Mr Tas Larnach Secretary Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Mr Larnach

Budget Estimates—Hansard Corrections

Below are the details of Hansard corrections arising from the Budget Estimates hearing on 21 May 2018.

Page	Hansard wording	Correction
15	The President: As can be expected of large-scale building works, DPS also had to manage a number of unexpected incidents to which it has responded promptly. As a result of strong wind gusts, there was damage to one of the large umbrellas at the centre entrance in March which was subsequently replaced at no cost to DPS.	Remove "Centre" and replace with "Senate". The response will read: "As a result of strong wind gusts, there was damage to one of the large umbrellas at the Senate entrance in March which was subsequently replaced at no cost to DPS."
15	The President: I might also add that there were a number of concerns that colleagues, including me and others, have had with respect to budget week and the demands upon the House.	Remove "me" and replace with "myself". The response will read "I might also add that there were a number of concerns that colleagues, including myself and others, have had with respect to budget week and the demands upon the House.
18	Senator WONG: Are you able to come back with that for the purposes of answering Senator Lyons's question?	Remove "Lyons's" and replace with "Lines'". The response will read: "Are you able to come back with that for the purposes of answering Senator Lines' question?"
23	Ms Knight: That's for the new contract. The new contract starts on 1 July. Currently we're going through a transition period. We have Dimeo working with Limro in Canberra and Queanbeyan through a transitional period until 1 July.	Remove "Limro in Canberra and Queanbeyan" and replace with "Limro and Canberra Queanbeyan". The response will read: "We have Dimeo working with Limro and Canberra Queanbeyan through a transition period"

Page	Hansard wording	Correction
29	The PRESIDENT: I'm happy to take that on notice and look at that. We are currently doing a great deal of work on the past policy, because that has these exact implications—about where people are around the building and who accesses various parts.	Replace "past policy" with "Pass Policy". The response will read "We are currently doing a great deal of work on the Pass Policy, because that has these exact implications"
33	Mr Stefanic: It's probably the same information that continues this rolling sense of allegations. I've read BuzzFeed today. That and the BuzzFeed article the other day have no basis.	Remove "sense" and replace with "series". The response should read ": It's probably the same information that continues this rolling series of allegations".
33	Senator WONG: He has responded to Senator Kitching. BuzzFeed has reported that an or some officers were told something about the status of investigation in relation to this journalist. The people at the table have said that that's not authorised for sanction. I am simply asking, was anything said that might have led staff, correctly or incorrectly, to that view?	Remove "for sanction" and replace with "or sanctioned". The response will read: "The people at the table have said that that's not authorised or sanctioned".
35	Mr Anderson: That's right. From there I moved to specialist response and security in the major event planning area, where I was responsible for planning and managing major security operations. At my time at major event planning, I helped draft the ACT Policing protocol for white powder incidents. From there, I moved to protection and intelligence, dealing with threats to high office holders, and managing those investigations. From there, I went to the AFP College, teaching commander control, and I wrote the AFP commander control doctrine for them.	Remove two instances of "commander" and replace with "command and". The response will read: "From there, I went to the AFP College, teaching command and control, and I wrote the AFP command and control doctrine for them."
37	Mr Anderson: The lessons are in terms of our process of communication. And, obviously, with these types of incidents, it's the AFP's role to turn up and make the assessment. On this particular day, the assistant director of security operations had attempted to phone the AFP twice, and he couldn't get through. I understand that the AFP's evidence is that they are not aware of those attempts but that is what the assistant director of security operations has told them.	Remove "them" and replace with "me" The response will read: "I understand that the AFP's evidence is that they are not aware of those attempts but that is what the assistant director of security operations has told me."
40	Mr Stefanic: That's correct. The 10 officers are all assigned to the loading dock. They are the people who work in the loading dock and they would be the people who would use that device.	Replace "Mr Stefanic" with "Mr Anderson". The response will read: "Mr Anderson: That's correct. The 10 officers are all assigned to the loading dock".

Page	Hansard wording	Correction
40	Mr Stefanic: They are properly trained, yes.	Replace "Mr Stefanic" with "Mr Anderson".
		The response will read: "Mr Anderson: They are properly trained, yes."

Yours sincerely

Cate Saunders
Chief Operating Officer

7 June 2018