

The Paramountcy Principle which is the foundation stone of the Family Court is to protect the interests and welfare of the child, yet by taking the short term outlook they are guaranteeing a veritable army of future children as grist for the mill. Any solicitor can tell you that a mother seeking to allege domestic violence and or sexual abuse is a guaranteed way of obtaining access and more settlement monies as a result, the damage to the children is ignored in the search for more dollars.

The Family Court rarely imposes custodial sentences in any event, this amendment will make it a Court of lies, lies and more lies. The Family Court still favours the mother, anyone who says otherwise is a liar or a fool. This amendment is virtual a statement that is is okay for the Mother to lie to obtain sole custody, any father who is on the receiving end of such abuse would become frustrated beyond belief and this would in fact increase the likelihood of fatal violence being contemplated as a last resort.

Most rational people do not need to use the Family Court, they are considerate of their children's interests, however there is a certain type of women(possible men as well, but all my reading has seen only the female as the culprit) who wishes to remove the father from her and her children's life at any cost(a form of personality disorder is usually present) and these persons must be prosecuted to curb this ever increasing, ongoing problem.

The rationale behind this amendment is badly flawed, abuse against women in any form is unacceptable, but there are enough organisations and refuges in place to protect the legitimate abused. A court that condones liars is a recipe for disaster, truth and honesty must be valued highly by the court. God help future children if this becomes a court of lies, I see a cloud of death above any court that values liars.

Yours sincerely
matthew John hopkins

P.S You may contact me if you need further clarification or details of