National Health Amendment (Pharmaceutical Benefits) Bill 2015 [Provisions]
Submission 4

Dr. Kathleen Dermody
Committee Secretary
Senate Economics Legislation Committee
PO Box 6100
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Senator Dermody,

As you consider the National Health Amendment Bill 2015 (Pharmaceutical Benefits) in the Senate Economics Legislation Committee, we would like to bring your attention to the very important patient safety issues regarding pharmacist substitution of biosimilar medicines. We recently wrote to Health Minister Sussan Ley on this issue. It goes into more detail regarding these very important issues which we hope your inquiry will consider.

Our letter to Minister Ley is attached as a Word document and is below as email text for your convenience.

Thank you for your consideration,

Marcia Horn
CEO, ICAN--International Cancer Advocacy Network

National Health Amendment (Pharmaceutical Benefits) Bill 2015 [Provisions]



June 12, 2015

The Hon Sussan Ley MP Minister for Health Minister for Sport Suite M1 41 Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Minister Ley,

ICAN, the International Cancer Advocacy Network, is a strong supporter of using biologics and biosimilars in the fight against cancer and other diseases. However, we put a premium on patient safety and want to ensure, when biologics and biosimilars are taken by a patient, that their administration meets the highest standards of safety and efficacy, as well as cost.

ICAN is a five-star rated American-based non-profit cancer patient advocacy organization. We work with the direct navigation of Stage IV cancer patients in 53 countries (including Australia, New Zealand, and the rest of the Pacific Rim). We have a global network of over 1100 volunteers (again, including Australia).

We deal daily with biologic therapies for our patients. Biologic therapies, and thus biosimilars, will become a growing area for metastatic cancer patients and hold great promise for treatment, reduction of health care costs and, most importantly, for long-term patient survival.

There are currently two fundamental issues facing governments and the medical community regarding biologics and biosimilars:

- 1) Substitution of biosimilars by pharmacists for the biologic (or another biosimilar) prescribed by the physician and,
- 2) Notification of physicians by pharmacists when a substitution has been made.

ICAN joins virtually all patient and physician groups in strongly supporting the right of physicians and patients working together to determine which drug patients take. Any substitution by a pharmacist for a physician-prescribed biologic (or another biosimilar) must only take place after the appropriate national or regional regulatory authority has determined that the switching is safe.

## National Health Amendment (Pharmaceutical Benefits) Bill 2015 [Provisions] Submission 4 Minister Ley, June 12, 2015, page two

This is not the same issue as generics—where another company can produce an identical drug to the original. In the case of biologic drugs, a "copy" (the "biosimilar") can be different in manufacture from the original biologic (or another biosimilar)—and even as a result of processing and handling. Thus, the effects on a patient can be different leading to significant, and legitimate, concerns for patient safety.

And, even after it is determined that such switching (in the U.S., the term adopted is "interchangeability") is safe, it is still essential that any such switching be done only if the physician has not insisted otherwise ("dispense as written" in the prescription). It is also critical, if the physician has either allowed substitution or not prohibited it, that the physician (and thus the patient), be notified by the pharmacist that a substitution has been made.

Because biosimilars are not identical to the original biologic drugs (or to each other) dispensing them to a patient without the knowledge of the prescribing physician could lead to adverse reactions, or even a tragedy. Given how simple notification is, there is just no reason to risk patient safety.

<u>Substitution</u> without informing the physician could also add an unnecessary layer to health care <u>costs</u>. For all patients who do not respond to the originally intended treatment, and especially those patients who suffer adverse reactions, physicians would be in the dark as to the cause. This will require precious physician time, additional diagnostic tests, and in the cases of significant reactions, hospitalization. These additional unintended costs can simply be avoided with the requirement for prescriber communication by the pharmacist.

Your support for ensuring pharmacist substitution only after interchangeability has been determined, and then only with physician (and thus patient) notification, will ensure safety for ICAN's Australian patients, and for all Australian patients.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

## Marcia Horn

Marcia K. Horn, J.D.
President and CEO
International Cancer Advocacy Network (ICAN)
27 West Morten Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85021-7246

602-618-0183 (phone)

www.askican.org

National Health Amendment (Pharmaceutical Benefits) Bill 2015 [Provisions]
Submission 4
Minister Ley, June 12, 2015, page three

Cc: Senator the Hon Fiona Nash Assistant Minister for Health Deputy Leader of The Nationals in the Senate Suite M1 45 Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

The Hon Ian Macfarlane MP Minister for Industry and Science Suite M1 21 Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

The Hon Karen Andrews MP
Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Industry and Science
PO Box 6022
House of Representatives
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600