# **Submission**

on the

# **Australian Film and Literature Classification Scheme**

to the

# **Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee**

Department of the Senate
Parliament House
PO Box 6100 Canberra ACT 2600

Telephone: 02 6277 3560 Facsimile: 02 6277 5794

Email: legcon.sen@aph.gov.au

Website: www.aph.gov.au/senate/committee/legcon ctte

by

FamilyVoice Australia

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Introduction				
2.	The use of serial classifications for publications - TOR (a)				
3.	Natio	National standards for the display of restricted publications and films - TOR (b) 2			
	3.1	Display of restricted publications	2		
	3.2	Display of R18+ films	4		
4.	The e	enforcement system – TOR (c)	5		
5.	The interaction between the National Classification Scheme and customs regulations – TOR (d)				
6.	The 1	role of artistic merit in classification decisions – TOR (e)	6		
7.	The impact of X18+ films, including their role in the sexual abuse of children – $TOR\ (f)$				
8.	The classification of films, including explicit sex or scenes of torture and degradation, sexual violence and nudity as $R18+-TOR\ (g)$ 10				
	8.1	Actual sex in R18+ films	10		
	8.2	Depictions of child abuse in an R18+ film	12		
9.	Outdoor advertising, including billboards – TOR (h)14				
	9.1	Sexpo Pty Ltd	15		
	9.2	Fernwood Fitness Centres	16		
	9.3	Advanced Medical Institute	16		
	9.4	Problems with the current regime	17		
	9.5	Possible solutions	17		
10.	Musi	c videos – TOR (i)	19		
11.	The ARIA/AMRA Labelling Code of Practice for Recorded Music Product Containing Potentially Offensive Lyrics and/or Themes – TOR (j)				

12.	Sexualisation of children and the objectification of women – $TOR\left(k\right)$			
	12.1	Sexualisation of children and advertising	22	
	12.2	Television	24	
	12.3	Commercial Television Industry Code of Practice	24	
	12.4	National Classification Scheme	26	
13.	A, the National Classification Scheme and the Internet – TOR (l)	26		
	13.1	Mandatory filtering at ISP level	27	
	13.2	Improving the proposed scheme	28	
14.	New t	ew technologies and new media, cluding mobile phone applications – TOR (m)		
15.	The F	Refused Classification (RC) category – TOR (n)	30	
	15.1	Suicide	30	
	15.2	Actual sex	31	
16.	Other	matters: No exceptions for minors – TOR (o)	31	
17.	Refer	ences	33	

## 1. Introduction

On 16 November 2010 the Senate referred to the Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee for inquiry and report the Australian film and literature classification scheme.

Submissions from the public have been invited and are due by 4 March 2011. The reporting date for the inquiry is 30 June 2011.

# 2. The use of serial classifications for publications - TOR (a)

Decisions on the classification of publications are made by the Classification Board which must apply criteria that are set out in the National Classification Code. The terms of the Code are determined in accordance with the Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995 (the Classification Act).<sup>1</sup>

The Code states that classification decisions are to give effect, as far as possible, to the following principles:

- (a) adults should be able to read, hear and see what they want;
- (b) minors should be protected from material likely to harm or disturb them;
- (c) everyone should be protected from exposure to unsolicited material that they find offensive;
- (d) the need to take account of community concerns about:
  - (i) depictions that condone or incite violence, particularly sexual violence; and
  - (ii) the portrayal of persons in a demeaning manner.

Evidence of harm to children from adult pornography is provided in a recent survey by Robert Peters, President of Morality in Media. <sup>2</sup> Evidence of harm is detailed for the following:

- Child sexual abusers use adult pornography to groom their victims.
- Child sexual abusers use adult pornography to sexually arouse themselves preliminary to committing offences against children.
- Clients of child prostitutes use adult pornography to model what they do with child prostitutes.
- Pimps use adult pornography to instruct child prostitutes.
- Many child sexual abusers begin by viewing adult pornography and progress to viewing child pornography and then to actual abuse of children.
- Children act out what they view in adult pornography with other children.

These uses of adult pornography make it imperative that, if society insists on allowing adult pornography to be available to adults, the strictest possible conditions are enforced. The present classification enforcement system is failing and changes are needed to provide better protection for children.

A number of issues of publications that had been given serial classification were submitted to the Classification Board by Ms Julie Gale of Kids Free To Be Kids. This has resulted in nine such serial

classifications being revoked. The titles affected are Best of Cheri, Finally Legal, Live Young Girls (2), Swank, The Very Best of High Society, Purely 18, Hawk and Gallery.

The case of *Live Young Girls* is particularly revealing of the flawed nature of serial classification.

On 11 July 2006 this title was given a serial classification, valid for 24 months, of Category 1 Restricted based on Vol. 26, no. 5, May 2005. On 27 June 2008, this same title was given a subsequent 24 month serial classification of Category 1 Restricted, based on Vol. 29, no. 5, May 2008.

Ms Gale submitted three issues of *Live Young Girls* (dated Dec 2006, August 2007 and April 2008) to the Classification Board. On 13 January 2009 the Director informed Ms Gale that these issues each contained RC (Refused Classification) content and that the serial classification granted on 11 July 2006 had now been revoked.

It appears that at that point that no action was taken to revoke, or even to audit, the 24 month serial classification granted to *Live Young Girls* on 27 June 2008.

It was only when Ms Gale - who is not on the public payroll - submitted three further issues (dated June 2008, September 2008 and December 2008) that were covered by this second serial classification that the Board audited them and revoked this serial classification.

These facts are sufficient to demonstrate the comprehensive failure of the serial classification scheme to ensure that publications are appropriately classified.

As of February 2010 the serial classification declarations of 55 publications had been revoked since the scheme began in December 2005. Forty-eight of these were originally classified Category 1 restricted.<sup>3</sup>

While it may be the case that some titles may comply with the requirements for serial classifications, there is no obvious way of distinguishing these in advance.

The public is entitled to certainty that the classification on a publication accurately reflects the nature of that publication – not a mere pious wish that it may do so based on an earlier issue of the same title.

#### Recommendation 1:

The serial classification scheme should be abandoned and all relevant titles be made subject to mandatory submission for classification on an issue by issue basis.

# 3. National standards for the display of restricted publications and films - TOR (b)

# 3.1 Display of restricted publications

The current classification guidelines for Category 1 and Category 2 Restricted publications are given in the *Guidelines for the Classification of Publications* 2005.<sup>4</sup>

All jurisdictions prohibit the sale of Category 1 and Category 2 Restricted publications to persons under 18. Category 2 Restricted publications have the additional constraint, in most jurisdictions, that they may only be displayed in premises restricted to adults.

While Category 2 publications allow depiction of "actual sexual activity", Category 1 allows "realistic depictions of nudity" which "may contain genital detail and emphasis; realistic depictions of obvious sexual excitement and realistic depictions" that "include touching of genitals."

A brief summary of the differences between the guidelines for Category 1 and Category 2 Restricted publications is given in the following table.

	Category 1 - Restricted	Category 2 - Restricted
Display	Covers must be suitable for public	May not be publicly displayed and
	display - or sealed in plain opaque	may only be displayed in premises
	wrapping.	that are restricted to adults.
Violence	Publications which promote, incite or	As for 'Category 1 - Restricted'.
	instruct in violence are not permitted.	
Sex	Detailed descriptions of sexual activity	Actual sexual activity involving
	involving consenting adults may be	consenting adults may be realistically
	permitted - but not actual sexual	depicted.
	activity shown in realistic depictions.	
Nudity	Realistic depictions of nudity may	Realistic depictions of nudity may
	contain genital detail, obvious sexual	include actual sexual activity.
	excitement and may include touching	
	of genitals.	
Coarse	Virtually no restrictions.	As for 'Category 1 - Restricted'.
Language		
Adult Themes	Descriptions and depictions of	Depictions of revolting and abhorrent
	revolting and abhorrent phenomena	phenomena may be permitted.
	are not permitted.	
Drug Use	Descriptions and depictions of drug	As for 'Category 1 - Restricted'.
	use may be permitted.	

Clearly, Category 1 material is designed for the sole purpose of sexual arousal. Sale of such material in general retail outlets such as newsagents and petrol service stations is inappropriate. Its sale should be rigorously restricted to adults by limiting display and sales to premises restricted to adults, as is the case with Category 2 material in most jurisdictions.

Several jurisdictions restrict the sale and display of Category 2 restricted publications to a "restricted publications area" that must be constructed and monitored in such a way as to exclude the entry of a minor.

For example South Australian legislation provides as follows:

#### 81—Restricted publications area—construction and management

- (1) A restricted publications area must be so constructed that no part of the interior of the area is visible to any person outside the area.
- (2) Each entrance to a restricted publications area—
  - (a) must be fitted with a gate or door capable of excluding persons from the area; and
  - (b) must be closed by means of that gate or door when the area is not open to the public.
- (3) A restricted publications area must be managed by an adult who must be in attendance in or near the area at all times when the area is open to the public.

(4) The manager of a restricted publications area must cause a notice containing the following words, in legible letters or numerals not less than 15 millimetres in height and of a colour that contrasts with the background colour of the notice, to be displayed in a prominent place on or near each entrance to the area, so that it is clearly visible from outside the area:

RESTRICTED PUBLICATIONS AREA—PERSONS UNDER 18 MAY NOT ENTER. THE PUBLIC ARE WARNED THAT SOME PUBLICATIONS DISPLAYED HEREIN MAY CAUSE OFFENCE. <sup>5</sup>

Those jurisdictions that do not have this provision (such as Western Australia) should adopt it.

In all jurisdictions, these requirements should also be applied to the sale and display of Category 1 Restricted publications.

#### Recommendation 2:

All restricted publications should only be sold or displayed in a restricted publications area accessible to adults only.

## 3.2 Display of R18+ films

Requirements for the display of R18+ films vary from one jurisdiction to the next.

Amendments to South Australian legislation passed in 2010 set a new standard that should be adopted nationally.

## 40A—Keeping R 18+ films with other films

- (1) An occupier of premises (other than adult-only premises) at which films with a classification lower than R 18+ are sold must not display material for a film classified R 18+ at the premises—
  - (a) unless—
    - (i) the material is displayed in a different area (including, for example, in a different aisle or on a different shelving case, stand or table) from that in which material for other films is displayed; and
    - (ii) the area is marked as an area displaying material for films classified R 18+ by a notice complying with subsection (2) displayed in a prominent place near the area; and
    - (iii) the surface area of the material that is on display (for example, the front cover of a DVD container where that is on display) is not more than 300 cm<sup>2</sup>; or
  - (b) unless, at all times while on display, the material bears no images or markings other than—
    - (i) the name of the film in letters of 10 millimetres or less in height; and
    - (ii) the determined markings relevant to its classification.

Maximum penalty: \$5 000.

Expiation fee: \$315.

(2) A notice required to be displayed under subsection (1)(a) must contain the following statement (printed in legible type of at least 15 millimetres in height and of a colour that contrasts with the background colour of the notice):

R 18+ FILMS AREA—THE PUBLIC ARE WARNED THAT MATERIAL DISPLAYED IN THIS AREA MAY CAUSE OFFENCE.  $^6$ 

#### Recommendation 3:

R18+ films should, in all jurisdictions, be subject to requirements for display similar to those currently required under South Australian legislation.

# 4. The enforcement system – TOR (c)

Terms of reference (c) refers to "the enforcement system, including call-in notices, referrals to state and territory law enforcement agencies and follow-up of such referrals".

The Classification Act (sections 23-25) empowers the director general of the Classification Board to "call in" for classification any "submittable" publication (one that could be classified with a restricted classification) or any film or computer game. There has been a systematic failure to respond.

Since 1 January 2008, 858 items mainly concerned with sex or sexualised nudity ('adult material') have been called in. Not a single distributor of adult material has submitted a film or publication for classification as a result of the call-ins.<sup>7</sup>

Once a distributor fails to respond to a call-in notice the director of the Classification Board refers the matter to the Attorney-General's department which then notifies the relevant state or territory law enforcement agency. There is no system for follow-up or feedback so there is only limited information about the results of such referrals.<sup>8</sup>

While it is appropriate that law enforcement of the classification system remains a matter for the states it would be very useful for the Commonwealth to play a role in co-ordinating information on the results of law enforcement efforts. Publications and films that are found to be in breach of the classification system are likely to be offered for sale in more than one state, not just in the state in which a copy of the offending publication or film has been found.

It is odd that there is no penalty on distributors for failing to respond to a call-in notice. Indeed it seems that distributors who are repeat offenders in such failure to comply nonetheless continue to be offered the services of the Classification Board in classifying new publications or films submitted for publication.

It would be helpful to try to improve the abysmal response rate to call-in notices by introducing penalties for failure to comply with a notice, including suspension from using any services of the Classification Board for a fixed period, say twelve months, and until all call-in notices are complied with.

### Recommendation 4:

The enforcement system should be improved by:

(a) establishing a centralised information database recording the progress and outcomes of all enforcement matters referred to state and territory law enforcement agencies by the Commonwealth;

- (b) introducing financial penalties for distributors who fail to comply with call-in notices; and
- (c) suspending services by the Classification Board to any distributor who fails to comply with a call-in notice for a minimum of 12 months with no services to be resumed until all outstanding call-in notices have been complied with by the distributor.

# 5. The interaction between the National Classification Scheme and customs regulations – TOR (d)

Regulation 4A of the *Customs (Prohibited Imports) Regulations 1956* prohibits the importation of objectionable goods.

The regulation uses terminology derived from the national classification scheme's code and guidelines to define objectionable goods to include publications, films and computer games of a kind which would lead to them being Refused Classification under the relevant guidelines.

In addition computer games which "are unsuitable for a person under 18 to see or play" are prohibited imports. This accords with the current system in which MA15+ computer games are the highest level permitted to be sold in Australia.

X18+ films are prohibited from sale in each of the six States. They are also prohibited items which cannot be sold or possessed in significant parts of the Northern Territory. They are permitted for sale only in the ACT and some parts of the Northern Territory.

The Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee in its report on the Social Security and Other Legislation Amendment (Welfare Payment Reform) Bill 2007 and four related bills concerning the Northern Territory National Emergency Response noted that:

Consideration may need to be given to ... cutting off the supply of such films [i.e. X18+ films] at their source through an amendment to the Customs (Prohibited Imports) Regulations 1956.

It would be reasonable to amend the customs regulations to reflect the position of the overwhelming majority of jurisdictions in Australia by including X18+ films as objectionable goods under Regulation 4A.

## Recommendation 5:

The Customs (Prohibited Imports) Regulations 1956, Regulation 4A, Importation of Objectionable Goods should be amended so that films that would be classified X18+ are included in the definition of objectionable goods.

# 6. The role of artistic merit in classification decisions – TOR (e)

Section 11 (b) of the *Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995* specifies as one of the matters to be taken into account in making a decision on the classification of a publication, a film or a computer game include:

the literary, artistic or educational merit (if any) of the publication.

This provision of the Act clearly does not require that, if any such merit is present, any particular decision regarding the classification should be made. It does not exclude a publication, a film or a computer game with some literary, artistic or educational merit from still being Refused Classification under the Code and guidelines.

However, it could be helpful to clarify the application of this provision in relation specifically to child pornography and to explicit depiction of sexual activity in R18+ films.

The latter issue is discussed in Section 8 below.

Commonwealth child pornography offences do not have an artistic or literary merit defence.

A recent report from the Child Pornography Working Party (CPWP) appointed by the New South Wales Attorney-General recommended that the artistic merit defence for child pornography be removed.

"The CPWP is of the view that the inclusion of the defence of artistic merit amongst the child pornography offences may, somewhat unhelpfully, lead to the impression that material that would otherwise constitute child pornography is acceptable if the material was produced, used, or intended to be used whilst acting for a genuine artistic purpose. The CPWP is not of the view that this should be the case. Material that is otherwise offensive because of the way in which it depicts children should not be protected because its creator claims an overriding artistic purpose for it. If having considered the artistic merit of an image it is considered offensive then it should only be legitimate if there is an overriding, definable and clear public purpose."

The defence has since been removed from New South Wales law.

It would be helpful to amend the guidelines for the classification of publications and of films and computer games to make it clear that material that should be Refused Classification because it promotes or provides instruction in paedophile activity or contains descriptions or depictions of child sexual abuse or any other exploitative or offensive descriptions or depictions involving a person who is, or appears to be, a child under 18 cannot be classified otherwise because of any alleged artistic or literary merit.

It may considered necessary to also amend Section 11 of the Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995 so that it specifies that there is no requirement to consider artistic or literary merit in relation to material that would otherwise be Refused Classification for offensive depictions or descriptions involving children.

Art is no excuse for child pornography.

#### Recommendation 6:

The Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995, the National Classification Code, the Guidelines for the Classification of Publications and the Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games should each be amended to make it clear that material that otherwise would be Refused Classification due to offensive depictions or descriptions of children should not be given a lower classification due to any alleged artistic or literary merit.

# 7. The impact of X18+ films, including their role in the sexual abuse of children – TOR (f)

All decent Australians were deeply disturbed by the accounts of child sexual abuse, including the sexualisation of young children through exposure to X-rated pornography, recounted in *Little Children are Sacred*.

One example will suffice to illustrate the nature of the problem:

The Inquiry was also told a story of a 17-year-old boy who would regularly show pornographic DVDs at a certain house then get young children to act out the scenes from the films. <sup>10</sup>

This echoes the findings of the 1999 Report of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women's Task Force on Violence (Queensland)<sup>11</sup> which also pointed to the link between X-rated films and sexual violence against women and children:

The incidence of sexual violence is rising and is [in] a direct relationship to negative and deformed male socialisation associated with alcohol and other drug misuse, and the prevalence of pornographic videos in some Communities.

The Aboriginal Coordinating Council referred to \$4,000 to \$5,000 of orders of pornographic videos sent every week from Canberra to the Cape Communities.

Measures introduced as part of the response to the Northern Territory emergency prohibited the sale, transport and possession of an X18+ film through prescribed areas of the Northern Territory.

Those who live in the prescribed areas are not, nor should they be, prohibited from travelling outside these areas. The Northern Territory, unlike the six States, currently permits the sale of X18+ films to any person over the age of 18. If this is allowed to continue then it will seriously undermine the prohibitions being put in place in the prescribed areas.

Furthermore, the Northern Territory's Classification of Publications, Films and Computer Games Act (NT) Section 50 (2) provides that "A person shall not sell or deliver to a minor a film classified X 18+ or R 18+, unless the person is a parent or guardian of the minor." This extraordinary provision means that it is not unlawful for a parent or guardian to give any child under their care an X18+ film.

Videos and DVDs are very portable items. Unless their sale is prohibited not just within the boundaries of the prescribed areas but throughout the Northern Territory then X18+ films will most likely continue to play a role in the premature sexualisation and sexual abuse of indigenous children.

At the Joint Press Conference announcing the legislative package to respond to the Northern territory emergency on 22 June 2007 the then Prime Minister, Hon John Howard, stated:

if this set of circumstances had been disclosed as taking place in the suburb of Dickson, can you imagine what the local response from police, from medical authorities and from the state government would have been? It would have been horror and immediate action and a demand by the community that something be done.

Sadly, there is evidence that similar events involving the sexualisation of young children through exposure to X-rated pornography are taking place in the suburbs of Canberra. The problem may be less widespread than in indigenous communities but it is nonetheless alarming.

A paper presented at the Ninth Australasian Conference on Child Abuse and Neglect in November 2003 by staff from the Child at Risk Assessment Unit, Canberra Hospital has reported that exposure to

X-rated pornography is one significant factor in children younger than 10 years old sexually abusing other children. 12

In the first six months of 2003 the Unit had identified as many as 48 children under 10 years of age that had engaged in sexualised, sexually abusive behaviour. The paper does not claim that pornography is the only factor in children becoming sexually abusive. Other factors include substances abuse, mental health and domestic violence issues in the family. Nonetheless the authors make a strong case for access to pornography as decisively shaping this disturbing trend in the incidence of sexually abusive children. The authors present a case study of a nine year old boy, Steven, who sees his mother's current de facto (her fourth since Steven was born) watching pornography and concludes that this is an acceptable activity. He then gets involved in viewing pornography regularly. Soon he is making his four year old half-brother Deacon act out homosexual acts with him. He also makes younger girls at school participate in sexual acts with him and threatens to hurt them if they tell anybody. While Steven certainly had significant social and developmental problems the specific expression of these problems in sexually aggressive behaviour with younger children was shaped by his exposure to graphic sexual images.

In 2003 the Australia Institute found that, of boys aged 16 or 17, one in twenty watch X-rated videos on a weekly basis while more than a fifth watch an X-rated video at least once a month. <sup>13</sup>

Clearly, X-rated videos have a damaging effect on non-indigenous children and young people in places other than the Northern Territory. Moreover, the evidence cited above shows the damaging impact of X18+ films on indigenous communities in Queensland. Consequently, there is a strong case for extending the specific provisions in this bill that exclude X18+ films from indigenous communities in the Northern Territory by adding measures to reduce the exposure of children everywhere to X18+ films.

Every day thousands of X18+ films are sent by carrier services from Canberra to pornography consumers all around Australia, including those in indigenous communities, not just in the Northern Territory but in Queensland and other States.

The Commonwealth has a clear constitutional head of power (*Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900*, Section 51(v)) to make laws dealing with postal and other like services.

It should be made an offence to carry or cause to be carried or to receive from a carrier service a film classified X18+.

This would make it unlawful for pornography merchants in Canberra to send X18+ films not just to the prescribed areas in the Northern Territory but to any person in Australia. Rather than profiting from the sexualisation of young Australians, including indigenous children, the Canberra pornography industry would be effectively limited to over the counter sales.

Most X18+ films are imported from overseas. Discussion and recommendations for amending Regulation 4A of the *Customs (Prohibited Imports) Regulations 1956* are given in Section 5 of this submission.

Obviously the most decisive measure to stop the pernicious effect of X18+ films on children and young people, indigenous and non-indigenous, in Australia would be to prohibit the production and supply of X18+ films in the Australian Capital Territory.

The ACT government inherited permissive legislation on X18+ films when it attained self-government. Sadly it has not followed the lead set by all six States in prohibiting the production and sale of X18+ films. This has meant that despite State bans on the sale of X18+ films anyone in Australia can purchase X18+ films by mail order from the ACT.

The Commonwealth, which retains ultimate responsibility under the territories power, ought to act to remedy this problem. This would be the most effective measure as it would close down the principal sources from which X18+ films find their way into the hands of children and their abusers in both indigenous and non-indigenous communities around Australia.

The pornography industry has recently advanced claims that State laws prohibiting the sale of X18+ films may be unconstitutional because they violate Section 92 of the Constitution which provides that:

On the imposition of uniform duties of customs, trade, commerce, and intercourse among the States, whether by means of internal carriage or ocean navigation, shall be absolutely free.

This argument is yet to be tested in court but would be made moot if the Commonwealth moved to prohibit the sale of X18+ films in the territories as all States uniformly already ban the sale of X18+films.

#### Recommendation 7:

The Commonwealth should enact legislation to:

- (d) prohibit the sale of X18+ films throughout the Northern Territory;
- (e) prohibit the use of a carrier service to carry or cause to be carried or to receive a film that is or that would be classified X18+;
- (f) to prohibit the sale of X18+ films in the Australian Capital Territory.

# The classification of films, including explicit sex or scenes of torture and degradation, sexual violence and nudity as R18+ - TOR (g)

#### 8.1 Actual sex in R18+ films

In January 2000 a decision of the Classification Review Board to classify the film Romance as R18+ opened a significant breach in what was until then a clear dividing line between the R18+ (no actual sex) and the X18+ (depictions of actual sex but no sexual violence) classifications in regard to explicit depictions of sex. The film contained several brief depictions of an erect penis, of fellatio and of a woman masturbating a man. As the Classification Board observed in its initial decision to classify the film as RC 'the explicit depictions of sexual activity [had] not previously been permitted (other than in an educational context) in the 'R' classification'. <sup>15</sup> The Board noted that it was obliged to classify the film in accordance with the Classification Guidelines for Films and Videotapes which, at that time specified that for a film to be classified R, 'Sexual activity may be realistically simulated; the general rule is "simulation, yes - the real thing, no" and 'Nudity in a sexual context should not include obvious genital contact.' The Board found that the sexually explicit depictions could have been accommodated in the X18+ classification but that other scenes of sexual violence prevented this.

In classifying Romance as R18+ on appeal, the Classification Review Board opined that 'In the R18+ Restricted classification, "sexual activity may be realistically simulated; the general rule is "simulation, yes - the real thing no". "The real thing" possibly may have occurred in the fellatio scene, and certainly in the masturbation scenes. The "rule" referred to above is expressed to be a general rule, implying the possibility of exceptions in a limited number of instances. After careful consideration the majority of the Board decided that the limited discretion implicit in the application of the rule should be exercised in this film's favour.' 16

Curiously the Classification Review Board's decision did not address the provision in the guidelines which specified, without exception, that 'Nudity in a sexual context should not include obvious genital contact.' This provision was subsequently dropped from the revised Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games adopted in 2003 by the Standing Committee of Attorneys-General (Censorship).

Since this decision a number of films with explicit depictions of sexual acts have been classified as R18+. One example is Irreversible, a film with a seven minute long scene showing a woman being anally raped, as well as several scenes of actual sex. Another is Anatomy of Hell, a film with several detailed scenes of actual sex acts, as well as a scene in which 'A young girl - approximately 8 to 10 years of age – is shown removing her underpants and then lying down under a bush. A medium shot of what appears to be the naked girl is shown. A group of boys watch her and laugh. One boy removes the glasses of another and implicitly inserts the arm of the glasses into the girl's vagina. The boy then looks at a mucous-like fluid on the arm of the glasses.' 17

The majority of the Classification Review Board found that 'this scene is of high impact but interpreted the actions of the children as exploratory play and the intention of the filmmaker as not attempting to titillate viewers, but to provide a context for future scenes. The impact was also moderated by the fleeting nature of the explicit scene and the somewhat positive interactions between the children.'18 There you have it: vaginal penetration of an eight to ten year old girl with an instrument while a group of boys look on can be a 'positive interaction' and 'exploratory play'.

In January 2005 the Classification Review Board classified the film *Nine Songs* as R18+. The film consisted largely of sex scenes interspersed with scenes from rock concerts. Actual sex shown with explicit detail and close-ups included vaginal intercourse and masturbation of a man by a woman to ejaculation. A minority of the Classification Review Board 'noted previous decisions of the Review Board permitting fleeting, non-detailed scenes of actual sex and case law regarding the application of a "general rule". In the film, the actual sex scenes were prolonged and detailed, took more than five minutes of the 69 minute film and were shown with full lighting. The amount of actual sex scenes and cumulative impact of those scenes was gratuitous.'19

On 6 September 2006 the Classification Board classified the film Shortbus as R18+. Director John Cameron Mitchell has explained how he 'launched a website for people to send in a videotape of them talking about sex. The site was swamped with hits, and 500 videos arrived in the mail. Some had people talking about sex, some people sang about sex and some people, well, just did it. He chose 100 of those people and got them together for a huge game of "spin the bottle" where people made out with other people who were not necessarily their sexual preference. Then he got everyone to watch all the videos, and rate everyone in terms of sexual attraction. A bit of playing with a spreadsheet, and he soon knew which couples, or triples, were going to partner up on screen. What he still didn't know was what the story was. That came out as the actors workshopped. Often, the crew would strip naked to help the actors relax. And in one group sex, the director went that extra yard and joined in. Mitchell says you can see the back of his head as he performed oral sex on an actress, something the gay man admits was a personal milestone.'20

In the light of these decisions it was understandable that Adultshop.Com Ltd initiated an attempt that, if it had been successful, would have resulted in all (or nearly all) films of the type being classified as X18+, that is films depicting actual sex with no pretence to any purpose other than the sexual stimulation and arousal of the audience, being classified as R18+. According to the Classification Review Board the film Viva Erotica is 'of 98 minutes duration and depicts men and women having explicit sex. There is no plot and the persons depicted are not given names. There are six separate, unrelated "vignettes" containing explicit sex scenes, five involving a man and a woman and one involving two women. The Classification Review Board dismissed an appeal from Adultshop.Com Ltd to have the film classified as R18+ concluding that a departure from 'the general rule' was not warranted for this film. Adultshop.Com Ltd. pursued this matter before a single judge of the Federal Court<sup>22</sup> and then before the Full Court of the Federal Court. A unanimous decision by the Full Court

dismissed the appeal advanced by Adultshop.Com Ltd which asserted that the Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games were invalid, and that the Classification Review Board was bound in the light of expert evidence about Australians attitudes to sexually explicit films to find that *Viva Erotica* was not offensive.<sup>23</sup>

In July 2008 the Minister for Home Affairs, Bob Debus, initiated applications to the Classification Review Board to review the classifications of four anime films, Classes in Seduction, T & A Teacher, Holy Virgins and Bondage Mansion, each of which had been classified as R18+ by the Classification Board. The Classification Review Board classified Holy Virgins as RC 'because of the sexual depictions of characters who appear to be under 18'.24 The three other films were each classified as R18+. The Classification Board had noted in its Board report on the film T & A Teacher that 'Although this film is animated, the depictions of the various sex acts are graphic and in close-up and the male and female genitalia are anatomically correct. The film is predominantly about sex and there are several prolonged scenes which depict masturbation of a vagina and penis, cunnilingus, fellatio, anal and vaginal penetration by digit and penis, ejaculation and intercourse.' Although this film and Classes in Seduction each feature sexual acts between a teacher and his or her students the Classification Review Board appears to have seen this as acceptable for a film to be classified R18+ as none of the students appear to be less than eighteen years of age. 26 It appears that they were able to distinguish these films from films such as Viva Erotica only because they are animated. As the technology continues to blur the boundaries between animation and acting - consider Beowulf - the classifiers will now have to decide how much animation or computer editing is sufficient to allow a film which depicts actual sex, with no pretence to any purpose other than the sexual stimulation and arousal of the audience, from being classified R18+.

The January 2000 decision of the Classification Review Board to allow, as exceptions to a general rule, a breach of the dividing line between the X18+ and R18+ classifications in relation to depictions of actual sex has resulted in a steady erosion of this dividing line. Although Adultshop.Com Ltd failed in its attempt to have the dividing line dissolved altogether it seems that it is only a matter of time before successive classification decisions widen the breach in the line to the point where there is no remaining difference between the classifications.

The only effective way to halt this progressive erosion would be to amend the classification guidelines. The R18+ classification would need to state clearly and unambiguously that explicit depictions, including animated depictions, of actual sexual intercourse, other sexual activity or genital contact in a sexual context are not permitted.

#### Recommendation 8:

The Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games should be amended to state that, in regard to sex in the R18+ classification for films, there can be no explicit depictions, including animated depictions, of actual sexual intercourse, of other sexual activity or of genital contact in a sexual context.

#### 8.2 Depictions of child abuse in an R18+ film

The film Salo o le 120 Giornate di Sodoma (Salo) currently remains classified as Refused Classification for public exhibition but was reclassified as R18+ for a DVD version with extra material.

The Classification Review Board reasons for decision have been published. The majority determined to classify the DVD version of the film Salo o le 120 Giornate di Sodoma (Salo) as R18+ with consumer advice 'Scenes of torture and degradation, sexual violence and nudity'.

A minority of the Classification Review Board found that the film describes and depicts persons under 18 in a way that "that is likely to cause offence to a reasonable adult" and so should be Refused Classification.

This view was well supported by the evidence before the Review Board.

In the 1997 decision by the Classification Board and in the 1998 decision by the Classification Review Board different views were expressed by the respective majorities and minorities as to whether any of the "young teenagers" depicted in the film were or appeared to be aged under 16 years.

This reflected the then provisions of the National Classification Code. However, the Code has since been modified so that it now provides in Clause 3, Item 1 (b), that:

Films that ... describe or depict in a way that is likely to cause offence to a reasonable adult, a person who is, or appears to be, a child under 18 (whether the person is engaged in sexual activity or not) [are to be classified] RC.

In the 1997, 1998 and 2008 decisions reference is made to "young teenagers". This description certainly suggests that all decision makers considered the group – or at least most of them – to be under 18.

The 2010 Classification Board decision refers to "young males and females" and has no direct discussion in the majority reasons about the depiction of minors. This is curious given that the minority of the Board specifically found that the film contains "numerous depictions of realistically simulated sexual activity, sexual violence and torture involving minors throughout, including depictions of coprophagia and urolagnia."

It seems then that no decision-maker to date has argued either that there are no minors depicted in the film or that, conceding that minors are depicted, these depictions are not "likely to cause offence to a reasonable adult".

The actor who plays a young male victim - Sergio Fascetti - was born in 1958. As the film was released in 1975, at least one of the actors was aged under 18 when the film was made.<sup>27</sup>

In addition to the numerous scenes depicting the young teenagers in offensive ways there is also a scene depicting a "young girl" being sexually molested by an adult male who puts her over his knees and lifts her skirt to rub her buttocks. This depiction takes place while an adult female Senora Maggi is describing in explicit detail being sexually abused by an adult male when she was a young child.

FamilyVoice Australia has lodged an appeal against the Review Board's decision and a hearing is scheduled before the Federal Court of Australia on 4 March 2011.

The majority decision, when carefully read, fails to make a finding on whether the film describes or depicts any persons under 18.

The majority decision improperly modified the requirement that "Descriptions or depictions of child sexual abuse or any other exploitative or offensive descriptions or depictions involving a person who is, or appears to be, a child under 18" by taking into account irrelevant considerations such as "context, purpose and cinematic techniques".

By "context" the majority includes, and places primary emphasis on, additional material included with this DVD format.

"It is the opinion of the Review Board that the inclusion of additional documentary features in this modified DVD format version of Salo facilitates wider consideration of the historical, political and cultural context of the film, and this would mitigate the level of potential community offence and the impact of classifiable elements to the extent that the film can be accommodated within the R 18+ classification."

The majority failed to give consideration to evidence before it that many or even most viewers are unlikely to view this additional material.

In our view, these failures give sufficient grounds for a reasonable prospect of success in the appeal to the Federal Court.

Furthermore, such an appeal is urgent because if this decision is allowed to stand uncorrected then it will be open to the Classification Board and the Classification Review Board to make further classification decisions based on the undesirable and unwarranted assumptions that:

- Detailed descriptions and simulated depictions of child abuse are permitted in R18+ films;
- Merely providing 'additional material' in the form of interviews with directors etc. can be sufficient to qualify a film that would otherwise be Refused Classification to be classified as R18+.

This would significantly corrupt the classification process in Australia.

Regardless of the determination of the Salo appeal it would be helpful to amend the classification guidelines to minimise the danger of these undesirable outcomes.

#### Recommendation 9:

The Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games should be amended to state that:

- The mere presence of additional material in a DVD version of a film does not in itself justify lowering the classification of the film; and
- Matters such as context, purpose and cinematic techniques do not justify detailed descriptions or simulated depictions of child abuse in R18+ films.

#### 9. Outdoor advertising, including billboards – TOR (h)

Billboard and other forms of outdoor advertising are currently self-regulated under the Australian Association of National Advertisers AANA Code of Ethics. 28

Complaints about breaches of the code by advertisers can be made in writing, including via an online complaints form to the Advertising Standards Board.<sup>29</sup>

After the Advertising Standards Board has made a determination the advertiser is free to implement it, ignore it or appeal it to the Independent Reviewer. Complainants may also seek a review of a determination. Review is only available if there is additional material or a flaw in the process.

In 2009 complaints about outdoor advertising represented 23.92% of all complaints up from just 3.67% in 2006.

In 2010 four of the ten most complained-about advertisements were billboard advertisements, with between 45 and 70 complainants for each advertisement. The Board upheld two of the complaints and dismissed two of them.

- Sexpo Pty Ltd Case number 60/10 Featuring woman on knees and man on motorbike ad for Brisbane Sexpo in March. About 75 complaints. Board decision – Dismissed
- Ashley Madison Avid Life Case number 0292/10 Life is short. Have an affair Sydney. About 60 complaints. Board decision - Upheld
- Fernwood Fitness Centres Aust Pty Ltd (Billboard ) Case number 15/10 "Join Now for Fox Sake" - About 50 complaints. Board decision - Dismissed
- Calvin Klein (Billboard) Case number 0411/10 Image of one woman and three men. Woman is lying on her back with her head resting on the thighs of one of the men and he is looking down at her. Another man is crouched over her. About 45 complaints. Board decision – Upheld

#### 9.1 Sexpo Pty Ltd

The Board describes this advertisement as follows:

This outdoor advertisement features a woman wearing a blue bikini-like outfit, she is on her hands and knees and arching her back. A bare-chested male appears in the background. On the right side of the billboard is a man on a motorbike depicted in mid air.

## The Board determined in part:

The Board noted the complainants concern that the image of a woman in a sexualised pose with skimpy clothing is inappropriate for the Billboard.

The Board considered whether the advertisement 'depicted sex, sexuality and nudity with sensitivity to the relevant audience'.

The Board noted that the woman is featured with her back arched and was wearing an outfit similar to a bikini. The Board noted that it had previously considered advertisements featuring scantily clad women and that the use of such images has at times been a divisive issue for the community. The Board noted that this advertisement is for a sex related product - a Sex expo and that a mildly sexually suggestive image of a woman is relevant to that product or service. The Board noted that the relevance of the image to the product or service advertised is a factor in determining whether the advertisement treats sex, sexuality or nudity with sensitivity to the relevant audience.

The Board noted that the advertisement is on a billboard and is therefore available for viewing by a broad audience. The Board considered that some reasonable people would find the portrayal to be unacceptable but considered that the image is relatively discrete (the woman's breasts are mostly covered), the advertisement is only mildly sexually suggestive, and the image is relevant to the products advertised. On this basis the Board determined that the advertisement did depict sexuality with sensitivity to the relevant audience and that it did not breach section 2.3 of the Code.

The Board also considered whether the advertisement discriminated against or vilified women. Some members of the Board considered that the woman was depicted in a sexualised and objectified manner particularly when contrasted with the image of the man, who is depicted in a stronger more powerful position. The majority of the Board considered that this image, although objectifying the woman, was relevant to the product and did not amount to discrimination or vilification of women. On this basis the Board determined that the advertisement did not breach section 2.1 of the Code. 30

While noting that as a billboard the advertisement was "available for viewing by a broad audience" the Board did not give any specific consideration to the fact that this audience necessarily includes children even though its viewing by children was mentioned in six out of eight of the complaints cited by the Board in the determination.

It is unclear how the Board interprets the phrase "sensitivity to the relevant audience". Does this mean the audience likely to see the advertisement, which in this case would include children? If so, it is surprising for the Board to find that this image depicted sexuality with sensitivity to children. An advertisement of this kind would not be cleared to screen during children's viewing times on television.

There is also a degree of circular reasoning in the Board's argument that because the advertisement was for a sexual product - a sex exposition - this justified the sexually suggestive image and the objectification of the woman.

The latter finding seems to mean that as Sexpo as a product objectifies women it is therefore acceptable for an advertisement for Sexpo to objectify women. It is acceptable, the Board seems to be saying, for the sex industry to objectify women and therefore acceptable for advertisements depicting such objectification to be displayed to a general audience – which necessarily includes children.

This decision alone seems to indicate the need for a new approach to outdoor advertising.

#### 9.2 **Fernwood Fitness Centres**

This billboard advertisement featured the words "Join Now for Fox Sake."

Unbelievably the Advertising Standards Board accepted the claim by the advertiser – surely not made with a straight face – that although they were aware that if said aloud the slogan could sound like it was using a strong four-letter expletive this was purely unintentional and the slogan, building on other advertisement for the product around the idea of women being foxy or fox-like, simply was intended to mean "Join Now for the sake of becoming foxy". 31

This determination seems to treat the public with contempt as idiots. No person who is familiar with the four-letter expletive in question could possibly read this slogan and not have the phrase come to mind.

A play on words where one of the words is a four-letter expletive is simply not suitable for a billboard that can be read by all passers-by including children.

#### **Advanced Medical Institute** 9.3

There has been a long history of complaints about billboards advertising the services of Advanced Medical Institute (AMI).

Notably in a determination dated 13 August 2008 the Board reversed an earlier decision dismissing complaints against a billboard with the slogan "Want Longer Lasting Sex?". The Board opined that since they had last considered the slogan in 2007 community standards had changed and that there was now a new level of "concern about the unsolicited exposure of children to advertisements dealing with sexuality". Most commentators considered that it was the Board's opinion that had changed to conform to long-existing community standards rather than any significant shift in community attitudes.<sup>32</sup>

Parents have never been comfortable having their children confronted with large advertisements with sexual or other inappropriate comment. It is patronising of the Board to suggest that this was some newly emerging sensitivity.

Since August 2008 the Board has upheld complaints about AMI billboards with the slogan "Be a man and ... hold your load"<sup>33</sup> while dismissing complaints about AMI billboards with the slogans "Making love? Do it longer"<sup>34</sup> and "Impotence busters ... call HARD 1800 311 311"<sup>35</sup>

## 9.4 Problems with the current regime

There are several problems with the current regime for regulating billboards and outdoor advertising:

- Insufficient regard or inconsistent weight is given to the reality that advertisements on billboards cannot be avoided by any member of the community going about their daily business in the vicinity of a billboard. In particular, this applies to families with young children, but also to adult members of the community who find more or less explicit advertisements for sexual services offensive. Unlike all other media there is no option to "turn it off" or "not open it".
- Insufficient regard is given to objections to the overt advertising of sexual services as such even if the depictions of or references to sexual activity are relatively constrained. Why does the Board not understand that a billboard advertising Sexpo is in itself offensive regardless of how explicit the accompanying images are?
- The complaints-based system operates only after an advertisement has been placed on a billboard; indeed, often on many billboards around the country (AMI's "Want Longer Lasting Sex?" advertisement was on 120 billboards across Australia<sup>36</sup>). Even after a determination is made, given the physical nature of billboard advertising, it may take some time to remove all such advertisements. In the AMI's "Want Longer Lasting Sex?" case it was accepted by the Board that it could take AMI up to 30 days to remove all the relevant advertisements. Naturally thousands of people have seen the offending advertisements before they are removed.

#### 9.5 Possible solutions

The AANA Code of Ethics could be amended by incorporating a section dealing specifically with billboards and other forms of outdoor advertising.

This section would need to make it clear that the direct advertising of sexual services and products is not acceptable and that there should be no references – explicit or implied – to sexual activity, to coarse language, to drug use and that there be no sexualised imagery.

The standard should be at least as strict as that used to classify advertisements for exhibition on free to air television as GENERAL "G".

These advertisements are defined as "Commercials which comply with the G classification criteria in Appendix 4, Section 2 of the Code of Practice and provided the content is very mild in impact and does not contain any matter likely to be unsuitable for children to watch without supervision."

This category does not permit any advertising of adult products and services or of condoms, except in a public health announcement context. Sexpo, AMI and the Fernwood "for Fox Sake" advertisement almost certainly would not be classified GENERAL for broadcast on free to air television during the G classification time zone.

However, merely changing the Code is unlikely to remedy the problem given the issues identified with the Board's lack of judgement and commonsense and the problem of delay with a complaints based system.

FreeTV offers a service to advertisers on a commercial basis which classifies their advertisements to ensure they are broadcast only in the appropriate classification time zones.

The Association of Australian National Advertisers could develop a similar service for billboard and outdoor advertising.

This could build on an improved AANA Code of Ethics by ensuring that before advertisements are placed on billboards they are tested against the proposed new GENERAL "G" standard for billboards and outdoor advertising.

A complaints system would still be in place as a check on the pre-placement classification system.

This would have the advantage of preventing offensive advertisements from being placed on billboards in the first place.

However, this proposal still relies on self-regulation and on the Advertising Standards Board as the arbiter.

Another approach would be to require advertisements on billboards and other prominent forms of outdoor advertising to be submitted to the Classification Board for a classification before being placed. The Classification Board has the necessary expertise and systems. The service would be on a cost recovery, user pays basis.

The scheme could either require all advertisements to be submitted or be based, like the scheme for the classification of publications, on a notion of "submittable" advertisements which would need to be defined in legislation as any advertisement which may exceed the requirements for the GENERAL "G" classification.

As noted above, there is currently a systemic failure in the call-in system associated with submittable publications with the Classification Board reporting a pattern of distributors ignoring call-in notices. Careful thought would need to be given to the enforcement mechanisms if such a scheme was put in place for billboard and other prominent outdoor advertising.

Complementary legislation would need to be introduced by the Commonwealth and the States to ensure full constitutionality and a cooperative approach to standards and enforcement.

#### Recommendation 10:

A new standard for advertisements on billboards and other outdoor advertising should be defined to prohibit any advertising of adult products and services and to be at least as strict as the GENERAL "G" classification as defined by FreeTV Commercial Advice. This standard could be incorporated as new guidelines for the classification of outdoor advertising as part of the National Classification Scheme.

## Recommendation 11:

A scheme for pre-placement classification of either all or a defined category of advertisements for billboards and other outdoor advertising should be put in place to ensure that offensive advertisements are not displayed to the public, especially families with children. This scheme could be provided by the Classification Board with careful attention paid to ensure effective enforcement provisions.

# 10. Music videos – TOR (i)

Since 1 January 2000, the Classification Board has received 14 complaints about music videos. Of these, eight complained of the sexual content or explicit lyrics and six complained of violence or other issues.<sup>38</sup>

A 2008 inquiry into the Sexualisation of Children in the Contemporary Media by the Senate Standing Committee on Environment, Communications and the Arts recommended "that broadcasters review their classification of music videos specifically with regard to sexualising imagery". 39

Classification guidelines for films, in dealing with the element of sex, perhaps fail to take into account fully the issue of "sexualised imagery" and action. Dancers in a music video do not normally engage in or even explicitly simulate sexual acts. However, the overall nature of the video can be highly sexualised. Because music is such a powerful influence on children parents are rightly concerned about the overall impact of repeated viewing of such material by younger children.

The guidelines need to reflect this valid concern and ensure that the raunchier music videos are appropriately classified.

#### Recommendation 12:

The Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games should be amended to make specific provision for the classification of music videos in a way that takes into account the impact of sexualised imagery in association with music on younger children.

# 11. The ARIA/AMRA Labelling Code of Practice for **Recorded Music Product Containing Potentially** Offensive Lyrics and/or Themes – TOR (i)

The Australian Record Industry Association (ARIA) and the Australian Music Retailers Association (AMRA) currently jointly administer a code of practice for the labelling of recorded music product containing potentially offensive lyrics and/or themes. 40

The scheme provides for three levels of warning labels as follows:

- Moderate impact coarse language and themes
- Strong impact coarse language and themes
- Restricted: High impact themes: Not to be sold to persons under 18.

## Additionally the scheme provides that:

Product containing lyrics which promote, incite, instruct or exploitatively ("exploitative" means appearing to purposefully debase or abuse for the enjoyment of listeners, and lacking moral, artistic or other values) or gratuitously ("gratuitous" means material which is unwarranted or uncalled for, and included without the justification of artistic merit) depict drug abuse; cruelty; suicide; criminal or sexual violence; child abuse; incest; bestiality; or any other revolting or abhorrent activity in a way that causes outrage or extreme disgust to most adults.

These recordings are not permitted to be released and/or distributed by ARIA members or sold by AMRA members.

The scheme includes a Complaints Handling Service and an ombudsman. Failure to comply with the scheme can result in expulsion from ARIA or AMRI.

The Report of the APA Taskforce on the Sexualization of Girls provides a summary<sup>41</sup> of research evidence on the sexual content of popular music.

"It is evident that the lyrics of some recent popular songs sexualize women or refer to them in highly degrading ways, or both.

"Some examples include the following:

- "So blow me bitch I don't rock for cancer / I rock for the cash and the topless dancers" (Kid Rock, "f\*ck off", 1998):
- "Don'tcha wish your girlfriend was hot like me?" (Pussycat Dolls, 2005);
- "That's the way you like to f\*\*\* ... rough sex make it hurt, in the garden all in the dirt" (Ludacris, 2000);
- "I tell the hos all the time, Bitch get in my car" (50 Cent, 2005);
- "Ho shake your ass" (Ying Yang Twins, 2003);

"As part of a recent study of the effects of listening to popular music on sexual behaviour (Martino et al., 2006), researchers coded the content of 164 songs from 16 artists popular with teens. Overall, 15% of songs contained sexually degrading lyrics. Most of these lyrics were concentrated within the work of rap and R&B artists; as many as 70% of individual artists' songs included degrading sexual content."

Recent research has found that "Listening to music with degrading sexual lyrics is related to advances in a range of sexual activities among adolescents, whereas this does not seem to be true of other sexual lyrics. This result is consistent with sexual-script theory and suggests that cultural messages about expected sexual behaviour among males and females may underlie the effect. Reducing the amount of degrading sexual content in popular music or reducing young people's exposure to music with this type of content could help delay the onset of sexual behaviour."

Two examples of lyrics from albums approved for sale<sup>43</sup> by ARIA/AMRA with Level 3 Warnings suffice to illustrate the inadequacy of this scheme:

## Stripped, raped and strangled<sup>44</sup>

(from 15 Year Killing Spree [Album] by Cannibal Corpse

They think they know who I am All they know is I love to kill Face down, dead on the ground Find me before another is found

I come alive in the darkness Left murdered and nameless Dead unburied and rotten Half eaten by insects

> She was so beautiful I had to kill her

Tied her up And taped her mouth shut Couldn't scream Raped violently Rope tight, around her throat Her body twitches As she chokes

Strangulation caused her death Just like all the others Raped before and after death Stripped, naked, tortured

They're all dead, they're all dead They're all dead by strangulation

I come alive in the darkness Left murdered and nameless Dead unburied and rotten Half eaten by insects

It felt so good to kill

I took their lives away Seven dead, lying rotten Unburied victims Their naked bodies putrefy

Strangulation caused her death Just like all the others Raped before and after death Stripped, naked, tortured

They're all dead, they're all dead They're all dead by strangulation

I come alive in the darkness Left murdered and nameless Dead unburied and rotten Half eaten by insects

They think they know who I am All they know is I love to kill Face down, dead on the ground Find me before another is found

## The Corpse Garden<sup>45</sup>

(from Left in Grisly Fashion [Album] by Prostitute Disfigurement)

Cruising the streets for young women Luring them into my van Gagged, her eyes begged for mercy Never to be seen again

Blindfolded, gagged with masks of tape Stripped naked, shackled to the bed Female body infested, bruised and lacerated There's no escape from your fate

> Enter the house of horror No turning back from your fate

Slowly slicing her body Using my tools of the trade With a depraved relish The horror is now uncaged

Young women were stripped Bound with tape Raped, tortured then killed [2x] Dismembered and buried In the corpse garden

Deceased through mutilation Bones are turned to mush Sliced female flesh leaves me In a rudimentary rush

Slashing young women and children Left mummified in my masonry Stuffed and buried in my backyard To hide my killing spree

The corpse garden [2x]

It is hard to see what might qualify as sufficiently exploitative and gratuitous to be actually classified as "not to be sold" under a system that finds these lyrics acceptable.

## Recommendation 13:

The ARIA/AMRA Labelling Code of Practice for Recorded Music Product Containing Potentially Offensive Lyrics and/or Themes needs to be revised and strengthened to more effectively limit the distribution of material which demeans women by treating them as sexual objects, including as objects for sexual violence.

If the music industry is not willing to do this then consideration should be given to legislation to bring audio music recordings under the National Classification Scheme.

# 12. Sexualisation of children and the objectification of women - TOR (k)

# 12.1 Sexualisation of children and advertising

The Report of the American Psychological Association (APA)'s Taskforce on the Sexualization of Girls<sup>46</sup> found evidence that "although advertisers are typically careful not to sexualise young girls directly, several advertising techniques do so indirectly." The report cited studies illustrating three techniques:

- Children, girls especially, depicted as sexual objects or as counterparts to adult versions for (1) example, advertisements in which girls appear with sexualised adult women and are posed in matching clothing or seductive poses.
- Advertising imagery with both a "trickle up" and a "trickle down" framework on girls and (2) women so that the distinction between women and girls may become blurred. In this framework, young girls are "adultified" and adult women are "youthified."
- Advertising which employs youthful or "barely legal" celebrity adolescents, particularly those (3) popular with children, in highly sexual ways. The message from advertisers and the mass media to girls (as eventual women) is they should always be sexually available, always have sex on their minds, be willing to be dominated and even sexually aggressed against, and they will be gazed on as sexual objects.

The report also discusses "the impact of products that sexualise girls or women" including "dolls, clothing, and cosmetics" which "present examples of products marketed to girls that present images of sexy, sexualised persons."47

According to the report "sexualisation occurs when a person's value comes only from his or her sexual appeal or behaviour, to the exclusion of other characteristics; a person is held to a standard that equates physical attractiveness (narrowly defined) with being sexy; a person is sexually objectified that is, made into a thing for others' sexual use, rather than seen as a person with the capacity for independent action and decision making; and/or sexuality is inappropriately imposed upon a person."48

Sexualisation of girls is problematic because it may be linked to "a variety of harmful consequences. These consequences include harm to the sexualised individuals themselves, to their interpersonal relationships, and to society. For example, there is evidence that sexualisation contributes to impaired cognitive performance in college-aged women, and related research suggests that viewing material that is sexually objectifying can contribute to body dissatisfaction, eating disorders, low self-esteem, depressive affect, and even physical health problems in high-school-aged girls and in young women.

"The sexualisation of girls may not only reflect sexist attitudes, a societal tolerance of sexual violence, and the exploitation of girls and women but may also contribute to these phenomena."49

In response to community concerns, in 2008 the Australian Association of National Advertisers amended its AANA Code of Advertising & Marketing Communications to Children to include a specific provision on sexualisation:

#### 2.4 Sexualisation

Advertising or Marketing Communications to Children:

- (a) must not include sexual imagery in contravention of Prevailing Community Standards;
- (b) must not state or imply that Children are sexual beings and that ownership or enjoyment of a Product will enhance their sexuality. 50

It would also be helpful to specifically prohibit the advertising of products that sexualise children, such as pole dancing kits for young girls and articles of children's clothing with sexualised messages.

#### Recommendation 14:

The Australian Association of National Advertisers should amend the AANA Code of Advertising & Marketing Communications to Children to include a provision that:

Advertisements to Children must not advertise products that sexualise children.

## 12.2 Television

The Report of the APA Taskforce on the Sexualization of Girls provides a summary of research evidence<sup>51</sup> indicating that:

- sexual comments and remarks are pervasive on television, and they disproportionately sexually objectify women;
- in prime-time comedies, sexual behaviours by men included were leering, ogling, staring, and catcalling at female characters and sexual remarks by men were about female body parts or nudity;
- one study of 81 episodes of prime-time programming found that 84% contained at least one incident of sexual harassment, with an average of 3.4 incidents per program;
- another study of 56 episodes of prime-time programming found that 74% contained at least one incident of gender harassment in the form of jokes, most of which were accompanied by laugh tracks, with the majority of jokes referring to women's sexuality or women's bodies and comments that characterized women as sexual objects.
- in music videos sexually objectifying images of women constitute a large portion of the content, with women more frequently than men presented in provocative and revealing clothing, objectified and typically serving as decorative objects that dance and pose and do not play any instruments, often displayed in ways that emphasize their bodies, body parts, facial features, and sexual readiness.

Noting research that the average child or teen watches 3 hours of television per day, the report comments that "massive exposure to media among youth creates the potential for massive exposure to portrayals that sexualize women and girls and teach girls that women are sexual objects."52

# 12.3 Commercial Television Industry Code of Practice

The Commercial Television Industry Code of Practice<sup>53</sup> has no provisions which directly address the problem of sexualisation of women and girls in television programming.

Section 1.8.6 of the Code prohibits material which is likely to "provoke or perpetuate intense dislike, serious contempt or severe ridicule against a person or group of persons on the grounds of age, colour, gender, national or ethnic origin, disability, race, religion or sexual preference." This provision has not been interpreted to exclude the kind of material which presents women as sexual objects as outlined in the research summarised in the Report of the APA Taskforce on the Sexualization of Girls.

Provisions in the Code dealing with the depiction of sexual behaviour are linked to partial exceptions based on being "relevant to the story line". For example the Code provides in relation to "sex and nudity" in the MA Classification that<sup>54</sup>:

Visual depiction of intimate sexual activity may contain detail but must only be implied. The impact shall not be high (ie no higher than strong). The depiction must be relevant to the story line or program context.

Visual depiction of nudity must be relevant to the story line or program context.

The impact shall not be high (ie no higher than strong). Verbal references to sexual activity may be detailed but the impact shall not be high (ie no higher than strong). The verbal references must be relevant to the story line or program context. A program or program segment will not be acceptable where the subject matter serves largely or wholly as a vehicle for gratuitous, exploitative or demeaning portrayal of sexual activity or nudity. Exploitative or non-consenting sexual relations must not be depicted as desirable.

This formulation is from the 2010 code. The previous code included the qualifier "discreetly" before the verb "implied".

The Australian Communication and Media Authority's investigators had given quite clear content to the qualifier "discreetly" in this context.

In ACMA's finding that Episode 10 of Series 1 of Californication had been wrongly classified MA, the investigator drew a clear contrast between intimate sexual behaviour being "overtly implied" rather than "discreetly implied". The factors that determined the finding that a particular depiction of intimate sexual behaviour was not "discreetly implied" included: the length of the depiction; the overt, obvious movements of the participants and their facial expressions; the explicitness of the verbal references in connection with the intimate sexual behaviour being depicted; the use of close-ups on nude breasts; and the amount of detail contained in the depictions.<sup>5</sup>

However, even under the pre-2010 provision ACMA had allowed the following depictions of sex and nudity in the series Californication largely on the grounds of being "relevant to the story line" because the series is "a narrative set in contemporary Californian society", 56:

- oral sex between a Catholic nun in her habit and the main male character;
- the main male character's 12 year old daughter alerting him to the presence of a naked woman in his bedroom:
- the main male character having sex with a 16 year old girl;
- a scene in which a woman asks the main male character whether she should have cosmetic surgery on her breasts and her vagina, he answers that she shouldn't while pushing her back through a doorway he is leaving by;
- a woman strips naked in front of the main male character asking him what he thinks of her body, her pubic area is obscured in the camera shot just by the man's arm; the couple then have sexual intercourse after which they both vomit as a result to imbibing alcohol and smoking cannabis;
- while having sex with another woman the main male character fantasises about having sex with a 16 year old girl;
- a man masturbates while looking at photographs on a website of his female employee in sexualised poses dressed in her underwear with one nipple exposed;
- a couple have rear-entry intercourse;
- a man smacks the bare bottom and thighs of his young female employee.

This list of incidents of depictions of sexual behaviour is from just three one hour episodes of this series. If this series can pass muster by ACMA as suitable for free to air television then it is clear that either the Commercial Television Industry Code of Practice is defective or its interpretation by ACMA is unacceptable. It does seem that ACMA gave too much weight to the question of relevance to the story line. The notion that because the story is about decadent and meaningless sex in California it is justifiable to serve up endless depictions of such sex is wrongheaded. ACMA also seemed to rely on a distorted notion of the word discreetly, arguing that various camera angles, shadows, and so forth made discreet the scenes in which it was quite clear that the sexual activity detailed above was taking place.

#### Recommendation 15:

The Commercial Television Industry Code of Practice should be revised to more clearly exclude programs that portray women as sexual objects. It should be made clearer that contextual factors such as story line do not permit the inclusion of material which otherwise exceeds a program's classification.

### Recommendation 16:

Officers of the Australian Communications and Media Authority should be given appropriate training on the issue of sexualisation of women and girls so that they are less likely to treat as trivial the kinds of depictions of sexual behaviour prevalent in programs like Californication.

## 12.4 National Classification Scheme

Although there is some variation in detail, in general the classification system for the various television codes of practice reflects the schema of the Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games.

These guidelines should be amended to exclude, at least from those classifications which permit children under 18 to view material, any depictions of women as sexual objects.

#### Recommendation 17:

The Guidelines for the Classification of Films and Computer Games should be revised to clearly exclude from classifications up to and including MA15+ any material that portrays or depicts women as sexual objects. It should be made clearer that contextual factors such as story line do not permit the inclusion of material which would otherwise breach this provision.

# 13. ACMA, the National Classification Scheme and the Internet – TOR (I)

The National Classification Scheme currently applies to publications, films and computer games.

It is a cooperative scheme involving the Commonwealth, States and Territories.

The scheme includes advisory categories (such as G, PG and M for films and computer games) and age restricted categories (such as Restricted Category 1 and Restricted Category 2 for publications; MA15+ for films and computer games; and R18+ for films).

Additionally, X18+ films may only be sold in the Australian Capital Territory and in parts of the Northern Territory that are not affected by the Northern Territory Emergency Response (NTER) provisions.

Material which, for various reasons, exceeds the highest classification in each medium is classified Refused Classification (RC).

The current law provides for takedown notices for material hosted on internet sites in Australia that is or would be Refused Classification, or which is or would be classified as MA15+, R18+ or X18+ but which lacks an effective age verification system.

Under a complaints-based system, if such material is hosted offshore, the relevant URLs are added to a "black list" maintained by the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA). This black list must be used by filter services that are approved to offer opt-in filtering to block the listed URLs for end users.

## 13.1 Mandatory filtering at ISP level

The Rudd government was elected with a promise to further investigate and, if feasible, introduce a national mandatory filtering scheme. Under this scheme, all ISPs would be required to block a new ACMA-managed black list which would consist of URLs for pages containing Refused Classification material. After investigations have confirmed the feasibility of such a scheme, the government has confirmed its intention to introduce legislation for such a scheme.<sup>57</sup>

Opponents of the scheme commonly put forward several arguments including the claim that the scheme would degrade internet performance. However, the evaluation by Enex Testlabs indicates that the scheme could be implemented effectively with minimal degradation of internet performance.<sup>58</sup>

Opponents of internet filtering also claim that the scheme would violate the right to freedom of access to information.

This is really an argument for no censorship at all in any medium, not even censorship of extreme child abuse material, or films of real rape and murder. There has never been an absolute right to freedom of access to information. This right has always been qualified by the need to protect the community, and there is no logical reason why the internet should be treated differently from newspapers, films, radio or television.

Those who jammed Australian Parliament House and federal government websites with a cyber attack in February 2010, demanding unrestricted access to pornography, merely demonstrated their immature, selfish disregard for the rights of others.<sup>59</sup>

Opponents also claim that the scheme is likely to lead to suppression of political views contrary to those of the government such as happens in China.

China is a one-party state with no democratic elections. An Australian filtering scheme requires legislation which needs to be debated in our multi-party democratically-elected parliament. The implementation of the scheme will be subject to scrutiny by members of parliament (through means such as parliamentary questions and estimates committees) and the media.

Finally, opponents point out that the scheme will not succeed in completely blocking access to blacklisted URLs as there are various technological means of circumventing filtering.

This is also true for laws prohibiting the import and distribution of offensive material. Customs officers cannot open every package. However, laws which are efficiently enforced can contribute to a significant reduction in access to offensive material. This is a worthwhile goal, even if complete elimination of access is never achieved.

#### Recommendation 18:

Legislation for the mandatory filtering scheme should be introduced as soon as possible and should be supported by all members and senators.

## 13.2 Improving the proposed scheme

The mandatory filtering scheme, as currently proposed by the government, could be enhanced in several ways to improve cyber-safety. Some of these improvements could be included in the initial implementation of the scheme. Others could be made once the scheme has been running successfully for a period of time.

Categories to be filtered should include not just Refused Classification but other categories where the law already prohibits hosting of material in Australia. This includes material which promotes, encourages or instructs in methods of suicide; online gaming sites; and sites facilitating financial fraud.

Senator Conroy's media release of 15 December 2009 stated that: "The Minister for Home Affairs yesterday announced a public consultation process into whether there should be an R18+ classification category for computer games. Until this process is complete, online computer games will be excluded from mandatory filtering of RC content."

On 10 December 2010 the Standing Committee of Attorneys-General determined that in relation to computer games they "do not support the dilution of the refused classification category". 60

In the light of this decision the mandatory filtering scheme provisions should exclude access to any computer game that would under the current classification guidelines be classified as Refused Classification.

This would ensure that games like the Japanese computer game RapeLay, which includes rape of children were excluded from access.

The Labor government's scheme would encourage service providers to offer further family-friendly filtering through a grants scheme. Standard ISP service could be required to be filtered to exclude MA15+, R18+ and X18+ level material. MA15+ and R18+ material could be made available only on an opt-in basis with appropriate age verification.

This "opt-in" approach to all pornography is currently being pursued in the United Kingdom.

THE UK Government is to combat the early sexualization of children by blocking internet pornography unless parents request it, it was revealed today.

The move is intended to ensure that children are not exposed to sex as a routine by-product of the internet. It follows warnings about the hidden damage being done to children by sex sites.

The biggest broadband providers, including BT, Virgin Media and TalkTalk, are being called to a meeting next month by Ed Vaizey, the communications minister, and will be asked to change how pornography gets into homes.

Instead of using parental controls to stop access to pornography - so-called "opting out" - the tap will be turned off at source. Adults will then have to "opt in."

The new initiative is in advance of the imminent convergence of the internet and television on one large screen in the living room.

It follows the success of an operation by most British internet service providers (ISPs) to prevent people inadvertently viewing child porn websites. Ministers want companies to use similar technology to shut out adult pornography from children. Pornography sites will be blocked at source unless people specifically ask to view them. 61

X18+ material should be excluded. It is banned from sale by all six States and, because of its known use in contributing to child abuse, has recently been banned in parts of the Northern Territory.

The blacklist of banned URLs should include more than sites identified from complaints and child abuse sites supplied by overseas enforcement agencies. Compilation of the blacklist should also utilise a proactive web-crawler system that actively seeks out URLs containing prohibited material.

Real time filtering could be added as this technology becomes more efficient.

#### Recommendation 19:

The legislation to implement the filtering scheme should:

- include, in the material to be added to the black list, material which promotes, encourages or instructs in methods of suicide, online gaming and fraud schemes:
- include, in the material to be added to the black list, computer games which have been or would be Refused Classification;

Consideration should be given to future enhancements to the scheme:

- to make the default filtered ISP service family-friendly, by filtering all MA15+, R18+ and X18+ material. MA15+ and R18+ material should be available only on an opt-in basis with appropriate age verification. X18+ material should be prohibited, as it is prohibited under the laws of all six states as well as under the NTER provisions in parts of the Northern Territory;
- to improve the method of compiling the black list by the use of proactive approaches such as web crawlers used when feasible;
- real time filtering should be considered as it becomes more efficient.

# 14. New technologies and new media, including mobile phone applications - TOR (m)

The rapid development of new means of communication no doubt poses new challenges.

The general principle to be applied is that the same standards should be enforced across all media.

It shouldn't matter whether inappropriate material is to be delivered to a personal computer or to a mobile telephone or to be viewed on a DVD.

Current legislation prohibits from content services for mobile phones material that is or would be classified RC or X18+.

Material that is or would be classified R18+, MA15+ or Category 1 or 2 Restricted can only be provided if there is an age verification system that meets prescribed requirements.

When the mandatory filtering scheme for ISPs is introduced it will be essential to ensure that it applied to internet services offered over mobile phone networks in Australia.

#### Recommendation 20:

The current provisions regarding content services for mobile phones should be preserved, including age verification system for access to MA15+ and R18+ material.

#### Recommendation 21:

The mandatory filtering system for internet content should also apply to mobile phone services.

# 15. The Refused Classification (RC) category – TOR (n)

In general the current categories for Refused Classification should be maintained.

## 15.1 Suicide

The Internet, alongside its many benefits, has introduced a new danger to impressionable people who may be at risk of suicide. It is possible through the Internet for complete strangers to encourage such vulnerable people to commit suicide and to provide detailed instruction in effective methods of committing suicide.

Australian law already prohibits the use of any carriage service (including the Internet) to "directly or indirectly counsel or incite committing or attempting to commit suicide" or that "promotes a particular method of committing suicide; or to provide instruction on a particular method of committing suicide".62

However, in the absence of a mandatory filtering scheme these provisions have proved ineffective in preventing suicides following instruction and encouragement received by vulnerable people over the Internet.

In April 2007, two 16 year old girls, Jodie Gater and Stephanie Gestier committed suicide. They hanged themselves from the branch of a tree a few kilometres from their homes in Melbourne's Dandenong Ranges.<sup>63</sup>

It was later discovered that the children had followed step-by-step instructions from a suicide website hosted in the Netherlands.<sup>64</sup>

The site offered practical and illustrated advice on a variety of methods including strangulation, asphyxiation and poisoning.

Liam Bartlett of Channel Nine's Sixty Minutes program reported Rob Gater's horror when he discovered that his daughter and her friend had used the internet to find a virtual suicide manual telling them the kind of rope and knots to use, plus other deadly details. 65

Similar incidents have occurred in Britain.

In 2008 it was reported that up to 29 "internet suicides" had been identified as having occurred in Britain since 2001, including a cluster of suicides of young people in the Welsh town of Bridgend. Various suicide promoting websites had been implicated including one sponsored by California-based Nagasiva Yronwode, who identifies as a Satanist and runs the so-called Church of Euthanasia, which advocates suicide as a means of saving the world from overpopulation.

Another website sponsored by Dutch woman Karin Spaink gives detailed instruction in 41 methods of suicide. A Swedish man, Calle Dybedahl who also hosts a suicide instruction site, claims that death is not an inherently bad thing.<sup>66</sup>

Dr Phillip Nitschke, Australia's best known promoter of euthanasia, believes that the means and knowledge of how to commit suicide should be available to every person.

I do not believe that telling people they have a right to life while denying them the means, manner, or information necessary for them to give this life away has any ethical consistency.

So all people qualify, not just those with the training, knowledge, or resources to find out how to 'give away' their life. And someone needs to provide this knowledge, training, or recourse necessary to anyone who wants it, including the depressed, the elderly bereaved, [and] the troubled teen. If we are to remain consistent and we believe that the individual has the right to dispose of their life, we should not erect artificial barriers in the way of sub-groups who don't meet our criteria.  $^{\circ}$ 

Dr Nitschke's webite, located offshore, offers his Peaceful Pill Handbook for sale.<sup>68</sup> This book is prohibited from sale or distribution in Australia after it was Refused Classification for instructing in crime, including the manufacture and importing of illicit drugs (barbiturates) as well as in how to avoid a coronial inquiry following an assisted suicide.<sup>69</sup>

It would be appropriate to amend the classification guidelines for publications and for films and computer games to include in the Refused Classification category material that directly or indirectly counsels or incites committing or attempting to commit suicide or that promotes a particular method of committing suicide; or that provides instruction on a particular method of committing suicide.

#### Recommendation 22:

The classification guidelines for publications and for films and computer games should each be amended to include in the Refused Classification category material that directly or indirectly counsels or incites committing or attempting to commit suicide or that promotes a particular method of committing suicide; or that provides instruction on a particular method of committing suicide.

## 15.2 Actual sex

If as recommended above, the import of X18+ films and their sale in the territories are prohibited then the material that currently attracts an X18+ classification would be incorporated into the Refused Classification category.

If as recommended above all explicit actual sex is excluded from the R18+ classification then all depictions in films of actual sex would require the film be classified as Refused Classification.

### Recommendation 23:

All films that include a depiction of actual sex should be Refused Classification.

# 16. Other matters: No exceptions for minors – TOR (o)

Several jurisdictions allow exceptions for the offences of supplying a restricted publication to a minor for parents or for employers.

It does not make any difference who gives a publication featuring explicit sexual or violent material to a child. Parents and employers can also corrupt young people through the use of such material.

The ACT provision (Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) (Enforcement) Act 1995 - Sect 35(3)(a)) exempting the supply of a RC (Refused Classification) publication by a parent to a minor is particularly abhorrent.

No child should be allowed, let alone required, to handle publications which are suitable for adults only, in the course of employment.

## Recommendation 24:

All exceptions to the offences of supplying a restricted publication to a minor should be abolished.

## 17. References

Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995. 1.

- Peters, R., How Adult Pornography Contributes To Sexual Exploitation of Children, Morality in Media, September 2009; http://www.obscenitycrimes.org/news/HowAdultPornographyHarmsChildren.pdf
- Senate Standing Committee On Legal And Constitutional Affairs, Classification Board: Answers to questions on notice, Additional Budget estimates, February 2010, Question 11; http://www.aph.gov.au/senate/committee/legcon ctte/estimates/add 0910/ag/011 Classification Board.pdf
- Guidelines for the Classification of Publications 2005 taking into account amendments up to Guidelines for the Classification of Publications Amendment 2008 (No. 1); http://www.comlaw.gov.au/comlaw/management.nsf/lookupindexpagesbyid/IP200508204?OpenDocument
- 5. Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995, Section 81.
- 6. Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995, Section 40A.
- 7. Senate Standing Committee On Legal And Constitutional Affairs, Attorney-General's Department: Answers to questions on notice, Supplementary Budget estimates, October 2010, Program 1.1, Question 2; http://www.aph.gov.au/senate/committee/legcon ctte/estimates/sup 1011/ag/002 CLD.pdf
- Senate Legal And Constitutional Affairs Legislation Committee, Official Committee Hansard, : Estimates (Supplementary Budget Estimates), Monday, 19 October 2009, p 95-96; http://www.aph.gov.au/hansard/senate/commttee/S12493.pdf
- 9. Report of the Child Pornography Working Party, NSW Dept of Justice and Attorney General, January 2010, p 21; http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/clrd/ll clrd nsf/vwFiles/Final Child Pornography Working Party Rep ort 8Jan.pdf/\$file/Final Child Pornography Working Party Report 8Jan.pdf
- Little Children are Sacred, Report of the Northern Territory Board of Inquiry into the Protection of Aboriginal Children from Sexual Abuse, 2007, p 63.
- 1999 Report of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women's Task Force on Violence (Queensland), pp 101, 180; http://www.datsip.qld.gov.au/pdf/taskforce.pdf
- "Child Protection and the Internet" presented by Dr Janet Stanley, Ms Cassandra Tinning and Ms Katie Kovacs at the Ninth Australasian Conference on Child Abuse and Neglect 2003.
- "Youth and Pornography in Australia: Evidence on the extent of exposure and likely effects", Michael Flood and Clive Hamilton, The Australia Institute Discussion Paper Number 52, February 2003 available at: http://www.tai.org.au/documents/dp\_fulltext/DP52.pdf
- 14. http://au.news.yahoo.com/thewest/a/-/mp/8170443/shops-flout-x-rated-porn-ban/
- 15. Australian Classification (Censorship) Board Decision: Romance, Board Report 99/3292, 14 January 2000.
- 16. Classification Review Board, 29th Meeting, 28 January 2000: Decision and Reason for Decision.
- 17. Classification Review Board, 7 July 2004: Decision and Reason for Decision, p 7.
- 18.
- Classification Review Board, 17<sup>th</sup> January 2005: Decision and Reason for Decision, p 8. 19.
- Rodney Chester 'Everyone's doing it', The Courier-Mail, 11 November 2006, viewed 20 August 2008; www.news.com.au/couriermail/story/0,23739,20729343-5003420,00 html
- Classification Review Board, 22 November, 6 December 2006: Decision and Reason for Decision, p 3. 21.

- 22. Adultshop.Com Ltd v Members of the Classification Review Board [2007] FCA 1871.
- 23. Adultshop.Com Ltd v Members of the Classification Review Board [2008] FCAFC 79 (21 May 2008).
- "Four anime films classified upon review", Classification Review Board, 13 August 2008, viewed 24. 20 August 2008; www.classification.gov.au/resource.html?resource=1057&filename=1057.pdf
- 25. Board Report T08/238, Classification Board, 20/2/08.
- 26. The Classification Review Board's reasons for decision does not directly address this question. http://www.classification.gov.au/www/cob/rwpattach.nsf/VAP/(084A3429FD57AC0744737F8EA134BACB)~1 060+-+Decision+-+30+July+2008+-+film+T+and+A+Teacher.pdf/\$file/1060+-+Decision+-+30+July+2008+-+film+T+and+A+Teacher.pdf
- http://www.imdb.com/name/nm0268668/ and http://www.absolutenow.com/features/birthdate1340.html 27.
- 28. http://www.aana.com.au/documents/AANACodeofEthicsAugust2009.pdf
- 29. http://www.adstandards.com.au/process/theprocesssteps/initiatingacomplaint
- 30. http://122.99.94.111/cases/60-10.pdf
- 31. http://122.99.94.111/cases/15-10.pdf
- 32. http://122.99.94.111/cases/278-08.pdf
- 33. http://122.99.94.111/cases/0464-10.pdf
- 34. http://122.99.94.111/cases/419-09.pdf
- 35. http://122.99.94.111/cases/0324-10.pdf
- http://122.99.94.111/cases/278-08.pdf 36.
- 37. FreeTV Commercials Advice, Classification Handbook, 2010, p 67; http://www.freetv.com.au/media/CAD/Classification Handbook.pdf
- 38. Senate Standing Committee On Legal And Constitutional Affairs, Attorney-General's Department: Answers to questions on notice, Supplementary Budget estimates, October 2010, Program 1.1, Question 10; http://www.aph.gov.au/senate/committee/legcon ctte/estimates/sup 1011/ag/010 CLD.pdf
- 39. Senate Standing Committee on Environment, Communications and the Arts, Sexualisation of children in the contemporary media, 2008, p 42, para. 4.56; http://www.aph.gov.au/senate/committee/eca ctte/sexualisation of children/report/report.pdf
- 40. http://www.aria.com.au/pages/documents/ARIA-AMRA Code april2003.pdf
- American Psychological Association, Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls, 2007, Report of the APA Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls, (Washington, DC), p 7; www.apa.org/pi/wpo/sexualization.html.
- 42. Martino, S. et al., "Exposure to Degrading Versus Nondegrading Music Lyrics and Sexual Behavior Among Youth", Pediatrics, Vol. 118, No. 2, August 2006, pp e430-e441; pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/content/abstract/118/2/e430.
- 43. www.aria.com.au/pages/documents/Level3-010406-310307.pdf.
- 44. www.oleo.tv/lyrics/cannibal-corpse/stripped-raped-and-strangled.
- www.darklyrics.com/lyrics/prostitutedisfigurement/leftingrislyfashion.html#4. 45.
- American Psychological Association, Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls, 2007, Report of the APA Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls. (Washington, DC), p 13; www.apa.org/pi/wpo/sexualization html.
- 47. Ibid, p 13-15.

- 48. Ibid, p 2.
- 49. Ibid, p3.
- 50. http://www.aana.com.au/documents/AANACodeforAdvandMktingCommtoChildrenAugust2009.pdf
- 51. American Psychological Association, Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls, 2007, Report of the APA Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls, (Washington, DC), p 6; www.apa.org/pi/wpo/sexualization.html.
- 52. Ibid., p 5.
- 53.

http://www.freetv.com.au/media/Code of Practice/2010 Commercial Television Industry Code of Practice.p df

- 54. Ibid., p 49.
- 55. Australian Communication and media Authority, Investigation Report Nos 1947 & 1981, p 6-7; http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/\_assets/main/lib310623/ten\_new\_report\_1947-1981.pdf.
- See ACMA Investigation Reports, nos. 1896-1899, 1923, Californication: Episode 1; 1901-1904, 1931, Californication: Episode 2; 1900, 1932, Californication: Episode 3.
- Conroy, S., Measures to improve safety of the internet for families, 15 December 2009; http://www.minister.dbcde.gov.au/media/media\_releases/2009/115.
- Enex Testlab, Internet Service Provider (ISP) Content Filtering Pilot Report, 2009; http://www.dbcde.gov.au/ data/assets/pdf file/0004/123862/Enex Testlab report into ISP-level filtering -Full report - Low res.pdf.
- The Sydney Morning Herald, 10 February 2010; <a href="http://www.smh.com.au/technology/technology-t news/operation-titstorm-hackers-bring-down-government-websites-20100210-nqku.html .
- 60.
- http://www.scag.gov.au/lawlink/SCAG/ll scag.nsf/vwFiles/SCAG Communique 101210.pdf/\$file/SCA G Communique 101210.pdf
- http://www.news.com.au/breaking-news/world/all-internet-porn-will-be-blocked-to-protect-childrenunder-uk-government-plan/story-e6frfkui-1225973481287#ixzz18hpNRD7k
- 62. Criminal Code Act 1995, Schedule, Section 474.29A.
- 63. "Death pact teen's grim poems", Sydney Morning Herald, 23 April 2007, http://www.smh.com.au/news/national/grieving-mother-asks-why/2007/04/23/1177180529637.html
- Carr-Gregg, M and McLean, S, "Black holes in net space", Herald Sun, 23 May 2007; http://www.heraldsun.com.au/opinion/black-holes-in-net-space/story-e6frfifo-1111113591392
- "Web of darkness", Sixty Minutes, Channel Nine, 17 May 2007; http://sixtyminutes.ninemsn.com.au/stories/liambartlett/267889/web-of-darkness
- Sawer, P, "Predators tell children how to kill themselves", Daily Telegraph, 17 February 2008; http://www.telegraph.co.uk/core/Content/displayPrintable.jhtml;jsessionid=HZG5IYACS003PQFIQMGSFF4A VCBQWIV0?xml=/news/2008/02/17/nweb117.xml&site=5&page=0
- Lopez, K, "Euthanasia Sets Sail: An interview with Philip Nitschke, the other 'Dr Death'", National Review Online, 5 June 2001; http://www.nationalreview.com/interrogatory/interrogatory060501.shtml
- 68. http://www.exitinternational.net/
- 69. Classification Review Board, The Peaceful Pill Handbook: Reasons for Decision, 24 February 2007.