

From: [Omeara, Liesl MS](#)
To: [Bradford, Harry MR 1](#)
Subject: RE: PMO [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Sunday, 15 January 2017 10:18:59 PM

UNCLASSIFIED

Hi Harry

as far as I'm concerned, there's no problem per se with engaging ICCPM under the Customs Panel. It's fully legitimate and complies with CPRs. Provided the engagement is assessed to represent vfm and the relevant persons don't have any conflicts (or perceived conflicts) with any of our submarine defence contractors (being the problem that haunted OneSky) - no problem from my perspective.

Racheal can let us know if there's anything I'm missing through my extreme enthusiasm!! Really - a PMO leader and team??? I look forward to hearing the details as soon as you're in a position to share.

Leisl

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: Bradford, Harry MR 1
Sent: Sunday, 15 January 2017 10:10 PM
To: Omeara, Liesl MS
Subject: PMO [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

Hi Liesl,

I am able to put together a PMO leader and team to bring discipline to the Program.

I would like to do this via the ICCPM panel contract (Customs).

If we follow this route the rates will be highly competitive and we will get the people we need.

I know you have some reservations about contracting via ICCPM but under the present circumstances I think it is the best route.

Can we discuss in the morning?

Cheers,

H