

Coalition Against Trafficking in Women Australia PO Box 1273 North Fitzroy VIC 3068

Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee C/O Sophie Dunstone, Committee Secretary PO Box 6100
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

16 January 2014

Dear Sir / Madam,

Inquiry into the Migration Amendment (Regaining Control Over Australia's Protection Obligations) Bill 2013

The Coalition Against Trafficking in Women Australia (CATWA) is the Australian branch of CATW International, a Non-Governmental Organisation that has Category II consultative status with the United Nations Economic and Social Council. It works locally and internationally to end all forms of sexual exploitation of women, especially in relation to issues of prostitution and trafficking in women.

We write to express our concern at the proposed changes to the Migration Act outlined in the Migration Amendment (Regaining Control Over Australia's Protection Obligations) Bill 2013. CATWA considers the re-assignment of complementary protection from a statutory category to a Ministerial administrative process to be highly problematic for the protection of women's human rights.

The proposed changes are especially harmful to women because persecution on the basis of gender has not traditionally been considered grounds for refugee status and protection (Crawley 2000; McKay 2003; Randall 2002). Women and girls who are victims of gendered

cultural practices, such as female genital mutilation, 'honour' killings, and forced/arranged

marriage, are thereby left exposed by the proposed changes to the Act, which repeal the very

protection category designed to address such harms. The removal of the current

complementary protection system goes against a growing international trend to recognise and

make allowances for the specific difficulties and types of discrimination that women

encounter in applying for refugee status.

CATWA maintains that in order for the Commonwealth Government to uphold its non-

refoulement obligations under international law, the process for assessing claims that do not

easily meet the criteria in the Refugee Convention should remain statutory. That is, cases of

this nature must be assessed and determined by an independent panel based on statutory

guidelines, rather than determined by a non-reviewable decision based on the unspecified and

undefined criteria of an individual.

Furthermore, there is no evidence provided that the existing system of complementary

protection is being abused in Australia, as publicly claimed by the current Immigration

Minister. Indeed, very few protection visas are granted on the basis of complementary

protection, with a total of only 55 having been granted from the introduction of the statutory

regime for complementary protection in 2012 until the last official statistics available from

the Department of Immigration and Border Protection in November 2013 (ARKCIRL, 2013).

The harms that befall women and girls who are sent back to their home country and suffer

such practices are extreme and often life-threatening. This should warrant the concern of

Australian policymakers and legislators. We would be happy to provide members of the

Committee with further information on both the tendency of Western legal systems to

exclude gender-based persecution from understandings of the Refugee Convention, and on

the extent of the harms that women face if they are returned to their countries of origin.

We hope that the Committee will give these matters the most earnest consideration and seek

our advice should more information be required.

Sincerely,

Drs Kaye Quek and Meagan Tyler

(on behalf of the Coalition Against Trafficking in Women Australia)

2

References

Andrew and Renata Kaldor Centre for International Refugee Law (ARKCIRL) (2013) *Complementary Protection.* Sydney: The University of New South Wales. 6th December. http://www.kaldorcentre.unsw.edu.au/sites/kaldorcentre.unsw.edu.au/files/cp_submission_to_it_cttee_hr_6.12.13.pdf

Crawley, H. (2000) Gender, Persecution and the Concept of Politics in the Asylum Determination Process. *Forced Migration Review*, v9(1): 17-20.

McKay, L. (2003) Women Asylum Seekers in Australia: Discrimination and the Migration Legislation Amendment Act [No 6] 2001 (CTH). *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, v4: 439-466.

Randall, M. (2002) Refugee Law and State Accountability for Violence Against Women: A comparative analysis of legal approaches to recognizing asylum claims based on gender persecution. *Harvard Women's Law Journal*, v25: 281-318.