



Submission on the Impacts and management of feral horses in the Australian Alps

An Inquiry of the Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications

Submission of the
Australian Veterinary Association Ltd

April 2023



The Australian Veterinary Association (AVA)

The Australian Veterinary Association (AVA) is the national organisation representing veterinarians in Australia. Our members come from all fields within the veterinary profession. Clinical practitioners work with companion animals, horses, farm animals, such as cattle and sheep, and wildlife. Government veterinarians work with our animal health, public health and quarantine systems while other members work in industry for pharmaceutical and other commercial enterprises. We have members who work in research and teaching in a range of scientific disciplines. Veterinary students are also members of the Association.

Terms of Reference

The AVA, through its diverse membership, contains extensive professional knowledge and experience in equine medicine and management, conservation medicine, animal welfare and public health. The AVA has a century of involvement with stakeholders across Australia and internationally, and a history of achieving practical solutions to complex problems. These attributes place the AVA in a position to comment particularly on points (a) and (c) of the Terms of Reference.

“a) identifying best practice approaches to reduce the populations of feral horses in the Australian Alps ...”

“c) the adequacy of state and territory laws, policies, programs and funding for control of feral horses and other hard-hoofed invasive species in the Australian Alps, and their interaction with Commonwealth laws and responsibilities;”

Summary

a) Best practice approaches to reduce the population of feral horses.

The acknowledged best practice method to humanely reduce populations of feral horses is shooting (aerial or ground) in accordance with nationally agreed standard operating procedures¹. Control must be implemented within an adaptive management framework coordinating all aspects of the operation, including continuous monitoring and assessment². Currently in Australia public opinion appears to be a limiting factor in implementing some humane control options, including aerial shooting.

b) The adequacy of state and territory laws, policies, programs and funding.

Jurisdictional issues may contribute complexity, add cost and slow progress in feral animal control³. Legislation and policies that attribute ‘cultural’ or ‘game’ value to feral species (horses and deer) causes confusion and erodes social focus on the environmental damage these animal’s cause.

Background

The feral horse, deer and pig populations in the NSW Kosciuszko National Park have been associated with adverse impacts on the environment, native species and cultural and visitor values⁴. In the opinion of the AVA, the *Kosciuszko Wild Horse Heritage Act 2018* has given a disproportionate weight to heritage values over obligations to protect native habitats, fauna and flora within the park. Policy should be evidence-based and aim to preserve the natural environment and biodiversity. Feral



animal control should provide high welfare standards. The object of the *Kosciuszko Wild Horse Heritage Act 2018* is to recognise the heritage value of *sustainable* wild horse populations within parts of Kosciuszko National Park (Clause 5 Subsection (2b), however there is no evidence that this can be done sustainably without compromising native species. Alignment of legislation and committees to include the Wild Horse Community Advisory Panel within the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* would improve the park's management.

The heritage value of feral horses must be considered in the multiple competing management priorities of the Kosciuszko National Park but needs to be assessed against environmental health. The place of Wild Horses should only be facilitated if the environmental health of the park can support their presence. Park management needs to ensure core sustainable ecological communities are preserved. The implementation of the *Kosciuszko Wild Horse Heritage Act 2018* has resulted in unbalanced management of the Kosciuszko National Park and permitted the number of feral horses to increase to over 18,000 horses occupying 32% of the park's area⁹. In considering the requirements of the *Environment Protection Biodiversity Conservation Act 1991* the area allocated to horses and the number of horses needs to be under constant review. Monitoring the environmental health should provide the scientific framework to inform policy.

Monitoring Feral Animals and Sentinel Threatened Species

Monitoring only the removal of horses and their welfare is inadequate. The primary objective for the management of Kosciuszko National Park should be preserving and improving its environmental health, achieved in part by effective humane removal of horses and other feral species. Currently only the welfare and control of operations of the Kosciuszko National Park Wild Horse Heritage Management Plan (the Plan) have been evaluated and reported¹⁰. The published report gives information on progress towards targets for culling feral horses, but environmental outcomes are not being reported in this ongoing, year-to-year monitoring program.

Monitoring and reporting of key 'sentinel' threatened species is required to show the dynamic balance between wild horse impacts and environmental health objectives. The gazetting of the *Kosciuszko Wild Horse Heritage Act 2018* was in response to intense public pressure to preserve the heritage value of the horses. The public needs to be regularly informed about the changing environmental impacts on the park in conjunction with progress of the Plan's objectives.

If environmental outcomes are deteriorating despite implementation of the current plan, it will indicate the need to adapt and modify the Plan.

With regular environmental assessments available 'decision points' can be put in place to adapt the Wild Horse Heritage Management Plan as required. These decision points would include a review of the culling methods employed, the number of wild horses tolerated and the respective size of zone areas for Wild Horse Retention and Wild Horse Exclusion.

The welfare of feral animals during culling has been critically reviewed and discussed extensively¹. From the current humane options available it is generally agreed that the most humane culling method for large herbivores in the Australian context is limited to shooting (aerial and ground), capture and rehoming or capture and slaughter⁸. Consideration of community attitudes and acceptance must be considered when designing a control program. The primary concern should be for the welfare of the horses being managed, and thus the most humane methods of control must be



employed. Best outcomes will be achieved when the community accepts the program, so if there is community resistance to the plan, it is important to educate the community on the need for control and the reasons for the choice of the most humane option. This is important to prevent delaying the management program leading to further environmental damage. Delays (now approaching five years) caused by the interruption of the feral horse removal program since the introduction of the *Kosciuszko Wild Horse Heritage Act 2018* have resulted in an increase in horse numbers and consequential environmental damage.

Implementing regular, “sentinel” environmental assessments and making them publicly available should, over time, raise community awareness of the balance between horse numbers and environmental consequences. The current compromise of using ground shooting may not be technically optimal but if scaled-up (i.e., investment in to training more independent functional teams who work in different sectors of the park and have intimate knowledge of the horses in the area and the terrain.) may achieve a socially acceptable and humane outcome. The next year or two is critical, as delaying implementation of control carries a welfare cost due to the number of horses born in that time only to be subsequently culled. One must be aware of the native animal welfare cost which is inverse to the perceived wild horse welfare cost.

Recommendations

- Implement an ongoing ‘sentinel’ threatened species (animal and plant) program in select sites throughout Kosciuszko National Park to facilitate adaptive park management and positive community engagement”
- Establish environmental ‘decision points’ depending on ongoing environmental outcomes. The program will include triggers for corrective actions such as modifying target areas, methods and/or target numbers of culling.
- Facilitate ongoing public education including the Wild Horse Advisory Body.
- Best practice approach should be determined based on scientific assessment of the relative merits, and adoption of the most humane methods. A model for assessing the relative humaneness of pest animal control methods (Sharp & Saunders, 2012) has been developed to enable the evaluation of methods in use and to allow the most humane methods to be identified based on scientific evidence and suited to the particular situation. See also our comment in Summary (a) above.

References

1. Sharp T, Saunders G. Model code of practice for the humane control feral horses. PestSmart 2012. <https://pestsmart.org.au/toolkit-resource/code-of-practice-feral-horses/>. Retrieved March 9 2023.
2. Policy: Control of feral horses and other Equidae. Australian Veterinary Association, 2013.
3. Australian National Audit Office (ANAO). Management of Threatened Species and Ecological Communities under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. 2022. <https://www.anao.gov.au/work/performance-audit/management-threatened-species-and-ecological-communities-under-the-epbc-act>. Retrieved February 9 2023.



4. Eldridge DJ, Ding J, Travers SK. Feral horse activity reduces environmental quality in ecosystems globally. *Biol Conserv Elsevier*, 2020;241:108367.
5. Woinarski JCZ, Legge SM, Dickman CR. *Cats in Australia. Companion and Killer*. Paperback. CSIRO Publishing, Clayton South, 2019.
6. Huang Y, Chen Z-Q, Roopnarine PD et al. The stability and collapse of marine ecosystems during the Permian-Triassic mass extinction. *Current Biology* 2023;
<https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S096098222300146X>.
7. Cherubin, Rebecca, C, Venn, Susanna E, Driscoll, Don A, Doherty, Tim S and Ritchie, Euan G. Feral horse impacts on threatened plants and animals in sub-alpine and montane environments in Victoria, Australia. 2019 Ecological Management & Restoration Vol 20(1): 48-55
8. Habitat degradation and loss by feral horses (*Equus caballus*) Linnaeus 1758 - key threatening process | NSW Environment and Heritage. Accessed 26th March 2023
9. NSW Department of Planning and Environment. *A survey of the wild horse population in Kosciuszko National Park, November 2022*. Sydney, 2022 Nov.
<https://www.climatecouncil.org.au/resources/summer-of-crisis>. Accessed 24th April 2023
10. Secretary Department of Planning and Environment. *Evaluation of the implementation of the Kosciuszko National Park Wild Horse Heritage Management Plan (2021)*. 2023.

Contact:

Ursula Gleeson
Executive Officer, Category 2 & 3 Special Interest Groups
Australian Veterinary Association
E| T|