST consisted of four therapy components that were used in accordance with each family's treatment needs as identified by the project psychologists and was based on study data and family interaction during sessions. The	

Wysocki 2001 (Continued)

	four therapy components included problem-solving training, communication skills training, cognitive restructuring and functional and structural family therapy. A monetary incentive, outlined below, was also provided to patients in this group. Monetary incentive - To maximize completion of data collection, families were paid \$100 (\$50 for parent, \$50 for adolescent) on completion of each evaluation. ES and BFST families could earn another \$100 if they completed all 10 scheduled intervention sessions	
Outcomes	Measurement of Compliance: A 14-item, validated Self-Care Inventory (SCI) was used to measure diabetes treatment adherence during the preceding 3 months. Higher scores indicate better treatment adherence. Questionnaires were given at baseline, at posttreatment (3 months) and at 6 and 12 months after treatment ended. Measurement of Clinical Health Outcomes: Glycated Hemoglobin (GHb) assays were conducted using affinity chromatography to index recent glycaemic control. General parent-adolescent relationships were assessed via the Parent-Adolescent Relationship Questionnaire (PARQ), and Type I diabetes-specific psychological adjustment was assessed via the Teen Adjustment to Diabetes Scale (TADS). Questionnaires were given at baseline, at posttreatment (3 months) and at 6 and 12 months after treatment ended	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Xiong 1994

Methods	Random allocation, not otherwise specified.	
Participants	63 DSM-III-R Chinese schizophrenic patients living with family members	
Interventions	Standard care (medication prescription at hospital discharge plus laissez faire follow-up on patient's or family's initiative) vs. a family based intervention that included monthly 45 minute counselling sessions focused on the management of social and occupational problems, medication management, family education, family group meetings, and crisis intervention	
Outcomes	Medication usage was assessed by family member reports. Time for which the patient took more than 50% of prescribed dosage was the measure for comparison of groups. Psychiatric outcomes were assessed at 6, 12, and 18 months following hospital discharge by observers who were trained clinical researchers, blinded to study group allocation	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Yopp 2004

Methods	Following completion of this baseline assessment, adolescents (n = 53) were randomly assigned to either the standard care condition (n = 26) or the multisystemic therapy (MST) plus standard care condition (n = 27). The data collection staff worked independently from the MST intervention staff, and were blind to treatment conditions for the subjects	
Participants	Participating adolescents had: a) a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes for a minimum of one year; b) glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) of at least 8% at the onset of the study; c) an average HbA1c of at least 8% for the previous year. Adolescents were excluded from the study if they: a) were unable to speak English; b) had been diagnosed with a thought disorder, such as schizophrenia, or; c) suffered from an additional chronic illness that may interfere with conventional treatment for type 1 diabetes	
Interventions	Standard care consisted of receiving treatment from a multidisciplinary team. Adolescents and their families attended clinic appointments every 3 months to monitor the adolescent's health and were provided with traditional diabetes education services and eligible to be referred to community-based mental health agencies for psychological or adherence concerns. In addition to the standard diabetes care described above, adolescents and their families assigned to the MST intervention received approximately 7 months of this family-based treatment. The initial goals of treatment were to understand and form hypotheses as to what factors are maintaining the adolescent's poor health status. Interventions were then implemented to address these problematic areas, including problems with general and diabetes-specific family interaction patterns negatively impacting the adolescent's treatment adherence. Overarching goals of MST interventions often included increasing family cohesion and structure, as they relate to completing diabetes management tasks. A variety of behaviorally-based, action-oriented interventions may have been used during MST including parent management training, problem-solving skills training, and contingency management. Specifically, family-based interventions are designed to improve communication between family members regarding completion of adherence tasks, ensure adequate parental supervision of diabetes management behaviors, and provide family members with strategies to address general and diabetes-specific conflict situations. Overall, the goal of MST is to encourage parents to adopt an authoritative parenting style in which they are responsive to their adolescent's health needs, able to see clearly defined expectations for adherence behaviors, and able to enforce effective discipline strategies to address problematic behavior. Treatment was terminated when overarching goals were accomplished	
Outcomes	Compliance was measured using the 1) Diabetes Management Scale (DMS) and 2) The Twenty-Four Hour Recall Interview. Both were administered separately to the adolescent and parent.. Glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) values were used as clinical endpoints	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Zhang 1994

Methods	Random allocation not otherwise specified.	
Participants	Men discharged after their first admission to the hospital for schizophrenia. Schizophrenia was defined according to the Chinese Medical Association criteria. Inclusion criteria were no serious concurrent medical illnesses, living within commuting distance of the hospital, and willingness to attend regular family intervention sessions. Mean age for the 78 men who were followed was 24 years. Occupation was the only baseline characteristic that was not the same in each group	
Interventions	Men in both groups came to the outpatient department by their own choice; no regular appointments were made and there was no routine follow-up. Medication was obtained at these visits. Families and patients in the family intervention group were assigned to one of two counsellors for their ongoing care, were invited to come to a discharge session that focused on education about the management of the patient's treatment, asked to come to a family group counselling session with other families three months after discharge, and then attend three-monthly group sessions with other families with similar patient problems. Non-attendance triggered a visit from study staff. Each family was contacted at least once during the 18-month follow-up. Control group patients received no family interventions	
Outcomes	All patients were seen every three months by staff physicians, blinded to the group assignment, where medication status and adherence were assessed. Adherence was defined as taking at least 33% of dose prescribed at the time of the index discharge for at least six days/week. Non-adherence was anything else. Readmission to hospital and the mean hospital free period for those who were readmitted were the treatment outcomes assessed	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Item	Authors' judgement	Description
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Adamian 2004	Confounded comparison groups.
Adams 2000	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications. No measure of treatment outcome.
Adams 2001	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Adler 2004	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Al Rashed 2002	No measure of treatment outcome.

(Continued)

Al-Saffer 2005	Follow-up too short. Follow-up rate < 80%. Pseudo-randomization method. No measure of treatment outcome.
Allen 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Alves da Costa 2005	Follow-up too short.
Antoni 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Arthur 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Atherton-Naji 2001	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Azrin 1998	Only 2 months of follow-up.
Baker 2001	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Ball 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Banet 1997	No measure of compliance with medication at baseline.
Barbanel 2003	No measure of medication adherence
Barcelo 2001	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Bass 1986	Confounded comparison groups.
Begley 1997	No specific disease/disorder being treated. No specific medication. No specific measure of treatment outcome.
Berg 1997	Study duration too short.
Bertakis 1986	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Binstock 1986	Missing data on adherence.
Birrer 1984	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Birtwhistle 2004	Confounded comparison groups.
Bisserbe 1997	Study duration too short.
Bodsworth 1997	No compliance data presented and < 80% follow-up.

(Continued)

Bonner 2002	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Bouvy 2003a	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Bouvy 2003b	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Brodady 1983	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Brook 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Brook 2003	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Brook 2005	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Brotans 2005	No measure of adherence outcome.
Brown 1987	Missing description of disease outcome.
Brown 1997b	No measure of compliance with medications.
Browne 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Buchanan-Lee 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Bukstein 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Bungay 2004	No measurement of adherence.
Burkhart 2002	Only 5 weeks of follow-up.
Burnand 2002	10-week follow-up.
Caine 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Cantor 1985	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Capoccia 2004	Confounded comparison groups.
Cargill 1992	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Carroll 2004	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Celik 1997	Follow-up in < 80%.
Chaisson 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Cheng 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.

(Continued)

Cheung 1988	Confounded comparison groups.
Chien 2006	No measure of adherence outcome.
Chiou-Tan 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Chisholm 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Choi 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Clancy 2003	No measure of medication adherence.
Clarkin 1998	Less than 80% follow-up.
Clifford 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Cochran 1984	38 patients were randomized before consent. When consent was requested, only 28 (74%) agreed so that the maximum, follow-up was less than 80%. 2 additional patients dropped out after giving consent
Cockburn 1997	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Cohn 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Colom 2003	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Cooper 2004	No measurement of adherence.
Cordina 2001	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Couturaud 2002	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Cramer 2003	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Crilly 2005	No measure of adherence outcome.
Crockett 2006	Follow-up too short.
Daley 1992	Missing description of disease outcome.
Datto 2003	Confounding of physician adherence intervention with patient adherence intervention
De Jonghe 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
de Klerk 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
de Lusignan 2001	No measure of medication adherence.

(Continued)

de Wit 2001	Follow-up too short (8 weeks).
Dehesa 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Deinzer 2006	No measure of adherence outcome.
Delaronde 2005	Follow-up rate < 80%. Pseudo-randomization method.
Demiralay 2002	Follow-up too short (only 2 months).
Demyttenaere 1998	Study too short duration.
Demyttenaere 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
DiIorio 2003	Follow-up too short (only 2 months).
Dittrich 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Donadio 2001	No measure of medication adherence.
Edworthy 1999	Follow-up too short (only 8 weeks).
Elixhauser 1990	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Eron 2000	Regimen/follow-up too short (only 16 weeks for HIV therapy).
Eshelman 1976	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Evers 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Falloon 1985	Missing data on adherence.
Feinstein 1959	Confounded comparison groups.
Fennell 1994	Confounded comparison groups.
Finkelstein 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Finley 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Finney 1985	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Fisher 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Franchini 2006	Not randomized.

(Continued)

Francis 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Frangou 2005	Follow-up too short.
Freemantle 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Frick 2001	No patients are prescribed medication for a medical (including psychological) disorder
Fujioka 2003	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Fumaz 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Gabriel 1977	Missing description of disease outcome.
Gallefoss 2004	Confounded comparison groups.
Garcao 2002	The intervention is confounded..
Garety 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%. No measure of adherence outcome.
Garnett 1981	Missing description of disease outcome.
Gibbs 1989	Missing description of disease outcome.
Gilfillin 2002	No measure of medication adherence.
Godemann 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Goodyer 1995	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Goujard 2003	Follow-up was < 80%.
Graham 2002a	Confounded comparison groups.
Graham 2002b	Only 4 months follow-up.
Grant 2003	Follow-up was < 80%.
Gupta 2001	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Guthrie 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Gwadry-Sridhar 2005	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Hamet 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Hamilton 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.

(Continued)

Hammond 2001	No measure of medication adherence.
Hampton 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
Hardstaff 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Haubrich 1999	Less than 80% follow-up at 6 months.
Hayes 2003	Patients are not prescribed a medication.
Heard 1999	In addition to 3 asthma clinic sessions, a GP consultation (where medications could be potentially be altered) was added to the intervention group. Also, it is unclear whether medication adherence is actually measured (i.e. paper only states that 'medication use' is assessed)
Herschorn 2004	Follow-up rate < 80%. Follow-up too short.
Hertling 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Hesselink 2004	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Hoffman 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Holzemer 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Hornung 1998a	Patients initially randomized into treatment groups. However, these groups were re-arranged (not randomly) for the purposes of analysis
Hovell 2003	No outcomes measured.
Insull 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
Jameson 1995	Confounded intervention group (combined adherence intervention with adjustments to medications)
Johnson 1997	Study too short duration.
Johnson 2006	No endpoints reported.
Jones 2003	10 weeks of follow-up.
Kakuda 2001	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Kardas 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
Katellaris 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Katon 2002	Confounded comparison groups.

(Continued)

Katon 2004	Measured adherence outcomes but no results reported Unclear whether all patients were on a medication (some took insulin and some had antidepressants)
Kelly 1988	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Kelly 1990	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Kelly 1991	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Kiarie 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Klein 2001	No measure of adherence.
Kogos Jr. 2004	Not randomized. Patients are prescribed medication for a chronic condition but does not specify the medical disorder (might be a range). Unknown follow-up status. Follow-up too short.
Krein 2004	Confounded comparison groups.
Krudson 2002	No measure of medication adherence.
Kumar 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Kutcher 2002	Follow-up less than 80% of participants.
Lafeuillade 2001	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Laffel 2003	No measure of adherence.
Lam 2003	Intervention was 12 to 18 sessions of cognitive therapy, which is a confounder
Laramee 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Lawson 2005	Intervention not tailored to improve adherence, only to adjust insulin levels
Leal 2004	No measurement of adherence.
Lee 2003	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Leenan 1997	Study too short duration.
Lemstra 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Leung 2003	Different meds in the 2 arms (rifamp+pyraz versus IHN) as well as different durations (2 months versus 6 months)

(Continued)

Levesque 1983	Confounded comparison groups.
Levine 1979	Missing data on adherence.
Levy 2004	Follow-up too short. Follow-up rate < 80%.
Lewis 1984	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Lin 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Linkewich 1974	Missing description of disease outcome.
Linszen 1996	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Logan 1979	Confounded comparison groups.
Lopez-Vina 2000	Follow-up less than 80%.
Lwilla 2003	Follow-up less than 80%.
MacIntyre 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Maiman 1978	Missing description of disease outcome.
Malotte 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Manders 2001	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Mann 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Mannheimer 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Mannheimer 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Mantzaris 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
MarquezContreras04b	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Maslennikova 1998	Confounded: patients in education group also visited 'super-specialist' doctors, while the control group received no education and also only visited regular primary doctors. Therefore, cannot separate effects of the education from the effects of having different physicians
Maspero 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
Matsuyama 1993	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.

(Continued)

Maxwell 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Mazzuca 1986	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
McCrinkle 1997	Study duration too short.
McFarlane 1995	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Miklowitz 2000	Less than 80% follow-up.
Miklowitz 2003	Less than 80% follow-up.
Millard 2003	No measure of medication adherence.
Miller 1990	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Mita 2003	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Morisky 1980	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Morisky 1983	Missing data on adherence.
Morisky 1990	Missing description of disease outcome.
Morisky 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Moulding 2002	No measure of treatment outcome.
Moya 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%. Not an RCT.
Muhlig 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Mundt 2001	Less than 80% follow-up at 6 months.
Murphy 2002	No measure of treatment outcome.
Murray 1993	Missing description of disease outcome.
Myers 1984	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Myers 1992	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Naunton 2003	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Nessman 1980	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.

(Continued)

Ngoh 1997	No measure of treatment outcome reported.
Nides 1993	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Noonan 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
Nyomba 2004	76% follow-up rate.
O'Connor 1996	Non-randomised trial.
O'Suilleabhain 2002	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Onyirimba 2003	Follow-up was less than 80%.
Phan 1995	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Polonsky 2003	Follow-up was less than 80%.
Ponnusankar 2004	No measurement of treatment outcome.
Poplawska 2004	No measurement of adherence.
Portilla 2003	Follow-up was less than 80%.
Purcell 2004	No measure of treatment outcome. Not all participants taking medication.
Putnam 1994	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Qazi 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Rapoff 2002	Follow-up less than 80% of participants.
Rathbun 2005	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Raynor 1993	Missing description of disease outcome.
Razali 1997	Compliance measured to determine eligibility, but not measured through the course of the study
Rehder 1980	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Reid 2005	Addiction study (alcoholism).
Rettig 1986	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Rich 1996	Follow up too short or on less than 80% of participants.

(Continued)

Rickheim 2002a	No measure of medication adherence.
Rickheim 2002b	No measure of medication adherence.
Rigsby 2000	Follow up less than 6 months, and trial is not definitively negative since there are less than 50 patients per group
Riis 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
Rimer 1987	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Robinson 1986	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Rodriguez 2003	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Rosen 2004	Follow-up time was only 4 months.
Ross 2004	78.5% follow-up rate.
Roy-Byrne 2001	Confounded: part of intervention included pharmacotherapy with a SSRI, whereas usual care patients received 'treatment as usual' from their physician. Therefore, control and intervention groups may have different drug regimens
Rudnicka 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Ruoff 2005	Follow-up rate < 80%. No control group. Follow-up too short.
Safren 2003	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Sanchez 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Sanmarti 1993	Missing description of disease outcome.
Saunders 1991	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Sawicki 1999	Confounded comparison groups.
Schmaling 2001	Follow-up less than 80% of participants.
Schoenbaum 2001	No measure of medication adherence.
Schousboe 2005	No clear measure of treatment outcome.
Schwartz 1981	Confounded comparison groups.

(Continued)

Sciar 1991	Missing description of disease outcome.
Seal 2003	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Segador 2005	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Seggev 1998	Less than 80% follow-up (78.8%).
Sellors 1997	No treatment outcome measured.
Sellwood 2001	Confounded comparison groups.
Serfaty 2002	No measure of treatment outcome.
Serfaty 2003	Confounded comparison groups.
Shames 2004	Confounded comparison groups.
Sharpe 1974	Missing description of disease outcome.
Shepard 1979	Missing data on adherence.
Sherbourne 2001	No measure of medication adherence.
Sherman 2001	Confounded comparison groups, and no intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Shetty 1997	No random assignment to treatment groups.
Silverman 2002	No measure of medication adherence.
Simkins 1986	Missing description of disease outcome.
Simmons 2001	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Simon 2002	No measure of medication adherence.
Simon 2005	Intervention aimed at changing prescribing behaviour. No measure of adherence. Follow-up rate < 80%.
Simon 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Smith 1986	Missing description of disease outcome.
Smith 2003	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.

(Continued)

Solomon 1988	Missing description of disease outcome. Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Solomon 1997	Study too short duration.
Stringer 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Stuart 2003	No measure of treatment outcome.
Sturgess 2003	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Stuurman-Bieze 2005	Follow-up too short. No data on control group. No measure of treatment outcome.
Suppakitiporn 2005	No measure of adherence outcome. Unknown follow-up status.
Surwit 2002	No measure of medication adherence.
Svoren 2003	No measure of medication adherence.
Swartz 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Taggart 1981	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Takala 1979	Missing data on adherence.
Tapanya 1997	Study too short duration.
Taylor 2001	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Taylor 2003	The interventions are mainly directed at enhancing therapy though reviewing patients' drug regimens. Enhancing adherence is a secondary objective; for the outcomes measured, the independent effects of the adherence part can't be separated out
Tinkelman 1980	Confounded comparison groups.
Toyota 2003	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Treiber 2002	Confounded comparison groups.
Trienekens 1993	Confounded comparison groups.
Unutzer 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Unutzer 2002	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications

(Continued)

Vale 2003	No measure of medication adherence.
Valles 2003	No measure of medication adherence.
Van der 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Van Dyke 2002	Confounded comparison groups and no intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
van Es 2001	No measure of treatment outcome.
Vander Stichele 1992	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Velasco 2002	No measure of medication adherence.
VeldhuizenScott 1995	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Vestergaard 1997	No treatment outcome reported.
Vetter 1999	No compliance intervention, since patients in control group received clarithromycin 250 mg twice daily, while patients in intervention group received clarithromycin 500mg (modified release) once daily PLUS placebo
Vivian 2002	Confounded: the intervention included both changing medications as needed and compliance counselling
Vrijens 1997	Study duration too short.
Wagner 2002	No measure of treatment outcome.
Walker 2006	Confounded comparison groups. No specific disease/disorder being treated. Inadequate measure of clinical outcome.
Wasilewski 2000	Confounded: different medications and different medication schedule in intervention and control groups
Webb 1980	Confounded comparison groups.
Weiss 2002	Follow-up rate less than 80% of participants.
Wells 2004	Confounded comparison groups.
Williams 1986	Missing description of disease outcome.
Williams 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Windsor 1990	Missing description of disease outcome.
Wise 1986	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.

(Continued)

Wohl 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Wong 1987	Missing description of disease outcome.
Wright 2003	No measure of medication adherence.
Wu 2006	Follow-up rate < 80%.
Xiang 1994	Follow-up too short or on less than 80% of participants.
Xiao 2001	No intervention intended to affect adherence with prescribed, self-administered medications
Yeboah-Antwi 2001	No measure of medication adherence.
Yuan 2003	No measure of medication adherence.
Zarnke 1997	Study too short duration.
Zermansky 2002	Patients are not prescribed medication for a medical (including psychological) disorder
Ziauddin Hyder 2002	No measure of treatment outcome.

Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

Bosworth 2005

Trial name or title	The veterans' study to improve the control of hypertension (V-STITCH): design and methodology
Methods	
Participants	588 patients with a diagnosis of hypertension, enrolled in the Durham VAMC primary care clinic and had a filled prescription for a hypertension medication in the past year
Interventions	A cluster-randomized trial with 30 primary care providers randomized to receive the provider intervention or usual care. The provider intervention is a patient-specific electronically generated hypertension decision support system (DSS). For these providers, a sample of their hypertensive patients was randomly assigned to the intervention or usual care. The patient intervention incorporates patients' need assessments and involves tailored behavioral and education modules to promote medication adherence and improve specific health behaviors. All modules are delivered by a nurse case manager over the telephone bi-monthly for 24 months
Outcomes	The primary outcome is BP control measured at each primary care visit and is obtained from patients' medical records. The secondary outcomes are knowledge and perceived risks associated with hypertension, ability to continue hypertension regimen and medication adherence, which is assessed from pharmacy records for the entire 24-month period
Starting date	Unknown

Bosworth 2005 (Continued)

Contact information	Hayden B. Bosworth, Ph.D; Center for Health Services Research in Primary Care, Duke University, 2424 Erwin Road, Hock Plaza, Durham, NC 27703; 919- 286- 6936; hboswort@acpub.duke.edu
Notes	

Bosworth 2007

Trial name or title	The Take Control of Your Blood pressure (TCYB) study: Study design and methodology
Methods	
Participants	569 patients with a diagnosis of hypertension, using a hypertension medication and enrolled in one of two primary care clinics for at least a year
Interventions	A randomized controlled health services intervention trial with a two by two design testing 1) home blood pressure self-monitoring, and 2) tailored behavioral self-management intervention that is administered via telephone by a nurse
Outcomes	The primary outcome is BP control at baseline and at subsequent 6-month intervals. The secondary outcomes are knowledge and perceived risks associated with hypertension, ability to continue hypertension regimen and medication adherence, which is assessed using self-reported measures
Starting date	Unknown
Contact information	Hayden B. Bosworth, Ph.D; Center for Health Services Research in Primary Care, Duke University, 2424 Erwin Road, Hock Plaza, Durham, NC 27703; 919- 286- 6936; hboswort@acpub.duke.edu
Notes	

Ogedegbe 2007

Trial name or title	An RCT of the effect of motivational interviewing on medication adherence in hypertensive African Americans: rationale and design
Methods	
Participants	190 African American adults, diagnosed with hypertension, taking at least one antihypertensive medication and fluent in English
Interventions	Randomized control trial testing an intervention that uses motivational interviewing techniques to counsel patients about medication adherence and its related behaviors; conducted with the aid of an adapted version of a standardized structured adherence counseling script
Outcomes	The primary outcome of medication adherence is assessed via both the MEMS and the Morisky self-report medication adherence questionnaire. Secondary outcomes are within-patient changes in both SBP and DBP, and within-patient changes in self efficacy and intrinsic motivation scores from baseline to 12 months

Ogedegbe 2007 (Continued)

Starting date	Baseline assessments were completed between July 2002 and May 2005; interventions started in October 2002 and it is ongoing. The 12-month follow-up visits started in July 2003 and are also ongoing
Contact information	Gbenga Ogedegbe, M.D., M.P.H., M.S; Columbia University Medical Center, United States; goo1@columbia.edu
Notes	

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Studies that met criteria

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Adherence and Outcome			Other data	No numeric data

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Studies that met criteria, Outcome 1 Adherence and Outcome.

Adherence and Outcome

Study	Clinical Problem	Intervention	Control	Effect on Adherence	Effect on Outcome
Al-Eidan 2002	Helicobacter pylori	Intervention patients (n = 38) received their medicines via the hospital pharmacy and were counselled (and followed up) by a hospital pharmacist	Control patients (n = 38) were given a standard advice sheet and referred to their GP who prescribed the same therapy	Yes for improving compliance to a 1-week course of triple therapy to eradicate H-pylori	Yes for improving clinical outcomes for the intervention group who had a significantly higher rate of H-pylori eradication
Andrade 2005	HIV	Disease Management Assistant System (DMAS) device, programmed with verbal reminder messages and dosing times for medications in the highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) regimen with monthly adherence counseling and feedback (see Control; n = 29)	Monthly adherence counseling (education about barriers to adherence, hazards of non-adherence, their prescribed HAART regimen) and adherence feedback (n = 29)	No for all adherence outcomes.	No for CD4+ cell count. Yes for plasma HIV RNA (significant for 2 of 4 measures)
Ansah 2001	Malaria	The use of pre-packed chloroquine tablets (n = 155).	The use of chloroquine syrup (n = 144).	Yes. The tablet form of medicine resulted in higher adherence rates, but it isn't established whether this is due to the formulation or the	No, there was no difference in the clinical outcomes.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

				lack of provision of a standard measuring device	
Bailey 1990	Asthma	Pamphlet, workbook, counselling, phone follow-up, support group, and reinforcement of adherence (n = 132)	Instructional pamphlet alone (n = 135).	Yes.	Yes.
Bailey 1999	Asthma	Two intervention groups: 1) Asthma Self Management Program (n = 78) - a skill-oriented self-help workbook, which patients were counseled about in a one-on-one session and during two asthma support group meetings. Patients were also given peak flow meters and trained to use them for early detection of impending asthma attacks They also received 2 telephone calls and a follow-up letter at 1, 2, and 4 weeks, after the counseling session. 2) Core-Elements Program (n = 76) - a revised, shortened workbook that was reviewed in a 15 to 20 minutes one-to-one counseling session. Patients were trained to use inhalers and peak flow meters. They also received a follow-up	Usual education from their physician, as well as a standardized set of pamphlets containing information about asthma. No steps were taken to ensure that patients read the pamphlets (n = 78)	No (medication adherence and inhaler use)	No for all clinical outcomes (asthma symptoms, respiratory illness, functional impairment, use of health services)

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		telephone counseling session a week later and a follow-up letter two weeks later			
Baird 1984	Hypertension	Once daily metoprolol (n = 196).	Twice daily metoprolol (n = 193).	Yes.	No.
Beaucage 2006	Acute Infections	Pharmacist telephone follow-up intervention (PTFI; n = 126).	Usual pharmacist intervention (UPI; n = 129).	No.	No for all clinical outcomes.
Becker 1986	Hypertension	Special "reminder" pill packaging (n = 86).	Separate vials for each medication (n = 85).	No.	No.
Berrien 2004	HIV	The intervention in intervention group (n = 20) consisted of eight structured home visits over a 3-month period by the same home care experienced registered nurse. The visits were designed to improve knowledge and understanding of HIV infection, to identify and resolve real and potential barriers to medication adherence, and ultimately to improve adherence. Spanish-speaking case managers, incentives, notebooks with stickers and pill-swallowing training were also part of the home visit training sessions	In the clinic setting for control group (n = 17), the physician, nurse and social worker provided standard medication adherence education at clinic appointments generally scheduled at 3-month intervals. Phone follow-ups and a single home visit were planned if the staff felt they were needed. Visual aids for remembering medications, medication boxes, beepers, and general technical and emotional support were regularly offered. The clinic nurse contacted the family by telephone when the patient was starting a new medica-	Yes for pharmacy report of refill frequency; no for self-reported	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

			tion, was having difficulty with adherence, or needed clarification and support. A single home visit was planned when and if the clinic staff believed medication adherence was poor despite the implementation of the above listed techniques		
Brown 1997a	Hyperlipidemia and coronary artery disease	Controlled release niacin twice daily (n = 31).	Regular niacin four times a day (n = 31)	Yes.	Yes.
Brus 1998	Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA)	Six patient education meetings. The education programme focused on compliance with sulphasalazine therapy, physical exercises, endurance activities (walking, swimming, bicycling), advice on energy conservation, and joint protection. Four (two hour) meetings were offered during the first months. Reinforcement meetings were given after four and eight months. The programme was implemented in groups and partners were invited to attend the meetings. (n = 29)	The control group received a brochure on RA, as provided by the Dutch League against Rheumatism. This brochure gives comprehensive information on medication, physical and occupational therapy (n = 31)	No.	No.
Canto De Cetina 2001	Contraception	175 received detailed structured pretreatment counseling about the hor-	175 received routine counseling on duration of use and efficacy of the contra-	Yes for the cumulative termination rates.	Yes for the cumulative termination rates.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		monal effects of the in-jectable contraceptive depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate (Depo-Provera)	ceptive method		
Chaplin 1998	Schizophrenia	Individual semi-structured educational sessions discussing the benefits and adverse effects of antipsychotic drugs, including tardive dyskinesia (n = 28)	Usual care (n = 28).	No.	No.
Colcher 1972	Strep throat	Special counselling and written instructions on need to take all pills (n = 100)	Usual care (n = 100)	Yes.	Yes.
Collier 2005	HIV	Serial, scripted and supportive telephone calls from a nurse plus usual adherence support (same as control group; n = 142)	Usual support measures including in-person counselling by a nurse at start of therapy and discretionary phone calls (n = 140)	No.	No.
Cote 1997	Asthma	Extensive asthma education program plus written self-managed action plan based on peak expiratory flow (PEF) (n = 50) or based on asthma symptom monitoring (n = 45)	Basic information provided plus verbal action plan could be given by physician (n = 54)	No for each intervention.	No for each intervention.
Cote 2001	Asthma	Patients in Group Limited Education (LE) (n = 30) were given a self-action plan that was ex-	The patients in Group C (control, n = 35) received the usual treatment given for	No.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		<p>plained by the on call physician. The ac-tion plan used “traf-fic lights” (green, yellow, red) to de-scribe specific states of asthma control based on Peak Ex-piratory Flow and symptoms and ac-tions that the pa-tient should take for each state. Subjects were all instructed by a respiratory thera-pist or study nurse in the proper use of an inhaler. In addi-tion to what patients in Group LE re-ceived, the patients in Group Structured Education (SE n = 33) participated in a structured asthma educational pro-gram based on the PRECEDE model of health education within 2 weeks after their randomization</p>	<p>an acute asthma ex-acerbation</p>		
Coull 2004	Ischaemic heart dis-ease	<p>In-tervention consisted of participation in a mentor-led group (n = 165), through attending monthly 2 hour long meet-ings in community facilities over a 1-year period. There was an average of 10 patients per group, each led by two mentors. The core activities covered in</p>	<p>Both in-tervention and con-trol groups (n = 154) continued to receive standard care</p>	Yes.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		<p>the programme were lifestyle risk factors of smoking, diet and exercise; blood pressure and cholesterol; understanding of and ability to cope with IHD; and drug concordance. Each mentored group was also encouraged to develop its own agenda. Input was provided from a pharmacist, cardiac rehabilitation specialist nurse, dietician, welfare benefits advisor and Recreation Services. Volunteer lay health mentors, aged 54 to 74 recruited from the local community led the groups</p>			
Ellis 2005	Adolescents with type 1 Diabetes	<p>Standard medical care plus Multi-systematic Therapy (MST), an intensive, family-centered, community-based psychotherapy treatment with tailored treatment goals and interventions for each family to best treat the adherence problem. MST interventions targeted adherence-related problems within the family system, peer network, and the broader community sys-</p>	<p>Standard medical care at a hospital-based endocrine clinic where adolescents were cared for consisted of quarterly medical visits with a multidisciplinary medical team composed of an endocrinologist, nurse, dietician, social worker, and psychologist (n = 63)</p>	No.	No for all clinical outcomes.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		tems within which the family was embedded (n = 64)			
Farber 2004	Asthma	Subjects in the intervention group (n = 28) received basic asthma education; instructions on use of a metered-dose inhaler with holding chamber; a written asthma self-management plan illustrated by zones colored green, yellow, and red; a sample age-appropriate holding chamber; and prescriptions for medication needed to implement the plan. This medication included an inhaled corticosteroid drug for everyday use and a quick-acting bronchodilator for use as needed. The importance of seeking urgent medical care in the red zone was emphasized. Three brief followup phone calls were placed to patients in the intervention group at 1 to 2 weeks, 4 to 6 weeks and 3 months after enrollment	The control group (n = 28) received routine care.	Yes (based on dispensing).	No.
Friedman 1996	Hypertension	Telephone-linked computer system (TLC) - an interactive computer-based telecommunications system that	Regular medical care (n = 145).	Yes.	Yes.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		converses with patients in their homes between office visits to their physicians (n = 156)			
Gallefoss 1999	Asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	An educational intervention consisting of a specially constructed patient brochure, two 2-hour group sessions (separate groups for asthmatics and patients with COPD) concentrating on pathophysiology, antiobstructive medication, symptom awareness, treatment plans, and physiotherapy. One or two 40-min individual sessions were supplied by both a nurse and a physiotherapist. At the final teaching the patients received an individual treatment plan on the basis of the acquired personal information and 2 weeks of peak flow monitoring (n = 39 asthmatics, n = 32 COPD patients)	Usual care from GP (n = 39 asthmatics, n = 32 COPD patients)	No.	No.
Gani 2001	Seasonal rhinitis and asthma	B group (n = 35) with drug therapy plus training on the use of nasal spray, and C group (n = 36) the same as B plus a lesson on rhinitis and asthma	A group (n = 30) with drug therapy alone.	Yes for A versus B+C	Yes: between group A and group C in respiratory symptoms. Yes, in the use of inhaled albuterol (Fisher test) among the groups was observed (A versus B plus C: P = 0.005; A

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

					versus C: P = 0.005)
Ginde 2003	Macrolide antibiotic treatment	Patients in the ED group (n = 38) were provided a full course of azithromycin (6 X 250 mg) at no charge and given instructions on the proper dose and frequency before discharge from the ED	Patients in the pharmacy group (n = 36) received a written prescription for a full course of azithromycin before discharge from the ED	No.	No. The prescription filling rate for the control group is based on the assumption that control patients used a participating pharmacy 8 blocks away that provided the drugs free of charge - patients were apparently not asked if they filled their prescription elsewhere. The "course completed" rate is based on self report on a telephone call - no indication that interviewers were blinded to group; nor was the exact question given (if there was one) . Technically, this study qualified for the review, but the reliability and credibility of the measures are suspect. At least the question of the control group's filling of prescriptions could have been cleared up. The intervention is also impractical in any setting where giving drugs out for free isn't possible
Girvin 1999	Hypertension	Enalapril 20mg once daily (n = 27) . Cross-over study, with 4 week study periods	Enalapril 10mg twice daily (n = 27). Cross-over study.	Yes.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

Haynes 1976	Hypertension	Tailoring, self-monitoring of pills and blood pressure, rewards for higher adherence and lower blood pressure (n = 20)	Usual care (n = 18).	Yes.	No.
Hederos 2005	Children with asthma	Group meetings (weekly X 3, then 6 months later, 1.5 hours each) for parents of children with asthma held by a multidisciplinary team (paediatrician, nurse, psychologist) + usual care and basic education (see Control group strategy; n = 32)	Family received education about asthma at the first visit to the clinic. They received a written treatment plan that tailored the lowest effective dose. Treatment was stopped if the child had no asthma for 6 months (n = 28)	Yes for parents and doctors estimated adherence on a visual analogue scale (VAS), for poor adherence and for greater than or equal to 100% adherence. No for estimated adherence tracked from diaries, for good adherence, and for verified adherence from measured doses	No for all clinical outcomes.
Henry 1999	H. Pylori infection	10 days of omeprazole 20mg twice daily, amoxicillin 500mg three times a day and metronidazole 400 mg three times a day, verbal advice on medication use and its possible side effects in an initial 20 minute consultation. Patients also received medication in dose-dispensing units, an information sheet on H. Pylori treatment, and a medication chart. Compliance in intervention group patients was	10 days of omeprazole 20mg twice daily, amoxicillin 500mg three times a day and metronidazole 400 mg three times a day, verbal advice on medication use and its possible side effects in an initial 20 minute consultation (n = 59)	No.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		also encouraged by a phone call 2 days after the start of therapy (n = 60)			
Hill 2001	Rheumatoid arthritis	The intervention group (n = 51) received 7 x 30 minute one to one sessions of patient education	The control group (n = 49) received standard management.	Yes for improving adherence to D-penicillamine (DPA) for rheumatoid arthritis	No for improving clinical outcomes of plasma viscosity, c-reactive protein, articular index, morning stiffness and pain score
Howe 2005	Children with type 1 diabetes	Two intervention groups, standard care (see Control) plus: 1) Education (ED) - One-time session by the study coordinator that aimed to provide families with basic diabetes management skills (n = 21). 2) Education and telephone case management group (ED & TCM) - TCM consisted of standardized telephone calls which reviewed blood sugars, safety issues, problem-solving skills, diet and meal planning, and changing insulin dose, as well as parenting and behavior management skills with parents as needed (n = 26)	Standard care (SC) from a nurse practitioner and endocrinologist (n = 28)	Yes for the adherence questionnaire (ADH), in comparison between the ED & TCM group versus the SC group	No for both intervention groups.
Howland 1990	Acute infections	Warnings about potential adverse effects of drugs (n = 50).	No warnings about adverse effects of drugs (n = 48).	No.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

Johnson 1978	Hypertension	(a). Self-monitoring of blood pressure at home (n = 34). (b) . Monthly home visits by a research assistant (n = 33). (c) . Both a and b (n = 35)	Neither intervention (n = 34).	No for each intervention.	No for each intervention.
Katon 2001	Depression	Patient education, 2 visits with a depression specialist, telephone monitoring and follow-up (n = 194)	Usual care (n = 192)	Yes	Yes for SCL-20 scores and depressive symptoms No for episodes of relapse/recurrence
Kemp 1996	Acute psychosis	4 to 6 session compliance therapy that focused on illness, conceptualisation of the problem, symptoms, side effects of treatment, and the stigma of drug treatment (n = 25)	4 to 6 session non-specific counselling (n = 22)	Yes.	Yes for global functioning assessment. Yes for full version of the brief psychiatric rating scale. No for the abridged version of the brief psychiatric rating scale. No for dose of antipsychotic drug
Kemp 1998	Psychotic disorders	4 to 6 session compliance therapy that focused on illness, conceptualisation of the problem, symptoms, side effects of treatment, and the stigma of drug treatment (n = 39)	4 to 6 session non-specific counselling (n = 35)	Yes, at 12 months.	No, at 12 months, for the 7-item version of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. Yes, at 12 months, for the Global Assessment of Function. Yes, at 6 months, for the Schedule for Assessment of Insight
Knobel 1999	HIV	Zidovudine + lamivudine + indinavir PLUS individualised counselling/assessments which consisted of adaptation of treatment to the patient's lifestyle and detailed infor-	Zidovudine+ lamivudine + indinavir plu conventional care (n = 120)	Yes	Yes for reduction of viral load. No for detectable viral load

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		mation about highly active antiretroviral therapy (n = 60)			
Laporte 2003	Compliance and stability of international normalized ratio (INR) of two oral anticoagulants with different half-lives	The standard education group received the minimum information consistent with ethical oral anticoagulant therapy (OAT) with no particular emphasis on the necessity of strict compliance. Patients in the intensive education group received information about the causes of anticoagulation instability and the importance of strict adherence. The intensive education group were provided information through visual material, were visited daily by nurses and physicians to repeat some items, and were tested daily about their education. The education, either standard or intensive, was given until hospital discharge	A 2 by 2 factorial design with patients randomly allocated to warfarin (long half-life, n = 43) or acenocoumarol (short-half life, n = 43) and to either intensive education (n = 43) or standard education (n = 43)	No.	No.
Lee 2006	Hypertension & hyperlipidemia	Comprehensive pharmacy care program consisting of 3 elements (n = 83): - Individualized medication education - Medications dispensed using blister packs - follow-ups every 2-months by clinical	Usual care (n = 76; followed a 6 month comprehensive care period; patients were then given 90 day supply or their meds with one repeat)	Yes.	Yes for systolic blood pressure. No for diastolic blood pressure and LDL-C

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		pharmacists			
Levy 2000	Acute asthma	1 hour structured asthma consultation with study nurse 2 weeks after entry into study, followed by 2 or more 30 minute consultations at 6-weekly intervals (n = 103)	Usual care (n = 108)	Yes for use of inhaled topical steroids and rescue medication for severe attacks. Not statistically significant for use of inhaled topical steroids and rescue medication for mild attacks	Yes.
MarquezContreras04a	Hypercholesterolaemia	The intervention group (IG) of 63 patients received the standard care given to control group, and in addition received a telephone call at 7 to 10 days, 2 months, and 4 months. The goal of the intervention was to establish the level of compliance, categorize this as adequate or inadequate, and make recommendations based on that. Level of compliance was determined by comparing the number of pills consumed to the number that should have been consumed (calculated using self-reported information about the number of pills remaining, number of pills dispensed, and fill date of the prescription). Compliance was defined as taking 80	The control group (CG) of 63 patients, who received the doctor's normal treatment, which included oral information about hypercholesterolemia, advice about its control, brochures about dietary recommendations, 3 month-long prescriptions for a cholesterol-lowering medication, and titration of that medication if indicated at 3 months	Yes.	Yes for the 6-month decrease in total cholesterol and LDL-C was significantly different between IG and CG (Table 3). No for the 6-month decrease in triglycerides and HDL-C

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		to 110% of the pills that should have been taken thus far. Compliant patients were congratulated and encouraged to continue their good level of compliance as it would lower their risk of heart disease. Noncompliant patients were notified their behavior was considered noncompliant and encouraged to better comply with therapy as it would lower their risk of heart disease			
MarquezContreras2005	Hypertension	<p>Two intervention groups (both receiving routine primary care plus 1 of):</p> <p>1) Telephone intervention (TIG; n = 216)</p> <p>- Patients received 3 telephone calls (15 days, 2 months, 4 months) by nurse to re-inforce compliance and remind them of scheduled visits. The nurse gave feedback about compliance based on patient self-report of pills consumed.</p> <p>2) Mail intervention (MIG; n = 212)</p> <p>- Patients received 3 mailed communications (15 days, 7 weeks, 15 weeks) to promote com-</p>	Routine primary care for hypertension (n = 212).	Yes for pill count in both intervention groups in comparison to the control group	Yes for blood pressure control in both the TIG and the MIG in comparison to the control group. Yes for both SBP and DBP at 6 months for both the TIG and the MIG when compared to the control group. Yes for both SBP and DBP reduction from baseline to 6 months in the TIG in comparison to the control group

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		pliance through education in hypertension, medication compliance, and reminders of scheduled visits			
MarquezContreras2006	Hypertension	Usual care plus OMRON automatic monitor for home blood pressure monitoring (HBPM), a card to record pressures, with an instruction book and phone call to go over instructions (n = 100)	Usual care in a primary care setting at 40 sites (n = 100).	Yes.	No for all clinical outcomes except the change in diastolic blood pressure from baseline to 6 months between groups
Merinder 1999	Schizophrenia	8-session psychoeducational programme for schizophrenic patients and their relatives, conducted using a mainly didactic interactive method (n = 23)	Usual treatment provided in community psychiatry (n = 23).	No.	Yes for knowledge of schizophrenia and for VSSS subscore satisfaction with relatives' involvement. There was also a trend towards reduced BPRS score in intervention group (p = 0.07). No for time to relapse or insight into psychosis or psychosocial function (GAF)
Morice 2001	Asthma	Subsequent visits from the asthma nurse until discharge from hospital (n = 35)	'Routine care' from medical and nursing staff but no further intervention from the asthma nurse (n = 30)	No (on the contrary, medication compliance of β -agonist inhaler in intervention group was lower than in control group)	No for the total occasions of GP call-out and re-admission. Yes for patients percentage of claiming to have a writing management plan and self-management
Nazareth 2001	Complex regimens in the elderly (aged 75 years and older on four or more	The hospital pharmacist developed discharge plans which	In the control group, patients were discharged from hospi-	No.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

	medicines who had been discharged)	gave details of medication and support required by the patient. A copy was given to the patient and to all relevant professionals and carers. This was followed by a domiciliary assessment by a community pharmacist. (n = 165)	following standard procedures that included a discharge letter to the general practitioner listing current medications (n = 151)		
O'Donnell 2003	Schizophrenia	The experimental group (n = 28) received 5 sessions of compliance therapy, each session lasting 30 to 60 minutes. The sessions covered a review of the patient's illness history, understanding of the illness and his or her ambivalence to treatment, maintenance medication and stigma. Compliance therapy is a cognitive behaviour intervention with techniques adapted from motivational interviewing, other cognitive therapies and psychoeducation	The control group (n = 28) received non-specific counseling comprising of 5 sessions lasting 30 to 60 minutes	No.	No.
Odegard 2005	Poorly controlled Type 2 diabetes, on oral meds	Usual care (see Control) plus: Pharmacist-led intervention (n = 43): - Pharmacist-patient communication on diabetes progress - Pharmacist-provider communication on the subject's progress -	Usual care (primary care, university-based, medical clinics; n = 34)	No.	No for all clinical outcomes.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		Medication-related problems - the pharmacists were not formally affiliated with the clinic			
Peterson 1984	Epilepsy	Counselling, leaflet, self-monitoring of pill taking and seizures, mailed reminders for appointments and missed drugs refills (n = 27)	Usual care (n = 26).	Yes.	No.
Peterson 2004	Dyslipidemia	Patients in the intervention group (n = 45) were visited at home monthly by a pharmacist, who educated the patients on the goals of lipid-lowering treatment and the importance of lifestyle issues in dyslipidaemia and compliance with therapy, assessed patients for drug-related problems, and measured total blood cholesterol levels using point-of-care testing	Patients in the control group (n = 49) received standard medical care. There was no further contact with patients in the control group after the initial collection of baseline data, until 6 months had lapsed. At that time, their final total blood cholesterol level was measured, and the current medication regimen and self-reported compliance were recorded	No.	No.
Peveler 1999	Depression	Treatment information leaflet (n = 53), drug counseling (n = 52) or both leaflet and counseling (n = 53)	Usual care (n = 55).	Yes for counseling (at 12 weeks) No for leaflet	No for counseling No for leaflet
Piette 2000	Diabetes	Automated telephone assessment and self-care education calls with nurse follow-	Usual care (n = 143)	Yes.	Yes.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		up (n = 137)			
Portsmouth 2005	HIV	Participants were assigned to take Stavudine (d4T) which is a prolonged-release once-daily formulation of a thymidine-based nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI). Both groups continued their other meds (n = 22)	Participants in the control group were assigned to continue the twice daily version of d4T (IR/3TC/EFV or Combivir/EFV) as per their screening regimen (n = 21)	No for all adherence outcomes.	No for all clinical outcomes.
Pradier 2003	HIV	Patients (n = 100) in the intervention group (IG) were offered three individual sessions by trained nurses	No mention was made of the care that was provided for the control group (n = 102)	Yes	No. The clinical significance of these findings is unclear - adherence rate was on self-report in an unblinded trial, the mean HIV RNA was no different at 6 months for the 2 groups and no actual clinical outcomes were reported
Ran 2003	Schizophrenia	Family education sessions monthly (FIG, n = 127). A second group received meds only (MG, n = 105)	Usual care (CG, n = 115).	Yes for FIG versus both other groups	Yes for relapse rate for FIG versus other groups. FIG and MG both better than control for symptoms
Rawlings 2003	HIV	4 modules of the Tools for Health and Empowerment HIV education intervention (EI) plus routine counseling (RC) (EI + RC; n = 96)	Routine counseling alone (RC; n = 99).	No.	No.
Razali 2000	Schizophrenia	Culturally modified family therapy (CMFT), which consists of a sociocultural ap-	Behavior Family Therapy (BFT) (n = 86).	Yes	No at 6 months. Yes at 12 months for all variables (Exacerbation, GAF score, SBS score, Rehos-

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		proach of family education, drug intervention programme and problem-solving skills (n = 80)			pitalization, Family Burden)
Remien 2005	HIV	Usual care (see Control) plus a four-session (45 to 60 minutes each, over 5 weeks), couple-focused adherence intervention consisting of treatment and adherence education, identifying adherence barriers, developing communication and problem-solving strategies, optimizing partner support, and building confidence for optimal adherence, with each partner receiving \$20 for each session attended (n = 106)	Usual care from a multidisciplinary treatment team, including monthly visits with provider if nonadherent (n = 109)	No.	No for all clinical outcomes.
Rickles 2005	Depression	Usual care (see Control) plus: Pharmacist-guided education and monitoring (PGEM) -to address concerns, educate, and help patients increase adherence to medication (n = 31)	Usual Care - no special counselling or monitoring of adherence (n = 32)	No for all adherence outcomes.	No.
Rudd 2004	Hypertension	A nurse care manager conducted baseline counselling on the correct use of the automated blood pressure (BP) device, regular return of the automat-	Usual care (n = 76).	Yes.	Yes for changes in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure from baseline to 6 months between groups

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		ically printed BP reports, tips for enhancing adherence, and recognition of drug side effects; the nurse phoned patients at 1 week, 1, 2, and 4 months. The nurse changed doses on own and called physician for medication changes (n = 74)			
Sackett 1975	Hypertension	(a). Care at work-site by occupational health physicians (n = 37) (b). Detailed 'programmed' instructions about hypertension and adherence (n = 28) (c). Both a and b (n = 44)	Neither intervention (n = 25)* * numbers provided by author.	No.	No.
Sadik 2005	Heart failure	Usual care plus: Booklets and education on heart failure (HF) and training on a self-monitoring programme (daily weights and symptom diary, to share with physician and pharmacist; extra dose of diuretic if weight rose). Research pharmacist interacted with physicians to simplify drug regimens, with patients on follow-up visits to clinic (n = 109)	Usual care in a medical or cardiology clinic (n = 112).	Yes.	Yes for 2-minute walk test, blood pressure and pulse, HF symptoms, forced vital capacity and both quality of life measures - the MLHFQ and SF36
Samet 2005	HIV	Usual medical care plus Nurse-led intervention (60 minute ses-	Patients received regular medical care for HIV infection. This	No.	Yes for CD4 count.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		<p>sion + 3 follow-up visits) with 4 parts (n = 74): a) Assessment of the alcohol and substance use b) A watch to time medications and improve adherence c) Enhancement of perceived efficacy of medications d) Individualized HIV counselling</p>	<p>included verbal or written instructions about optimal medication strategies (n = 77)</p>		
Schaffer 2004	Asthma	<p>(a). Audiotape alone (n = 10) (b). National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) booklet alone (n = 12) (c). Audiotape plus NHLBI booklet (n = 11)</p>	<p>Standard provider education (control) (n = 13).</p>	<p>Yes for positive effect on adherence by pharmacy-refill measure for booklet versus control, and for booklet + audiotape versus control, but not for audiotape versus control, at 6 months. No for self-reported adherence</p>	<p>No.</p>
Schroeder 2005	Hypertension	<p>Usual care (see Control) plus nurse-led adherence support sessions (20 minutes initially, 10 minutes 2 months later) with the following goals (n = 128): - Patients address problems with blood pressure lowering medication - Explain diagnosis and the treatment process - Address patient concerns with their medication and tailor strategies to resolve any problems</p>	<p>Usual care at their doctors' practices, other than blood pressure checks at similar intervals as the intervention group (n = 117)</p>	<p>No for all adherence outcomes.</p>	<p>No for all clinical outcomes.</p>

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

Stevens 2002	Helicobacter pylori	A longer adherence counseling session and a follow-up phone call from the pharmacist during drug treatment (n = 163). All subjects were given the same 7-day course of omeprazole, bismuth subsalicylate, metronidazole, and tetracycline hydrochloride (OBMT)	A standard antibiotic regimen and randomly assigned to receive usual-care counseling from a pharmacist (n = 162). All subjects were given the same 7-day course of omeprazole, bismuth subsalicylate, metronidazole, and tetracycline hydrochloride (OBMT)	No.	No. (The big problems with this study are that a) both groups got blister packs with daily doses clearly marked; b) both groups got counseling, although this was longer and more detailed for the IC than CG; c) self-report was used for measuring adherence (insensitive). All these factors would bias towards no difference.)
Strang 1981	Schizophrenia	Family therapy (n = 17).	Individual supportive therapy (n = 15)	Yes.	Yes.
Tuldra 2000	HIV	Psychoeducative intervention to implement adherence i.e. explanations about reasons for starting treatment and the relevance of appropriate adherence, development of a dosage schedule with patients' input, patients were taught how to manage various other aspects of medication taking in highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) (i.e. forgetting, side effects, changes in daily routine). Phone number was given should patients have any ques-	Usual medical follow-up (n = 61)	No.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		tions before next interview. Verbal reinforcement of adherence at follow-up visits (n = 55)			
van Es 2001	Asthma	Usual care + pediatrician discussed "asthma management zone system" with participants + pediatrician discussed PEF readings from prior 2 weeks + 4 individual sessions with the asthma nurse + 3 educational group sessions with asthma nurse (n = 58)	Usual care - pediatrician every 4 months (n = 54).	No at T1 (12 months). Yes for self-reported adherence at T2 (24 months) (but follow-up was only 77% at this time, so doesn't count)	No.
Van Servellen 2005	HIV	Usual care + nurse practitioner and health educators provided modular instruction to patients (5 sessions) to: - Increase patients' HIV knowledge - Increase communication with medical staff - Address patients' unique actual or potential risks for non-adherence The NP followed up with phone or face-to-face case-management sessions (n = 42)	Usual care (n = 43).	No for all adherence outcomes.	No for CD4 count, HIV-RNA viral load, and health status and disease progression from self-reports and medical charts
Vergouwen 2005	Depression	Depression Care Programme (n = 81) targeted both patients and their GPs- Participants received a newsletter with in-	Patients and doctors did not have the program, but patient follow-ups were scheduled at the same frequency as for the inter-	No.	No for all clinical outcomes.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		<p>formation about depression, the need to continue antidepressant medication for 6 months, and the importance of social support, and had homework assignments. Their GPs received newsletter summaries and copies of the homework and were to use motivational interviewing with patients</p>	<p>vention group, and GPs did the same assessments for depression and compliance (n = 96)</p>		
Volume 2001	Ambulatory elderly (> or = 65 years of age)	<p>Pharmacists (in n = 8 pharmacies, 159 patients) used the Pharmacist's Management of Drug-Related Problems (PMDRP) instrument to summarize the information collected during the patient interview and the subjective, objective, assessment, and plan record to document actions and follow-up</p>	<p>Pharmacists at control pharmacies (n = 8 pharmacies, 204 patients) continued to provide traditional pharmacy care</p>	No.	No.
Walley 2001	Tuberculosis	<p>170 were assigned DOTS with direct observation of treatment by health workers; 165 were assigned DOTS with direct observation of treatment by family members</p>	<p>162 were assigned self-administered treatment.</p>	No.	No.
Weber 2004	HIV	<p>Intervention group participants (n = 32) received cogni-</p>	<p>Both intervention and control groups continued to receive</p>	No.	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		<p>tive behavior therapy in addition to usual care, while control group participants (n = 28) received usual care alone. Intervention group participants were assigned to a psychotherapist and given the contact information to schedule their own first appointment. Protocol defined a minimum of three and a maximum of 25 sessions within the 1-year study period. Participant and psychotherapist determined the frequency of appointments and set their own goals for future interventions</p>	<p>standard care. Standard care included monthly visits for 12 months with assessments of clinical and laboratory data, course of treatment, drug adverse events and HIV-1 RNA</p>		
Weinberger 2002	<p>Asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)</p>	<p>The pharmaceutical care program (n = 447) provided pharmacists with recent patient-specific clinical data (peak expiratory flow rates (PEFRs), emergency department (ED) visits, hospitalizations, and medication compliance), training, customized patient educational materials, and resources to facilitate program implementation</p>	<p>The PEFR monitoring control group (n = 363) received a peak flow meter, instructions about its use, and monthly calls to elicit PEFRs. However, PEFR data were not provided to the pharmacist. Patients in the usual care group (n = 303) received neither peak flow meters nor instructions in their use; during monthly telephone interviews, PEFR rates were not elicited. Pharmacists in both</p>	<p>Yes, for within-group at 6 and 12 months; no for between-group</p>	<p>Yes. At 12 months, patients receiving pharmaceutical care had significantly higher peak flow rates than the usual care group (P = 0.02) but not than PEFR monitoring controls (P = 0.28). There were no significant between-group differences in HRQOL, but patients participating in our program were significantly more satisfied with their pharmacists than the other two groups</p>

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

			control groups had a training session but received no components of the pharmaceutical care intervention		
Wysocki 2001	Diabetes	Behavioral-Family Systems Therapy (BFST) -10 sessions consisting of 4 therapy components: problem solving training, communication skills training, cognitive restructuring and functional and structural family therapy, plus \$100 monetary incentive for attending all 10 intervention sessions. (n = 38). Education and Support (ES) - families attended 10 group diabetes education and social support meetings (45 minute educational presentation by diabetes professional + 45 minute interaction among the families) , plus \$100 monetary incentive for attending all 10 intervention sessions (n = 40)	Current Therapy (n = 41) - standard pediatric endocrinology follow-up and self-management training	No for BFST and ES at posttreatment. Yes for BFST at 6 and 12-months. No for ES at 6 and 12-months	No for BFST in diabetic control or adjustment to diabetes. Yes for BFST on PARQ scales at post-treatment, 6 and 12 months. No for ES
Xiong 1994	Schizophrenia	Family counselling and close follow-up (n = 34).	Prescription of medication without formal follow-up (n = 29)	No.	Yes.
Yopp 2004	Adolescents with type 1 Diabetes	Usual care (see Control) plus Multisys-	Usual care in a multidisciplinary chil-	No for the Diabetes Management	No.

Adherence and Outcome (Continued)

		temic Therapy (MST; n = 27) - a home-based psychotherapy, the goal is to understand which factors are maintaining poor health status	drens' endocrinology clinic (n = 26)	Scale. Yes for the 24-Hour Recall Interview, specifically the insulin adherence	
Zhang 1994	Schizophrenia	Family intervention (n = 42).	Prescription of medication without formal follow-up (n = 41)	No.	Yes.

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 30 January 2007.

Date	Event	Description
30 June 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format. Minor editing of text and tables.

HISTORY

Review first published: Issue 3, 1999

Date	Event	Description
14 February 2008	New search has been performed	Twenty-one new studies were added in the 2007 update (published on issue 2 2008 of <i>The Cochrane Library</i>).
13 February 2008	New citation required and conclusions have changed	There are now 78 studies meeting our criteria for testing interventions to help patients to follow prescribed, self-administered medications Despite the new studies, our conclusions remain the same: most people do not follow self-administered medical treatments as prescribed and interventions to help them follow treatments are marginally effective at best, especially for long-term medical regimens. Strategies that appear to have some effect for long-term regimens involve combinations of counseling, reminders,

(Continued)

		<p>self-monitoring, feedback, family therapy, psychological therapy, manual telephone follow-up, and supportive care. For short-term treatments, high adherence can be achieved by simpler means, including counseling, written information about the importance of taking all doses, and personal phone calls</p> <p>The authorship changed to: Haynes RB, Ackloo E, Sahota N, McDonald HP, Yao X</p>
29 August 2005	New search has been performed	<p>Twenty-five new studies were added (Issue 4 2005), bringing to 57 the number of randomized trials meeting our criteria for testing interventions for helping patients to follow prescribed, self administered medications</p> <p>Despite the new studies, conclusions remained essentially the same: most people do not follow self-administered medical treatments as prescribed and interventions to help them follow treatments are marginally effective at best, especially for long-term medical regimens. Strategies that appear to have some effect for long-term regimens involve combinations of counseling, reminders, self-monitoring, feedback, family therapy, psychological therapy, manual telephone follow-up, and supportive care. For short-term treatments, high adherence can be achieved by simpler means, including counseling, written information about the importance of taking all doses, and personal phone calls.</p>
29 August 2005	New citation required and conclusions have changed	<p>The title changed to 'Interventions for enhancing medication adherence.' The authorship changed to: Haynes RB, Yao X, Degani A, Kripalani S, Garg A, McDonald HP</p>
7 February 2002	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	<p>The updated citation reflects the updated search and new authorship: Haynes RB, McDonald H, Garg AX, Montague P</p>
7 February 2002	New search has been performed	<p>Fourteen new studies were added (Issue 2 2002), bringing to 33 the number of randomized trials meeting our criteria for testing interventions for helping patients to follow prescribed, self administered medications. Despite the new studies, conclusions remain the same: Most people do not follow self-administered medical treatments as prescribed and interventions to help them follow treatments are marginally effective at best, especially for long-term medical regimens. Strategies that appear to have some effect for long-term regimens involve combinations of counselling, reminders, self-monitoring and feedback, and supportive care. For short-term treatments, high adherence can be achieved</p>

(Continued)

by simpler means, including reminders and instruction about the importance of taking all doses.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

2007 update:

- RBH - oversight and involvement in all stages of the 2007 review and data extraction for eligible studies.
- EA - involved in all stages of the 2007 update.
- HPM - involved in all stages of review for the 2002 update.
- NS - Involved in reviewing and abstracting articles and some aspects of text preparation for 2007 update.
- XY - involved in all stages of the 2005 update.

Previous versions of the review:

- Aqeel Degani - involved in searches up to 2003, reviewing articles for eligibility.
- Patricia Montague - involved in all stages of review for the 1998 update.
- Amit X. Garg - involved in reviewing references from literature searches for relevance and for calculating agreement statistics for the 2002 and 2005 updates.
- Sunil Kripalani - involved in reviewing articles for eligibility for 2005 update.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None known

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- Health Information Research Unit, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada.

External sources

- No sources of support supplied

INDEX TERMS**Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)**

*Drug Therapy; *Patient Compliance; Patient Education as Topic; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Self Administration

MeSH check words

Humans