

QoN Number	Question Submitted By	Group Responsible	Subject	Full Question Text	Spoken/Written	Hansard Page	Question Date	Responsible Minister
				<p>Senator MARIELLE SMITH: Which minister is responsible for the commitment to develop a mandatory code of conduct that requires car manufacturers to share their technical information with mechanics? Senator Canavan: I think, if it is a mandatory code of conduct under the Competition and Consumer Act, it would normally be the Treasurer's responsibility. We might have some officials that could help out. Mr Power: Those provisions in relation to sharing information are in the Treasury portfolio, and I think it's the Assistant Treasurer who's managing that. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: The Assistant Treasurer. Minister Canavan, does the government still support this code and the right of independent mechanics to access technical information at a fair and reasonable cost, notwithstanding there'd be safeguards around sensitive information? Senator Canavan: I don't have information on that in front of me. As has been indicated, I think it would be one you'd have to put to the Treasury. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: So this isn't the right area to be asking questions? Mr Power: The sharing of that technical information in relation to repairs is a matter for the Treasury portfolio and the Assistant Treasurer. Senator GALLAGHER: But you could take it on notice. Senator Canavan: We can certainly take it on notice. There is no problem with that. Senator McALLISTER: Perhaps you could assist Senator Smith by confirming whether or not the department has any role in the development of this scheme. It does go to industry questions. It obviously goes to market function as well, but it's a key issue for the vehicle industry. Mr Power: Yes. In particular, the issue you've raised in relation to repairs and the sharing of that information is, as we've said, a matter for the Treasurer and the Assistant Treasurer. Senator McALLISTER: And no input from— Mr Power: No, of course we have input, as we do in all sorts of things across government. We are working with Treasury and other portfolios on a range of matters in relation to the automotive sector. Those issues include the one that you've mentioned. There are also issues that have arisen in the franchising inquiry that has been run, and there is a task force across government that is dealing with those issues, which includes the portfolios I've mentioned and others, including Jobs and Small Business. So there are a range of issues in the space, including how franchising matters are dealt with between, for example, motor manufacturers and dealers in Australia. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: What was the name of that task force? Mr Power: The name of the task force, specifically—I believe it's called the franchising task force or dealing with franchising issues. We can get you the specific name. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: Can you explain to me what the breakdown is of the functions between your department and Treasury regarding this particular code that I'm interested in? Mr Power: Yes. Ms Manen might be able to help you with the breakdown of those functions. Ms Manen: Given the responsibility of our department, we've been looking at issues relating to franchising arrangements between new car dealers and their manufacturers in relation to the mandatory information-sharing scheme. We have been working with the Treasury and other portfolios to make sure that the issues that we're looking at in relation to the automotive sector are coordinated and as joined up as possible. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: Are you aware of the progress of this code and where it's up to? Ms Manen: I'm aware that the Treasury has been consulting with relevant stakeholders, but I don't have the specific details in front of me. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: Is it your understanding, given your agency is actually working on it, that this measure will be done through legislation or through a mandatory code under the Competition and Consumer Act? Mr Power: I don't think we have the detail on how exactly that issue would be dealt with. I think that would be a question for the Treasury portfolio. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: Is it something you can take on notice, or is it something I need to put to Treasury? Senator Canavan: We can take it on notice. We're happy to take that on notice. We might have to consult with Treasury. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: I'm also interested in when a regulatory impact statement will be released. Is that something you'd need to take on notice as well? Mr Power: Yes, we would. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: There's a meeting, I understand, on this matter on 29 October. Is that a meeting that your department is involved in or has been invited to? Ms Manen: I'd need to take that on notice. Senator MARIELLE SMITH: If you could take that on notice and let me know who else has been invited, that would be very helpful.</p>	Spoken	39-40	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-1	Smith, Marielle	Industry Growth	Car manufacturing mandatory information-sharing scheme					
SI-2	McAllister, Jenny	Corporate	Department expenditure on external consultants	<p>Senator McALLISTER: That's useful. Can I ask you to take this on notice—or you may have the figures with you. How much did the department spend on external consultants over the last financial year, 2018-19? Ms Taduran: I think I have those figures with me. The consultancy spend for 2018-19 was \$11,716,647. That's GST inclusive. Senator McALLISTER: Do you have those numbers over the last five years? Ms Taduran: I would have to take that on notice.</p>	Spoken	41	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
				<p>Senator McALLISTER: Thanks, Ms Richards. You will understand that opposition senators across portfolios are interested in the role of external contractors in performing core government functions, and I'm interested in how many contractors are working in the department on innovation related policy. Ms Taduran: I have numbers at a total department level. On 9 October, the department had 356 contractors. For the specifics of which ones of these were working on innovation policy, I might need to refer to my colleagues. Mr Williamson: We might need to take that on notice. I think the majority are in the IT space, but we'll come back to you with an innovation-specific figure. Senator McALLISTER: That would be great. Could you also come back with the IT figure, or whatever categorisation system you use to break down those contractor numbers. I am interested in who's doing policy work, who's doing administrative work and who's doing IT. Mr Williamson: Will do.</p>	Spoken	41	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-3	McAllister, Jenny	Corporate	Contractor breakdown					
				<p>Senator WALSH: I'm advised that there is some modelling about the current employment level in the Australian space sector and that that is about 10,000 people, and you've got a target trajectory for 20,000 people. I am advised that included in that number is a range of entities like Foxtel, NBN and some law firms, for example, and perhaps also PricewaterhouseCoopers. Are those entities appropriately counted as employers in the space industry? Dr Clark: It's a good question. The analysis for the 10,000 was completed on the basis of the known industry, in terms of the names that you mentioned—also tracked industry by industry. For example, while Foxtel does have some of their communication in direct-to-home satellite TV, only that portion that was related to satellite communication is included in the revenue, and not in the jobs number. All of the entries are auditable, so the source for all the numbers is auditable, and we will do that as well on the baseline. I have seen some commentary related to some of those elements and have addressed those individually with someone who has raised those issues. I think they were much more satisfied, when they saw the auditable trail, to see that incorrect numbers had not been included. It's important when we do this work that it is auditable so that you can ask those questions and get valid answers. That's the way we will approach it as well. Senator WALSH: Just to clarify my understanding of that, are you saying that the figure of 10,000 people today, to the extent to which, say, submarine builder ASC's employees are included in that— Dr Clark: No, there would be no inclusion of industry sector roles outside the space sector. Senator WALSH: This has been raised with you somewhere before? Dr Clark: Just some questions on some of the individual allocations, and going through then the auditable view of that, it was quite clear that incorrect or inappropriate numbers had not been included. Senator WALSH: To the extent that those company names may be somehow associated with this data in relation to the 10,000 employees, are you satisfied that we are correctly counting people as space employees? Dr Clark: Yes, it's a very reasonable estimate. Where the query came from is listed in the review into Australia's space capability. There was a list of companies that had been examined—so, transparency of all those companies—but against several of them there were no jobs allocated, as would be appropriate. You could have seen the list and made the assumption they had been, but in fact on forensic examination that was not the case. But we certainly stand open to make sure that the baseline will be auditable and people can ask those questions and delve in behind the numbers so they are understandable and clearly auditable. Senator WALSH: In terms of the consultants who provided that employment estimate to you, are you able to provide to us, on notice, the methodology that they used to determine space industry jobs? What is counted in and what is not counted in? Dr Clark: It was not provided by consultants. The job's estimate was done by the department itself, on the basis of the information we had. I would have to talk to the department first to ask whether we can provide that on notice, because this is before the agency was established. Let me ask that question— Senator Canavan: I am happy to take that on notice. Senator WALSH: To clarify, what we are after is a list of jobs that were included as space industry jobs and a count of employees associated with that. Senator Canavan: No problem.</p>	Spoken	44	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-4	Walsh, Jess	Industry Growth	Space industry jobs					

				<p>Senator McALLISTER: Okay. So my earlier question to you was to ask: what aspects of the audit have been finalised and what aspects remain to be done? Are there, for example, some portfolios that are complete and some that are incomplete? Is that the reason that you say that it's partially complete, or is it some other delineation that I don't understand? Mr Power: The answer is that, as I said, 11 buildings have been reported to us that require rectification. There is some remaining technical work in some particular portfolios to finalise a small number of buildings, and that is still ongoing. Senator McALLISTER: Sorry, Mr Power. I'm specifically asking about the audit process. I understand that rectification is another process again. Can we stick to the audit? You've provided a template to all the agencies? Mr Power: We've provided a process that goes through technical information about, for example, how to go about those audits. That communication has been with every portfolio and agency across the Commonwealth. Senator McALLISTER: When did you provide that? Mr Power: We started communication with portfolios in 2017. As people have reported, of course, we have looked at that information and clarified and provided more direction and information wherever we possibly can to help, as we in the portfolio do have expertise. For example, the Australian Building Codes Board is in the portfolio, and we've used that expertise to inform back to portfolios to help them undertake the audits. Senator McALLISTER: Which departments have completed the audit? Mr Power: I don't have the names of all the portfolios here with me. I can tell you that communications across all portfolios have taken place, and the completion of that is largely done. I'm very happy to take— Senator McALLISTER: Sorry, Mr Power. It would help if you spoke very plainly. The completion of what has already taken place? The communication? Mr Power: We have communicated with every portfolio. Senator McALLISTER: So you have sent a letter to everybody. Has everybody written back to you? In the simplest terms. Mr Power: I will need to take it on notice if there are any portfolios who haven't responded to us in full in relation to those audits. Senator McALLISTER: So you don't know whether or not this audit has been completed. Mr Power: There has been a very large amount of communication, but if there are any portfolios or agencies that haven't responded, I will need to take that detail on notice. Senator McALLISTER: We are talking about a set of issues which the public are concerned about because the public are concerned about public safety. They are concerned about people working in Commonwealth buildings, visiting Commonwealth buildings, living in Commonwealth buildings. It's a safety issue. From that perspective, whether or not departments have been writing to one another isn't the key issue. I am trying to understand the state of knowledge about flammable cladding and its use in Commonwealth buildings. Of the departments, how many departments are yet to complete a comprehensive audit of their own buildings? Senator Canavan: I think we might take that question on notice, Senator. Obviously we absolutely want to get you that information, but, as the official said earlier, he doesn't have a list of those at hand. We'll take that on notice and come back. Senator McALLISTER: Before we move off, I really want to get a straight answer on the basis on which you say the audit is substantially complete. In relation to Commonwealth owned buildings, of the 190 government departments, how many have provided you with information that is complete? Mr Power: I think I've taken that question on notice, Senator— Senator GALLACHER: 'No idea' is the answer. Mr Power: because I don't have that information in front of me. CHAIR: The official has taken it on notice. Senator McALLISTER: Okay. Of the 190 departments who are undertaking audits of leased property, how many of those departments have provided information to you which you consider complete? Mr Power: That's also a question I would need to take on notice. Senator McALLISTER: You commenced your testimony in relation to this matter by saying that the department's role was to coordinate this process—to communicate and collate information about the process, rather than to undertake the audits themselves. I would consider your key metric is to know how many of the departments involved in this process have completed the task and how many have not. How can it be that the department does not know? Senator Canavan: That's not been established. What has been established is that the information that you are asking for, which is of a detailed nature, is not before the official, and we'll take it on notice and come back to the committee. Senator GALLACHER: There are only 190 of them, and you've been at it for two years. CHAIR: Are we moving on? Senator McALLISTER: Can I ask, in taking that question on notice, that the department table the list of agencies that are involved in the audit and the status of their audit process? Senator Canavan: We can take that on notice too. We'll add that to the question.</p>	Spoken	50-51, 55, 56	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-5	McAllister, Jenny	Industry Growth	Status of cladding audit					
			Cladding audit - Defence properties owned by the Commonwealth	Senator GALLACHER: Can we find out how many Defence properties are owned by the Commonwealth and how many other agencies are owned by the Commonwealth? Do you know that? Senator Canavan: We will take it on notice. Obviously, it's a question that could have been asked of Defence.	Spoken	55	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-6	Gallacher, Alex	Industry Growth						
			Portfolios affected by cladding audit	Senator McALLISTER: Have ministers who are tasked with administering departments with affected buildings—that is, those ministers who are responsible for any of the 11 buildings identified thus far in the audit—been made aware of the issue? Mr Power: I think that would be a question for each of those portfolios as to whether they had advised their ministers. I certainly expect that would be the case, but that would be a question for those portfolios. Senator McALLISTER: Mr Power, what are the relevant portfolios, so that I may ask in other places? Mr Lawson: We've been engaged with all of the portfolios, and the departmental Secretaries Board has been discussing the issue and advising, so all departments are working through their portfolios. Senator McALLISTER: But there are 11 buildings. Five of them are in Defence and six of them are somewhere else. Which are the relevant portfolios, so I may direct questions to their ministers? Mr Power: It would be for those portfolios to release that information, in part because they would make judgements around whether they think that would be appropriate, rather than— Senator McALLISTER: Mr Power, that's not how the standing orders of the Senate work. I'm asking you a question. Which portfolios are the other buildings in? Senator Canavan: We will take that on notice. In particular, Senator, I'm happy to provide as much information as we can, but there may be some circumstances where we may not want individual buildings to be identified by that information, given safety or risks. That would go to the standing orders as a matter of public immunity, so I will take that on notice and come back with what we can.	Spoken	56	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-7	McAllister, Jenny	Industry Growth						
			Annual budget allocation for South Australian Office	Senator GALLACHER: What is the annual budget allocation for the South Australian officers at the Australian Space Agency, including FTEs? Ms Dailey: Are you asking about what our current staffing— Senator GALLACHER: What is the annual budget allocation for the South Australian officers of the Australian Space Agency? Ms Dailey: At this particular point in time we don't actually separate our budget by officers. We're obviously working to grow our new headquarters in Lot Fourteen, and those headquarters will be available to the Space Agency from 19 December. Senator GALLACHER: So we don't have any allocation of resources there at the moment? I'm going to ask a detailed series of questions, so don't try and guess what they are. Ms Dailey: No, absolutely. The Prime Minister, in announcing that we would have our new home in Lot Fourteen, indicated we would have 20 positions for when we do enter our new home. We're well on track to working towards that target. We currently have, as of 4 October, nine staff members in our Adelaide office and we're expecting that, by January, we should have up to 18 staff members. Senator GALLACHER: Excellent. What is the percentage of this? Is that in the total budget of the agency? What percentage of your total budget will Lot Fourteen represent? Ten? Twenty? Ms Dailey: In what sense? In terms of staffing costs? Senator Canavan: Staff numbers? Senator GALLACHER: Annual budget allocation for the South Australian office of the Australian Space Agency, including full-time employees. Dr Clark: What we can provide for you is, by the end of January 2019, the proportion of staff and the dollars allocated that will be in South Australia. We can provide that to you if you're comfortable with that. We can give you that number. Because we're quite small and we have quite a small headcount, we haven't broken that down. But we can provide you that by January 18, if you're comfortable with that. Senator GALLACHER: All right, take that on notice.	Spoken	61-62	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-8	Gallacher, Alex	Australian Space Agency						
			Entrepreneurs' Program	Senator McALLISTER: I wanted to ask about the Entrepreneurs' Program and, in particular, the accelerating commercialisation element. In the annual report you indicate that in 2018-19 there were 83 grants worth a total of \$35.96 million awarded to business. Is that correct? It's on page 20 of the report. Ms Tressler: Yes, that's correct. Senator McALLISTER: As I understand it, this is a grant system that allows businesses to apply for support and receive a matched grant for up to \$1 million. Is that correct? Ms Greenwood: Yes, it is. Senator McALLISTER: The annual report indicates that there were 83 grants. Did each of those 83 grants go to a different business? Ms Greenwood: I'd need to check and give you those details. I don't have that information with me at the moment. Senator McALLISTER: So it's possible that the number of businesses that received support from the program is less than 83? Ms Greenwood: I understand it was 83 unique grants. In some cases we have businesses that get a multiple grant. However, it would be unlikely for businesses to get a grant within the same 12-month period. If I can check, I will make sure that I have the correct information for you. Ms Kelly: The grants relate to the commercialisation of a new-to-market invention. From time to time, someone who comes up with one idea that qualifies for a grant subsequently comes up with a further idea and that further idea may also qualify for a grant. I can certainly recall at least one case where a person was in the process of applying for a second grant because they had a second new-to-market invention that they were seeking to commercialise.	Spoken	64	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-9	McAllister, Jenny	AUSI - Support for Business						

SI-10	Pratt, Louise	AUSI - Support for Business	Manufacturing Modernisation Fund	<p>Senator PRATT: I've got a question about the Manufacturing Modernisation Fund. The government has announced \$160 million. However, it appears that only \$50 million of that is government funding. Is that correct? Ms Kelly: The remaining amount is matched funding, so there's \$50 million government funding, and the remainder of that amount is matched funding from industry. Senator PRATT: Matched from where? Ms Kelly: I might get Mr Power to answer those questions. Mr Power: It will be matched from participants who apply into the fund. Senator PRATT: So you've announced other people's investments as part of your fund? Mr Power: That amount does include that, it's true. Senator PRATT: It did sound like the government had announced a \$160 million fund, but it's \$50 million from the government. Is it possible for you to give me a breakdown of the components of that \$50 million into grant type and how the funds are released over the forward estimates? Mr Power: I might ask my colleagues, Senator, to answer that for you. Ms Ryan: DIIS Grants Administration administers the fund on behalf of our colleagues. Can you repeat the question? You would like it broken down— Senator PRATT: I want a breakdown of the components of that \$50 million into grant type and how they're released over the forward estimates. Ms Kelly: There are two components to the program. There is \$20 million in matched grants between \$50,000 and \$100,000 for small-scale technology and efficiency investments, and \$30 million of the amount is for larger-scale grants of up to \$1 million on a three to one funding basis to support transformative investments in technologies and processes. Senator PRATT: How will that \$20 million and \$30 million be released over the forward estimates? Ms Kelly: I might need to rely on Ms Ryan for the phasing of that. Ms Ryan: The breakdown of the funding over the forward estimates is \$10 million in 2019-20, \$20 million in 2020-21 and \$20 million in 2021-22. Senator PRATT: Is that for the \$30 million or the \$20 million? Ms Ryan: That's combined. Senator PRATT: You might take on notice to split that again into the two different components. Ms Ryan: Yes, we can take that on notice.</p>	Spoken	71-72	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-11	Pratt, Louise	Industry Growth	Grants based approach for Manufacturing Modernisation Fund	<p>Senator PRATT: Why did the government decide on a grants based approach rather than concessional loans? Ms Kelly: I think this was an election commitment, so it was a government announcement in the context of the election campaign. Senator PRATT: Is there an evidence base to the choice of grants rather than concessional loans? It hasn't been through a policy development process in the bureaucracy? Senator Canavan: I'll have to take that on notice. I'm only representing the minister responsible. As has been said, it was announced during the election, but I'll take that question on notice. Senator PRATT: If you can take on notice what evidence underpins the choice of a grants approach rather than a concessional loans scheme and what work was done in a policy sense for this announcement.</p>	Spoken	72	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-12	Patrick, Rex	Industry Growth	CodeMark scheme	<p>Senator PATRICK: I've got some questions in relation to CodeMark. Mr Power and Mr Bourne, I understand you are the administrators of the CodeMark scheme; is that correct? Mr Power: No, Senator. I'll give just give a quick outline, if you like, and Mr Bourne, who is from the ABCB, might add to that. JAS-ANZ is the overseeing body of the CodeMark scheme. Then there are certifiers that sit underneath that scheme. So the department doesn't run that scheme, nor does the ABCB. However, the CodeMark scheme is for products which are then used in building applications, so obviously it's relevant to the work we do. Senator PATRICK: Do you fund JAS-ANZ for any work? Mr Power: I don't think we do. Mr Bourne: I would need to take that on notice, but I don't think we do. Senator PATRICK: My understanding is—and maybe it's JAS-ANZ that does this—that someone maintains a register of CodeMark certifications; is that correct? Mr Bourne: Yes, the certificates are registered with JAS-ANZ, and they are also listed on the ABCB site. Senator PATRICK: So they're on both sites? It's an identical register? Mr Bourne: I'd need to take that on notice. Senator PATRICK: I've got a question in relation to the way that the history of CodeMark certifications, certificates were issued to companies, and they consistently were on the same site. This might be an isolated incident, but it appears to me—on the basis of a sample of one, I concede—that there's something wrong. Do you audit this? It depends on who funds this, I'm presuming the funding comes from the Commonwealth? Mr Power: Mr Bourne might correct me, but, as I said at the start, JAS-ANZ runs that process, and they do undertake audits of, for example, the entities who accredit under CodeMark, rather than something undertaken by this portfolio. But we would be happy to take up that issue with JAS-ANZ. Senator PATRICK: I might provide you with some information offline to help you, because, obviously, it would be concerning if the register is not being maintained properly. So, on notice, could you provide me with some level of assurance that that's an isolated event? I will provide the details to you. In terms of enforcement, I've got a copy of a letter here that acknowledges that a particular certification has been withdrawn pursuant to a complaint by a competitor. If a certificate has been withdrawn for some reason—so there's been a recognition that perhaps it should not have been codemarked at that time—is there any sanction that is applied in those circumstances? Mr Power: Again, Mr Bourne might like to add something. We're talking about a scheme that, as I said, is run by others. But what we're aware of, where certificates are withdrawn on particular certification bodies, for example, have been audited and been asked to pull up their socks, if you like, in relation to particular things, then there are conditions that JAS-ANZ puts on those certification bodies that they would need to fulfil or lift their game in particular areas before, for example, certificates can be reissued on particular products. That has happened. We're aware of issues where that's happened and bodies have changed their practices and been reinstated. Senator PATRICK: But, noting the importance of the scheme and the need for it to be accurate, one would presume there's got to be a sanction somewhere. If someone has made a claim, or, indeed, they have a CodeMark certificate but then go and advertise something that's slightly broader than what's on the certificate, you'd have to have a sanction for those sorts of things, wouldn't you? Mr Power: I think we would have to follow that up with JAS-ANZ. Senator PATRICK: Is there any other function that JAS-ANZ performs? Once again, it comes down to: where do they get their money from? Mr Power: I believe there is, but I wouldn't be able to give you a detailed listing of that. Senator PATRICK: Mr Lawson might be helping out here. Did you have anything to add, Mr Bourne? Mr Bourne: Not on the funding of JAS-ANZ. I'm not entirely sure where they get their revenue from. I believe there is a deal of cost recovery associated with it. Mr Squire: JAS-ANZ is underpinned by a treaty between Australia and New Zealand, and it essentially cost-recovers its operations from conformity assessment bodies. Conformity assessment bodies are those people that run accreditation schemes. It might be a forestry scheme or an organic scheme or some sort of professional management scheme. JAS-ANZ doesn't run any schemes in its own right. It ensures that those people that are running companies and organisations that are running schemes do that. They've got the systems and processes to ensure those schemes are being run properly. Senator PATRICK: So I take it from that that your view is that there is no Commonwealth funding. They fund themselves, but they are working under a treaty obligation, which means there must be a certain responsibility that flows back to the Australian and New Zealand governments. Mr Squire: That's correct. There's no Commonwealth money that's provided directly to JAS-ANZ. JAS-ANZ has a governing board with representatives appointed by both the Australian and New Zealand governments. Senator PATRICK: In terms of transparency of the scheme, clearly, if you've got a certificate and you want to, in some sense, validate the certificate itself, transparency would be a key element of that. There was a Shergold-Weir report that basically stated: Availability of all supportive documentation relied upon in making a determination that the product will meet the Performance Requirements is critical to the integrity and transparency of the CodeMark Australia Scheme. A copy of this documentation should be available on request and its availability highlighted on the Certificate of Conformity. Are there any exceptions to that rule in respect of transparency? Mr Power: Not that I am aware of, Senator. Senator PATRICK: SAI Global is, I believe, the one entity that does the CodeMark certification here in Australia. Once again, I've got a constituent who wrote to SAI Global seeking access to the supporting document that might lie behind the CodeMark certification, and they were denied access to it. Mr Squire: If I might suggest, if we were able to get some further detail from your office on the specifics of that case, we would then be able to take that up with JAS-ANZ. That doesn't accord with my understanding of how it should work. Senator PATRICK: It would seem odd. This is a letter from JAS-ANZ, where they talk about that very statement I made about transparency—emphasising the word 'should'—and they say: 'I acknowledge that the protocol indicates a</p>	Spoken	72	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-13	Patrick, Rex	Industry Growth	History of CodeMark certifications	<p>Senator PATRICK: I've got a question about that very statement I made about transparency—emphasising the word 'should'—and they say: 'I acknowledge that the protocol indicates a</p>	Spoken	73	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-14	Gallacher, Alex	Australian institute of Marin headquarters	Ministerial visits to AIMS	<p>Senator GALLACHER: Minister, I just want to go to an article in The Sydney Morning Herald where science minister Ms Andrews said she had invited environment minister Ms Ley and reef envoy Mr Entsch to the Australian Institute of Marine Science for a full briefing. FOI documents show the briefing was to occur on 30 August, and one of the FOI responses says the event was cancelled. Do you have any knowledge of any of that? Senator Canavan: I don't personally, sorry. Senator GALLACHER: Can you take on notice whether it was cancelled? Senator Canavan: Sure. I'm happy to. Dr Hardisty? Dr Hardisty: The original plan was for that meeting to go ahead. It was originally scheduled to occur at AIMS headquarters. But a couple of weeks before the scheduled meeting, Minister Ley was invited to go out on the GBR with— Senator GALLACHER: Sorry, what's that? Dr Hardisty: The Great Barrier Reef, up in Cairns. Senator GALLACHER: Acronyms all day every day! Dr Hardisty: Yes, sorry about that. I'll give you more acronyms before the day is over, I'm sure. They were invited to go on a reef trip out of Cairns with Warren Entsch and so on, so that original triumvirate didn't get together because that meeting happened two weeks before. But, later on, we had a follow-up meeting. I believe Senator McDonald was there and a number of others came down. It actually turned into two meetings, but the same group of people eventually all got to come and talk about the same stuff. Senator GALLACHER: So were Ministers Wyatt, Tehan and Birmingham and Assistant Minister Duniam all present at a meeting—or is this the same meeting? Dr Hardisty: I'll have to check on notice. If you give me precise dates, I can tell you exactly who was at each meeting on notice. Senator GALLACHER: I'm working with a combination of FOI and newspaper reports, trying to piece together a timetable. Dr Hardisty: We can give you on notice exactly who was present at which of those meetings.</p>	Spoken	75	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-15	Gallacher, Alex	Minister Andrews	Meeting invitations to Ministers Ley and Entsch	<p>Senator GALLACHER: Basically, under this freedom of information process, if there's a public servant's name, it's taken out? Ms Kelly: No, the usual practice across government is that SES officer names remain unredacted and officers below the level of SES are usually redacted. That's really just protecting the identity of junior officers. For officers above SES, unless there is some other reason, the usual practice is that their names remain. Senator GALLACHER: I'm trying to piece together newspaper articles and FOIs. It would appear that the invitations to Minister Ley and envoy Entsch were sent on 9 July. That's about nine days after the minister publicly claimed that she'd already invited them. Do you want to take that on notice, Senator Canavan? Senator Canavan: I'm happy to take it on notice. Senator GALLACHER: The FOI says 9 July but they were invited earlier, according to the— Senator Canavan: It may not have been by email; I can't speculate. But I'll take it on notice. Senator GALLACHER: All right, and we'll look forward to seeing your establishment in Cairns during our inquiry.</p>	Spoken	77	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-16	Patrick, Rex	Geoscience Australia	Australia's unexploited oil and gas reserves	<p>Senator PATRICK: What's the estimated value of Australia's unexploited oil and gas reserves? Dr Heap: I'd have to take that on notice. We don't calculate the value at this point. I can tell you what the total is.</p>	Spoken	84	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan

SI-17	Patrick, Rex	Geoscience Australia	Exploring for the future program	<p>Senator PATRICK: These might be in some sense subjective answers: for the Exploring for the Future program that you talked about before, how much of an acceleration in exploration by industry have you observed, in terms of results of that program thus far? Dr Heap: We have seen uptake of tenements in high-value propositions in a limited number of cases. I can't give you just how many more tenements have been taken up in those areas off the top of my head. Senator PATRICK: On notice? Dr Heap: We could take that on notice.</p>	Spoken	87	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-18	McAllister, Jenny	Australian Nuclear Science & Macroaggregated albumin		<p>Senator McALLISTER: I actually want to go to a different matter. I understand that there have been some issues associated with importing a product, the acronym for which is MAA; I believe that stands for macroaggregated albumin. The assertion is that ANSTO has prevented a private radiopharmacy group from conducting its longstanding practice of importing MAA from Hungary. Is that a correct description of your role? Dr Paterson: I do not think that is a correct description of our role. MAA is used for lung perfusion studies; that is the major utilisation. For a long time it has been supplied under emergency arrangements under the TGA—this is an unusual situation—and ANSTO has worked with the pre-eminent international supplier— Senator McALLISTER: This is Draximage? Dr Paterson: This is Draximage. They wanted to seek a marketing authorisation which would mean the end of its supply arrangement and an arrangement which would be a more normal market arrangement. Senator McALLISTER: I see. Are those two things mutually exclusive? Was it not possible for Draximage to obtain an ordinary market access arrangement if the emergency arrangement stayed in place? Dr Paterson: The rules of engagement are set by the TGA. My understanding, which is not a detailed understanding, is that when a marketing authorisation fee has been paid, and it's been established that the product substantially conforms to the requirements, that means that that becomes the basis of supply. And any— Senator McALLISTER: Sorry, I'm just conscious of the time. I am interested in your answers, and you are being very helpful. Does ANSTO have a role in the marketing approval? Dr Paterson: No, we don't. The marketing authorisation is sought by the company that is going to bring the material in. They can do that either through ANSTO or any other channel they choose to utilise. The discussions were initiated with ANSTO and completed with ANSTO around April this year. The timing of the announcement was in the hands of the TGA. It did create consternation among nuclear medicine practitioners in the market. We have had extensive discussions with them, and they have brought to our attention a number of features of this market where there are actually, in a number of these kits, as they are called, ongoing shortages. While it did create some sense of disruption, at the same time it's opened up a risk for Australian health. Across the range of kits that we need in order to satisfactorily perform diagnosis using technetium-99m, there are particular kits that seem to have ongoing variations and supply shortages. As a result of that, I've asked my team to look more deeply into the full range of supply of kits that would be beneficial to Australian health and to work with all stakeholders in a coherent, consistent way to try to improve that over time. It is important that we do recognise that long-term emergency supply arrangements are not optimal in a market and that restoration of normal market actions is the way to go. Senator McALLISTER: Sure. I don't assert that I have the full picture about this particular market or product, or indeed the health applications; I fully concede that. But the advice that I have is that the consequence of the particular model that's been adopted with Draximage, in which ANSTO seems to play some role in distribution, is that a product which previously was relatively affordable—particularly for regional health practitioners—is now \$85 per patient, which I think comes about because of questions of scale in a regional clinical environment. Notwithstanding your assertion that a long-term secure and stable supply would be a good thing, in the short term it seems to have produced a very significant disruption. Dr Paterson: There has been a short-term effect, and I believe that the discussions that we've had with both the regional and the rural practices and their representatives, as well as the people in the urban and clinical settings, has given us an insight into a wider range of applications, all of which have different reimbursement characteristics under the Department of Health. So it's quite a complicated picture, but I am quite happy to take aspects of it on notice and provide you with more detailed information, because it isn't one single indication; it's a multiplicity of indications, all of which have different reimbursement characteristics. In the case of this particular kit, in some cases it's sent directly to the clinics; in other cases, it's supplied as unit doses through an intermediary. So it's quite a complex marketplace, and I don't want to oversimplify it in a way that's not helpful.</p>	Spoken	89-90	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-19	Pratt, Louise	Commonwealth Scientific ar	CSIRO staffing	<p>Senator PRATT: How many new positions in the agency do you currently have on hold? Is it 170 in a total of about 250 vacancies? Ms Zielke: We don't actually have positions on hold at the moment. Senator PRATT: Do you have vacancies in the agency that you're unable to fill currently? Ms Zielke: We have vacancies that we're recruiting for. Senator PRATT: How are you managing that recruitment in the context of the cap? Ms Zielke: We're considering each of those positions on a case-by-case basis. Senator PRATT: You're at your cap currently—are you? Ms Zielke: Yes, we are. Senator PRATT: How many positions do you have advertised currently? Ms Zielke: Sorry, I'd need to take that on notice. We have approximately 100 positions being advertised at any one time. The organisation is sizeable, and we often have positions turning over and recruitment activities underway. Senator PRATT: Yes. I would understand you would have some positions, where you've got staff leaving, that you're recruiting for. But it does sound to me as though within that 100 you must have people who you're trying to recruit even though you've got this cap in place for new positions. Ms Zielke: Yes, there will be. Senator PRATT: Do you know how many there are? Ms Zielke: No, I don't have that information. Senator PRATT: Are you able to take that on notice for us?</p>	Spoken	93	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-20	Walsh, Jess	Commonwealth Scientific ar	CSIRO labour hire	<p>Senator WALSH: Can we have, on notice, some information about your use of labour hire so we know how many direct employees you have? You've answered how many of them are on fixed term contracts. Can we have the names of the labour hire entities that you use and the number of workers that you're engaging through that mechanism, either now or on notice? Ms Zielke: Senator, if it helps, we have about 200 people on labour hire within the organisation, noting that our head count at the moment is around 5,900 people, plus affiliates of about another 3,000. Senator WALSH: Are they mostly for general administration or operational roles or are they scientists on labour hire? Ms Zielke: Anecdotally, I would say that they are largely administrative roles, but there are employment agencies specifically for scientific researchers. Senator WALSH: It's a new world. Ms Zielke: It's very much a new world. I'm conscious of that. Senator WALSH: I would still like to have, on notice, the names of the labour hire agencies that you're utilising and the number of people that you have on staff. Ms Zielke: That's our public panel, but I'm happy to take that on notice.</p>	Spoken	98	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-21	Roberts, Malcolm	Commonwealth Scientific ar	Dr Karl tweet	<p>Senator ROBERTS: Thank you all for attending. On 13 October 2019, the ABC entertainer Dr Karl wrote in a tweet: 'Current episode of climate change is 100 per cent caused by human activity.' Does CSIRO's science agree with this statement from Dr Karl? CHAIR: You can answer as you see fit. Senator Canavan: We might take it on notice, given that it's a tweet, Senator Roberts. It might be useful to let Dr Marshall see it in the context of the overall science and provide any useful information. It's a broad question that I would imagine Dr Marshall might seek advice about.</p>	Spoken	99	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-22	Gallacher, Alex	IP Australia	Intellectual Property Laws Amendment Bill	<p>Senator GALLACHER: I'll go straight to the Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Bill 2019, which we have looked at in a legislation inquiry. There is still concern in the industry that there is nothing in place to assist people as we speak. Has IP Australia had a look at what greater measures and initiatives they could have in place to assist SMEs with accessing standard patents in the event ultimately that the innovation patent will be not available to them? Have you done any work on that? Mr Bennett: Thank you for the question. The government does remain committed to providing dedicated support services to help SMEs navigate the IP system. This includes a new program for dedicated case managers in IP Australia who'll support Australian SMEs through the patent application process. In addition, there'll be support for expedited international applications and an SME patent fast track. We are committed to a purpose-built web platform to support SMEs, including 24/7 assistance, industry advice and an IP portfolio management tool. We are also enhancing our education services for small business in regional areas. Senator GALLACHER: Are the case manager and those initiatives in place now? Mr Schwager: Some are in place and some have been prepared during the phase-out of the innovation package. Senator GALLACHER: Perhaps on notice we could get the full script of initiatives and the stage at which they are at? Mr Schwager: We can provide that. Senator GALLACHER: Is that publicly available now? Mr Schwager: Not at that level of detail. We have publicly provided that list of initiatives through our website but we haven't yet provided details with respect to implementation. Senator GALLACHER: The criticism in some sections of the industry is that these should all have been in place before you got rid of the innovation patent system. That is the criticism. Mr Schwager: I understand the criticism. As I said, we do have some of this in place now. We obviously need to ramp up some of it as the innovation patent is phased out over the next 18 months. Senator GALLACHER: Okay. Perhaps on notice if you could step that out: what is in place, what is coming and the like. Mr Schwager: I am happy to do that.</p>	Spoken	103	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-23	Brockman, Slade	IP Australia	Provisional patent system	<p>CHAIR: Could I just ask a question on notice. Is there a technical reason why the sorts of companies that the deputy chair has raised can't use the provisional patent system to gain a similar protection? I don't want to go into the detail now, because it will take too long. But could I just have an answer to that on notice, please? Mr Schwager: We will take that on notice.</p>	Spoken	105	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-24	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	Gas prices	<p>Senator GALLACHER: Okay. It's reported that the spot price of gas has fallen by about 25 per cent, and you've mentioned that. Senator Canavan: Yes, it's around 20. It depends on which market and how you measure it et cetera. Senator GALLACHER: Gas contract offers have fallen by 50 per cent. Senator Canavan: Again, it just depends on how you measure it. All of that is documented in the quarterly ACCC reports that we've set up through this process. So, for the first time, we now have information about contract offers as well as contracts made. The ACCC has used its information-gathering powers to provide that information. They provide, obviously, an aggregated version of it publicly that doesn't indicate individual companies. But, before the government took this action, there were offers in the marketplace of around \$20 a gigajoule. Now offers tend to be in the range of \$8 to \$12 a gigajoule. So you've seen roughly a 50 per cent reduction from the very high or top offers that were made before we put this policy in place. Senator GALLACHER: I suppose the million dollar question is that, given that this appears to have happened, that the gas prices have fallen, why hasn't it translated to household and small business costs? Senator Canavan: I don't have that information at hand. However, the spikes we saw in gas prices did not last a very long time. The prices paid at a retail level or household level will be averaged over a period of time. Households aren't exposed to movements in spot or wholesale prices to the same degree that industrial users or the gas retailers are. But the spike we saw in late 2016, early 2017 was really just for a few months, and then, as I said, we took action and prices came down. I don't have any information—officials might have some—on the impact on retail prices from the ACCC's reports. We might have to take that on notice. Senator GALLACHER: If anybody was listening or had read that spot prices were down, contract offers were down, and you can argue whether it was by 20 or 25 per cent, or 40 or 50—but households don't appear to have shared in that. Senator Canavan: I'd also make the point that, in the delivered cost of gas at a household, only a component of that is the wholesale cost of gas, which is what we're referring to. The cost beyond that, particularly to a distribution network, retail et cetera, I'd have to take on notice. I think, from memory, in the ACCC reports it might be only in the order of a fifth or so of the price of a household's gas is in that wholesale cost, but I'll correct the record if that's wrong. That's why you're not going to see that same transfer or pass-through. Our action, really, was triggered by the pressures facing industrial users of gas—manufacturers, power producers et cetera—who are more directly exposed to a 100 per cent impact of wholesale price movements.</p>	Spoken	106-107	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-25	Patrick, Rex	Resources	NOPTA meeting with TIMOR GAP	<p>Senator PATRICK: Firstly, I want to go to an answer I got from the foreign affairs minister to a question in relation to development on the southern plateau of East Timor. The response I got from the minister was: 'Australian officials from Export Finance Australia, the Australian Infrastructure Financing Facility for the Pacific and the National Offshore Petroleum Titles Administrator have visited Timor-Leste for discussions with TIMOR GAP on its infrastructure plans. The officials offered to provide advice on Australia's experience in developing LNG projects.' I presume you're aware of that visit by NOPTA to East Timor? Mr Waters: Yes. One of my senior geologists made that visit to provide technical advice to the delegation. Senator PATRICK: Does that mean they talked to the East Timorese regulator? Mr Waters: I haven't received a briefing on the visit. It was under the auspices of the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade. I was simply requested to provide technical support in the form of one of my geologists, and that's what I did. But, again, I did not receive a briefing on how the trip transpired. I do know that it was delayed for a couple of days or so. But who they met with and who they spoke with, I would have to take on notice. Senator PATRICK: Approximately when was it? Mr Waters: About a month ago. Senator PATRICK: Okay. If you wouldn't mind taking on notice— Mr Waters: I'm happy to do that. Senator PATRICK: who they met with, the basics of the discussions and what NOPTA provided in respect of the visit—and any questions they may have come away with that you're also assisting them with and so forth. Mr Waters: Sure.</p>	Spoken	111	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-26	Patrick, Rex	Resources	Laminaria field	<p>Senator PATRICK: Did some of the Laminaria field on the Timor side of the maritime boundary contain a resource? Are you aware that on what is now the Timor side of that field— Senator Canavan: I might just start by adding—and, again, Mr Waters might correct me—that NOPTA are not primarily responsible for assessing resource potential— Senator PATRICK: He just told me he had knowledge of— Senator Canavan: Yes, that would be more if there were production already—NOPTA would have that knowledge. But in terms of potential resources, that would be more Geoscience Australia. Senator PATRICK: NOPSEMA looks after licensing arrangements and you're solely responsible for titles— Senator Canavan: Yes. Senator PATRICK: and titles are fundamentally over resources. Mr Waters: Yes. Senator PATRICK: So you said that you have some knowledge; I'm wondering if you could answer the question, please? Mr Waters: While it's true that the titles you've been referring to have changed because of the treaty and the change in the maritime boundary, and while it's true that part of those titles are now within the Timor-Leste jurisdiction, that does not necessarily mean that any part of the field has crossed as well. However, because of the confidentiality provisions regarding resource management, I cannot be more specific than that. Senator PATRICK: Okay. You will be aware that the inquiry powers of the Senate come from the Constitution, so they override confidentiality provisions in legislation. Basically, secrecy provisions in legislation are subservient to the inquiry powers of the Senate, so I wonder if there is some way perhaps we can take on notice. Senator Canavan: I am more than happy to take it on notice. I would say that obviously some of these matters might go to jeopardising the commercial interests of the Commonwealth, given they are resources that we own, but we are happy to take it. It also involves negotiations with other countries as well, so we want to make sure we get the right information to you, and we are happy to take them on notice. Senator PATRICK: You did also say that Geoscience Australia were the best people to ask. Senator Canavan: I'll take it on notice and come back to you from all areas of the department with the information we can. Maybe if you could repeat the question? Senator PATRICK: I'm interested in this: is some of the Laminaria field on the Timor-Leste side of the barrier? So are there resources crossing into that side of the boundary? It raises another question, Minister. Senator Canavan: I am happy to table this information as well. Unfortunately, it doesn't identify on this map all the fields that you are referring to. It does have them there, just not all the codes you had. It shows the changes in the map.</p>	Spoken	112-113	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-27	Patrick, Rex	Resources	Resource property rights	<p>Senator PATRICK: I just want to understand. The Liberal National parties are the parties of property rights, so I know you will be sympathetic to this view. If an entity owns or has a right over a property, and it's clear in this instance that through negotiations between two sovereign nations, the character of that right has changed; there has been a reduction in the area. My understanding is it's an 18 per cent reduction, is that right? Senator Canavan: I'll take the details on notice. Senator PATRICK: But there is clearly a reduction because if you look at the line— Senator Canavan: We'll take the details. As Mr Waters said, the actual area that is a title is not necessarily the same area that the resource is located in—that is, the hydrocarbon resource. So we'll take it on notice and come back to you. Senator PATRICK: The general principle would be the Commonwealth, because if actions carried out under constitutional powers reduces the property right of somebody, has to provide just compensation? Senator Canavan: I can't answer that question, so we'd have to take that on notice given it goes to something the Attorney General's would probably have to advise on. We'll take that on notice.</p>	Spoken	113	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan

SI-28	Hanson, Pauline	Resources	Production lease WA-18L	<p>Senator HANSON: I'll put this into perspective. The treaty between Australia and the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste establishing the maritime boundaries in the Timor Sea came into force on 13 August 2019. The joint authority can cancel a production licence in whole or in part if certain conditions are met, but none of those appear to apply in relation to the changes made by the treaty. So my question is: under what provisions of the legislation can production lease WA-18L be amended showing the loss of the area given to Timor under the treaty? Senator Canavan: My officials will correct me if I'm wrong, but the changes to these areas subsequent to the conclusion of the treaty were the subject of legislation that went through the parliament. So my understanding is that those changes were made in that legislation that was supported by the parliament. Senator HANSON: You're saying it all comes back to the treaty. So how will you ensure that Western Australia-18L production lease reflects the area lost to Timor? Senator Canavan: You mean, under the new rule, they have adjusted it. Senator HANSON: So they've lost that area to Timor. I have it here if you would like it. Do you want a copy of it? CHAIR: We are just getting copies made. Senator Canavan: I am familiar with the area that you are referring to. As I said, the changes in those titles were embedded in legislation that reflected the new negotiated treaty with Timor-Leste. Senator HANSON: I'll just refer to this. The Laminaria lease WA-18L is cut by the line TA-1, TA-2 in article 2 of the treaty, giving Timor 18.5 per cent of that production lease. The current owner of the lease has been offered a lease from Timor but not on the same terms. So my question is: what compensation is available to the owner of this lease? Senator Canavan: I will ask officials to provide whatever information they can, but I'm probably more likely given it goes to a specific field, to take that on notice and come back with all the information we can. Is there anything we can add? Senator HANSON: No. Ms Illman: The treaty includes transitional arrangements to provide business continuity specifically under article 22 of the Timor Sea Treaty and under article 27 of the International Unification Agreement that current petroleum operations will be preserved through ensuring conditions or terms equivalent. Similar protections are provided for in the transitioning petroleum operations from Australia to Timor-Leste. Senator HANSON: The lease is still valid so it wouldn't be— Ms Illman: Yes. Senator HANSON: I am pleased that you think that. Under article 3, the adjustment of the continental shelf, Timor-Leste and Indonesia agreed to an end point to their continental shelf boundary, west of point A17 or east of point A16 on the 1972 seabed treaty boundary or on the latter changes to—that's only on geographical changes—the commercialised depletion of the Laminaria and the Corallina fields. So they're still valid under the treaty only if the depletion has finished. So they cannot be shut down is my understanding upon the entering into force of an agreement between Timor-Leste and Indonesia delimiting the continental shelf boundary between those two states. So my understanding is that, under the treaty, that lease agreement cannot be shut down. It's still valid. Unless you can direct me to something totally different to what the treaty says, it says the 'commercial depletion of those two fields'. So what you're telling me is, although the boundary has been cut, another agreement for the 18.5 per cent has gone to East Timor, which is nowhere near what he was able to get out of it under the ownership of the Australian. He was not dealt with, he was not consulted—nothing whatsoever—and you have actually sold that part to Timor for no compensation. Senator Canavan: I will just be very clear about that point. I don't want to go into individual cases too much, but I will come back on notice. Senator HANSON: There is only one case up there. Senator Canavan: All title holders were extensively consulted with. They continue to be consulted with. We continue to have month-to-month meetings through this transitional period with affected title holders, so that is not correct that people were not consulted with. I have spoken to those affected people myself, so I am aware of these issues. We have those transitional arrangements in place and we will come back to you on notice with the specific changes. I would just stress that other point that Mr Waters made—there is a distinction between the geographic area of a title on a map where the resource within that title is and how that might affect a division of resource between two countries or two jurisdictions that straddle a particular title area. There are two different things. There is the area that they have the and the underlying resource—the oil and gas basin that's in that. They are two different areas.</p>	Spoken	113-114	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-29	Patrick, Rex	Resources	Production-sharing contracts on equivalent terms	<p>Senator PATRICK: Just on what you said before, you talked about equivalent terms. Are you able to advise whether Northern Oil and Gas Australia were issued equivalent terms in the PSC on the Timor-Leste side of the border? Senator Canavan: I will ask officials. I think that's still under consideration, but I will ask officials to provide any updates. Ms Illman: Yes, we understand that the production-sharing contracts were offered on equivalent terms. Senator PATRICK: I had a talk with the CEO of that company. I was in Dili for the popular consultation and went down to their facility, and he is definitely of the view that's not the case. So the minister just indicated there were perhaps some negotiations continuing. Are you suggesting the matter is finalised? Ms Illman: On 28 August, six new production-sharing contracts between Timor-Leste and the affected petroleum operations were signed in Dili together with a range of other documents that give effect to the transitional arrangements. Senator PATRICK: But that doesn't say they were done on equivalent terms. Ms Illman: But they were signed. Senator PATRICK: I understand that but that doesn't mean they were signed on equivalent terms. Ms Illman: I would expect that a signatory to a production-sharing contract would be accepting the terms. Senator PATRICK: Well, it depends on whether— Senator Canavan: Let's take that on notice because the question goes to a specific area. I'm not familiar with where that's up to. We will take it on notice. A part of your question goes to a matter of judgement to some degree. We are confident with the provisions we have struck with the Timor-Leste government will be adhered to, but we will come back on notice to this particular question. We're happy to provide briefings and some of these matters will be more easily discussed in camera, given they go to an individual title holder. I'm happy to provide that and come back.</p>	Spoken	114-115	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-30	Patrick, Rex	Resources	North West Shelf review	<p>Senator PATRICK: How are you going with the 'use it or lose it' review that you were undertaking a year or so ago? Remember, there was a review done off the North West Shelf? Mr Waters: Yes. Senator PATRICK: What was the outcome of that review? Did we end up getting any new entities involved in taking over a lease and going down the production path? Mr Waters: The review is complete—the North West Shelf commerciality review of the 10 retention leases that we examined. As a result of that review and briefing of the joint authority ministers, we have had a significant increase in engagement with the joint venture partners and there have been very encouraging signs showing that a number of the retention leases are moving towards a commercial outcome. Senator PATRICK: That's staying in the same hands, but in some sense— Senator Canavan: Yes. Just to be clear—correct me if I'm wrong, Mr Waters—in the Southern Basin we have rejected a number of retention leases, because obviously we felt there was no cause for that. Senator PATRICK: Can you put some detail on this? Senator Canavan: We will take it on notice. There were three, I'm told, but we'll come back to you with which ones we did. In the North West Shelf or the Carnarvon—really, the Carnarvon and Browse basins—there has been no change of ownership, so to speak, of the titles, but there has been significant movement and development on bringing forward projects such as the Browse Basin joint venture and Scarborough. We remain hopeful that something will move forward further in the next few months. There is a great alignment here between myself and Bill Johnson, the Western Australian minister. I was in Perth only a couple of weeks ago discussing with all the joint venture partners and related entities how important that project is and that we expect it to come to production sooner rather than later. Senator PATRICK: So, no change in title, but a change in attitude— Senator Canavan: Well, yes—look, they have rights. It was never an intention to interfere with rights here. They have a right to develop those retention leases. The Browse comes up 30 June next year and Scarborough towards the end of next year. Senator PATRICK: I would love it if that philosophy were in place for NOGA! Is that report public or confidential? Mr Waters: No. Senator PATRICK: Is it possible to provide a desensitised— Senator Canavan: We will see what we can provide on notice. Obviously, a lot of the information in that review is commercial in confidence. We will see what we can provide. Senator PATRICK: I accept that, but a desensitised summary of what was in the report? Mr Waters: We will endeavour to do that. Senator Canavan: We will look at that.</p>	Spoken	115-116	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-31	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	National hydrogen strategy	<p>Senator GALLACHER: There have been a number of issues papers on a national hydrogen strategy. Responses for the nine issue papers were only open for 28 days between 1 July and 28 July. Was that sufficient time for the industry and the general public to respond to such a large amount of information? Senator Canavan: It's a shame, because that probably would have been best put to the Chief Scientist, who is managing that process. The nine issues papers are all from his COAG working group. Senator GALLACHER: We can put them on notice to Dr Finkel. Senator Canavan: We might take those on notice. Mr Lawson: We've got a very large number of very good submissions in from stakeholders. Senator GALLACHER: Sixty-one submissions. Mr Lawson: They were of a high quality. Senator GALLACHER: There are 61 submissions on the website. How many submissions were marked private or not for publication? Mr Lawson: I'd have to take that on notice.</p>	Spoken	118	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-32	Gallacher, Alex	Minister Canavan	Minister Canavan travel	<p>Senator GALLACHER: Just to finish up, Minister, how many times have you been to WA since the election? Senator Canavan: It would be possibly three. I was there only a couple of weeks ago. I was there for the Prelude. I think it's possibly three times since the election. I'll take it on notice, though. It's been a few times. I'm going back in a couple of weeks for these further discussions. I love WA. Senator GALLACHER: Is that your normal schedule? Senator Canavan: I don't know. As I say, I'd have to take it on notice. I am a regular visitor to the state, obviously, given the portfolio. Senator WATT: Were these visits since the election just to Perth or other parts of WA? Senator Canavan: No, other parts. Two weeks ago I was at Jimblebar, which is a mine near Newman. I do recognise that I haven't been to the Pilbara for a little while. I've been wanting to get back up there a bit. It's just hard, obviously, to get to all of these remote areas where our minds are. But it's a great industry and I try to get around as much as I can. Senator WATT: How about the Northern Territory? How many times have you been there since the election? Senator Canavan: I'll take that on notice. It's been at least twice, I think, since the election and, again, I am going back in a couple of weeks to Darwin.</p>	Spoken	119	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan

SI-33	Patrick, Rex	Northern Australia and Majc Kimba legal costs	Senator PATRICK: And the court case? Ms Reinhardt: As you possibly know, the court's finding was that the Kimba council had not done anything that was inconsistent with the Racial Discrimination Act. Senator PATRICK: I have read the judgement, so I'm familiar with that. Has there been an appeal lodged? Ms Reinhardt: There has been an appeal lodged. There has also been a request for an injunction on the ballot, which was dismissed. So the ballot will now go ahead, and the Kimba council is running their ballot as we discussed. Senator PATRICK: Is the appeal to be heard by the Full Federal Court? It's a Federal Court matter, isn't it? Ms Reinhardt: Yes. Senator PATRICK: What are the legal costs for the Commonwealth to date in respect of the original matter? Ms Reinhardt: From memory, around \$800,000. Senator PATRICK: Can you take it on notice to provide an exact figure.	Spoken	120	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-34	Patrick, Rex	Cost of Radioactive Waste Facility Northern Australia and Majc process	Senator PATRICK: Thank you, that's good. What is the total amount the Commonwealth has spent so far in this process? Senator Canavan: I think the figure we usually use is the costs, broadly, since the establishment of the current act. Like I said, we've been going for decades trying to find this. That is around \$30 million to \$40 million. Ms Reinhardt: We'd have to take that on notice.	Spoken	121	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-35	Patrick, Rex	Northern Australia and Majc Radioactive waste budget	Senator PATRICK: What are the appropriations for this year and for the forward estimates? Ms Chard: I can tell you the appropriations for this year. There are currently no appropriations specific to the project for the forward estimates because of the nature of the task force. The task force is currently funded year by year, as has been the case for the last number of years. Senator PATRICK: So it is just out of an operating budget—is that how it works? Ms Chard: No, there is an appropriation, but it's an appropriation year on year rather than a forward estimates appropriation. Senator Canavan: Obviously that's because, depending on the results of ballots and decisions that might flow from that, the budget would change. Senator PATRICK: Sure. Ms Chard: Did you want me to answer the question on the budget for this financial year? Senator PATRICK: Maybe on notice if you don't mind.	Spoken	121	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-36	Watt, Murray	Northern Australia Infrastru: NAIF CEO location	Senator WATT: It's pretty close; okay. What will be the office location of the new CEO once they're appointed? Senator Canavan: Because it or the person hasn't been selected, I'll just take that on notice, but under the act the choice and decision of the CEO is a matter for the board. Senator WATT: Will the new CEO be located in northern Australia? Senator Canavan: As I said, that's a matter for the board. There is no restriction placed on that in the act itself. The decision is one of the board, so I'll take that on notice because we have an ongoing recruitment process in place. Senator WATT: Okay.	Spoken	122	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-37	Watt, Murray	Northern Australia Infrastru: NAIF project funding	Senator WATT: Can I check this statement? The aggregate drawdown amount represents \$44.68 million against the loan limits aggregating \$51.48 million. Does this reference to loan limits aggregating \$51.48 million mean that the particular projects that have begun drawing down funding could have been approved up to the value of \$51.48 million? Ms Walker: Yes. There are three projects that have reached financial close, and financial close is the point at which the money is then available to be drawn. So the limit for those three projects in aggregate is the \$51.48 million, and then the amount that is then drawn down as it's required for construction is the \$44.68 million. Senator WATT: Yes. Minister, you might remember we had a bit of a conversation about this in The Morning Bulletin, a paper you and I speak to on a regular basis. I don't have the date of this article, but I'm sure you will remember it. I was talking about the limited funds that have been released from the NAIF, and your office in response provided the latest drawdown figures, which showed in total \$51.48 million had been facilitated through NAIF between those three projects. But in fact it's not that \$51.48 million have been released; it's actually only \$44.68 million. Senator Canavan: I'll have to have a look. I'll take it on notice and have a look. Maybe there was an error there. If there is, I'll correct the record. Senator WATT: I work out that \$44.68 million is slightly less than one per cent of the NAIF's \$5 billion budget. Is that your maths as well? Senator Canavan: That would be right. It's \$5 billion. Senator WATT: So after four years we've got one per cent of the funds in the NAIF actually going to projects. Senator Canavan: I've always been up-front with the fact that it got off to a slower start than was expected. That's why we made significant changes to the investment mandate about 16 or 17 months ago. Senator WATT: It hasn't exactly sped up the funding getting out the door though. Senator Canavan: It has sped up the investment decisions. I was going to come to that. Senator WATT: Maybe it's sped up the investment decisions—CHAIR: Senator Watt, the minister has the call. Senator Canavan: I was going to come to the fact that we are expecting in the next six to 12 months a significant increase in drawdowns, and I'm happy to run through or provide on notice the expectation of that on a project-by-project basis. As you'd expect, with what are sometimes quite large projects there are gaps between when they receive financial approval, get FID and then start the project. Given that a lot of the investment decisions were made in the last 12 to 15 months, now we're about to start the real kick-off of many of those projects that have been decided upon over that period.	Spoken	123	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-38	Watt, Murray	Northern Australia Infrastru: NAIF salaries and bonuses	Senator WATT: How much in total has been spent on salaries and bonuses for directors and staff since the NAIF was created four years ago? Senator Canavan: It's all outlined in the annual report, but we can probably provide some figures. Senator WATT: Do you have that figure handy? Senator Canavan: Just to clarify: it was all salaries—Senator WATT: Salaries and bonuses for NAIF employees, senior executives and directors. Ms Walker: That is in the annual report. So the executive reporting—Senator WATT: What's the most recent annual report? Ms Walker: The 2018-19 report. These are all set out on page 58 for the directors—Senator Canavan: Obviously, we'll have figures for salaries. We might take it on notice. Senator WATT: Yes, I don't know that the annual report quantifies salaries and bonuses for employees. Senator Canavan: It would probably in the income statement, but it will be employee expenses. Senator WATT: If you could take that on notice for me. Senator Canavan: We'll separate those out.	Spoken	124	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-39	Watt, Murray	Northern Australia Infrastru: NAIF Queensland projects	Senator WATT: Minister, you'd be aware that not a single project in your and my home state of Queensland has yet drawn down funds from the NAIF. When can we expect to see the first Queensland project actively have some funds? Senator Canavan: We are expecting the drawdowns to begin on the Queensland Airport Ltd project, the Townsville upgrade, later this year. I think that would be the first. We're also expecting the Signature Onfarm Project early next year—Senator WATT: To have draw down? Senator Canavan: To draw down. Genex, the pumped hydro project, early next year as well—Senator WATT: What are you reading from? Senator Canavan: James Cook University next year as well. Senator WATT: Could you table the information that you're reading from? Senator Canavan: I can't table this, because there possibly is some information here that is commercial-in-confidence, but I'll take on notice to provide you with the project-by-project drawdown profile. Senator WATT: Okay.	Spoken	124	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-40	Watt, Murray	Northern Australia Infrastru: Pilbara Minerals NAIF loan	Senator WATT: What date was the NAIF informed that Pilbara Minerals Ltd was not proceeding with its NAIF loan? Ms Walker: We'll take that on notice, but my recollection is it was in this financial year. We made it very clear in our corporate plan that was released that that project was at the request of the borrower not going to proceed in its current form. We've made that very clear in the annual report in a number of—Senator WATT: Thank you. What date was the minister informed that Pilbara Minerals would not be proceeding with its NAIF loan? Senator Canavan: I'll have to take that on notice. Senator WATT: Was the NAIF or the minister informed before 19 September, when the minister announced the funding for—Senator Canavan: I'll have to take that on notice. Although I will just point out that, from what you read out from the statement before, investment decisions have been made to that amount, so that's still the case; that's still accurate.	Spoken	125-126	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-41	Watt, Murray	Northern Australia Infrastru: NAIF job creation	Senator WATT: You also said in this press release that more than 1,300 jobs will be created across these six projects in WA during construction and operation. Are you also claiming jobs for projects that actually won't be funded by the NAIF? Senator Canavan: We'll take that on notice and come back. I don't know exactly with the figures in front of me. I'll also say that the Pilbara Minerals project is still proceeding. Ms Walker? Ms Walker: I was just going to clarify that the numbers in the opening statement do not include Pilbara Minerals. Senator WATT: Only the ones in the minister's press releases to the public. Ms Walker: I'd need to look at that.	Spoken	126	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-42	Hanson, Pauline	National Offshore Petroleum List of vessels under warrant	Senator HANSON: And that warrant was to cover what ships? Mr O'Keefe: It was to cover a number of ships that we wanted to look at in relation to overall compliance relative to what work Lloyd's had been doing in terms of certification. Senator HANSON: Can you give me the names of those ships. Mr O'Keefe: I will take the full list on notice. Sorry, I haven't got them here. We call them facilities, not ships. I can take on notice—Senator HANSON: The oilers or whatever you want to call them. So you will take on notice? CHAIR: Have you taken it on notice? Mr O'Keefe: I will take the list on notice. There was a series of vessels we looked at. Senator HANSON: Can you provide the warrant that was issued? Mr O'Keefe: We'll provide the warrant.	Spoken	128-129	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-43	Hanson, Pauline	National Offshore Petroleum Northern Endeavour inspection	Senator HANSON: So the raid happened, and that was in May. During that time, the Northern Endeavour self-reported an incident that occurred around 30 June 2019, which involved the finding of a four-kilo object on the deck. No-one had seen the object fall and there were no marks and no damage to the deck. But it was after that, on 10 July, that a certificate was issued from NOPSEMA for a prohibition notice. Are you aware of that? Mr O'Keefe: Yes, I am, Senator. Senator HANSON: Just explain: what is a prohibition notice compared to an improvement notice? Mr O'Keefe: In simple terms, a prohibition notice requires a particular activity to be suspended at that particular time. An improvement notice typically identifies a risk that can be addressed over a period of time. Senator HANSON: Because they self-reported this four-kilo object falling down, you have given them a prohibition notice. On what grounds? Mr O'Keefe: The grounds were that there was severe corrosion on the facility. An object was found reported on the deck there. That related to us carrying out an inspection, where we determined there was excessive corrosion in a number of places there. We required the facility to be made safe until the work could be addressed. Senator HANSON: So you personally carried out an inspection on the ship? Mr O'Keefe: I didn't personally carry out an inspection. My officers—Senator HANSON: But you will say that someone went out and personally carried out an inspection? Mr O'Keefe: I'll have to take that on notice as to precisely what occurred and when.	Spoken	129	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan

SI-44	Patrick, Rex	Resources	Copy of prohibition notice	<p>Senator PATRICK: I do want to ask a couple of questions here. Firstly, to the minister: can you confirm—and no doubt you'll have to do this on notice—that one of your officers, Ms Schofield, passed to a Timorese official a copy of the prohibition notice? If that occurred, why did she choose to do that? Senator Canavan: I'll have to take it on notice, but I would just put it in the context that, as Mr Smith has indicated, prohibition notices are published on NOPSEMA's website. Senator PATRICK: I understand that, and of course people can access that, but I wonder why an Australian official, if indeed it occurred— Senator Canavan: I'm taking it on notice. I'm not confirming or denying it. I don't have knowledge of it. I'll take it on notice.</p>	Spoken	130-131	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-45	Hanson, Pauline	National Offshore Petroleum	Details of inspections	<p>Senator PATRICK: The dominant finding in here was that you weren't satisfied with the way in which Lloyds had carried out the certification. That's a summary of the finding. So my question goes to all of the other vessels that have been certified—so Montara Venture, Prelude and Pyrenees Venture. Can you provide the committee with details of inspections that you have carried out on those vessels since the warrant was executed and the details of any actions that have been taken? I'd like to know the type of inspections that have been taken, how many people went on board, the dates and the outcomes of those other inspections for each of the other vessels that that were of concern in respect of certification. Mr O'Keefe: Senator, I can respond to the thing about the report that you referred to there. We identified that the processes and procedures that Lloyds had in place were not necessarily followed in the course of their inspections. There was a gap there. We don't know what the consequence of that is, so we've now gone back to the operators of the vessel and said: 'There is a gap in that. You're using it as a means of demonstrating that risks have been managed. What are you now doing about the gap in that space?' We've given a reasonable period of time in which to respond to that. Senator PATRICK: Are you talking about Lloyds? Mr O'Keefe: We've gone to Lloyds and to NOGA, the owners of the Northern Endeavour vessel. Senator PATRICK: No, I'm interested in what you've done in respect of the other vessels. Mr O'Keefe: On the others, the inspections are still open. Senator PATRICK: I'm happy for you to take them on notice. CHAIR: Perhaps, in the interests of time, that question can be taken on notice. Mr O'Keefe: We'll take it on notice.</p>	Spoken	131	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-46	Hanson, Pauline	Resources	Shutdown responsibility for liquidated companies	<p>Senator PATRICK: Minister, when we were talking with NOPTA before, I asked a question about what happens in the circumstances where the company is liquidated. Who becomes responsible for the shutdown of the operation? Are you prepared to answer that now? Senator Cormann: I'll ask officials to say what they can. As I mentioned earlier, we've been briefed on the potential options that would be available, but, Mr Smith, is there anything you want to add? Mr Smith: Our interest is in ensuring that safety and the environment is protected. Actions have been taken, such that the facility is now in a state where the key risks have been addressed for it to exist without it producing. Things like the wells have been shut down— Senator PATRICK: No. The burden of my question is not about that. What happens if they go into liquidation, so the asset has to be sold off or the company is not able to operate? How do you deal with the shutdown of the company and the safe shutdown of the facility? Senator HANSON: Who's going to cap it? Who's going to clean up the environment? Mr Smith: So, who will be the title holder? Senator PATRICK: No. How will you—who will be the title— Mr Smith: We're in a position where we can take action against the title holder or an operator. Senator PATRICK: Even if they're in liquidation? Even if they're bankrupt? Mr Smith: If they're the title holder or operator— Senator PATRICK: The taxpayer now bears the cost. That's ultimately what you're saying is going to happen? Senator HANSON: It's going to cost about \$100 million to cap that, if you take it over. So instead of issuing him— Mr Smith: We won't be taking anything over. That's not our role. Senator HANSON: Are you going to sell the licence once it gets in your hands? Do you want to sell it to someone else? Senator PATRICK: Perhaps take that on notice. Senator Canavan: I'll take that on notice. Senator PATRICK: A detailed description. Senator Canavan: I've been briefed on it. I've discussed the matter with the broader industry as well. Senator PATRICK: So you're anticipating it. Senator Canavan: If it happened, in this regard, we'd obviously seek to involve the broader industry to make sure we protect the natural environment and keep the safety of anyone working on it paramount. Senator PATRICK: Did those discussions include discussions with Woodside? Senator Canavan: I'm not going to go into detail, but I'll take it on notice and provide what I can. Senator PATRICK: Thank you.</p>	Spoken	132-133	24/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-47	Gallacher, Alex	Industry Growth	Commonwealth role in cladding policy	<p>1. In response to the cladding and construction crisis affecting the Australian economy and public safety, the government places all responsibility on to the states and territories. Can the Minister explain how the issue of professional indemnity insurance, the importation of non-compliant building materials, and the issue of phoenixing are not federal responsibilities? 2. The CEO of Stockland Mark Steinert was quoted as saying "The idea that someone can set up a shelf company, do a development and fold it and not be there to stand by their product is unacceptable". This has overlap with phoenixing policy and the type of people who use non-conforming building products. Why is the Government delaying a vote on their own anti-phoenixing bill, and will vote against their own legislation to introduce a Director Identification Number? 3. Has the Industry Department received, or is aware of, any legal advice relating to the class actions underway where the applicability of federal Australian Consumer Law provisions to the sale and use of flammable cladding on apartment buildings is underway? a. If so, what was the general nature of that advice and can it be shared with the Committee? b. If not, why was no information sought on this precedent-setting case? 4. In his response to the Shadow Minister for Industry's letter, the Prime Minister refused to answer a similar question. This test case on federal Australian Consumer Law and flammable cladding is being run in his electorate. Is the Industry Department or repping Minister aware of any advice the Prime Minister has received on the applicability of this federal law to flammable cladding in his own electorate? 5. Which state or territory has responsibility for National Capital Authority Land? 6. The Industry Minister has repeatedly made the claim that residential properties. Has the federal government sought advice and/or an audit of buildings in the National Capital Authority lands? 7. What state or territory is responsible for ensuring safe building materials are used on the proposed 'Blocks 4 and 5 Section 38 Campbell' – a plan that includes 112 apartments in two apartment buildings – which is currently in consultation phase by the National Capital Authority?</p>	Written		30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-48	Gallacher, Alex	Corporate	Departmental staffing	<p>1. What is the current level of ASL staffing at the Department? 2. How has that changed over the past 5 years? 3. What is the current level of contractors working at the Department? How many are there in the Department today working on innovation related policy or administration. 4. How has that changed over the past 5 years? Are there more or less today than then? 5. How much has the Department spent on external consultants (e.g. KPMG, McKinsey) over the last financial year? 6. How much has the Department spent on external consultants (e.g. KPMG, McKinsey) over the past 5 years?</p>	Written		30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-49	Gallacher, Alex	Industry Growth	Dealership code	<p>1. In the 2018-19 MYEFO, the Government committed to a mandatory code to establish regulatory rules between car manufacturers and dealerships. In August, the Government only committed to exploring options, but now appears to be backing a code. When exactly did Cabinet agree to a mandatory code of conduct for auto dealers? 2. Will the mandatory code be an independent code, or will it be a schedule to the existing Franchise Code? 3. Who is the responsible minister for the Code? 4. What is the respective involvement of Treasury, the Industry Department, and the Small Business Department? 5. In the Regulatory Impact Statement sent to Industry for consultation, why did the Government rule out stock buybacks and minimum terms? 6. Can you confirm that the code will not include these options? 7. What is the timeline for implementation?</p>	Written		30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-50	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	Extractives Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)	<p>1. What was the cost of the 2019 KPMG EITI Gap Analysis report? 2. When will the KPMG EITI Gap Analysis report be considered by the MSG? 3. When will the Government respond to the KPMG EITI Gap Analysis report? 4. When is the EITI multi-stakeholder group (MSG) due to meet next? 5. Is there a forward program for the MSG? a. If so please provide it on notice. b. Please provide a full list of all the members of the multi-stakeholder group (MSG) since October 2013. This list should include at a minimum: a. The person's name, b. The organisation represented, c. The date of the members appointment, and d. The numbers of meetings attended and the numbers of meetings missed. e. If they are no longer a member of the MSG please provide an end date. 7. Please provide dates of every meeting of the MSG since October 2013. 8. Please provide a list of any outstanding action items from the minutes since October 2013.</p>	Written		30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-51	Gallacher, Alex	AUSI - Industry Capability an	Centre for Defence Industry Capability Grants Program	<p>1. Refer to your program of administering Capability Improvement Grants and I ask: a) What is the time business are told it will take to have a decision on their grant application? b) What is the average time it takes for these grant decisions to be made? c) On this average, what is the spent time waiting for information from businesses, as compared with the average time with the Department? d) What is the longest an applicant has had to wait for this to be made? e) Why did this take so long?</p>	Written		30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-52	Gallacher, Alex	AUSI - Industry Capability an	Centre for Defence Industry Capability advisory services	<p>1. How many business advisors does the CDIC employ? 2. What is the spread of CDIC advisers in a per state basis. I refer to the 2017-18 Defence Industry and Innovation Programs Annual Report which states: "The Government is committed to maximising the opportunities for Australian businesses—particularly innovative SMEs—in the defence market." 3. How many small businesses have been advised on a one to one basis? 4. If these, a) how many chose not to pursue a defence contract by state? b) how many chose to pursue a defence contract by state? c) how many were successful by state? 5. In general, what are the reasons for SME's not to engage in Defence Industry contracts?</p>	Written		30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-53	Gallacher, Alex	Australian Space Agency	Hypersonic travel	<p>1. Minister Andrews appeared on the Sunrise program discussing hypersonic flights between London and Australia following a collaboration agreement between the respective space agencies. What role do the space agencies' play in the issue of hypersonic travel? 2. What is the 'space bridge' referred to as part of that agreement? 3. The Sunrise clip suggested hypersonic travel would be possible by 2030. What is the Departmental view of when hypersonic travel is likely to be available?</p>	Written		30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-54	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno Cyber skills	1. According to the Department of Industry, Innovation and Science, the Australian cyber security industry has the potential to almost triple in size over the next decade. What is the plan to address this skills shortfall in cybersecurity and meet the future labour force needs of this sector? 2. How much investment does the Australian Government put into Artificial Intelligence vocational training and skills development at present? 3. How many vocational or higher education institutions offer AI based learning and courses? 4. The Australian Information Security Association has found that 51% of employers surveyed were reluctant to recruit and train entry level candidates for cybersecurity roles. (Source: The Australian, 9 October 2019) 5. Is there a problem in Australia with the level of skills being produced in graduates from cybersecurity programs? 6. What is the Government doing to ensure the skill levels being produced in cyber graduates are of a high enough standard to meet the needs of business? 7. How many staff at the Department are working on initiatives to improve cyber skills in the Australian workforce? 8. Has the Department briefed the Minister on this issue? When was that information provided to the Minister? What does the Minister intend to do with this information?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-55	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	Spending overview for Geoscience Australia I refer to the Industry, Innovation and Science Portfolio Budget Statements 2019-20 with the forward estimates for Geoscience Australia, can you please give an overview of the spending for Geoscience Australia?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-56	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	Collaboration with state counterparts 1. How does Geoscience Australia work with their state Counterparts, to help the Exploration sector? 2. How do Geoscience Australia and State bodies determine what each other does? 3. How has the National Mineral Exploration Strategy, endorsed by the Council of Australian Governments Energy Council in 2017, changed the way Geoscience Australia collaborates with state Counterparts?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-57	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	National Water Infrastructure Package I refer to the Budget document with the Departmental expenses for the National Water Infrastructure Package increasing from \$1 million in 2019-20 to \$2.9 million in 2020-21, can you please outline Geoscience Australia's role?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-58	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	Exploring for the Future program Action 2 of the National Resources Statement talks about opening up new industries and resources regions. Specifically, the first dot point states: "investigating expanding the scope of Geoscience Australia's Exploring for the Future program and extending it for four years" 1. Has this expansion been budgeted for? 2. How successful has the program been so far?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-59	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	Seismic data integration The 2017-18 Annual Report states that Geoscience Australia has signed agreements with two seismic companies for access to commercial in-confidence seismic data for prospectivity studies of offshore petroleum resources in northwest Australia. Has this data integration taken place?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-60	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	China Australia Geological Storage project I refer to the 2017-18 Annual Report which states that delivery of the final report for the China Australia Geological Storage of CO2 Project has been extended to December 2018. 1. Has this report been delivered? 2. How successful was the CAGS Project?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-61	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	Promotional events The 2017-18 Annual Report refers to Geoscience Australia's role delivering "investment promotions at key international resource seminars and trade conventions". The Annual Report refers to events in China, Korea, Japan and India in 2017 and Canada in 2018. 1. Have there been any further promotional events since those referred to in the 2017-18 Annual Report? 2. What role do these promotional events play in driving investment in Australia?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-62	Gallacher, Alex	Geoscience Australia	MoU with United States Geological Survey The National Resources Statement commits to the finalisation of a memorandum of understanding between Geoscience Australia and the United States Geological Survey on developing critical minerals. Has this been finalised?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-63	Gallacher, Alex	Australian Nuclear Science & Number of incidents	1. Can ANSTO please provide data on the number of incidents and accidents since 2013-14 by: a. Date b. Location (e.g. building number) c. Type of incident d. Outcome 2. Has there been a survey of staff attitudes towards Building 23 and its repeated issues? When was it conducted, and can the results be shared with the committee? 3. How many times has ANSTO been found in breach by ARPANSA since 2013-14? In the most recent year, how many breaches have there been?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-64	Gallacher, Alex	Australian Nuclear Science & ANSTO allocated funding	The last budget allocated an extra \$56 million for ANSTO. How is this funding being used?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-65	Gallacher, Alex	Australian Nuclear Science & DraxImage	1. Was ANSTO aware that their application for a Section 19A waiver for the Canadian (DraxImage) lung perfusion imaging agent ("MAA") would displace the incumbent agent that was being supplied to Australian Nuclear Medicine practices? 2. If so, did ANSTO carry out any prior studies on the potential impact that this might have on Australian practices, given the large price differential between the two products? If so, what were the assumptions that they used in their modelling? 3. Did ANSTO consult with the Nuclear Medicine community prior to applying to import the DraxImage product? 4. Given that the price of the product that they are importing is double that of the previous compound, have they sought ways to mitigate this dramatic price increase so that the vital diagnostic imaging test can still be made available, esp. in regional and rural practices where volumes on any one day for the procedure may be low? 5. Can ANSTO continue to provide the existing MAA from Hungary in the interim so that patients aren't missing out on these vital scans?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-66	Gallacher, Alex	Commonwealth Scientific ar	CSIRO ASL Cap 1. Refer to the attached CSIRO document on ASL Caps. Was this document based on any guidance provided by the Department of Finance? If so, a. What was this guidance? b. Was any of this guidance in writing? c. Can you table this guidance for the Committee? 2. At any point, has the CSIRO sought an exemption from the ASL offset rule to allow the agency to employ additional staff to perform externally-funded or internally-funded work? a. If no, why not? b. If yes: i. Did any of these requests relate to ASL needed for work which was partly or fully funded by external sources? ii. What was the nature of each of these exemption requests and what process was followed? iii. What was the outcome of each request?	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-67	Gallacher, Alex	Commonwealth Scientific ar	Staff cap impact on science 1. What is the ASL cap imposed on the CSIRO? (The cap is 5,193) 2. Can you confirm that 170 new positions (in a total of about 250 vacancies) are now on hold? If that figure is not correct, what is the number? 3. Refer to the report in the Canberra Times on the 22nd October (Appendix 3) where it is claimed 1500 jobs are under review due to the government staffing level cap. You have rejected the figure as "incorrect and pure speculation." I will give you a chance to end the speculation and let us know how many jobs are under review? 4. How many people working for the CSIRO presently have been required to get ABNs in order to perform work for the CSIRO? How many people are contracted through an ABN in total, rather than as staff? 5. How many people working for the CSIRO are on fixed-term contracts? What is the average length of those contracts? 6. How much private investment has been turned away due to capacity constraints due to the staffing cap? 7. Do we estimate has the impact of the staffing cap costing \$15 million of private investment as having a \$180 million hit to GDP – a multiplier of 12. What economic multiplier does the CSIRO/Department have for the impact of cuts, lost co-investment, or staffing caps? 8. Can you confirm the last CSIRO staff survey occurred prior to the imposition of the staffing caps? 9. When will the next CSIRO staff survey occur? 10. Section 32 (Staff) of the Science and Industry Research (CSIRO) Act states that the 'The Chief Executive may appoint such persons to be officers of the Organisation as the Chief Executive determines are necessary for the purposes of this Act' and 'The terms and conditions of service of officers appointed under this section are such as are determined by the Chief Executive.' Doesn't this give clear legal powers to the Chief Executive to appoint the staff needed to operate CSIRO appropriately and to determine the terms and conditions of staff that are appointed – i.e. to ignore the staffing cap? 11. Was the CSIRO executive sought legal advice on this matter? If not, why not? 12. Was the CSIRO executive considered not applying the staffing cap to the non-Government revenue component of CSIRO's operation? Why would a staffing cap need to apply to those operations? 13. Does the CSIRO executive believe applying the ASL cap to non-Government funded work, which currently comprises over a quarter of CSIRO's operation, may be in breach of the obligations that all CSIRO Board and Executive members have to CSIRO's Act and mission? Why not? 14. Was the CSIRO executive sought legal advice that outsourcing work may be in breach of several provisions of the CSIRO Enterprise Agreement 2017-2020, namely Clauses 6, 8, and 10? Will the executive allow this matter to be handled by the Fair Work Commission? 15. Is the CSIRO bound by the APS bargaining code? 16. How did it come about that the CSIRO now applies the APS bargaining code? Was it a suggestion or a directive? 17. Have you received any further advice or directive on applying the bargaining code since Senator McDonald provided advice in 2014? 18. What steps have you taken as Chief Executive to get out from under the ASL cap and the APS bargaining code? Have you met with either Finance or the Department to explore what options are available to the CSIRO to not be bound by the cap and the code? 19. What was the result of the staff survey on the upcoming Enterprise Agreement that closed recently? 20. Please provide a table outlining ASL and headcount by financial year from 2013-14 onwards. Please provide this for the: total organisation by functional area and by site 21. Please provide the total number of indefinite staff, specified term staff and casual staff (headcount) by financial year from 2013-14 onwards. Please provide this for the: total organisation and by functional area 22. Please provide the total number of external contractor staff and the total number of labour hire staff engaged by CSIRO by financial year from 2013-14 onwards 23. Please provide the total number of students and the total number of affiliates (including visiting scientists) by financial year from 2013-14 onwards	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-68	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	Australian Domestic Gas Security Mechanism	<p>1. I refer to Minister Canavan's media release of July 1, 2017: "the ADGSM would allow the Government to intervene, if necessary, to ensure adequate gas is available to Australian homes and businesses". The Government has declined to place export licences on Australian LNG operations since 2017. To what extent does this mean there is an adequate domestic gas supply in Australia? 2. Despite the ADGSM beginning in July 2017, the Government signed a Heads of Agreement with the Queensland LNG producers in October 2107, and then a second Heads of Agreement with the LNG industry in September 2018. Why did the Government need direct supply agreements with the LNG exporters if the Gas Security Mechanism was in place? 3. The Government's media release of August 6, 2019 – from the Treasurer and Minister Taylor – stated the ADGSM was being reviewed one year earlier than planned. The media release claimed that since the ADGSM began in early 2017, "the spot price of gas in eastern Australia has fallen by more than 25 per cent, and; gas contract offers have fallen by up to 50 per cent How much have gas prices fallen for households and business owners during the operation of the ADGSM? 4. The pre-emptive review of the Domestic Gas Security Mechanism will investigate the ongoing appropriateness of the ADGSM's Total Market Security Obligation arrangements. Why is the Obligation being reviewed? 5. The early review of the ADGSM intends to clarify the net-back price series for gas, as used by the ACCC, and how it could contribute to government gas policy. Could you explain which gas price data it has been using to inform its policies, if not the net-back price? 6. The ADGSM review was supposed to be completed by the Department of Industry, Innovation and Science by the end of September. It is now late October – what has delayed the ADGSM review? 7. The Guardian Australia in 2017 quoted Minister Canavan as saying that gas reservation wouldn't work. You said, "The basic idea is you keep more of your resources here to boost domestic supplies and lower the price potentially – but that doesn't work in any other market." Does gas reservation work in the Western Australia market? 8. The review of the ADGSM is specifically looking at: "Australia's investment reputation and Australia's international reputation for quality and reliability." Could you tell us how many foreign investors in the Australian LNG industry have expressed concern that the ADGSM represents an increase in Australian sovereign risk? 9. I quote from Minister Canavan's media release of July 1, 2017: "Securing our domestic gas supply should put downward pressure on the price paid by Australians". Yet in March 2019, ACCC Chairman Rod Sims told a gas conference that: "the current wholesale gas prices remain two to three times higher than historical prices." What has happened to East Coast gas prices since the introduction of the ADGSM in 2017? 10. Has the ADGSM achieved its stated goals, in regards to supply and price? 11. What regulation or investment could you initiate to increase competition and transparency among gas pipeline owners?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-69	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	National gas reservation	<p>1. The Government's media release of August 6, 2019 says the Government will consider a prospective national gas reservation scheme. How difficult would it be for the Federal Government to impose a national gas reservation policy when resources are state matters? 2. What actions has the federal Government undertaken to encourage development of mines and gas fields, when their approval is a state matter? 3. Minister, what are you doing to facilitate onshore gas production in New South Wales, for example, at Narrabri?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-70	Gallacher, Alex	Minister Canavan	Environmental activists	<p>Minister your attitude to environmental activists is well-known – I refer to an opinion piece you wrote for The Australian newspaper in February of this year where you call activists the "New Colonialists". 1. How have you used your position to challenge the ability of activist groups to spread misinformation and raise money from those efforts? 2. Have you investigated the charitable taxation status of activist organisations that raise funds with the aim of stopping resources development?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-71	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	National Resources Statement	<p>1. I would like to quote from the National Resources that stated: "A key aspect is the reinvested resources agenda for the COAG Energy Council." I note that there hasn't been a COAG Energy Ministers Meeting this year, and this is a key component of the Resources Statement to be able to meet its obligations, what is on the agenda for the meeting later on this year? 2. Why has it taken so long to organise a meeting when there is so much to do in this space? 3. I refer to the National Resources Statement Action 1 that states: "Working through the COAG Energy Council to establish a framework for the regular benchmarking of policies and regulation which will assess current settings and highlight best-practice across Australia and internationally. Jurisdictions will work together to decide the best way to approach the framework's development, including the coordination of state, territory and federal regulation of the development of resources. This will support continuous improvement in our national competitiveness." Is this on the Agenda for the 22 November 2019 meeting? 4. If not, why not? 5. Which specific processes will you look to improve to allow for faster applications for mineral companies? 6. Are there any state Government regulations that are just duplicates that could be removed? Can you give me an example? 7. What is the average time it takes to complete a Greenfields extraction application from start to finish on a State by State basis. 8. I refer to the National Resources Statement Action 2 that states: "Developing a national strategy through the COAG Energy Council to harness the emerging opportunities the critical minerals sector offers including opportunities to develop and grow downstream industries." Can you advise how this strategy is progressing? 9. I refer to the National Resources Statement Action 3 that states: "Working through the COAG Energy Council to engage with industry and the research community to identify options to better leverage long-term cross-sectoral research and development to drive whole-of-sector benefits and meet the future economic challenges faced by the sector. This would focus on addressing long-term and cross-sectoral gaps in R&D efforts, rather than duplicate or replace current R&D funding efforts." How much of the R&D funding comes from the States, compared with Federal funding? 10. Is there any opportunity for greater collaboration between the State and Federal Governments on R&D in the resources sector? 11. How has the uptake been from the University Sector? 12. I refer to the National Resources Statement Action 3 on better and more accessible information that states: "The government will better use and manage information by: Engaging with states, territories and academia through the COAG Energy Council to develop a Resources Data Strategy. This strategy will provide a new approach to how data can be collected, integrated and used, particularly for environmental, heritage and geoscience datasets. This will enable governments, industry, research organisations and communities to make better informed decisions on resources development." Will this strategy be endorsed at the upcoming COAG Energy Council?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-72	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	National Hydrogen Strategy issues papers	<p>1. National Hydrogen Strategy Issues Papers a) Note responses for the 9 issues papers were open for only 28 days between 1 July 2019 until 28 July 2019, do you consider this sufficient time for industry and the general public to respond to such a large amount of information? b) There are 61 public submissions available on the website, how many submissions were marked as private or not for publishing? 2. Timing of report release a) What date is the National Hydrogen Strategy due to be released? b) Will your Government meet this deadline?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-73	Gallacher, Alex	Resources	Critical minerals	<p>I refer to an article in the Australian Newspaper on 13 October 2019 that talks about the need for a growing relationship with the United States around critical minerals. "Australia and the US will pursue a joint strategy on rare earths and other mineral deposits, which are vital to national security, in a bid to open a concentrated market that's dominated by China." 1. How much are you planning on the US Government investing in Australia? 2. What is your target? 3. What will the investment be into? 4. Is this funding US Government investment of private sector funding? 5. Is there any new money or just existing investments? 6. Why have you taken this road? 7. How many jobs do you expect as a result of this improving relationship? 8. Do you see any down stream or manufacturing opportunities for Australia in the Critical Minerals space? 9. How do you plan on combating the slow in investment due to global prices of lithium sliding in recent months?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-74	Gallacher, Alex	Minister Canavan	Minister visit to WA	<p>1. Given the importance of WA to the Australian resources sector with over 50% of the investment of the industry coming from WA alone, how many times has the Minister visited the State since being re-elected in May? 2. Can the Minister outline which Resources Sites the Minister has visited in WA since becoming the Minister and on what dates?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-75	Gallacher, Alex	National Offshore Petroleum	NOPSEMA	<p>1. I refer to the Industry, Innovation and Science Portfolio Budget Statements 2019-20 with the forward estimates for NOPSEMA. Can you please give an overview of the spending for NOPSEMA, and spending trends? 2. NOPSEMA's budgeted expenses from 2019-20 is \$37.2 million in every year across the forward estimates to 2023, which is a reduction from \$39.9 million in 2018-19. Yet between 2019 and 2020 – NOPSEMA's average staffing level is increasing from 112 to 135 people. How do you reduce expenses while increasing staffing levels? 3. NOPSEMA has been given expanded responsibility for Greenhouse Gas Storage activities under the recently-passed amendments to the relevant legislation. What new resources will NOPSEMA have to deploy to fulfil its obligations? 4. The Authority's CEO Stuart Smith wrote in the NOPSEMA magazine, 'The Regulator' – in its Second Issue of 2019 – that when the Equinor Great Australian Bight drilling approval process was opened to public comment early in 2019, they received 30,000 submissions. A significant number of submissions included abusive commentary. In the subsequent issue of 'The Regulator' Issue 3, NOPSEMA listed irrelevant submissions such as petitions, objections to oil and gas development, SPAM mail and personal threats and profanity. Is it an efficient use of NOPSEMA's expert resources to have it exposed to what might be political campaigns and activist abuse? 5. How much investment has NOPSEMA made in educating the public about what it does and the importance of its role? 6. Has the leadership of NOPSEMA considered being more proactive in explaining key terms such as ALARP? 7. Is a more educated public likely to better-understand the science behind offshore activities such as seismic surveys?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-76	McDonald, Susan	Industry Growth	Just Transition Summit	<p>1. Did any officers from the Department of Industry, Innovation and Science attend the Just Transition Summit in New Plymouth, New Zealand on 9-10 May 2019? 2. If the answer to question one was yes: a) How many officers attended and what were their classifications? b) What were the total costs (flights, accommodation etc.)? c) For what purpose did the officers attend the event?</p>	Written	30/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-77	Rice, Janet	AUSI - Industry Capability and Cooperative Research Centres		<p>When will funding decision for the Bushfire and Natural Hazards Cooperative Research Centre be considered, and by whom?</p>	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-78	Rice, Janet	Corporate	Australian Government Guidelines on the Recognition of Sex and Gender	Please provide an update to QoN BI-2. In particular, is the Department compliant with the Australian Government Guidelines on the Recognition of Sex and Gender?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-79	Pratt, Louise	Australian Building Codes Board	Photoluminescent Signage	1. Why are photoluminescent (i.e. glow in the dark) exit signs that provide only 1/250th the level of luminance compared with an electric powered exit sign regarded as having equivalent safety levels by the National Construction Code? 2. Can the department explain the absence of testing and maintenance provisions for photoluminescent exit signs in the ABCB's National Construction code? 3. Given the absence of an Australian Standard to verify the safety, performance and quality of photoluminescent exit signs and installations, how have photoluminescent exit signs and installations been verified and monitored since their introduction in the 2014 National Construction Code? 4. Can the Department and the Australian Building Codes Board guarantee to building occupants that photoluminescent exit signs provide at least equivalent safety to occupants within smoke filled environments compared with electric exit signs?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-80	Pratt, Louise	AUSI - Support for Business	Advanced Manufacturing Growth Fund	1. How much of the \$47.5m Advanced Manufacturing Growth Fund has been expended? 2. How many businesses were awarded grants under the program? 3. How many of these businesses were in Victoria? 4. How many were based in South Australia? 5. What assessments have been done to the effectiveness of the program so far? 6. Was expected job creation used as a consideration in approving grants? 7. Has there been an increase in manufacturing jobs as a result of the fund so far?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-81	Pratt, Louise	AUSI - Support for Business	Automotive Innovation Lab Access Grants	1. Was expected job creation used as a consideration in approving grants to businesses under the Automotive Innovation Lab Grants? 2. Given that this grant program is part of the Governments plan to create jobs, for each of the successful applicant, how many new jobs are expected to be created?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-82	Pratt, Louise	AUSI - Support for Business	Automotive Engineering Graduate Program	1. Was expected job creation used as a consideration in approving grants to businesses under the Automotive Engineering Graduate Program? 2. Given that this Grant program is part of the Governments "plan" to create jobs, for each of the successful applicant, how many new jobs are expected to be created?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-83	Stoker, Amanda	Commonwealth Scientific and Cultural Heritage	CSIRO funding for The Conversation	1. The Conversation website acknowledges in its latest annual report that it is funded by CSIRO, among other supporters. How much money does the CSIRO contribute towards the work of The Conversation? 2. In light of The Conversation's recent decision to ban all comments posted by those who question the science of climate change, can you comment on the appropriateness of providing taxpayer funds to a university based entity that does not operate in accordance with the principles of academic and intellectual freedom? a. If CSIRO does not endorse The Conversation's decision to act contrary to principles of academic and intellectual freedom, will it withdraw future funding for as long as this is their editorial approach? b. If the answer to 2(a) is no, why not?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-84	Patrick, Rex	Australian Building Codes Board	JAS-ANZ Codemark register	1. Are the JAS-ANZ and ABCB registers identical? If not, please provide details of why. 2. When was the last time the JAS-ANZ Codemark register audited by the ABCB and what were the results of the audit? 3. Is it lawful to advertise or trade a product as Codemark compliant in circumstances where certification has expired? 4. Is it lawfully possible to provide retrospective Codemark compliance in circumstances where certification has expired and a new certificate is obtained at some point in time after expiry? i.e. can a renewed certificate be used to fill a gap in compliance?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-85	Patrick, Rex	Geoscience Australia	Laminaria field change of maritime boundary	Does any resource of the Laminaria field that lied on the Australian seabed now lie in Timor-Leste as a result of the change of the maritime boundary?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Matthew Canavan
SI-86	Patrick, Rex	Resources	NOPTA visit to Timor-Leste	In relation to the NOPTA visit to Timor-Leste discussed at Estimates: 1. Which agencies in Timor-Leste did the NOPTA delegation meet with and on what dates did those meetings occur? 2. What was the purpose of each meeting? 3. Which government department funded the visit?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Matthew Canavan
SI-87	Patrick, Rex	National Offshore Petroleum	Warrant exercised on Lloyds	1. Please provide the Committee with a copy of the warrant exercised on Lloyds, as discussed at hearing. 2. Please provide a list of each vessel on the warrant and details of the following: a. Dates and purpose of any visits to each of the vessels since the Lloyds warrant was executed, and who from NOPSEMA attended? b. Notices issued since the Lloyds warrant was executed? 3. Which Departments has the Minister for Resources Office/NOPSEMA/NOPTA discussed Timor Sea Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd potential liquidation with? a. What was the date of each discussion? b. What was discussed? c. What was the outcome of those discussions? 4. Which commercial entities/organisations/associations has the Minister for Resources Office/NOPSEMA/NOPTA discussed Timor Sea Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd potential liquidation with? a. What was the date of each discussion? b. What was discussed? c. What was the outcome of those discussions?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Matthew Canavan
SI-88	Patrick, Rex	Australian Space Agency	International Space Investment program	In the 2019-20 Portfolio Budget Statement (table 2.1.3, under Sub-Program 2.3 - Economic Transition, page 41) there is a statement in relation to the International Space Investment program that reads, "To be developed following finalisation of program design and consultation with stakeholders noting that any investment in strategic international space projects will target a minimum of 80 per cent of the investment being made in Australia for the benefit of Australian space industry firms". 1. Has the Space Agency established a program and/or model for determining and measuring the 80%? 2. Can the Space Agency provide details of this program/model? 3. If the model has been implemented and utilised, could the details of this be provided? 4. If it hasn't been utilised as yet, is there an example that can be provided? 5. If a model hasn't been developed as yet, can the space agency advise how they intend to develop it and when will it be available by?	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-89	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Executive Management	In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-90	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Ministerial functions	In relation to any functions or official receptions hosted by Ministers or Assistant Ministers in the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-91	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Departmental functions	In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-92	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Executive office upgrades	Have any furniture, fixtures or fittings of the Secretary's office, or the offices of any Deputy Secretaries been upgraded since 1 July 2018. If so, can an itemised list of costs please be provided (GST inclusive).	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-93	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Facilities upgrades	1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-94	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Staff travel	What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-95	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Legal costs	What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-96	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Secretarial travel	1. Can an itemised list of the costs of all domestic and international travel undertaken by the Secretary of the Department since 1 July 2018 be provided including: a. Flights for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials, and identify the airline and class of travel. b. Ground transport for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials. c. Accommodation for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials, and identify the hotels the party stayed at and the room category in which the party stayed. d. Meals and other incidentals for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials. Any available menus, receipts for meals at restaurants and the like should also be provided. e. Any available photographs documenting the Secretary's travel should also be provided.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews

				<p>1. Please list the number of Freedom of Information Act requests ('FOI requests') received by the Department for the following years: a. 2013-14; b. 2014-15; c. 2015-16; d. 2016-17; e. 2018-19; and f. 2019-20 to date. 2. For each year above, please provide: a. The number of FOI requests the Department granted in full; b. The number of FOI requests the Department granted in part; c. The number of FOI requests the Department refused in full; and d. The number of FOI requests the Department refused for practical reasons under the Freedom of Information Act. 3. For each year above, please also provide: a. The number of times the Department failed to make any decision on a FOI request within the 30 day statutory period; and b. The number of times a request to the Department resulted in a practical refusal (i.e. no decision was made on the request). 4. For each year above, please also provide: a. The number of times the Department's FOI decisions have been appealed to the OAI; and b. The number of times has the OAI overturned – in whole or in part – the Department's decision to refuse access to material. 5. Please provide the staffing (both ASL and headcount) of staff at the Department who work exclusively on FOI requests, broken down by APS level (e.g. three EL1s, four APS6s, one SES) for each of the following years: a. 2013-14; b. 2014-15; c. 2015-16; d. 2016-17; e. 2018-19; and f. 2019-20 to date. 6. For each of the years above, please also list the number of officers who are designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Department. 7. In the past 12 months, has the Department seconded additional resources to processing Freedom of Information requests? If so, please detail those resources by APS level. 8. Please provide the number of officers who are currently designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Minister's office. 9. Please provide the number of FOI requests currently under consideration by the Department. Please also provide the number of these requests that are currently overdue in response. 10. Does the department consult or inform the Minister when it receives Freedom of Information requests? If so: a. How many times has this occurred in the past twelve months; and b. Please outline the process by which the Department consults the Minister. 11. Has the Department consulted or informed another Department or agency about any FOI request in the past twelve months. If so, please provide the legal basis on which that consultation occurred (e.g. third party consultation, transfer of request).</p>			
SI-97	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Freedom of Information requests		Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-98	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-99	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Acting Minister arrangements	1. Can the Department provide all leave periods of the portfolio Minister from 24 August 2018 to date. 2. Can the Department further provide acting Minister arrangements for each leave period.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews , Matthew Canavan
SI-100	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/Agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-101	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-102	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Advertising and information campaigns	1. What was the Department/agency's total expenditure on advertising and information campaigns for FY 2018-19 and for the current financial year to date. 2. What advertising and information campaigns did the Department/agency run in each relevant period. For each campaign, please provide: a. When approval was first sought. b. The date of approval, including whether the advertising went through the Independent Campaign Committee process. c. The timeline for each campaign, including any variation to the original proposed timeline. 3. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all advertising and information campaign contracts in each period be provided.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-103	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Promotional merchandise	1. What was the Department/agency's total expenditure on promotional merchandise for FY 2018-19. 2. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all promotional merchandise contracts in that period please be provided. 3. Can photographs or samples of relevant promotional merchandise please be provided.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-104	Kitching, Kimberley	Strategic Policy	Ministerial overseas travel	1. Can an itemised list of the costs met by the department or agency for all international travel undertaken by Ministers or Assistant Ministers in the portfolio since 1 July 2018 please be provided including: a. Flights for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials, together with the airline and class of travel. b. Ground transport for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials. c. Accommodation for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials, and identify the hotels the party stayed at and the room category in which the party stayed. d. Meals and other incidentals for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials. Any available menus, receipts for meals at restaurants and the like should also be provided. e. Any available photographs documenting the Minister's travel should also be provided.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-105	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Social media influencers	1. What was the Department/agency's total expenditure on social media influencers for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date. 2. What advertising or information campaigns did the Department/agency use social media influencers to promote. 3. Can a copy of all relevant social media influencer posts please be provided. 4. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all relevant social media influencer contracts please be provided.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-107	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Commissioned Reports and Reviews	1. Since 24 August 2018, how many Reports or Reviews have been commissioned. Please provide details of each report including: a. Date commissioned. b. Date report handed to Government. c. Date of public release. d. Terms of Reference. e. Committee members and/or Reviewers. 2. How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost. 3. The background and credentials of the Review personnel. 4. The remuneration arrangements applicable to the Review personnel, including fees, disbursements and travel 5. The cost of any travel attached to the conduct of the Review. 6. How many departmental staff were involved in each report and at what level. 7. What is the current status of each report. When is the Government intending to respond to each report if it has not already done so.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-108	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Board Appointments	1. Provide an update of portfolio boards, including board title, terms of appointment, tenure of appointment and members. 2. What is the gender ratio on each board and across the portfolio 3. Please detail any board appointments made from 1 July 2018 to date. 4. What has been the total value of all Board Director fees and disbursements paid. 5. What is the value of all domestic travel by Board Directors. 6. What is the value of all international travel by Board Directors.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-109	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Appointments – briefs prepared	1. How many times has the Department prepared a brief for statutory authorities, executive agencies, advisory boards, government business enterprises or any other Commonwealth body which includes a reference to a former Liberal or National member of parliament at a state, territory or federal level. 2. For each brief prepared, can the Department advise: a. The former member. b. The board or entity. c. Whether the request originated from the Minister's office. d. Whether the appointment was made.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-110	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Stationery	How much has been spent on ministerial stationery requirements in FY 2019-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-111	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Media monitoring	1. What is the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the each Minister's office for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date. a. Which agency or agencies provided these services. b. Can an itemised list of Austender Contract notice numbers for any media monitoring contracts in each period please be provided c. What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year FY 2019-20. 2. What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date. a. Which agency or agencies provided these services. b. Can an itemised list of Austender Contract Notice numbers for any media monitoring contracts in each period please be provided c. What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year FY 2019-20.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-112	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Communications staff	For all departments and agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following: 1. By Department or agency: a. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location. b. How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location. c. How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location. d. How many are graphic designers. e. How many are media managers. f. How many organise events. 2. Do any departments/agencies have independent media studios. a. If yes, why. b. When was it established. c. What is the set up cost. d. What is the ongoing cost. e. How many staff work there and what are their classifications.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-113	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Departmental staff in Minister's office	1. Can the Department provide an update on the total number of departmental staff seconded to ministerial offices, including: a. Duration of secondment. b. APS level. 2. Can the Department provide an update on the total number of DLOs/CLOs for ministerial offices including APS level.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-114	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	CDDA Payments	1. How many claims have been received under the Compensation for Detriment caused by Defective Administration scheme (CDDA) by the Department for FY 2018-19? 2. How many claims were: a. Accepted. b. Rejected. c. Under consideration. 3. Of the accepted claims, can the Department provide: a. Details of the claim, subject to relevant privacy considerations b. The date payment was made c. The decision maker.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-115	Kitching, Kimberley	Strategic Policy	Congestion busting	1. Can the Department/agency advise how it is "congestion busting" in relation to bureaucratic bottlenecks and regulatory bottlenecks. 2. Have any additional resources been allocated within the Department to achieve "congestion busting" within the department.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-116	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Recruitment	1. What amount has been expended by the department/agency on external recruitment or executive search services in FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date. 2. Which services were utilised. Can an itemised list be provided.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-117	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Staffing and Redundancies	1. How many full-time equivalent staff are engaged at 21 October 2019. How does this differ from the figures presented in Budget Paper 4 in the 2019-20 Budget. 2. How many of these positions are (a) on-going and (b) non-on-going. 3. How many redundancies have occurred in FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date. How many were: a. Voluntary b. Involuntary. 4. How many of those redundancies occurred as a result of departmental restructuring. What is the total cost of those redundancies. 5. What was the total value in dollar terms of all termination payments paid to exiting staff. 6. How much overtime or equivalent has been paid to staff in FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date. 7. How many section 37 notices under the Public Service Act 1999 have been offered in FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews	
SI-118	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Comcare investigations	1. For FY 2018-19 and FY2019-20 to date, can the Department advise whether it has been the subject of any investigations involving Comcare. If yes, please provide details of the circumstances and the status. 2. Can the Department advise the number of sanctions it has received from Comcare in the FY2019-20 to date.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews	
SI-119	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Fair Work Commission	For FY 2018-19 and FY2019-20 to date, how many referrals have been made to the Fair Work Commission within the Department or agency.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews	
SI-120	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Fair Work Ombudsman	For FY 2018-19 and FY2019-20 to date, how many referrals have been made to the Fair Work Ombudsman within the Department or agency.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews	
SI-121	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Office of the Merit Protection Commissioner	For FY 2018-19 and FY2019-20 to date, how many referrals have been made to the Office of the Merit Protection Commissioner within the Department or agency.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews	
SI-122	Kitching, Kimberley	Corporate	Public Interest Disclosures	For FY 2018-19 and FY2019-20 to date, how many public interest disclosures have been received.	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews	
SI-123	Roberts, Malcolm	Commonwealth Scientific and Cultural Heritage	CSIRO presentation/transcript	Senator ROBERTS: Statistically there are many types of variation, including inherent, natural, seasonal and cyclical. These are present naturally within climate and, if considered only over short periods—for example, the cooling part of a natural climate cycle or the warming part of a natural climate cycle—appear to be a process changed, yet they are not a process changed; they're just cyclical. Could CSIRO, on notice, please provide the statistically significant variation that proves there has been a process change—that is, variation, that is beyond or outside natural, inherent, cyclical or seasonal variation—over the last 350 years? Dr Mayfield: If I can bring to your attention again the three meetings that we had in 2016 through 2017. There was a large amount of information that was presented and a number of transcripts taken. I've actually got them sitting here. We've covered that territory at that point in time. I'm very happy to table that documentation for your reference. Senator ROBERTS: I'm happy for you to table it. The point is the first meeting in September 2016, we asked for evidence that carbon dioxide from human activity is causing climate change. None was presented that established causal relationships. Dr Mayfield: The presentation— Senator ROBERTS: The second presentation—and CSIRO objected initially to recording that and eventually they said we could record it—CSIRO was asked to provide evidence over the last 10,000 years of earth's history that indicated something was unprecedented in current climate. CSIRO would not let us record that. We then had a—CHAIR: Senator Roberts, we are getting towards a discussion rather than Senate estimates. Senator ROBERTS: I'm just answering the question about that. Dr Mayfield said that he's already presented it, and I doubt that. CHAIR: I've given you a fair bit of latitude. I think we either need to get back to questions or move on. Senator ROBERTS: I would like the CSIRO to provide me with the statistically significant variation that proves there has been a process change that is variation beyond or outside natural inherent cyclical or seasonal variation over the last 350 years. Dr Mayfield: As I've stated, I believe the presentations that were made in the second and third meetings cover that. Senator ROBERTS: Did you identify the statistically significant process change in that? No, you did not. Dr Mayfield: The work that we have done, which we would stand behind, is in those presentations, and I'm very happy to table that for your reference. Senator ROBERTS: I've got one, but I'm happy for you to table it.	Spoken	101-102	24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-124	Roberts, Malcolm	Commonwealth Scientific and Cultural Heritage	Statistically significant variations	Could you please reference the specific pages that you claim answer the question that was asked at the Senate Estimates hearing on Thursday, 24 October 2019; "could you provide us with the statistically significant variations that prove there has been a process change, i.e., variations that are beyond or outside natural, inherent, cyclical or seasonal variations over the last 350 years?"	Written		24/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-125	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	External Consultants	In relation to the use of all external consultants in the Department or agencies within the portfolio, can the following be provided: 1. For each of the last six financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19, the total amount spent on external consultants, including: a. Contracts tagged as a "consultancy", b. Contracts not defined as a "consultancy", but tagged as "business intelligence consulting services", "information technology consultation services", "management advisory services", "management support services", "organisational structure consultation", "risk management consultation services" or "strategic planning consultation services" 2. The total amount of full time equivalent hours (FTE's) provided by external consultants in 2018-19. 3. The total amount of variances granted to external consultant contracts (including those specified in 1(a)(i) above) in 2018-19. 4. A breakdown by consultant, specifications and project completion for 2018-19.	Written		31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-126	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	Information Technology Consultant Spend	In relation to expenditure on information technology in the Department or agencies within the portfolio, can the following be provided: 1. For each of the last six financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19, the total amount spent on information technology consultation services 2. The total amount of full time equivalent hours (FTE's) provided by information technology consultation services in 2018-19. 3. The total amount contracted to information technology consultation services in 2018-19. 4. The total amount of variances granted to information technology consultation services contracts in 2018-19. 5. A breakdown by consultant, specifications and project completion for 2018-19.	Written		31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-127	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	External Contractors	In relation to the use of all external contractors in the Department or agencies within the portfolio, can the following be provided: 1. The total amount spent on all contracts for Management and Business Professionals and Administrative Services for each of the last six financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19. 2. The total amount spent on all contracts tagged as "Temporary Personnel Services" for each of the last six financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19. 3. The total number of external contractors employed in 2018-19. 4. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all external contractors employed in 2018-19. 5. The total number of FTE hours provided by external contractors in 2018-19.	Written		31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-128	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	Information Technology Contractors	In relation to the use of external information technology contractors in the Department or agencies within the portfolio, can the following be provided: 1. The total amount spent on external contractors for each of the last six financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19. 2. The total number of external contractors employed in 2018-19. 3. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all external contractors employed in 2018-19. 4. The total number of FTE hours provided by external contractors in 2018-19.	Written		31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-129	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	Discretionary grant programs	Please provide, for all administered and discretionary grant programs administered by each department and agency within the portfolio: 1. Name of the administered or discretionary grant program. 2. The recipient of the grant. 3. The ABN or ACN of the grant recipient. 4. The charitable status of the grant recipient. 5. Who authorised the grant payment. 6. For each year of the budget and forward estimates: a. What is the total funding budgeted for the program; b. How much funding has been contracted and allocated; c. How much funding has been contracted but not allocated; d. How much funding has been committed but not contracted; e. How much funding is uncommitted, uncontracted and unallocated.	Written		31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-130	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	Total cost of staff	The total cost of all staff employed under the Public Service Act for each of the last six financial years from 2013/14 to 2018/19.	Written		31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-131	Waters, Larissa	Minister Canavan	Meeting with Adani Group CEO	Resources Minister Matt Canavan recently toured India and Bangladesh, where he met with Adani Group CEO Gautam Adani. 1. What was the purpose of the Minister's meeting with Adani Group CEO Gautam Adani in August 2019? Please provide the agenda, minutes and any other documents relating to this meeting. 2. Did Gautam Adani make any commitments about the Adani Groups' intention to finance the Carmichael coal mine and rail line? 3. Did Gautam Adani raise any concerns about the Adani Groups' ability to finance the mine and rail line? 4. Was the continued public resistance to the mine discussed? Did Gautam Adani request any intervention by the Australian Government to curtail protest activity against the mine? 5. Did the Minister, his advisers or officers of the Department meet with Adani Mining CEO Lucas Dow between 14- 18 October 2019? If so, please provide details regarding the purpose of the meeting, attendees, agenda, minutes and any other relevant documents.	Written		1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-132	Waters, Larissa	Resources	Glencore Collinsville Mine	1. Has the Minister or the Department considered, or made a decision, regarding the provision of financial support to this project? 2. What, if any, financial support has the Department provided to this project? If funding has been provided under a grant program, provide details of the grant program. 3. What, if any, financial support will the Department provide to this project? If funding will be provided under a grant program, provide details of the grant program.	Written		1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan

SI-133	Waters, Larissa	Commonwealth Scientific and	Review of groundwater management plans	<p>The CSIRO and GeoScience Australia provided a joint review of Adani's draft GMMP and GDEMP to the Department of Environment on 22 February 2019. This review identified numerous flaws and concerns about Adani's groundwater modelling and predictions. 1. Does CSIRO / Geoscience stand by the statement in the executive summary of the joint review that: "Modelling underpins the approaches in the management and monitoring plans. The review found that the modelling used is not suitable to ensure the outcomes sought by the EPBC Act conditions are met. A number of limitations were also identified in the proposed monitoring and management approaches indicating they are not sufficiently robust to monitor and minimise impacts to protected environments." 2. What are the potential implications of the project's operational management decisions relying on a flawed groundwater model? 3. The review also stated that calibration issues in the model "make the drawdown predictions unreliable". Is that still the view of CSIRO / Geoscience Australia? 4. If the assumptions used in the model reflected moderate drawdown, rather than minimum drawdown of water at Doongmabulla Springs, would the predicted drawdown at the springs be greater than 0.2m? 5. When preparing the joint review, did CSIRO and Geoscience Australia take into account that a conclusion that Adani's modelling was "not suitable to ensure the outcomes sought by the EPBC Act conditions are met" would have serious consequences for the viability of the mine? 6. Did the Minister for the Environment or the Department of the Environment advise CSIRO / Geoscience that the conclusions of the joint review would have adverse implications for the mine? 7. Does the CSIRO / Geoscience Australia believe that there are outstanding questions regarding the impact of the Carmichael mine on groundwater systems? If so, please outline what areas of uncertainty remain a. What work would be required to address the uncertainty? b. What are the risks of proceeding while the uncertainty remains?</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan, Karen Andrews
SI-134	Waters, Larissa	Geoscience Australia	Access to datasets	<p>The HydroSimulations hydrogeological model of the Galilee Basin and datasets informing the Bioregional Assessments for groundwater have been used to underpin the Groundwater Management Plan and Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem Management Plan. While some datasets are available on the Department of the Environment website, access to others, including the Doongmabulla Springs dataset, is restricted. Requests for access by scientists have been refused. The report accompanying the hydrogeological model of the Galilee Basin states that the contract with the Commonwealth requires the model to be handed over to Geoscience Australia and "ensure that the model code runs on Geoscience Australia hardware." 1. Has Geoscience Australia received the model code underpinning the hydrogeological model? 2. How many of the datasets informing the Bioregional Assessments have restricted access? Why have restrictions been placed on access to online datasets? 3. How many requests has Geoscience Australia received for access to the datasets / input files? 4. How many requests have been granted? 5. How many requests have been denied? On what grounds have requests been denied? 6. Will Geoscience Australia release all input files, water level data, GIS data and Modflow files to allow independent experts to review and test the modelling?</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-135	Waters, Larissa	Northern Australia Infrastructure	NAIF projects	<p>1. How many of the currently funded projects are fossil fuel projects? 2. How many of the projects expected to receive funding in 19/20 and 20/21 are fossil fuel projects? 3. How many of the currently funded projects are renewable energy projects? 4. How many of the projects expected to receive funding in 19/20 and 20/21 are renewable energy projects? 5. Has the Department undertaken any analysis of the funding thresholds for the program? Has the Department considered lowering the threshold for eligible projects? 6. Has there been any evaluation of the outcomes of the NAIF?</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-136	Waters, Larissa	Northern Australia Infrastructure	Jemena / Glenaras Gas Pipeline	<p>1. Will the gas being transported through the Glenaras Gas Pipeline be used for export? 2. The Australian Tax Office is undertaking a transfer pricing audit for Jemena regarding financing of the Northern Gas Pipeline. a. Has NAIF received a briefing from the ATO regarding this investigation? b. Given the ongoing investigation, has NAIF sought or received any advice regarding Jemena's compliance with clause 5.2 of NAIF's Environmental and Social Review of Transactions Policy (ESRT Policy)? c. Will NAIF undertake its own investigation of the conduct of Jemena's directors or related entities, pursuant to clause 5.2 of the ESRT Policy? 3. Clause 5.3 of the ESRT Policy provides that NAIF will "appropriately consider the likelihood of the occurrence of, potential impacts of and key mitigants for, climate related ESG Risks and opportunities on the Project Proponent's business and the NAIF financial assistance specifically related to physical, transitional and liability impacts." a. Has NAIF sought information about Jemena's climate risk exposure, given emissions associated with the extraction and use of gas from the Beetaloo Basin? If so, please provide that information. If no information has been requested, does NAIF intend to seek that information? b. What climate change scenarios has NAIF used, or will NAIF use, to model the financial feasibility of this project? 4. Has NAIF considered or received any advice about whether Nick Greiner's position as Board member of Jemena's Australian parent company, SGSP (Australia) Assets Pty Ltd, and his role as Federal President of the Liberal Party, involves a conflict of interest or reasonable perception of a conflict of interest?</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-137	Waters, Larissa	Northern Australia Infrastructure	Northern Territory climate policies	<p>1. The NT Government has committed to offsetting emissions to ensure that there is no net increase in Australia's life cycle greenhouse gas emissions from onshore shale gas produced in the NT. a. Has NAIF consulted with the NT Government regarding its offset and climate policies? b. Based on your current understanding, what costs may be borne by companies as a result of satisfying future offset obligations? c. Are you aware of analysis by the Australia Institute predicting that future offsets obligations could cost fracking companies in the Northern Territory billions of dollars annually? d. Has NAIF factored future offset costs into its assessment of any current projects? If so, please outline which projects were assessed, how costs were determined, and how those costs affected the outcome of the assessment? e. Does NAIF intend to factor offset costs into assessments of future projects? If so, how will those costs be assessed as part of an application for funding? 2. Has NAIF undertaken or commissioned any analysis regarding the impact of the Beetaloo Basin project and others facilitated by NAIF funding on Australia's capacity to meet its Paris Agreement commitments? If so, please provide that analysis.</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-138	Waters, Larissa	Northern Australia Infrastructure	Fracking in central Queensland	<p>The Queensland Government has announced plans to open 30,000 sq km of the Surat, Bowen and Galilee Basins for gas exploration. 1. Has NAIF been consulted by the Queensland Government regarding its proposal and any potential funding opportunities? If yes, please provide details, including a. Who contacted NAIF b. Any correspondence exchanged c. Who attended any meetings d. When any meetings took place e. What was discussed at any meetings. 2. Has NAIF provided, or will NAIF provide, any assistance to the Queensland Government in preparing the call for tenders or assessing any tenders received relating to gas exploration in the Surat, Bowen or Galilee Basins?</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-139	Farrell, Don	Geoscience Australia	Funding allocated to Geoscience Australia	<p>1. How much funding has been allocated to Geoscience Australia, each year, since 2013? 2. How many topographic maps have been printed each year, since 2013?</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-140	Watt, Murray	Northern Australia Infrastructure	NAIF Audit	<p>1. Can the Minister please provide an update on the implementation of each of the recommendations of the NAIF Audit Report? 2. What processes have been put in place to ensure that the NAIF does not communicate using non-official email accounts? 3. Can you confirm that there have been no other instances of NAIF members using non-official email accounts for NAIF related business?</p>	Written	1/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-141	Gallagher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Cyber security services	<p>1. Action 16 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support the Council of Registered Ethical Security Testers (CREST) Australia New Zealand to expand its range of cyber security services". Appendix A of the "2020 Cyber Security Strategy: A Call for Views" discussion paper reports that CREST has expanded its services. (a) Did the Government establish a baseline by measuring the number of services CREST provided in the year before the commencement of the Strategy? If so, how many services did CREST provide? (b) How many services did CREST provide in Year 1 of Strategy? (c) How many additional services did CREST provide in Year 2 of Strategy? (d) How many additional services did CREST provide in Year 3 of Strategy?</p>	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-142	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	PSPF and Essential 8 Compliance	<p>The Australian Government set a target date for government entities to achieve compliance with the Australian Signals Directorate's Top Four mitigation strategies as detailed in the Protected Security Policy Framework (PSPF), INFOSEC 10 core requirements by 30 June 2014. Non-corporate Commonwealth entities are required to apply the Mandatory 4 whereas it is only considered best practice for corporate Commonwealth entities and wholly-owned Commonwealth companies. ASD had stated that implementing the top 4 mitigation strategies will be able to prevent over 85% of unauthorised intrusions. Overall compliance and reporting 1. Is the Department compliant with the core requirements in the Protected Security Policy Framework, INFOSEC 10: Safeguarding information from cyber threats policy? 2. Under the Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013, all non-corporate Commonwealth entities are required to report annually to the Attorney-General on the implementation of the Protected Security Policy Framework (PSPF). Has the Department provided an annual report to the Attorney general in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 on compliance with the INFOSEC 10 core requirements?</p>	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-143	Hanson-Young, Sarah	Northern Australia and Majc	Nuclear Waste Facility	<p>1. When is the government's detailed Business Case on the NRWMF be publicly released? 2. Do you acknowledge that establishing nuclear waste storage is prohibited under South Australian state law that was passed in 2000, Nuclear Waste Facility Prohibition Act? a. Given this law prohibiting nuclear waste dumps in South Australia - i. What is the mechanism for an override of state law? ii. How would it be initiated and by who? 3. Is there an inventory of national waste in Australia? a. If so can this please be tabled b. If not - why not? 4. NRWMF proposal includes a water desalination plant. Can you outline the purpose of what the water will be used for? a. Does the department intend to use the water desalination plant to manage domestic or imported high level waste in the future? 5. How much has this site selection process cost taxpayers so far, since the Flinders and Kimba sites where named? 6. When will the waste acceptance criteria be finalised? a. What is the justification for the ballots commencing before the waste acceptance criteria being finalised?</p>	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan

SI-144	Hanson-Young, Sarah	Northern Australia and Majc	Nuclear Waste Facility funding	1. Have nominating landholders been given any form of financial compensation or incentive thus far? Minister Canavan's office recently announced a new round of funding for communities affected by the radioactive waste facility proposal. The National Radioactive Waste Management Facility Community Benefit Programme (Version 1.0 October 2017) has been archived. It stated that "The programme will run for up to three years from 2017/18 – 2019/20 depending on the project schedule". 2. Can you confirm that as part of this programme, the department originally promised each community (Flinders and Kimba) would receive \$2 million each financial year involved in the site selection process? It appears that Minister Canavan's recent announcement frames the latest round of \$2 million community development program for both communities as new funding. 3. Is it true to say that the money announced on 8th October by Minister Canavan it is not new money, just the late delivery of what was already part of the initial community benefit program already previously promised to these communities? 4. How much money has been delivered to these communities from the community benefits programme since the beginning? When and how much so far to date? 5. Can you confirm what money from this programme was delivered between 2018 - 2019 financial year?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-145	Hanson-Young, Sarah	Northern Australia and Majc	Community consultation	1. How does the Minister intend to gauge the sentiment of Traditional Owners? a. Does the minister intend to conduct a ballot of native title holders? b. If so when is this intended to take place? c. What weighting will this receive? 2. Does the Minister intend to consult with communities along port and transportation route?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-146	Hanson-Young, Sarah	Northern Australia and Majc	Nuclear waste inventory	Minister Canavan held very brief consultation sessions in Hawker and Kimba on 21-22 August 2019. At these meetings, he stated that there will be far less waste coming from Woomera than originally anticipated, only about 100 barrels out of the 10,000 stored at the Woomera site. Source: http://www.abc.net.au/news/2018-08-01/woomera-nuclear-waste-barrels-not-contaminated-study-finds/9994028 1. Is it true that only 7% of the materials destined for the NRWMF (of which is mostly low-level waste from Woomera) will be permanently disposed at the site? 2. Can you confirm that about 93% of the waste will be temporarily stored at the NRWMF site (most of which is intermediate-level waste from Lucas Heights)? 3. Given this how does the Minister justify the expense and double handling of the intermediate-level waste (assuming that 93% of waste will be temporarily stored)? 4. How much will it cost to move all the waste to the site? a. What will be the cost of moving the low level waste (including 100-200 drums from woomera and other undisclosed locations) to the new NRWMF? b. And the intermediate level waste from Lucas Heights to the new NRWMF?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-147	Hanson-Young, Sarah	Australian Nuclear Science & ANSTO	waste	1. How much waste by volume and radioactivity is currently stored at Lucas heights? 2. How many years storage capacity is there at Lucas Heights, taking into consideration currently held waste and projected future waste production? 3. What percentage of the waste planned to be managed at the national waste facility is from ANSTO? 4. To what extent are ANSTOs operations subsidised by the taxpayer? 5. Does ANSTO intend to expand production and increase exports of medical isotopes? Has ANSTO developed a business case for this - or a strategic plan which is available to the public?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-148	Hanson-Young, Sarah	Resources	Work program bids for S18-1	1. The closing date for all work program bids for S18-1 was on Thursday 21 March 2019. What is the current status of the 2018 release area S18-1 (in the Bight Basin)? 2. An industry newsletter stated that their had been several new cash bids for the round 2, 2018 acreage release: "Release areas W18-4 received seven bids, release area W18-5 received three bids (both areas are in the Northern Carnarvon Basin off Western Australia) and release area S18-1 (in the Bight Basin off of South Australia) received one bid. Bid assessments have started with offers anticipated to be made from August 2019. a. Which company made a cash bid on Acreage S18-17 b. Has this bid been accepted by the government? c. When will the status of the cash bid be publicly released, given public reports that offers were anticipated to be made from August 2019 - 2 months ago? d. Is there any more information you can provide in relation to current status of S18-1 acreage?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-149	Hanson-Young, Sarah	National Offshore Petroleum	Stromlo-1 exploration drilling program	1. In relation to Equinors Stromlo-1 exploration drilling program, what is the reason for the resubmitted amended Environment Plan not to be released publicly? a. Will the Environment Plan be made public in the future? 2. NOPSEMA requested further information from the titleholder (Equinor) on 27/06/2019 in relation to Stromlo-1 exploration drilling program's Environment plan. What was this additional information that NOPSEMA sort from Equinor? 3. NOPSEMA seems to have recently changed their website and changed the format of assessment status - is this temporary? a. Will NOPSEMA be linking rationales for assessment status decisions again as it used to.	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-150	Hanson-Young, Sarah	Questacon	Questacon partnerships	1. Are you concerned Questacon's fossil fuel partnerships influence the structure and scope of Questacon's exhibits or undermine its integrity as a scientific institution? a. You take fossil fuel money and refuse to run displays about climate, do you accept this is a bad look? b. Have staff ever raised concerns about Shell as a sponsorship? c. Have you ever sought other sponsors? 2. Has Questacon entered into partnership with any other coal, oil or gas companies? 3. According to a press release from INPEX, a major gas company, INPEX is funding Questacon to deliver a new energy show, a forum for youth dialogue/ discussion about energy for the future, and interactive energy exhibits. a. How long has this been in the works? b. Will you ensure these energy exhibits/forums/shows include i. IPCC findings on what is required to meet the Paris Agreement ii. Current climate science, including the role of coal and gas in driving global climate change iii. Information on the transition from fossil fuels iv. Renewable energy technologies	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-151	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	AustCyber	Outcome 2 of Action 31 of the 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "The number of cyber security graduates increases." AustCyber reports that Australia needs 18,000 additional cyber security workers by 2026. 1. How many of these workers will need university degrees? 2. Are current Australian cyber security university course enrolments sufficient to meet this forecast need? a. If no, how many will university cyber security graduates are forecasted by 2026? 3. How many of the 18,000 additional cyber security workers forecast to be needed by 2026 can be trained by TAFEs?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-152	Patrick, Rex	Australian Space Agency	Australian ownership criteria	Senator PATRICK: No. You're singing in my language. In fact, you're doing way better than the submarine project, which is down at 30 per cent—which is not good enough, Minister. So 80 per cent is good. What metric do you use? Is that by value? There have been instances in the past where a contract was given to an Australian entity that really was a front for an international entity, so when you did an ABN count it was considered to be 80 per cent. How are you approaching the metric? Dr Clark: It's a good question, Senator. In terms of looking at the individual grants, we will look at the entities themselves. We will also look at the leverage—what is the leverage we have coming into those projects—and support. We will look at which projects attract state funding as well. So there will be a number of criteria. We will look at the criteria of Australian ownership. That will be one of the criteria that is part of the selection. I can provide you much more detail on the selection criteria at the appropriate time, if that will help. Senator PATRICK: If you wouldn't mind, perhaps on notice. I'm particularly interested in that Australian criteria. Mr Lawson: The policy intent went to dollars spent in Australia. Senator PATRICK: But you understand the difficulty. Mr Lawson: We understand. Ms Dailey: That information is actually online now. We released the grant opportunity guidelines very recently. They were open for applications from 5 November and will close on 17 November. Senator PATRICK: Do they specify what Australian— Ms Dailey: The application form, as I understand it, will outline what we need to assess that criteria. Senator PATRICK: So that is online? Ms Dailey: Yes, it is online now—at least on 17 October.	Spoken	59-60	24/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-153	McAllister, Jenny	AUSI - Support for Business	Average size of grants	Senator McALLISTER: Congratulations, Ms Greenwood! At any point in this process do the minister or his staff have involvement in assessing applications either for participation in the Entrepreneurs' Program or for grants under the Accelerating Commercialisation program? Ms Greenwood: No. Senator McALLISTER: When do you advise the minister that you intend to provide a grant? Ms Greenwood: The process is that I make a decision as the delegate, and at that point we provide a briefing to the minister's office prior to making announcements. Senator McALLISTER: Does the minister have any capacity under the program arrangements to prevent a grant from being provided? Ms Greenwood: No. Senator McALLISTER: I understand the maximum size of the grants is \$1 million. Can you tell me the average size of the grants in the last financial year? Ms Greenwood: I'm afraid I don't have that information with me, but I can provide it. Senator McALLISTER: Thanks.	Spoken	65-66	24/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-154	Gallacher, Alex	IP Australia	Innovation patent	Senator GALLACHER: Have you spoken to Aristocrat? Dr Mitra-Kahn: We definitely spoke to Aristocrat. The other biggest filer of innovation patents in Australia are with the divisionals. We have spoken to them and to some of their fellow gambling companies. I forget the names now. Senator GALLACHER: So it's an Australian company, headquartered in Australia, exports all over the world. It employs Australian artists, Australian musicians and Australian graphic designers, and it was using this system very effectively. Dr Mitra-Kahn: Aristocrat uses the innovation patent system—from memory, but I can get you the details—mainly to divide out standard patent applications. They have all the standard patents. The problem with the innovation patent is that anyone overseas can in fact copy your invention without any repercussions because the innovation patent doesn't protect you outside Australia. Because of the nature of the system, you can't transfer that right overseas. What Aristocrat and others have done very well is they have filed provisional patents, which is an entry point to the patent system, and they get standard patents, which they then taken overseas. So the innovation patent is a part of their portfolio. And we have—	Spoken	104	24/10/2019 0:00 Karen Andrews
SI-155	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Small Business Cyber Health Check	Action 16 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support the Council of Registered Ethical Security Testers (CREST) Australia New Zealand to expand its range of cyber security services". Appendix A of the "2020 Cyber Security Strategy: A Call for Views" discussion paper reports that CREST developed the Small Business Cyber Health Check. a. When was the Small Business Health Check completed? The CREST website provides two options: a CREST conducted check of a self-assessment. b. How many businesses did a self-assessed health check in Year 1 of Strategy? c. How many businesses did a self-assessed health check in Year 2 of Strategy? d. How many businesses did a self-assessed health check in Year 3 of Strategy? e. How many businesses commissioned CREST to do a health check in Year 1 of Strategy? f. How many businesses commissioned CREST to do a health check in Year 2 of Strategy? g. How many businesses commissioned CREST to do a health check in Year 3 of Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-156	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	CREST accredited companies	Action 16 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support the Council of Registered Ethical Security Testers (CREST) Australia New Zealand to expand its range of cyber security services". Outcome 1 of Action 16 is "CREST Australia New Zealand grows its current pool of accredited companies to meet the demand of businesses accessing their services." a. Did the Government establish a baseline by measuring the number of accredited CREST companies prior to the commencement of the Strategy? b. In Year 1 of the Strategy, how many companies has CREST accreditation? c. In Year 2 of the Strategy, how many additional companies did CREST accredit as part of the Strategy? d. In Year 3 of the Strategy, how many additional companies did CREST accredit as part of the Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-157	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	CREST accredited services	Action 16 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support the Council of Registered Ethical Security Testers (CREST) Australia New Zealand to expand its range of cyber security services". Outcome 2 of Action 16 is "CREST Australia New Zealand diversifies the services it accredits. Types of assessment might include penetration testing, vulnerability analysis and assessment against best practice standards." a. Did the Government establish a baseline by measuring the services that CREST accredits? b. In Year 1 of the Strategy, how many services did CREST accredit? c. In Year 2 of the Strategy, how many services did CREST accredit? d. In Year 3 of the Strategy, how many services did CREST accredit?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-158	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Certified small business health checks	Action 17 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support small businesses to have their cyber security tested by CREST Australia New Zealand accredited providers", Appendix A of the "2020 Cyber Security Strategy: A Call for Views" discussion paper reported that this action as complete because the Cyber Security Small Business Program, launched in December 2018, provided small businesses with co-funded grants of up to \$2100 for a certified small business health check. a. How many small business health checks from CREST accredited providers did the government forecast that it would subsidise under this initiative in each year of the strategy? b. How many subsidised cyber security health checks from CREST accredited providers have been completed as part of this program? c. What percentage of small businesses in Australia have had their cyber security health checked under this program?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-159	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Potential cyber security vulnerabilities	Action 17 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support small businesses to have their cyber security tested by CREST Australia New Zealand accredited providers". Outcome 2 of Action 17 is "Australian small businesses understand their potential cyber security vulnerabilities and where to find trusted cyber security advice." a. How does the Government measure the achievement of this outcome? b. What benchmarking of Australian small businesses' understanding of their potential cyber security vulnerabilities was undertaken by the government at the beginning of the strategy? c. What ongoing survey research of Australian small businesses' understanding of their potential cyber security vulnerabilities was undertaken by the government throughout the life of the strategy? d. What is progress on this outcome in Year 1 of Strategy? e. What is progress on this outcome in Year 2 of Strategy? f. What is progress on this outcome in Year 3 of Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-160	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Cyber security investments	Action 17 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support small businesses to have their cyber security tested by CREST Australia New Zealand accredited providers". Outcome 3 of Action 17 is "Australian small businesses are empowered with the knowledge they need to make considered cyber security investments to protect their business long term." a. How does the Government measure the achievement of this outcome? b. What benchmarking of Australian small businesses' understanding of the cyber security investments they need to make to protect their business long term was undertaken by the government at the beginning of the strategy? c. What ongoing survey research of Australian small businesses' understanding of the cyber security investments they need to make to protect their business long term was undertaken by the government throughout the life of the strategy? d. What is progress on this outcome in Year 1 of Strategy? e. What is progress on this outcome in Year 2 of Strategy? f. What is progress on this outcome in Year 3 of Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-161	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Cyber security connections	Action 17 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Support small businesses to have their cyber security tested by CREST Australia New Zealand accredited providers". Outcome 4 of Action 17 is "Large and small businesses increase trust in the connections they have with each other." a. How does the Government measure the achievement of this outcome? b. What is progress on this outcome in Year 1 of Strategy? c. What is progress on this outcome in Year 2 of Strategy? d. What is progress on this outcome in Year 3 of Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-162	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Connections made through Cyber Security Growth Centre	Action 27 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Establish a Cyber Security Growth Centre to bring together a national cyber security innovation network that pioneers cutting edge cyber security research and innovation, through the National Innovation and Science Agenda". Outcome 1 of Action 27 is "connections made between stakeholders, through the Growth Centre, deliver a multiplier effect on cyber security ideas and the number of challenges being responded to increases." a. Other than establishing the AustCyber, how does the Government measure progress towards outcome? b. In Year 1 of the Strategy, what progress on this outcome? c. In Year 2 of the Strategy, what progress on this outcome? d. In Year 3 of the Strategy, what progress on this outcome?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-163	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Cyber security start-ups	Action 27 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Establish a Cyber Security Growth Centre to bring together a national cyber security innovation network that pioneers cutting edge cyber security research and innovation, through the National Innovation and Science Agenda". Outcome 2 of Action 27 is "More cyber security start-ups acquire capital to establish." a. In Year 1 of Strategy, how many new start-ups were established as part of the Strategy? b. In Year 2 of Strategy, how many new start-ups were established as part of the Strategy? c. In Year 3 of Strategy, how many new start-ups were established as part of the Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-164	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Cyber security solutions	Action 27 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Establish a Cyber Security Growth Centre to bring together a national cyber security innovation network that pioneers cutting edge cyber security research and innovation, through the National Innovation and Science Agenda". Outcome 3 of Action 27 is "More cyber security solutions are developed and commercialised." a. Did the Government develop a baseline of number cyber security solutions developed and commercialised the year prior to the commencement of the Strategy? b. How many cyber security solutions were developed and commercialised in Year 1 of Strategy? c. How many cyber security solutions were developed and commercialised in Year 2 of Strategy? d. How many cyber security solutions were developed and commercialised in Year 3 of Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-165	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Growth in cyber security businesses	Action 27 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Establish a Cyber Security Growth Centre to bring together a national cyber security innovation network that pioneers cutting edge cyber security research and innovation, through the National Innovation and Science Agenda". Outcome 4 of Action 27 is "The number of cyber security businesses in Australia grows." a. Did the Government develop a baseline number of cyber security businesses in Australia prior to the commencement of the Strategy? b. How many cyber security businesses were there in Australia in Year 1 of the strategy? c. How many cyber security businesses were there in Australia in Year 2 of the strategy? d. How many cyber security businesses were there in Australia in Year 3 of the strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-166	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Exports of cyber security products and services	Action 27 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Establish a Cyber Security Growth Centre to bring together a national cyber security innovation network that pioneers cutting edge cyber security research and innovation, through the National Innovation and Science Agenda". Outcome 5 of Action 27 is "More Australian cyber security products and services are exported." a. How does the government measure progress against this outcome? b. How many defence export licences were granted to Australian cyber security businesses in year 1 of the strategy? c. How many defence export licences were granted to Australian cyber security businesses in year 2 of the strategy? d. How many defence export licences were granted to Australian cyber security businesses in year 3 of the strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-167	Gallacher, Alex	Digital Economy and Techno	Cyber security international investment	Action 27 of 2016 Australia's Cyber Security Strategy is "Establish a Cyber Security Growth Centre to bring together a national cyber security innovation network that pioneers cutting edge cyber security research and innovation, through the National Innovation and Science Agenda". Outcome 6 of Action 27 is "More international businesses invest in Australian cyber security research, innovation and solutions." a. Did the Government develop a baseline number of international businesses investing in Australian cyber security research, innovation and solutions prior to the start of the Strategy? b. How many additional international businesses invested in Australian cyber security research, innovation and solutions in Year 1 of Strategy? c. How many additional international businesses invested in Australian cyber security research, innovation and solutions in Year 2 of Strategy? d. How many additional international businesses invested in Australian cyber security research, innovation and solutions in Year 3 of Strategy?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-168	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	Mandatory 4 implementation	The Australian Government set a target date for government entities to achieve compliance with the Australian Signals Directorate's Top Four mitigation strategies as detailed in the Protected Security Policy Framework (PSPF), INFOSEC 10 core requirements by 30 June 2014. Non-corporate Commonwealth entities are required to apply the Mandatory 4 whereas it is only considered best practice for corporate Commonwealth entities and wholly-owned Commonwealth companies. ASD had stated that implementing the top 4 mitigation strategies will be able to prevent over 85% of unauthorised intrusions. 1. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, requirement 1: application whitelisting? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model what is the maturity of application whitelisting implementation? 2. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, requirement 2: patching applications? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model, what is the maturity of patching applications implementation? 3. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, requirement 3: restriction of administrative privileges? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model, what is the maturity of 'restrict administrative privileges' implementation? 4. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, requirement 4: patching operating systems? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model, what is the maturity of 'Patching operating systems' implementation?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-169	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	Essential 8 implementation	The Australian Government set a target date for government entities to achieve compliance with the Australian Signals Directorate's Top Four mitigation strategies as detailed in the Protected Security Policy Framework (PSPF), INFOSEC 10 core requirements by 30 June 2014. Non-corporate Commonwealth entities are required to apply the Mandatory 4 whereas it is only considered best practice for corporate Commonwealth entities and wholly-owned Commonwealth companies. ASD had stated that implementing the top 4 mitigation strategies will be able to prevent over 85% of unauthorised intrusions. 1. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, C.4, 27 (a): configuring Microsoft Office macro settings? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model what is the maturity of 'configuring Microsoft Office macro settings' implementation? 2. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, C.4, 27 (b): user application hardening? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model what is the maturity of 'configuring Microsoft Office macro settings' implementation? 3. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, C.4, 27 (c): multi-factor authentication? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model what is the maturity of 'multi-factor authentication' implementation? 4. Has the Department implemented Protected Security Policy Framework INFOSEC 10, C.4, 27 (d): daily backups? a. According to the Essential Eight Maturity Model what is the maturity of 'daily backups' implementation?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-170	Gallagher, Katy	Corporate	Accountability and funding	1. How many times has the Department conducted a self assessment of its compliance with the Protected Security Policy Framework Essential Eight mitigation strategies and cyber resilience since 1 July 2013? 2. How many independent assessments of its cyber resilience has the Department conducted since 1 July 2013? 3. Has the Minister responsible been briefed on cyber security vulnerabilities in the Department networks since 1 July 2013? 4. Has an Australian Signals Directorate cyber security sprint team been deployed to the Department since 1 July 2013? 5. How much funding has the Department allocated to cyber security each year during 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019? 6. How many times has there been a physical or cyber intrusion attempt made on the Department's networks that were considered serious enough to warrant an operational response in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019?	Written	4/11/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-171	Kitching, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Executive Management		In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-172	Kitching, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Executive Management		In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-173	Kitching, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Executive Management		In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-174	Kitching, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia Executive Management		In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-175	Kitching, Kimberley	IP Australia Executive Management		In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-176	Kitching, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum Executive Management		In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-177	Kitching, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure Executive Management		In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for FY 2018-19 and 2019-20 to date: 1. The total number of executive management positions 2. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 3. The change in the number of executive manager positions. 4. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-178	Kitching, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Departmental functions		In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-179	Kitching, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Departmental functions		In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-180	Kitching, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Departmental functions		In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-181	Kitching, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia Departmental functions		In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-182	Kitching, Kimberley	IP Australia Departmental functions		In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-183	Kitching, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum Departmental functions		In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-184	Kitching, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure Departmental functions		In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio since 1 July 2018, can the following be provided: 1. List of functions. 2. List of all attendees. 3. Function venue. 4. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 5. Details of any food served. 6. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. 7. Any available photographs of the function. 8. Details of any entertainment provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-185	Kitching, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Facilities upgrades		1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-186	Kitching, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Facilities upgrades		1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-187	Kitching, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Facilities upgrades		1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-188	Kitching, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia Facilities upgrades		1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-189	Kitching, Kimberley	IP Australia Facilities upgrades		1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

SI-190	Kitcing, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum Facilities upgrades		1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-191	Kitcing, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure Facilities upgrades		1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies since 1 July 2018. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment. 2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). 3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-192	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Studies Staff travel		What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-193	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Technology Staff travel		What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-194	Kitcing, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation Staff travel		What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-195	Kitcing, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia Staff travel		What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-196	Kitcing, Kimberley	IP Australia Staff travel		What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-197	Kitcing, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum Staff travel		What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-198	Kitcing, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure Staff travel		What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-199	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Studies		What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-200	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Technology		What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-201	Kitcing, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation		What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-202	Kitcing, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia	Legal costs	What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-203	Kitcing, Kimberley	IP Australia	Legal costs	What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-204	Kitcing, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum	Legal costs	What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-205	Kitcing, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure	Legal costs	What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 to date?	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-206	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Studies	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-207	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Technology	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-208	Kitcing, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-209	Kitcing, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-210	Kitcing, Kimberley	IP Australia	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-211	Kitcing, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-212	Kitcing, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure	Briefings to independents and minor parties	1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives. 2. If so, can the following be provided: a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-213	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Studies	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-214	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Technology	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-215	Kitcing, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-216	Kitcing, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-217	Kitcing, Kimberley	IP Australia	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-218	Kitcing, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-219	Kitcing, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure	Departmental staff allowances	Can a list of Departmental/agency allowances and reimbursements available to employees be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-220	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Studies	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-221	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Technology	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-222	Kitcing, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-223	Kitcing, Kimberley	Geoscience Australia	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-224	Kitcing, Kimberley	IP Australia	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-225	Kitcing, Kimberley	National Offshore Petroleum	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-226	Kitcing, Kimberley	Northern Australia Infrastructure	Market research	1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies. 2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list of: a. Subject matter b. Company c. Costs d. Contract date period 3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Matthew Canavan
SI-227	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Institute of Marine Studies	Advertising and information campaigns	1. What was the Department/agency's total expenditure on advertising and information campaigns for FY 2018-19 and for the current financial year to date. 2. What advertising and information campaigns did the Department/agency run in each relevant period. For each campaign, please provide: a. When approval was first sought. b. The date of approval, including whether the advertising went through the Independent Campaign Committee process. c. The timeline for each campaign, including any variation to the original proposed timeline. 3. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all advertising and information campaign contracts in each period be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-228	Kitcing, Kimberley	Australian Nuclear Science & Technology	Advertising and information campaigns	1. What was the Department/agency's total expenditure on advertising and information campaigns for FY 2018-19 and for the current financial year to date. 2. What advertising and information campaigns did the Department/agency run in each relevant period. For each campaign, please provide: a. When approval was first sought. b. The date of approval, including whether the advertising went through the Independent Campaign Committee process. c. The timeline for each campaign, including any variation to the original proposed timeline. 3. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all advertising and information campaign contracts in each period be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews
SI-229	Kitcing, Kimberley	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation	Advertising and information campaigns	1. What was the Department/agency's total expenditure on advertising and information campaigns for FY 2018-19 and for the current financial year to date. 2. What advertising and information campaigns did the Department/agency run in each relevant period. For each campaign, please provide: a. When approval was first sought. b. The date of approval, including whether the advertising went through the Independent Campaign Committee process. c. The timeline for each campaign, including any variation to the original proposed timeline. 3. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all advertising and information campaign contracts in each period be provided.	Written	31/10/2019 0:00	Karen Andrews

Please provide, for all administered and discretionary grant programs administered by each department and agency within the portfolio: 1. Name of the administered or discretionary grant program. 2. The recipient of the grant. 3. The ABN or ACN of the grant recipient. 4. The charitable status of the grant recipient. 5. Who authorised the grant payment. 6. For each year of the budget and forward estimates: a. What is the total funding budgeted for the program; b. How much funding has been contracted and allocated; c. How much funding has been contracted but not allocated; d. How much funding has been committed but not contracted; e. How much funding is uncommitted, uncontracted and unallocated.

SI-323 Gallagher, Katy National Offshore Petroleum Discretionary grant programs

Written

31/10/2019 0:00 Matthew Canavan

Please provide, for all administered and discretionary grant programs administered by each department and agency within the portfolio: 1. Name of the administered or discretionary grant program. 2. The recipient of the grant. 3. The ABN or ACN of the grant recipient. 4. The charitable status of the grant recipient. 5. Who authorised the grant payment. 6. For each year of the budget and forward estimates: a. What is the total funding budgeted for the program; b. How much funding has been contracted and allocated; c. How much funding has been contracted but not allocated; d. How much funding has been committed but not contracted; e. How much funding is uncommitted, uncontracted and unallocated.

SI-324 Gallagher, Katy Northern Australia Infrastructure Discretionary grant programs

Written

31/10/2019 0:00 Matthew Canavan