

SUBMISSION TO SENATE INQUIRY
Native Vegetation Laws, Greenhouse Gas Abatement & Climate Change
Measures

1. I object fully and unequivocally to the Native Vegetation Act due to the Act undermining the essence of our Constitution with regards to landowners' property rights as well as individuals' personal rights.
This undermining of our Constitutional rights has serious implications on our social, psychological and in turn economic sustainability.
2. Native Vegetation Management can be successfully achieved by a market system approach where the private property owners, if they wish to comply, are compensated for the areas set aside to satisfy community and government indulgence.
3. This could be funded by a levy of 1% of all monies paid to banks in interest, to be set aside into a fund to satisfy Australia's commitment to the United Nations thus enabling everyone to contribute instead of forcing a few people to shoulder the total cost.
4. Implementing this system and rewarding property owners on a three tiered system of payment would encourage weed and feral pest control by reward rather than by Council order.
5. Economic stability created would stop the exit of young adults from farms to city environments taking economic pressure off the city precincts immediately and in the future.

Landowners generally operate in a very responsible and environmentally conscious sustainable way. With unjust and unlawful land grabs as have been happening, many landowners are left with limited areas to conduct their farming enterprises and are often forced to seek outside employment to subsidise their operations and bank repayments.

The Native Vegetation Act has unfairly selected a minority group to shoulder the emission compliance of a whole country.

Landowners **MUST** be compensated.