

**Question on notice, extract from proof Hansard, 25 November 2010, page 5**

**Senator BARNETT**—My point is: do you think it is entirely satisfactory if the criterion is whether there is any undue trespass on personal rights and liberties. If you think that is it, please tell us now. If there is a more expansive version of that in a number of dot points that would cover it, please let us know either now or on notice. If you do not agree, that is fine as well.

**Prof. Allan**—I will say this right now: if I had to choose between the two, I would take the status quo by a mile. But let me think about whether I can think of anything to add to it.

**Senator BARNETT**—Thank you.

**Answer, provided by Professor Allan, 29 November 2010**

On reflection, I think it best to stay with the status quo formulation, namely ‘whether a Bill has trespassed unduly on personal rights and liberties’.