



17 February 2014

Ms Justine Munsie
Addisons
Level 12, 60 Carrington Street,
Sydney, NSW

By Email:

Dear Ms Munsie,

PRODUCTION ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 202 OF THE PROCEEDS OF CRIME ACT 2002 ("the Act").

We refer to the Production Order issued to Seven West Media Limited (**Seven**) on the application of the Australian Federal Police (**AFP**) pursuant to section 202 of the Act on 11 February 2014 (the Order).

We note the Order was served at the offices of Seven on Tuesday 11 February 2014 and required production by 14 February 2014.

We note that at about 3:50pm on Friday 14 February 2014, you provided documents, under cover of your letter of that date, to the AFP, at 110 Goulburn Street, Sydney, in response to the Order.

We note that the AFP had agreed, at your request, that all documents required under the Order were to be provided in two (2) tranches as follows:

- (a) all documents required to be produced for the past two years to be provided by 4.00pm on 14 February 2014; and
- (b) all other documents required to be produced by 25 February 2014.

The AFP has now reviewed the documents produced by Seven in response to the Order.

In our view, it is clear from a review of the documents provided that Seven has not complied with the Order.

By way of example, but not limited to, we refer you to the copy provided of a letter from New Idea to Mercedes Corby dated 24th January 2014 (**Mercedes Letter**). Under the heading of "Fee" on page 3 of the Mercedes Letter there is a reference to an amount of "\$25,000("the Fee")". It is not articulated in the limited documentation provided as to how that payment is or was to be made. This information was clearly required to be produced under the terms of the Order.

Furthermore, in relation to the document provided titled "Mercedes Corby Exclusive Agreement" dated 7 February 2014 there is no mention of funds that are to be paid despite a signed contract.

We also note the Who Magazine article that was published at 12:00AM on 13 February 2014 titled 'Schapelle's First Days of Freedom'. Nowhere in the documentation provided is there any reference to articles in Who Magazine.

We note that pursuant to section 211 of the Act it is an offence to fail to comply with a Production Order and we refer you to the provisions set out therein.

We invite Seven to review its position and provide all the documents requested in the Order in their entirety for the past two years, by no later than the close of business today.

In the event that Seven does not fully comply with the Order as required the AFP will have no option but to consider further action be taken under the Act.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact Adam Sandon at any time on [redacted], or myself.

Yours faithfully,

Stephen Dametto
Detective Superintendent
Serious & Organised Crime