

Dear Sir or Madam:

02-06-2010

I am writing about the Migration Amendment (Visa Capping) Bill 2010. I am an applicant who has already logged in GSM since 2009 waiting for the result of the application for 1 year. However, I heard there would be a new policy change recently—Visa Capping. I feel very disappointed by the unfair contents and hope this new amendment would not be passed because it is unfair for the applicants who have logged in.

I have applied for the permanent residence with sponsored by my aunt who is an Australia permanent resident living here for about 20 years. Sadly, this horrible news makes whole family feeling deeply disturbed and upset these days. We all do believe that this amendment is unjust and unwise. Cooker was still in MODL (MIGRATION OCCUPATIONS IN DEMAND) when I applied and I met those criteria perfectly. However, Migration Amendment (Visa Capping) Bill 2010 will give the power to immigration minister terminate the applicant visa without any proper reason when the applicants are more than the capped figures, which seems an irresponsible reaction to the GSM applicant, it will also damage the reputation of Australia government. More importantly, we should not be the victims for this unfair legislation.

During last three years, I have learned heaps of cooking skills in the college and in the kitchen, and my diligence and hard-working has won high praise from most of my colleagues. As far as I know, kitchen staff is still in the high demand in the Australia job market, lots of employers are crying for finding a chef or cooker who would like to work for them. The new immigration will fill the gap in the job market perfectly. I believe we do make contribution to Australia right now and in the future as well. We pay the tax to Australia government every year and our consumption in Australia is a good stimulation to Australia economy either. Personally, I have a strong desire to live in Australia because I have already got used to the life in Australia and my skills could support my living easily.

I understand the problems government has to face currently. There are too many applicants coming from cookery and hairdressing, but the fact is that few graduates are doing the job as they study for, most of them are not able to find a relative job in Australia. As far as I concern, DIAC should reject the applicants who are not doing their occupation as nominated. You should choose the genuine applicants who have been working as their nominated occupations continuously since they logged their applications.

I sincerely hope that senates in the parliament could consider our situation and rights, The immigration policy should keep its consistency. When the immigration policy need to be adjusted. the new policy should only apply for the new applicants , not for the previous ones. Our cases should be processed by the existing policy when we logged in. This is the common principle in all immigration policy over the world, e.g. in USA, Canada....

We came to Australia with the hope for the new life, hard studying, hard

working, making our contribution to this beautiful country. We regard Australia as our second motherland. We are contributing our youth to this country. We hope you, all the senates open your warm arms to accept us, young and full with vigour, skilled new comers! Thanks for your attention to my opinions.

Regards!

Yours sincerely,