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# Introduction

The *Delegated legislation monitor* (the monitor) is the regular report of the Senate Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances (the committee). The monitor is published at the conclusion of each sitting week of the Parliament, and provides an overview of the committee's scrutiny of instruments of delegated legislation for the preceding period.[[1]](#footnote-1)

### The committee's terms of reference

Senate Standing Order 23 contains a general statement of the committee's terms of reference:

(1) A Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances shall be appointed at the commencement of each Parliament.

(2) All regulations, ordinances and other instruments made under the authority of Acts of the Parliament, which are subject to disallowance or disapproval by the Senate and which are of a legislative character, shall stand referred to the committee for consideration and, if necessary, report.

The committee shall scrutinise each instrument to ensure:

(a) that it is in accordance with the statute;

(b) that it does not trespass unduly on personal rights and liberties;

(c) that it does not unduly make the rights and liberties of citizens dependent upon administrative decisions which are not subject to review of their merits by a judicial or other independent tribunal; and

(d) that it does not contain matter more appropriate for parliamentary enactment.

### Work of the committee

The committee scrutinises all disallowable instruments of delegated legislation, such as regulations and ordinances, to ensure their compliance with non-partisan principles of personal rights and parliamentary propriety.

The committee's longstanding practice is to interpret its scrutiny principles broadly, but as relating primarily to technical legislative scrutiny. The committee therefore does not generally examine or consider the policy merits of delegated legislation. In cases where an instrument is considered not to comply with the committee's scrutiny principles, the committee's usual approach is to correspond with the responsible minister or instrument-maker seeking further explanation or clarification of the matter at issue, or seeking an undertaking for specific action to address the committee's concern.

The committee's work is supported by processes for the registration, tabling and disallowance of legislative instruments, which are established by the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*.[[2]](#footnote-2)

### Structure of the report

The report is comprised of the following parts:

Chapter 1, 'New and continuing matters', sets out new and continuing matters about which the committee has agreed to write to the relevant minister or instrument-maker seeking further information or appropriate undertakings;

Chapter 2, 'Concluded matters', sets out any previous matters which have been concluded to the satisfaction of the committee, including by the giving of an undertaking to review, amend or remake a given instrument at a future date; related (non-confidential) correspondence is included at Appendix 3;

Appendix 1 provides an index listing all instruments scrutinised in the period covered by the report;

Appendix 2 contains the committee's guideline on addressing the consultation requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*.

Appendix 3 contains correspondence relating to concluded matters.

### Acknowledgement

The committee wishes to acknowledge the cooperation of the ministers, instrument-makers and departments who assisted the committee with its consideration of the issues raised in this report.

**Senator Sean Edwards**

**Chair**

# Chapter 1

## New and continuing matters

This chapter lists new matters identified by the committee at its meeting on **14 May 2014**, and continuing matters in relation to which the committee has received recent correspondence. The committee will write to relevant ministers or instrument makers in relation to substantive matters seeking further information or an appropriate undertaking within the disallowance period.

Matters which the committee draws to the attention of the relevant minister or instrument maker are raised on an advice-only basis and do not require a response.

### Australian Jobs (Australian Industry Participation) Rule 2014 [F2014L00125]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | This instrument provides for exceptions under the *Australian Jobs Act 2013*, information required for compliance and notification, and further functions for the Australian Industry Participation Authority |
| **Last day to disallow[[3]](#footnote-3)** | 13 May 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Australian Jobs Act 2013* |
| **Department** | Industry |

**Issue:**

*Prescribing of matters by 'legislative rules'*

The committee notes that this instrument relies on section 128 of the *Australian Jobs Act 2013*, which allows for various matters in relation to that Act to be prescribed, by the minister, by 'legislative rules'. While the explanatory statement (ES) for the instrument does not address the issue, as far as the committee can ascertain this is a novel approach to the prescribing of matters in Commonwealth legislation, insofar as Acts usually provide for matters to be prescribed, by the Governor-General, by 'regulation'. The committee notes that the latter approach to prescribing matters is consistent with the definition in section 2B of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901*, which provides that, in any Act, 'prescribed' means 'prescribed by the Act or by regulations under the Act'. This being so, the committee is uncertain as to whether the prescription of matters by 'legislative rules' is also consistent with the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901*.

More generally, the committee notes that the making of regulations is subject to the drafting and approval requirements attached to the Office of Parliamentary Counsel and Executive Council, respectively. To the extent that these requirements may be taken as an additional layer of scrutiny in the prescribing of matters by regulation, it is not clear whether these requirements will also apply to legislative rules and, if not, what the ramifications may be for both the quality of, and level of scrutiny applied to, such instruments **[the committee requested further information from the minister].**

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

*Prescribing of matters by 'legislative rules'*

The Minister for Industry provided the committee with advice from the First Parliamentary Counsel (FPC), addressing several of the issues identified by the committee. In relation to the issue of whether the prescribing of matters by legislative rules is novel, the FPC provided a number of examples of legislation allowing matters to be prescribed other than by regulation as the basis for his apparent view that the approach taken in section 128 of the *Australian Jobs Act 2013* is 'longstanding'.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

The committee thanks the minister for his response.

However, the committee notes that its inquiry regarding the prescribing of matters by 'legislative rules' in the instrument goes firstly to the specific form of the power, as opposed to the more general provision in Acts for the 'making of instruments rather than regulations'. That is, the regulation-making power is commonly provided as a broad power to make regulations required or permitted by the authorising Act, or necessary or convenient for carrying out or giving effect to the Act. For example, section 62 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* provides:

The Governor-General may make regulations prescribing all matters:

(a) required or permitted by this Act to be prescribed; or

(b) necessary or convenient to be prescribed for carrying out or giving effect to this Act.

In the committee's view, the broadly-construed regulation-making power may be contrasted with the usually more specific or constrained provisions allowing for the making of other types of instruments. However, in the present case, section 128 of the *Australian Jobs Act 2013* provides:

The Minister may, by legislative instrument, make rules (legislative rules) prescribing matters:

(a) required or permitted by this Act to be prescribed by the legislative rules; or

(b) necessary or convenient to be prescribed for carrying out or giving effect to this Act

Further, the *Australian Jobs Act 2013* does not contain a regulation-making power. The committee notes that the broadly-expressed power to make legislative rules in the *Australian Jobs Act 2013* therefore effectively replaces the regulation-making power.

With this context, the committee notes that many of the examples referred to by the FPC appear to be distinguishable from this broad power to make legislative rules in the absence of a regulation-making power. A number of these may be distinguished on the basis that:

the relevant instrument-making power is not expressed in as broad a manner in which the legislative-rule making power is expressed in the present case (for example, they are limited to matters 'required or permitted' by the Act, but not to things 'necessary or convenient');

the rule-making power is complemented by the inclusion of a broadly defined regulation-making power expressed in the usual terms; and

the rule-making power is constrained by being permitted only in relation to specific parts or subdivisions of the relevant Act (or to specific items).

However, with the exception of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997*, the committee notes that seven of the remaining eight examples listed in paragraph 12 provide analogous powers to the legislative rule-making power in the *Australian Jobs Act 2013*. That is, the following Acts provide for a broad rule-making power that appears to take the place of a general power to make regulations:

*Asbestos Safety and Eradication Agency Act 2013*;

*Australia Council Act 2013*;

*Australian Jobs Act 2013*;

*International Interests in Mobile Equipment (Cape Town Convention) Act 2013*;

*Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013*;

*Public Interest Disclosure Act 2013*; and

*Sugar Research and Development Services Act 2013*.

The committee notes that these Acts are all dated 2013 and, according to the FPC's advice, were drafted 'since the transfer of the subordinate legislation drafting function to the Office of Parliamentary Counsel in 2012'.

In light of the above, the committee considers that the FPC's advice tends to confirm the view that the provision for a broadly-expressed power to make legislative rules in place of the regulation-making power is a novel approach, employed in the drafting of Acts only since 2013. Further, the committee notes that on 6 March 2014 (subsequent to the committee's initial comments on this matter), OPC circulated revised Drafting Direction No. 3.8, which included the addition of extensive instruction on the use of 'general instrument-making powers' of this kind. The committee notes that Drafting Direction No. 3.8 appears to confirm the inclusion of such powers in delegated legislation as a novel approach. It states:

It has long been the practice to include general regulation making powers in Acts.

More recently, an approach has been taken to adapt that practice for other legislative instruments.

With the exception of the *Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013* (PGPA Act), the committee is not aware of any reference to the inclusion of a general rule-making power in place of the regulation-making power in the explanatory memorandums (EMs) for these Acts. The EM for the PGPA Act stated (p. 58):

Using rules, rather than regulations, as the form of legislative instrument is consistent with current drafting practice. The Office of Parliamentary Counsel reserves the use of regulations to a limited range of matters that are more appropriately dealt with in regulations made by the Governor-General than in an instrument made by some other person. Matters in this category include offence provisions, powers of arrest or detention, entry provisions and search or seizure provisions. The rules will be legislative instruments subject to disallowance by Parliament and will sunset under the provisions of the LI Act.

In the committee's view, the EMs for these Acts did not provide a sufficient opportunity for the Parliament to identify and consider the potential consequences of the introduction of a general rule-making power in place of the regulation-making power. The committee's current inquiries seek to provide that opportunity.

While the committee acknowledges that agencies must seek to best use often limited resources, the committee considers that what appears to be a potentially significant change or addition to the use of the general regulation-making power should not be effected solely through agency policy.

*Ramifications for the quality and scrutiny of legislative rules*

The committee notes that the broader thrust of its comments on the prescribing of matters by the general instrument-making power relate to the ramifications of this approach for the quality and level of executive and Parliamentary scrutiny applied to such instruments.

The FPC's advice notes that instruments made under the general instrument-making making power may now be drafted by agencies (unlike regulations, which are required to be drafted by OPC). OPC may, however, draft or assist agencies 'within the limits of available resources'. In the committee's experience, regulations are characterised by the highest drafting standards, and it seems unlikely that agencies are equipped to achieve the same standards in the drafting of instruments under the general instrument-making power. In particular, the committee notes that regulations may be lengthy and complex, covering a range of matters as permitted by the general power on which they are based. Given this, the Parliament's ability to scrutinise instruments that are of a similar character, but not drafted, and subject to only limited oversight, by OPC, may be adversely affected where the highest standards are not maintained.

**The committee therefore requests the minister's advice on the matters outlined above, and on the particular questions set out below:**

**Regarding the FPC's advice that 'some types of provisions should be included in regulations and be drafted by OPC [without] strong justification for prescribing those provisions in another type of legislative instrument', in the event that such provisions are required for the Acts listed on page 3 above, how will the required measures be introduced in the absence of a regulation-making power?**

**Will the drafting of complex and lengthy instruments by departments and agencies based on the general instrument-making power achieve the same levels of quality and accuracy as achieved by OPC in its drafting of regulations?**

**What is the minister's understanding of the fundamental or original reason for requiring regulations to be drafted by OPC and made by the Governor-General? Do such requirements ensure higher standards in such instruments by mandating greater executive responsibility and scrutiny?**

*Prescribing matters by legislative rules and the definition of ‘prescribed’ in the Acts Interpretation Act 1901*

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

Regarding the issue of the relationship between prescribing matters by legislative rules and the definition of 'prescribed' in section 2B of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901*, the FPC's advice states that '[t]here is no legislative principle or practice that requires the word 'prescribe' to be used only in relation to regulations.' FPC advised that the definition of 'prescribed' is a 'facilitative' definition intended to assist in the shortening of Acts. FPC then stated that 'current legislative drafting practice is to rely on the definition sparingly (even for regulations) because the definition appears not to be widely known by users of legislation, it has no application to the making of instruments apart from regulations and can be uncertain in its application.'

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

The committee thanks the minister for his response.

However, while this matter may not ultimately bear relevance to the important matters raised above, the committee considers that the advice of the FPC raises a number of issues potentially relevant to the committee's functions, and which require clarification.

**The committee therefore seeks the minister's advice as to the following:**

**the specific meaning and import of the term 'facilitative definition', and the legal or policy considerations that guide the interpretation of specific definitions as being facilitative as opposed to, for example, restrictive;**

**specific cases in which the definition is uncertain in its application; and**

**specific cases which demonstrate that the definition is not widely known by identified classes of 'users of legislation', and the specific consequences of such cases.**

### Migration Amendment (Bridging Visas—Code of Behaviour) Regulation 2013 [F2013L02102] (with reference to Code of Behaviour for Public Interest Criterion 4022 - IMMI 13/155 [F2013L02105])

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Migration Regulations 1994 to establish an enforceable code of behaviour for certain Bridging E (Class WE) visa holders (instrument F2013L02105 specifies the code of behaviour for applicants seeking to satisfy the criteria for the grant of a Subclass 050 Bridging (General) visa) |
| **Last day to disallow** | 13 May 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | Migration Regulations 1994 |
| **Department** | Immigration and Border Protection |

**Background**

Together, these two instruments establish and specify an enforceable code of behaviour as a visa condition for certain Bridging E (Class WE) visa (BVE) holders.

The first instrument (the regulation) provides that the minister must approve a code of behaviour (the code), compliance with which is made a condition of the BVE. The failure of a relevant visa holder to comply with the code enables the minister to cancel the visa. The consequence of cancellation is that the person 'will be returned to immigration detention and may be transferred to an offshore processing centre'. A person whose visa is cancelled in such circumstances is unable to apply for a further BVE.

The code of behaviour (the code) subsequently made under the authority of the regulation requires BVE holders to comply with the laws of Australia and prescribes certain behaviour, including that a BVE holder must not 'harass, intimidate or bully any other person or group of people or engage in anti-social or disruptive activities that are inconsiderate, disrespectful or threaten the peaceful enjoyment of other members of the community [sic]'. The committee notes that the code is itself not disallowable. However, the committee notes that the content of the code has informed its assessment of the regulation for compliance with the committee's scrutiny principles.

**Issue:**

*(a) Matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment*

Scrutiny principle (d) of the committee's terms of reference require the committee to consider whether an instrument contains matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment (that is, matters that should be enacted via principal rather than delegated legislation). This may include instruments which are intended or would have the effect of bringing about 'radical changes in relationships or community attitudes'.[[4]](#footnote-4)

It is noted that, while visa BVE holders do not enjoy the full rights of Australian citizens, such persons are equally subject to Australian law. However, the regulation appears to create potential for such persons to be subject to behavioural standards not applicable to Australian citizens. To this extent, there would appear to be a reasonable possibility that the application of such a code could have the effect of bringing about significant changes in the relationship of Australian citizens to BVE holders. Further, the application of the code to BVE holders could conceivably influence community attitudes if, for example, the community were to regard BVE holders as being subject to standards of behaviour other than might be countenanced or expected of fellow citizens. In light of these considerations, the committee considers there is a question as to whether the changes effected by this instrument are appropriate for inclusion in delegated legislation, and should instead be contained in primary legislation. **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The committee has raised concerns about whether the legislative instrument contains matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment. In particular, it has raised concerns that the regulation appears to create the potential for Bridging E (Class WE) visa (BVE) holders to be subjected to behavioural standards not applicable to Australian citizens and that the application of the Code of Behaviour (the Code) could have the effect of bringing about significant changes in the relationship of Australian citizens to BVE holders as being subject to standards of behavior other than might be countenanced or expected of fellow citizens.

The wording contained within the Code was made into a legislative instrument to provide for greater flexibility in its contents and to allow me to respond and change its content where I consider it necessary. The cancellation powers, prescribed grounds and visa condition framework that support the Code of Behaviour amendment already exist within the Migration Regulations 1994 (the Regulations).

Under the *Migration Act 1954* (the Act), unlawful non-citizens (i.e. non-citizens who do not hold a valid visa) are subject to mandatory detention. In general, IMAs (who, under the Act, are referred to as Unauthorised Maritime Arrivals) are barred by the Act from making a valid application for a visa. If I wish to grant a BVE to such non-citizens in detention, I must use my personal, non-delegable powers under section 195A of the Act where I think it is in the public interest to do so.

The grant of a BVE in these circumstances is not a right, and there is no right for such BVEs to be renewed where they expire. They are granted to non-citizens in the expectation that they will abide by the law, will respect the values important in Australian society, participate in resolving their status, and will not cause or threaten harm to individuals or groups in the Australian community. These considerations contribute to my judgement as to whether it is in the public interest to use my powers to allow these people to hold a BVE.

Since November 2011, BVEs have been granted to more than 20,000 IMAs in immigration detention, significantly increasing the numbers of BVE holders in the community and resulting in IMAs comprising the majority of BVE holders in Australia. I am of the view that it is reasonable to hold these non-citizens to a higher standard of behaviour than was previously the case, where I have granted them a BVE in the public interest. This is because, if not for my decision, these individuals would continue to be unlawful non-citizens subject to mandatory detention under the Act. They do not hold and have not been assessed as meeting the statutory criteria for grant of any substantive visa.

There are already other longstanding areas of migration legislation which apply constraints to non-citizens, which do not apply more broadly to members of the community, for example relating to study, work and reporting of address information. I consider it reasonable that these non-citizens, particularly persons who have not lived in the Australian community previously, are given clear guidance on our expectation of them and I maintain that if we are going to release or allow people to remain in the community from a range of backgrounds, language groups and cultures we should have a process in place that explains what is expected of them, and be prepared to remove them from the community if those expectations are not met.

The Code provides a mechanism for the education of BVE holders on behavioural expectations, the importance of following public health related directives made by my department and to encourage ongoing engagement and compliance with my department whilst their immigration status is being resolved. The Code also provides for early warning and preventative measures through education on behavioral expectations before more serious behavioural problems arise that could otherwise threaten the safety of the Australian community, something that was previously not available. In addition, the Code provides a mechanism to ensure the protection of the Australian community through visa cancellation and re-detention of a person who engages in certain types of behaviour generally not considered to be acceptable in the Australian community.

There is no evidence that the Code is having an adverse impact on the treatment of BVE holders in the community, or that it will have an adverse impact in the future. I would be briefed accordingly should any such evidence be received by my department and I would consider any appropriate action at that time. There is evidence through media and public expression of concern over instances where BVE holders in the community might have posed a risk to the community or a member of the community.

The Code provides a valuable form of reassurance to the community that risks associated with placing non-citizens in the community instead of in detention can be responded to expeditiously.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his detailed response and has concluded its interest in this matter.**

**Issue:**

*(b) Insufficiently defined power*

As noted above, the regulation provides that the minister must approve a code of behaviour. However, the regulation provides no criteria for any such code, effectively establishing a broad power for executive regulation of the behaviour of relevant visa holders. This concern is informed by scrutiny of the code, which prescribes a number of potentially vague and subjective behaviours, such as behaviour which is 'anti-social', 'disruptive', 'inconsiderate' or 'disrespectful', or which 'threatens the peaceful enjoyment of other members of the community [sic]'. Given the serious consequences which may flow from a breach of the code, a question arises as to whether the regulation should provide specific criteria in relation to the content of any code approved by the minister **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The committee raised concerns that the Code prescribes a number of potentially vague and subjective behaviours such as 'anti-social', 'disruptive', 'inconsiderate' or 'disrespectful' behaviour, or behaviour which 'threatens the peaceful enjoyment of other members of the community'. The committee questions whether, given the serious consequences which may flow from a breach of the Code, the specific criteria in relation to content of the Code should be provided for in the Regulations.

The terms used in the Code such as 'anti-social', 'disruptive', 'inconsiderate' and 'disrespectful' are commonly used terms in the Australian community and the supporting Code of Behaviour framework provides clear descriptions on the definition of these terms and how a breach of these elements would be assessed and a decision on a breach applied.

The existing visa condition framework within the Regulations also already contains subjective elements. For example, condition 8303 requires the holder must not become involved in activities disruptive to, or violence threatening harm to, the Australian community or a group with the Australian community. Much decision making in relation to visas is based on subjective judgments relating to terms set out in the Regulations. The codified natural justice processes for visa decision making provide an opportunity for the visa holder to challenge and/ or respond to potentially adverse conclusions.

As noted in my media release after the BVE Regulation commenced, similar behaviour codes are currently enforced in held and community detention under the Act and it makes sense that a similar code he applied to those living in the community on a BVE whose status is also not yet determined.

Although the Regulations provide scope to cancel BVEs held by non-citizens where they were charged or convicted of a criminal offence, this does not adequately capture repeated anti-social activities that do not attract a charge or conviction, hut which interfere with the right of the community to peaceful enjoyment. Issues already emerging relate to, for example, intimidation and harassment of service provider staff members.

The Code now addresses such broader issues and focusses on such public safety issues as harassment, intimidation and bullying, as behaviours that may now invoke visa cancellation consideration.

As stated previously, the Code is both an enforceable tool providing a basis for visa cancellation and an educative tool for BVE holders to make behavioural expectations clear. The Code is not written in such a way as to regulate a BVE holder's legitimate freedom of expression and religion. It does, however, identify that certain types of behaviour could be viewed as harassment, intimidation or a form of bullying of other persons or groups of persons and are not considered to be tolerable in Australian society, and therefore could be seen as a breach of the Code. One of the important purposes of the Code is to provide the opportunity for early warning and for preventative measures to be taken in relation to less than criminaI matters, before more serious behavioural problems may arise. In that regard, I consider it reasonable that BVE holders are given clear guidance about the behaviours that are considered acceptable and reasonable in Australian society.

My department has put in place a number of processes to ensure that the Code is clearly understood prior to the need to sign the Code. For example, IMAs in held and community detention have been assisted by case managers and interpreters when signing the Code to ensure that they understand the Code and what it contains. Supporting information explaining key terms used in the Code is being translated into twelve community languages and people in the community can seek support from my department to sign the Code where necessary. In addition, an initial information session has been held for IMA BVE holders who receive Community Assistance Support (CAS) services or Asylum Seeker Assistance Scheme (ASAS) services through the Adult Multicultural Education Services in Melbourne, with further information sessions planned for other locations.

Although the legislation provides a trigger for considering cancellation of the visa, the decision to cancel a bridging visa remains discretionary, allowing the decision maker to take into account the individual merits of a case. The discretionary cancellation process requires that a visa holder be notified if there appear to be grounds for cancellation and given particulars of those grounds and the information because of which the grounds appear to exist under the principle of natural justice. The visa holder must be provided with the opportunity to show that the grounds do not exist, or that there are other reasons why the visa should not be cancelled.

In addition, while the cancellation ground may be enlivened, there are a number of other responses that can be applied where a breach of the Code has occurred (or is alleged to have occurred), which can be tailored to suit individual circumstances and allow for flexible application. These responses include the use of counselling and warning letters for less serious matters, which are aimed at educating BVE holders further on the terms of the Code and reinforcing behavioural expectations.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

The committee thanks the minister for his detailed response.

**However, the committee notes that the minister's department has prepared 'supporting information explaining key terms used in the Code'. Given the committee's concerns regarding the subjective nature of the terms used in the code,** t**he committee requests from the minister a copy of any such supporting information.**

**The committee also requests the minister's advice as to whether departmental policy manuals and/or guidance material contain guidance for decision-makers on the application of key terms in decision-making, consistent with the supporting information provided to visa holders.**

**Issue:**

*(c) Exemption of instrument from disallowance*

As noted above, the regulation provides that the minister must approve a code of behaviour for BVE holders. The code is to be made by instrument in writing. The authority for the making of such an instrument has been provided for by the addition of Part 4.1 to Schedule 4 of the migration regulations. Instruments made under this schedule are exempt from disallowance. Despite the apparently legislative character of such an instrument, and the potentially significant consequences for individuals affected by the code, the committee notes that the ES contains no information on the exemption of the code from disallowance, including:

the broader justification for the exemption of instruments made under Schedule 4;

the extent to which any such justification applies to the code; and

whether, taking into account the nature of the code, it is appropriate to exempt such an instrument from disallowance (and therefore remove it from the effective oversight of the Parliament).

**[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The committee has noted that the Explanatory Statement contains no information on the exemption of the Code from disallowance. Under item 26 of section 44 of the Legislative Instruments Act 2003, legislative instruments under Part 1, 2, or 5 or Schedule 1, 2, 4, 5A, 6, 6A, or 8 of the Regulations made under the Act are not subject to disallowance. As the Code is made under Schedule 4 to the Regulations it is not subject to disallowance by operation of the Legislative Instruments Act 2003.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

The committee thanks the minister for his response. However, the committee notes that the minister's response did not address the issues raised. **The committee therefore requests further information from the minister regarding:**

**the broader justification for the exemption of instruments made under Schedule 4;**

**the extent to which any such justification applies to the code; and**

**whether, taking into account the nature of the code, it is appropriate to exempt such an instrument from disallowance (and therefore remove it from the effective oversight of the Parliament).**

**Issue:**

*(d) Retrospective effect of instrument*

The application of the new visa criterion relating to the code of behaviour applies to applications for BVEs made, but not finally determined, before the commencement of the instrument (14 December 2013), as well as applications made on or after that day. This means that otherwise valid applications not determined at 14 December 2013 may, by virtue of the new criterion, now be invalid, giving the instrument an element of retrospectivity in its effect. The ES provides no justification for this apparent removal of the entitlement in relation to current applications for BVEs **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The committee raised concerns about the retrospective effect of the BVE Regulation and the Explanatory Statement's justification for the apparent removal of pre-existing entitlements in relation to applications for a BVE. In particular, the Committee noted that this Instrument introduced new visa criterion relating to the Code which has the effect of invalidating otherwise valid BVE applications not determined on the commencement date (14 December 2013).

The BVE Regulation has no effect on the validity of otherwise valid applications. Under the migration legislation, a visa application is assessed against validity criteria and where that application is valid, against criteria that is to be met at the time of the visa application and at the time of the visa decision. This instrument introduces a new visa criterion related to the time of decision criteria only. Consistent with the government's policy intention to ensure that people who were granted a BVE as a result of the exercise of my powers under section 195A of the Act are held to a higher level of accountability, the new time of decision criterion requires certain persons being considered for the grant of a BVE to have signed a Code of Behaviour. This new criterion does not affect the validity of a BVE application. Any applications that were determined to be valid prior to the commencement of the Instrument remain valid after the commencement of the Instrument. The Explanatory Statement does not contain justifications about the apparent retrospectivity of the Instrument given it only affects decisions made after the date of the regulation.

Under this amendment any person who has had a BVE cancelled for reason of failure to comply with condition 8564 or 8566, or where the visa was cancelled under a ground specified in 2.43(l)(p) or (q) is barred from applying for a further BVE.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

The committee thanks the minister for his response. However, the committee notes that its inquiry related to the retrospective effect of the instrument, as opposed to retrospectivity in the strict sense. Although the instrument is not strictly retrospective, the new criterion (signing the code) prescribes a rule for the future based on antecedent facts (that is, the existence of an earlier visa application). As a consequence, it appears that an otherwise valid application not determined at 14 December 2013 may now be subject to a new criterion (the code) at the time of the visa decision. The committee's usual approach to such cases is to regard them as being retrospective in effect, and to assess such cases against the requirement to ensure that instruments of delegated legislation do not unduly trespass on personal rights and liberties (scrutiny principle (b)). **The committee therefore requests further information from the minister (as to the justification for this approach).**

**Issue:**

*(e) Consultation*

The ES for the regulation states that consultation was not undertaken because the changes were considered to be 'of a machinery nature as they add to the existing cancellation framework under the migration legislation'. Given the substantive effect and consequences which may arise from the application of a code of behaviour on BVE holders, the characterisation of the instrument as machinery in nature on this basis is open to question. This gives rise to a concern that the minister's determination that consultation was unnecessary or inappropriate in this case may not have taken account of, or provided appropriate opportunity for comment by, persons likely to be affected by the instrument. The committee notes that, while the ES states that 'continuing consultation on the draft code' was being undertaken, the ES for the code indicates that consultation was only undertaken internally and with other government departments and agencies, and did not involve consultation with persons likely to be affected by the instrument or with stakeholders more generally **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The committee is concerned that my determination that consultation was unnecessary or inappropriate in this case may not have taken account of, or provided appropriate opportunity for comment by, persons likely to be affected by the instrument.

Relevant government departments and the Australian Federal Police were consulted about the Code of Behaviour and where possible I have taken these agency's comments and concerns into account when crafting the content of the instruments. Contracted service providers are involved in ongoing consultation with my department with regards to the implementation process.

The Code of Behaviour amendment reflects community concerns and the policy articulated by the government prior to the election. Ongoing media coverage continues to reflect the community's concerns to ensure IMA BVE holders arc given clear guidance on the behaviours expected of them and that government has enforceable powers to remove IMA BVE holders to immigration detention where these expectations are breached.

Under the existing visa framework most temporary visa holders, including BVE holders, in Australia are subject to a variety of visa conditions set out in the Regulations. Many of these set out requirements that the visa holder must abide by or which set restrictions on what the visa holder is permitted to do while in Australia. As noted in my media release after the instruments commenced, similar behaviour codes are currently enforced in held and community detention and it makes sense that a similar code be applied to those living in the community whose status is also not yet determined.

An IMA in detention can only be granted a BVE where I exercise my personal powers to grant a visa in the public interest. Given that I grant these visas using my personal powers it is appropriate that I determine the conditions under which these people may live in the community. The grant of a visa in these circumstances is not a right, and is conferred on these non-citizens in the expectation that they will be law abiding, considerate, compliant, and will not cause or threaten harm to the Australian community. Without my intervention these non-citizens would remain in immigration detention and would return to immigration detention at the expiry of their BVEs.

When I announced the implementation of the Code on Friday 20 December 2013, I noted that an average of two IMAs had been charged with criminal offences every week since the election. Charges laid against IMAs at that time included murder, assault, acts of indecency, stalking, rape, shoplifting and drink-driving. As at 31 January 2014, 50 IMAs have had their BVEs cancelled and been re-detained, and 24 whose BVE had ceased have been re-detained following involvement in a criminal matter.

I consider it reasonable that non-citizens being released into the community on a BVE, particularly where they have not lived in the Australian community previously, are given clear guidance about the behaviours that are considered acceptable and reasonable in Australian society.

The Code is designed to support BVE holders whilst they live in the community by educating them in acceptable standards of behaviour. Information sessions have been held for IMA BVE holders who receive CAS services or ASAS services through the Adult Multicultural Education Services in Melbourne, with further information sessions planned for other locations. IMA BVE holders who are receiving support under the CAS and/or ASAS programmes are given orientation to Australian society by their service providers. The Code will reinforce information provided during these orientation sessions. The educative aspect of the Code is intended to assist people to understand the behaviour expected of them while they live lawfully in the community and to encourage cooperation with authorities while they are awaiting resolution of their visa status.

The Code of Behaviour amendment does not affect the capacity of all IMAs to choose not to sign the Code.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in this matter.**

### Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2014 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00160]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Financial Management and Accountability Regulations 1997 to add two items to Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for certain spending activities in the Department of Education and the Department of Employment |
| **Last day to disallow** | 16 June 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997* |
| **Department** | Finance |

**Background:**

In March 2014, the committee received a letter from the President of the Senate and Chair of the Senate Standing Committee on Appropriations and Staffing (Appropriations and Staffing Committee), Senator the Hon. John Hogg.[[5]](#footnote-5) As Chair of the Appropriations and Staffing Committee, the President requested that the committee monitor executive expenditure made by regulation under the *Financial Framework Legislation Amendment Act (No 3) 2012* (Financial Framework Amendment Act), and report on such expenditure to the Senate.

The President noted that it is a fundamental role of Parliament to approve appropriations and authorise revenue and expenditure proposals. The committee notes that section 83 of the Constitution provides that no money shall be drawn from consolidated revenue 'except under appropriation made by law'. Under section 53 of the Constitution, the Senate may not amend proposed laws appropriating revenue or moneys for the ordinary annual services of the government. However, an appropriation bill not for the ordinary annual services of the government may be directly amended by the Senate. Section 54 of the Constitution provides that an appropriation bill for ordinary annual services must contain only those appropriations.

The committee notes that, in June 2010, the Senate reaffirmed its constitutional right to amend proposed laws appropriating revenue or moneys for expenditure on all matters not involving the ordinary annual services of the government. The resolution stated, amongst other things, that appropriations for expenditure on new policies not previously authorised by special legislation are not appropriations for the ordinary annual services of the government, and that proposed laws for the appropriation of revenue or moneys for expenditure on matters such as new expenditure shall be presented to the Senate in a separate appropriation bill subject to amendment by the Senate.[[6]](#footnote-6)

The importance of adequate parliamentary control of executive government was a key theme of the High Court's judgment in *Williams v Commonwealth* (2012) 248 CLR 156. The decision cast doubt on the validity of government expenditure involving direct payments to persons other than a state or territory, the only authority for which was the appropriation acts. In response to the High Court decision, the Financial Framework Amendment Act added section 32B to the *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997*. Section 32B established a regulation-making mechanism whereby the executive can authorise expenditure on programs by amending Schedule 1AB of the Financial Management and Accountability (FMA) Regulations, rather than including those matters in primary legislation.[[7]](#footnote-7)

In light of the above considerations, the President drew the committee's attention to the need to monitor a deficiency in the process of scrutinising items of expenditure which appear to have been inappropriately classified as the ordinary annual services of the government. The President noted that previously, such items were drawn to the attention of the Appropriations and Staffing Committee, and to legislation committees examining estimates of expenditure, and a list of such items was also drawn to the attention of the Minister for Finance. However, since the passage of the Financial Framework Amendment Act, items that previously may have been inappropriately classified as ordinary annual services of the government may now be included in FMA Regulations without direct parliamentary approval. The President pointed out that the authorising of expenditure in this way has effectively reduced the scope of the Senate's scrutiny of government expenditure, and therefore proposed that the committee's scrutiny of legislative instruments specifically include an assessment of the nature of executive expenditure (in accordance with the committee's scrutiny principle (d)).

**Issue:**

*Addition of matters to Schedule 1AB of the FMA Regulations—previously unauthorised expenditure*

Scrutiny principle (d) of the committee's terms of reference requires the committee to consider whether an instrument contains matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment (that is, matters that should be enacted via principal rather than delegated legislation).

Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2014 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00160] adds two items to Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for spending activities related to two programs in the Department of Employment and the Department of Education respectively. The first item allocates $6.9 million over three years to the Tasmanian Jobs program to establish a 'wage subsidy pilot for Tasmanian job seekers'.[[8]](#footnote-8) The second item allocates $2.0 million over two years to the Students First—Agriculture in Education program to develop resources to help teachers better understand food and fibre production.[[9]](#footnote-9)

In the committee's view, both items appear to be expenditure not previously authorised by legislation. The committee considers that, prior to the enactment of the Financial Framework Amendment Act, both items should properly have been contained within an appropriation bill not for the ordinary annual services of the government, and subject to direct amendment by the Senate. The committee will draw this matter to the attention of the relevant portfolio committee.

The committee therefore draws the attention of the Senate to the expenditure authorised by this instrument relating to the Tasmanian Jobs program and the Students First—Agriculture in Education program.

### Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2014 Measures No. 2) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00199]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Financial Management and Accountability Regulations 1997 to add two items to Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for certain spending activities in the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade |
| **Last day to disallow** | 17 June 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997* |
| **Department** | Finance |

**Background:**

The committee has previously determined to examine certain regulations made under the *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997*, on the basis of concerns regarding the potential erosion of the Senate's constitutional rights with respect to the authorising of expenditure.[[10]](#footnote-10)

**Issue:**

*Addition of matters to Schedule 1AB of the FMA Regulations—previously unauthorised expenditure*

Scrutiny principle (d) of the committee's terms of reference requires the committee to consider whether an instrument contains matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment (that is, matters that should be enacted via principal rather than delegated legislation).

Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2014 Measures No. 2) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00199] adds two items to Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for spending activities related to two programs in the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade respectively. The second item allocates $100.0 million over five years to establish a New Colombo Plan, an Australian undergraduate study and internship program aimed at lifting knowledge of Asia and the Pacific in Australia.[[11]](#footnote-11)

In the committee's view, this item appears to be expenditure not previously authorised by legislation. The committee considers that, prior to the enactment of theFinancial Framework Amendment Act, the New Colombo Plan should properly have been contained within an appropriation bill not for the ordinary annual services of government, and subject to direct amendment by the Senate. The committee will draw this matter to the attention of the relevant portfolio committee.

**The committee therefore draws the attention of the Senate to the expenditure authorised by this instrument relating to the New Colombo Plan.**

### Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2014 Measures No. 3) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00284]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Financial Management and Accountability Regulations 1997 to add an item to Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for a spending activity in the Department of Education |
| **Last day to disallow** | 7 July 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997* |
| **Department** | Finance |

**Background:**

The committee has previously determined to examine certain regulations made under the *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997*, on the basis of concerns regarding the potential erosion of the Senate's constitutional rights with respect to the authorising of expenditure.[[12]](#footnote-12)

**Issue:**

*Addition of matters to Schedule 1AB of the FMA Regulations—previously unauthorised expenditure*

Scrutiny principle (d) of the committee's terms of reference requires the committee to consider whether an instrument contains matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment (that is, matters that should be enacted via principal rather than delegated legislation).

Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2014 Measures No. 3) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00284] adds an item to Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for spending activity related to a program in the Department of Education. The item allocates $15 million over four years to establish the Australia–Indonesia Centre at Monash University to 'strengthen and deepen Australia–Indonesia business, cultural, educational, research and community links, as well as promote a greater understanding of contemporary Indonesia'.[[13]](#footnote-13)

In the committee's view, this item appears to be expenditure not previously authorised by legislation. The committee considers that, prior to the enactment of theFinancial Framework Amendment Act, the Australia–Indonesia Centre should properly have been contained within an appropriation bill not for the ordinary annual services of government, and subject to direct amendment by the Senate. The committee will draw this matter to the attention of the relevant portfolio committee.

**The committee therefore draws the attention of the Senate to the expenditure authorised by this instrument relating to the Australia–Indonesia Centre.**

**Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2013 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2013 [F2013L02089][[14]](#footnote-14)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Financial Management and Accountability Regulations 1997 to add the Australian Aged Care Quality Agency as a prescribed agency in Schedule 1, insert a new Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for new or significantly changed spending activities for the purposes of section 32B and establish legislative authority for certain spending activities in the Departments of Agriculture, Communications and the Prime Minister and Cabinet |
| **Last day to disallow** | 13 May 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997* |
| **Department** | Finance |

**Background:**

The committee has previously determined to examine certain regulations made under the *Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997*, on the basis of concerns regarding the potential erosion of the Senate's constitutional rights with respect to the authorising of expenditure.[[15]](#footnote-15)

**Issue:**

*Addition of matters to Schedule 1AB of the FMA Regulations—previously unauthorised expenditure*

Scrutiny principle (d) of the committee's terms of reference requires the committee to consider whether an instrument contains matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment (that is, matters that should be enacted via principal rather than delegated legislation).

Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2013 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2013 [F2013L02089] adds six items to Schedule 1AB to establish legislative authority for spending activity related to programs in the Department of Communications, the Department of Agriculture (two items), and the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (three items) respectively. The first item involves one-off grant payments under the Digital Productivity—Telehealth Trial with Townsville-Mackay Medicare Local. The second item allocates $10 million over ten years to the Red Meat and Cattle sector—International Co-operation and Investment Programme. Item 3 makes provision for ad hoc expenditure related to the 'Support for national expositions relating to primary industry [such as Beef Australia]'. Item 4 allocates $5 million for the design phase of the Empowered Communities initiative, including $1.5 million to Jawun Indigenous Corporate Partnerships. Item 5 allocates an unspecified amount to Better Employment Outcomes for Indigenous Australians. Item 6 allocates an unspecified amount to the Remote School Attendance Strategy.

In the committee's view, the items appear to be expenditure not previously authorised by legislation. The committee considers that, prior to the enactment of theFinancial Framework Amendment Act, the six items noted above should properly have been contained within an appropriation bill not for the ordinary annual services of government, and subject to direct amendment by the Senate. The committee will draw these matters to the attention of the relevant portfolio committee.

**The committee therefore draws the attention of the Senate to the expenditure authorised by this instrument relating to the Digital Productivity—Telehealth Trial with Townsville-Mackay Medicare Local, the Red Meat and Cattle sector—International Co-operation and Investment Programme, the Support for national expositions relating to primary industry, the Empowered Communities initiative, the Better Employment Outcomes for Indigenous Australians, and the Remote School Attendance Strategy.**

### Migration Amendment (2014 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00286]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Migration Regulations 1994 requirements relating to public interest criterion 4020, English requirements for applicants of the Subclass 457 (Temporary Work (Skilled)) visa, requirements in Part 202 of Schedule 2 and provisions dealing with disclosure of information under regulation 5.34F |
| **Last day to disallow** | 26 June 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Migration Act 1958* |
| **Department** | Immigration and Border Protection |

**Issue:**

*Retrospective effect of instrument*

Schedules 2 and 5 of this instrument add new criteria to the Subclass 202 and Subclass 457 visas, respectively. Under Schedule 6 of the instrument, the amendments made by the schedules apply to applications for the relevant visas made, but not finally determined, before the commencement of the instrument (22 March 2014), as well as applications made on or after that day. This means that otherwise valid applications not determined at 22 March 2014 are, by virtue of the new criteria, now invalid, giving the instrument an element of retrospectivity in its effect. The ES provides no justification for this apparent removal of the entitlement in relation to current applications for the visas in question.

Similarly, the amendments made by Schedules 1 and 3 make amendments in relation to Public Interest Criterion (PIC) 4020 and the application of PIC 4020, to introduce ‘a specific identity requirement’ in relation to the grant of certain visas. Again, the amendments made by the schedules apply to applications for the relevant visas made, but not finally determined, before the commencement of the instrument (22 March 2014), as well as applications made on or after that day. This means that otherwise valid applications not determined at 22 March 2014 are, by virtue of the new requirements, now invalid, giving the instrument an element of retrospectivity in its effect. Again, the ES provides no justification for this apparent removal of the entitlement in relation to current applications for the visas in question. **The committee therefore seeks further information from the minister.**

### Migration Regulations 1994 - Tests, Scores, Period, Level of Salary and Exemptions to the English Language Requirement for Subclass 457 (Temporary Work (Skilled)) Visas - IMMI 14/009 [F2014L00327]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Specifies the tests that can be undertaken; the required scores for each of the tests; the period in which the test must have been undertaken; an annual salary at a base rate of pay which is equal to, or greater than, the applicable base salary and the classes of applicants who are 'exempt applicants' |
| **Last day to disallow** | 7 July 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | Migration Regulations 1994 |
| **Department** | Immigration and Border Protection |

**Issue:**

*No information regarding consultation*

Section 17 of the Legislative Instruments Act 2003 directs a rule-maker to be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business. Section 18, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be unnecessary or inappropriate. The ES which must accompany an instrument is required to describe the nature of any consultation that has been carried out or, if there has been no consultation, to explain why none was undertaken (section 26). With reference to these requirements, the committee notes that the ES accompanying this instrument contains no reference to consultation. **The committee therefore seeks further information from the minister.**

### Parliamentary Service Amendment (Public Interest Disclosure and Other Matters) Determination 2014 [F2014L00368]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Parliamentary Service Determination 2013 to give effect to provisions of the *Public Interest Disclosure (Consequential Amendments) Act 2013* |
| **Last day to disallow** | 14 July 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Parliamentary Service Act 1999* |
| **Department** | Prime Minister and Cabinet |

**Issue:**

*Retrospectivity*

This instrument makes a number of amendments to the Parliamentary Service Determination 2013. Schedules 2 and 3 of the instrument contain amendments relating to public interest disclosures and the consequential change arising from the commencement of the *Privacy Amendment (Enhancing Privacy Protection) Act 2012*. These schedules commence retrospectively on 15 January 2014 and 12 March 2014, respectively. Subsection 12(2) of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* provides that an instrument that commences retrospectively is of no effect if it would disadvantage the rights of a person (other than the Commonwealth) or impose a liability on a person (other than the Commonwealth) for an act or omission before the instrument's date of registration. Accordingly, the committee's usual expectation is that ESs explicitly address the question of whether an instrument with retrospective commencement would disadvantage any person other than the Commonwealth. **The committee therefore seeks further information from the President of the Senate.**

### AASB 2013-9 - Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Conceptual Framework, Materiality and Financial Instruments - December 2013 [F2014L00370]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends numerous instruments as a consequence of the issue of Accounting Framework AASB CF 2013 1 |
| **Last day to disallow** | 14 July 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Corporations Act 2001* |
| **Department** | Treasury |

**Issue:**

*Retrospectivity*

This instrument is dated 13 December 2013, and amends a number of instruments as a consequence of previous amendments to the Australian Accounting Standards Board’s (AASB) Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements. The preface to the instrument notes that Part A applies to annual reporting periods ending on or after 20 December 2013, and that the amendments made by Part A may be applied to periods beginning on or after 1 January 2005 but ending before 20 December 2013. Subsection 12(2) of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* provides that an instrument that commences retrospectively is of no effect if it would disadvantage the rights of a person (other than the Commonwealth) or impose a liability on a person (other than the Commonwealth) for an act or omission before the instrument's date of registration. Accordingly, the committee's usual expectation is that explanatory statements explicitly address the question of whether an instrument with retrospective effect would disadvantage any person other than the Commonwealth. **The committee therefore seeks further information from the minister.**

### Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing (Iran Countermeasures) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00371]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Regulates the entering into of transactions with residents of a prescribed foreign country |
| **Last day to disallow** | 14 July 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006* |
| **Department** | Attorney-General's |

**Issue:**

*No information regarding consultation*

Section 17 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* directs a rule-maker to be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business. Section 18, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be unnecessary or inappropriate. The ES which must accompany an instrument is required to describe the nature of any consultation that has been carried out or, if there has been no consultation, to explain why none was undertaken (section 26). With reference to these requirements, the committee notes that the ES accompanying this instrument contains no reference to consultation. **The committee therefore seeks further information from the minister.**

### Autonomous Sanctions (Designated Persons and Entities and Declared Persons - Zimbabwe) Amendment List 2014 [F2014L00411]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Autonomous Sanctions (Designated Persons and Entities and Declared Persons - Zimbabwe) List 2012 to give effect to the decision of the Foreign Minister to remove 26 individuals from the list of those subject to Australia's autonomous sanctions in relation to Zimbabwe |
| **Last day to disallow** | 14 July 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | Autonomous Sanctions Regulations 2011 |
| **Department** | Foreign Affairs and Trade |

**Issue:**

*(a) Drafting*

Section 3 of this instrument states that Schedule 1 instrument amends the Autonomous Sanctions (Designated Persons and Entities and Declared Persons – Zimbabwe) List 2012. However, Schedule 1 of the instrument contains no amendment instruction to indicate how it amends the principal instrument. While it appears clear that the intention is that Schedule 1 of this instrument is intended to replace Schedule 1 of the principal instrument, the committee understands that standard drafting practice would be to include an amending instruction to expressly indicate this. **The committee therefore draws this matter to the attention of the minister.**

**Issue:**

*(b) Insufficient description provided regarding consultation*

Regarding consultation, the ES for this instrument states:

The Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (the Department) conducts ongoing public consultations, including with the Australian financial services sector and broader business community, in relation to these types of measures. Relevant Commonwealth Government departments were consulted prior to and during the drafting of this legislative instrument.

Section 17 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* requires that rule-makers undertake appropriate consultation before making a proposed instrument, if an instrument is likely to have a direct, or a substantial indirect, effect on business, or if the instrument is likely to restrict competition. The committee has routinely considered that very bare or overly general descriptions of consultation, such as this, do not in fact describe the nature of the consultation undertaken, as is required by section 26 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*. **The committee therefore seeks further information from the minister.**

### Migration Amendment (Credit Card Surcharge) Regulation 2014 [F2014L00421]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Migration Regulations 1994 to allow the department to recover the cost of certain administrative fees, by charging a credit card surcharge on applicants who pay an instalment, or part of an instalment, of their visa application charge with a credit card |
| **Last day to disallow** | 14 July 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Migration Act 1958* |
| **Department** | Immigration and Border Protection |

**Issue:**

*(a) Drafting*

Item 2 of Schedule 1 of this instrument inserts a new regulation 5.43 into the Migration Regulations 1994, at the end of Division 5.7 of Part 5. The committee notes that there appears to be a current regulation 5.43 in the Migration Regulations, at the beginning of Division 5.8 of Part 5. As a consequence, there are now two regulations numbered 5.43 in the regulations, and the committee notes that the existence of two regulations with the same number could impact on the clarity and useability of the regulations. **The committee therefore draws this matter to the attention of the minister.**

**Issue:**

*(b) Subdelegation of legislative power*

New regulation 5.43 creates a liability for visa applicants to pay a credit card surcharge for visa applications. New subregulation 5.43(4) provides that the minister may specify, in a legislative instrument, circumstances in which the credit card surcharge (a) must be waived, (b) may be waived, (c) must be refunded or (d) may be refunded.

The committee notes that section 504 of the *Migration Act 1958* delegates to the Governor-General the Parliament's power to make regulations under the Act. Paragraph 504(1)(a) provides a specific power to make regulations in relation to the charging of fees, and paragraph 504(1)(b) provides a specific power to make regulations providing for the remission, refund or waiver of specified fees (or for exempting persons from the payment of such fees). In new regulation 5.43 the Governor-General has provided for the imposition of a credit card surcharge. In new subregulation 5.43(4), however, the Governor-General subdelegates to the minister the power (in effect) to determine circumstances in which the credit card surcharge will not apply. In the committee's view, there is a question as to whether this goes to the heart of the power to impose the credit card surcharge (and so offends the rule against subdelegation).

The committee notes that, while subdelegation can be authorised by an empowering Act, there does not appear to be any power in the Migration Act to subdelegate as in the his case. **The committee therefore seeks further information from the minister.**

**Foreign Affairs and Trade (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00266]**

**Immigration and Border Protection (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00267]**

**Infrastructure and Regional Development (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00268]**

**Social Services (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00269]**

**Veterans' Affairs (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00270]**

### Employment (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00271]

### Defence (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00273]

### Spent and Redundant Instruments Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00274]

### Environment (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00275]

### Education (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00276]

### Health (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00277]

### Finance (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00278]

### Civil Aviation (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [F2014L00279]

**Issue:**

*Mass repeal of redundant instruments under the Legislative Instruments Act 2003*

### The 11 instruments listed above repeal 156, 270, 774, 287, 336, 118, 1,103, 220, 866, 61, 1192, 1168 and 3327 instruments respectively that are either spent or not otherwise required. They include amending and repealing instruments and commencement instruments that have no further effect, because they have fulfilled their purpose. Mass repeal of such instruments was enabled by amendments to the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* in 2012. The committee therefore draws the attention of the Senate to the mass repeal of redundant legislative instruments.

### Multiple instruments identified in Appendix 1

The committee has identified a number of instruments, marked by an asterisk (\*) in Appendix 1, that appear to rely on subsection 33(3) of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901*, which provides that the power to make an instrument includes the power to vary or revoke the instrument. If that is the case, the committee considers that it would be preferable for the ES for any such instrument to identify the relevance of subsection 33(3), in the interests of promoting the clarity and intelligibility of the instrument to anticipated users. **The committee therefore draws this issue to the attention of ministers and instrument-makers responsible for the instruments identified in Appendix 1**. **The committee provides the following example of a form of words which may be included in an ES where subsection 33(3) of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901* is relevant**:

Under subsection 33 (3) of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901*, where an Act confers a power to make, grant or issue any instrument of a legislative or administrative character (including rules, regulations or by-laws), the power shall be construed as including a power exercisable in the like manner and subject to the like conditions (if any) to repeal, rescind, revoke, amend, or vary any such instrument.[[16]](#footnote-16)

# Chapter 2

## Concluded matters

This chapter lists matters previously raised by the committee and considered at its meeting on **14 May 2014**. The committee has concluded its interest in these matters on the basis of responses received from ministers or relevant instrument-makers.

Correspondence relating to these matters is included at Appendix 3.

### Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Amendment (Various Matters) Regulation 2013 [F2013L02135]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Regulations 1995 to require licence records relating to the import, export or manufacture of ozone depleting substances or synthetic greenhouse gas to be kept at the licensee's principal place of residence, align the fit and proper person test in the principal regulations with the *Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Act 1989*, establish a framework for issuing and administering infringement notices under the principal regulations, and insert a reference to the Exemption List for Non-Quarantine and Pre-Shipment Applications of Methyl Bromide for 2014 |
| **Last day to disallow[[17]](#footnote-17)** | 15 May 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Act 1989* |
| **Department** | Environment |

**Issue:**

*Insufficient information regarding infringement notice regime*

This instrument makes a number of amendments to the Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Regulations 1995, including to establish a framework for issuing and administering infringement notices under the regulations. The ES states that new regulation 911 provides that payment of an infringement notice discharges all liability for an alleged contravention. However, it goes on to state that 'payment does not discharge liability if the notice is subsequently withdrawn and the penalty amount refunded'. Regulation 911 states that in such cases the person may be prosecuted in a court in relation to the alleged contravention. The committee considers that the ability for a notice to be withdrawn and for prosecution to proceed following payment of a penalty amount raises a question of double jeopardy, to the extent that the payment of a fine would normally finalise matters. While there may be a regulatory justification for this element of the infringement notice scheme, the ES does not provide any information as to the need for this provision **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for the Environment advised that subregulation 911(1):

…sets out the effect of payment of an infringement notice namely, that its payment discharges all liability for the alleged contravention, without constituting an admission of fault. This is appropriate for an administrative remedy that may be discharged without abjudication [sic] by the courts. However, subregulation 911(2) of the Regulations provides that subregulation 911(1) does not apply if the notice is subsequently withdrawn pursuant to subregulation 910(2) of the regulations.

The minister further advised that Regulations 910 and 911 are consistent with the Attorney-General's *A Guide to Framing Commonwealth Offence, Infringement Notice and Enforcement Powers* (the Guide) that allow infringement notices to be withdrawn for a variety of reasons. The minister noted:

Subregulation 910(3) of the Regulations sets out the factors the Secretary may consider when deciding whether or not to withdraw an infringement notice. These include whether a court has previously imposed a penalty on the person for a contravention of an infringement notice provision, the circumstances of the alleged contravention, whether the person has paid an earlier infringement notice penalty relating to the same, or substantially the same, conduct, and any other matter that the secretary considers relevant.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Amendment to the list of migratory species under section 209 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (26/11/2013) [F2013L02109]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the List of Migratory Species (13/07/2000) by deleting 82 species from the migratory list |
| **Last day to disallow** | 15 May 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* |
| **Department** | Environment |

**Issue:**

*No information regarding consultation*

Section 17 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* directs a rule-maker to be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business. Section 18, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be unnecessary or inappropriate. The ES which must accompany an instrument is required to describe the nature of any consultation that has been carried out or, if there has been no consultation, to explain why none was undertaken (section 26). With reference to these requirements, the ES for the instrument makes no reference to consultation **[the committee requested further information from the minister; and requested that the ES be updated in accordance with the requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for the Environment advised that the department had undertaken 'targeted consultation with Birdlife Australia, avian expert Professor Stephen Garnett of Charles Darwin University and migratory shorebird researcher Dr Danny Rogers of the Arthur Rylah Institute'. The minister stated that 'the amendments were strongly supported as these species do not meet the migratory species listing criteria'.

The minister noted that the ES had been amended to include the information provided.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Crimes Amendment (X-ray) Regulation 2013 [Select Legislative Instrument No. 199, 2013] [F2013L01448]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the principal regulations to remove the reference to wrist X-rays to accord with current practice in procedures for determining whether people smuggling crew are minors |
| **Last day to disallow** | 4 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Crimes Act 1914* |
| **Department** | Attorney-General's |

**Issue:**

*No information regarding consultation*

Section 17 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* directs a rule-maker to be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business. Section 18, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be unnecessary or inappropriate. The ES which must accompany an instrument is required to describe the nature of any consultation that has been carried out or, if there has been no consultation, to explain why none was undertaken (section 26). With reference to these requirements, the ES for the instrument makes no reference to consultation **[the committee requested further information from the minister; and requested that the ES be updated in accordance with the requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Justice advised that consultation on this regulation was considered unnecessary because it is unlikely to impact on business or restrict competition. However, the minister noted that the use of X-rays in the context of age determination has been considered in a series of public inquiries including:

the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs References Committee report into the Detention of Indonesian minors in Australia;

the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Legislation Committee report into the Crimes Amendment (Fairness of Minors) Bill 2011; and

the Australian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) Inquiry into the treatment of individuals suspected of people smuggling offences who say they are children.

The minister also noted that the content of the regulation was discussed in the context of the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Legislation Committee inquiry into the Crimes Legislation Amendment (Law Enforcement Integrity, Vulnerable Witness Protection and Other Measures) Bill 2013.

The minister also advised that he had been informed that the regulation implements part of Recommendation 1 of the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs References Committee report, and Recommendations 5 and 6 of the AHRC's report.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry (Beef Export to the USA-Quota Year 2014) Order 2013 [F2013L01966]

### Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry (Sheepmeat and Goatmeat Export to the European Union—Quota Year 2014) Order 2013 [F2013L01965]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | These instruments set the conditions and amount under which beef, sheepmeat and goatmeat can be exported for the period 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2014 |
| **Last day to disallow** | 17 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act 1997* |
| **Department** | Agriculture |

**Issue:**

*Drafting/Availability of review of decisions by AAT*

The first instrument mentioned above expressly provides that an exporter may apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) for a review of 'a decision of the Secretary relating to amendment of an approval issued under this order'. The second instrument, which appears to be setting similar quotas to the first instrument, but relating to a different market and to different meat products, contains no such provision. However, note 4 to section 8 of the second instrument states that '[c]ertain decisions by the Secretary about a quota are reviewable by the Administrative Appeals Tribunal: see section 30 of the Act'. There is no equivalent note in the first instrument. The reason for the absence of an equivalent note in the first instrument is not apparent **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Agriculture advised that the discrepancies between the Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry (Beef Export to the USA-Quota Year 2014) Order 2013 [F2013L01966] and the Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry (Sheepmeat and Goatmeat Export to the European Union—Quota Year 2014) Order 2013 [F2013L01965] regarding the review of decisions by the AAT are 'due to a drafting anomaly'.

The minister advised that the discrepancies 'do not impinge in any way' on the AAT review process pertaining to the decision made under the orders, and that appeals to the AAT may be made regarding decisions made under both orders. The minister further advised that 'the discrepancy in referencing in the notes will be rectified in drafting of future quota orders under the Act'.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Amendment (Fees for Wildlife Trade Permits) Regulation 2013 [Select Legislative Instrument No. 122, 2013] [F2013L01007]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Amends the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000 to update fees for wildlife trade permits |
| **Last day to disallow** | 11 September 2013 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* |
| **Department** | Environment |

**Issue:**

*Unclear basis for determining fees*

Various provisions of this instrument increase fees for wildlife trade permits. While there is reference to analysis set out in a 'Wildlife Trade Permits Cost Recovery Impact Statement July 2013-June 2018', the ES does not itself explain the basis on which the new fees have been calculated or set. The committee's usual expectation in cases where an instrument of delegated legislation carries financial implications via the imposition or adjustment of a charge, fee, levy or scale of costs (as the case may be) is that the relevant ES makes clear the basis on which the imposition or change has been calculated **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for the Environment advised that in August 2011, the then government 'agreed to investigate increased fees for wildlife trade regulation, to better reflect the cost of providing this service'. This was followed by a departmental consultation paper which 'sought stakeholder feedback on the impact of full cost recovery of these activities'. The minister noted that several submitters stated that full cost recovery 'would have a significant impact' and would potentially reduce or prohibit sales. The minister advised that the consultation paper and all public submissions are available on the department's website.

The minister stated that in taking account of stakeholder feedback:

…the then Australian Government agreed that the maximum increase that would not have a significant impact on the viability of relevant stakeholders was a doubling of fees, rather than introducing full cost recovery as originally proposed.

The department then conducted further consultation on the revised approach, and no concerns were raised about the revised fee increases. The minister advised that the consultation document outlined the full costs of permit application and support services, and that the new fees that commenced on 1 July 2013 'do not fully recover the costs of delivering the services'.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Australian Sports Anti-Doping Authority Amendment Regulation 2013 (No. 1) [Select Legislative Instrument No. 189, 2013] [F2013L01443]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Implements changes to Australia's anti-doping arrangements that are contained in the *Australian Sports Anti-Doping Authority Amendment Act 2013* |
| **Last day to disallow** | 4 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Australian Sports Anti-Doping Authority Act 2006* |
| **Department** | Regional Australia, Local Government, Arts and Sport |

**Issue:**

*No information regarding consultation*

Section 17 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* directs a rule-maker to be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business. Section 18, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be unnecessary or inappropriate. The ES which must accompany an instrument is required to describe the nature of any consultation that has been carried out or, if there has been no consultation, to explain why none was undertaken (section 26). With reference to these requirements, the ES for the instrument makes no reference to consultation **[the committee requested further information from the minister; and requested that the ES be updated in accordance with the requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Health advised that the then Department of Regional Australia, Local Government, Arts and Sport consulted with the Coalition of Major Professional and Participation Sports and the Australian Athletes Alliance. The minister stated:

The input provided by these bodies assisted in developing a Regulation that, while improving Australian Sports Anti-Doping Authority's capacity to collect information in relation to possible anti-doping rule violations, respects the protections afforded to athletes under the anti-doping arrangements.

The minister further advised that the non-reporting of these consultations was 'an oversight' and that an updated explanatory statement would be registered shortly.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Private Health Insurance (Health Benefits Fund Administration) Amendment Rule 2013 (No. 1) [F2013L01684]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Replaces and updates the solvency and capital adequacy standards |
| **Last day to disallow** | 4 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Private Health Insurance Act 2007* |
| **Department** | Health |

**Issue:**

*Incorporation of extrinsic material*

This instrument makes a number of amendments to the Private Health Insurance (Health Benefits Fund Administration) Rules 2007, and incorporates certain extrinsic material, including the Private Health Insurance (Risk Equalisation Policy) Rules 2007. While the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* allows for extrinsic material to be incorporated into instruments, the provisions of disallowable legislative instruments (as in this case) can be incorporated either (a) as in force or existing at a particular date or (b) as in force or existing 'from time to time'.[[18]](#footnote-18) In this case, neither the instrument nor the ES provides sufficient detail to determine the basis on which the material is intended to be incorporated into the instrument **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Health advised that the Private Health Insurance (Risk Equalisation Policy) Rules 2007 were incorporated as in force at the commencement of the instrument. The minister advised that the basis for the incorporation of extrinsic material 'will be more clearly articulated the next time the Private Health Insurance (Health Benefits Fund Administration) Rules 2007 are amended'.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Private Health Insurance (Council Administration Levy) Amendment Rules 2013 (No. 2) [F2013L01504]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Corrects a 'drafting error' noted in the denominator used to calculate the Council Administration Levy |
| **Last day to disallow** | 4 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Private Health Insurance (Council Administration Levy) Act 2003* |
| **Department** | Health |

**Issue:**

*Whether any person disadvantaged by previous error*

This instrument corrects a 'drafting error' noted in the 'denominator used to calculate the Council Administration Levy' (CAL), which is intended to meet the general administrative costs of the Private Health Insurance Administration Council (PHIAC). While the exact nature of the error is not described, the ES states that the instrument is intended to 'properly increase the CAL for the next three financial years'. Given this, it appears unlikely that any person has been disadvantaged by the error being corrected. However, the committee's usual approach where an instrument corrects a previous error, as in this case, is that the ES for the instrument address the question of whether any person was disadvantaged by the error and, if so, whether and what steps have been taken to address that disadvantage **[**t**he committee requested further information from the minister].**

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Health advised that 'the correction of the drafting error did not cause any disadvantage or have a negative impact on any person or business' because 'the error was picked up before the quarterly invoice process was conducted and thus the amendment had no detrimental effect'.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Private Health Insurance (Prostheses) Amendment Rules 2013 (No. 4) [F2013L01839]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Corrects drafting errors in the principal rules |
| **Last day to disallow** | 4 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Private Health Insurance Act 2007* |
| **Department** | Health |

**Issue:**

*Whether any person disadvantaged by previous error*

This instrument was made to correct a number of drafting errors in the Private Health Insurance (Prostheses) Rules 2013 (No.1) (the principal rules), which lists the kinds of prostheses in relation to which specified (minimum and maximum) benefits will be paid. The ES for the instrument states that these include:

the correcting of errors in the product details for billing code WC312;

the correcting of the amount of minimum benefit payable by insurers for billing codes BS082, MH014, MH015, BS171, OL009, WC213, OL010, ST884, BR005, BR006, SHV01, SHV02 and SHV03;

the reinsertion of a number of billing codes inadvertently deleted from the principal rules;

the moving of billing code HW517 to its correct product group; and

the addition of a number of billing codes incorrectly omitted from the principal rules.

The committee's usual approach where an instrument corrects drafting errors of this nature is that the ES address the question of whether any person was disadvantaged by any of the errors and, if so, whether and what steps have been taken to address that disadvantage **[the committee therefore requested further information from the minister].**

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Health advised that 'there was a low risk that the correction of drafting errors would be disadvantageous to persons'. The minister stated that the department had taken steps 'to mitigate the risk of disadvantage' and 'notified stakeholders of the changes in advance of the rules commencing' by circulating Private Health Insurance Circular (PHI 72/13) on 1 November 2013.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### Customs Act 1901 - Specified Percentage of Total Factory Costs Determination No. 1 of 2013 [F2013L02198]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Determines that the specified percentage of the total factory cost of wiring harnesses claimed to be the manufacture of Samoa is 40% where the wiring harnesses: (a) are classified to subheading 8544.30.00 of Schedule 3 to the *Customs Tariff Act 1995*; and (b) are of a kind used in, and are for use in, passenger motor vehicles, as defined in Chapter 87 of the *Customs Tariff Act 1995* |
| **Last day to disallow** | 15 May 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Customs Act 1901* |
| **Department** | Immigration and Border Protection |

**Issue:**

*Insufficient description regarding consultation*

Section 17 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* directs a rule-maker to be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business. Section 18, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be unnecessary or inappropriate. The ES which must accompany an instrument is required to describe the nature of any consultation that has been carried out or, if there has been no consultation, to explain why none was undertaken (section 26). With reference to these requirements, the ES for the instrument states:

A reduction in the specified percentage of 10% in respect of the goods included in the Determination granted to Samoa may affect elements of the Australian automotive industry. However, consultation with industry has established that the majority of the Australian automotive industry indicated their support to the continuation of the derogation.

While the committee does not usually interpret section 26 as requiring a highly detailed description of consultation undertaken, it usually regards an overly general description, as in this case, is not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* **[the committee requested further information from the minister; and requested that the ES be updated in accordance with the requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Immigration and Border Protection advised that the Department of Industry consulted with the Australian vehicle manufacturers and the Federation of Automotive Products Manufacturers (the peak industry body representing the automotive supply chain). The minister stated that stakeholders 'indicated their support for the continuation of the derogation, with only one submission opposing the derogation on the basis that it had the potential to adversely affect its business'. The minister further noted that the consultation 'was undertaken on a commercial-in-confidence basis'. The minister further advised that an updated explanatory statement would be re-tabled in both Houses.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

**Treatment Principles (Australian Participants in British Nuclear Tests) 2006 (No. R54/2013) [F2013L02031]**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Modifies the Treatment Principles (No. R52/2013) under the Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986 (VEA TPs) in the application of the VEA TPs to persons eligible for treatment under the Australian Participants in British Nuclear Tests (Treatment) Act 2006 |
| **Last day to disallow** | 19 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Australian Participants in British Nuclear Tests (Treatment) Act 2006* |
| **Department** | Veterans' Affairs |

**Issue:**

*Drafting*

Item 12 of the schedule to this instrument provides:

12. ...

Unlike the other numbered items in the schedule, there is no amendment specified in the item. The same occurs in relation to items 7 and 23, and within item 59 of the schedule. It is not clear whether this formulation is intentional. **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Veterans' Affairs advised that the blank items in the schedule of incorporated documents are intentional. The minister noted that the overarching schedule is prepared for the *Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986* Treatment Principles and then also used for the *Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004* Treatment Principles and the *Australian Participants in British Nuclear Tests (Treatment) Act 2006* Nuclear Treatment Principles. The minister noted that:

…not all of the documents mentioned in the template-schedule are relevant for one or both of the other two sets of Treatment Principles. Accordingly the template-schedule is modified by leaving a blank item for the un-needed document as an "alert" to a difference between the set of Treatment Principles for which the document is not needed and the other sets of Treatment Principles.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### MRCA Treatment Principles (No. MRCC 53/2013) [F2013L02016]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Determines the places at which, the circumstances in which, and the conditions subject to which, a particular kind or class of treatment may be provided under Part 3 of Chapter 6 of the *Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004*; and determines the matters in paragraphs 286(1)(d), (e) (f) and (g) of that Act |
| **Last day to disallow** | 19 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | *Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004* |
| **Department** | Veterans' Affairs |

**Issue:**

*Drafting*

Item 7 of Schedule 1 to this instrument provides:

7. ...

Unlike the other numbered items in Schedule 1, there is no amendment specified in the item. It is not clear whether this formulation is intentional **[the committee requested further information from the minister]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Veterans' Affairs advised that the blank items in the schedule of incorporated documents are intentional. The minister noted that the overarching schedule is prepared for the *Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986* Treatment Principles and then also used for the *Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004* Treatment Principles and the *Australian Participants in British Nuclear Tests (Treatment) Act 2006* Nuclear Treatment Principles. The minister noted that:

…not all of the documents mentioned in the template-schedule are relevant for one or both of the other two sets of Treatment Principles. Accordingly the template-schedule is modified by leaving a blank item for the un-needed document as an "alert" to a difference between the set of Treatment Principles for which the document is not needed and the other sets of Treatment Principles.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

### CASA 158/13 - Direction - number of cabin attendants in Boeing 737-800 series aircraft, Qantas Airways Limited [F2013L01491]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Purpose** | Permits Qantas Airways Limited to operate, subject to conditions, an Australian registered Boeing 737-800 series aircraft engaged in regular public transport or charter operations with one cabin attendant for every 50 passenger seats |
| **Last day to disallow** | 4 March 2014 |
| **Authorising legislation** | Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1988 |
| **Department** | Infrastructure and Regional Development |

**Issue:**

*Insufficient information regarding consultation*

Section 17 of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* directs a rule-maker to be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business. Section 18, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be unnecessary or inappropriate. The ES which must accompany an instrument is required to describe the nature of any consultation that has been carried out or, if there has been no consultation, to explain why none was undertaken (section 26). With reference to these requirements, the committee notes that the ES for the instrument states that consultation has taken place but does not identify who was consulted and the nature of the consultation. While the committee does not usually interpret section 26 as requiring a highly detailed description of consultation undertaken, it considers that an overly bare or general description, as in this case, is not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* **[the committee therefore requested further information from the minister; and requested that the ES be updated in accordance with the requirements of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*]**.

**MINISTER'S RESPONSE:**

The Minister for Infrastructure and Regional Development advised that consultation was undertaken with aviation industry participants through the issue of the CASA Notice of Proposed Rule Making on Cabin Crew Ratios relating to the proposed amendment to Civil Aviation Order Section 20.16.3. The minister advised that the consultation occurred 'in February 2010 prior to the issue of this and similar instruments'.

**COMMITTEE RESPONSE:**

**The committee thanks the minister for his response and has concluded its interest in the matter.**

# Appendix 1

## Index of instruments scrutinised

The following instruments were considered by the committee at its meeting on **14 May 2014**.

The Federal Register of Legislative Instruments (FRLI) website should be consulted for the text of instruments and explanatory statements, as well as associated information.[[19]](#footnote-19) Instruments may be located on FRLI by entering the relevant FRLI number into the FRLI search field (the FRLI number is shown in square brackets after the name of each instrument listed below).

Instruments marked with an asterisk (\*) are the subject of the comment on p. 29 of Chapter 1 relating to subsection 33(3) of the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003* (under the heading 'Multiple instruments identified in Appendix 1').

**Instruments received week ending 14 March 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Code Act 1994*** |  |
| Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Code Instrument No. 4 (MRL Standard) Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 3) [F2014L00236] | E |
| ***Australian Film, Television and Radio School Act 1973*** |  |
| Determination of Degrees, Diplomas and Certificates No. 2014/1 [F2014L00228] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Regulations 1988*** |  |
| CASA 47/14 - Permission - flying over a public gathering at the 2014 Tyabb Air Show, Tyabb, Victoria - Permission - flying below minimum height at the 2014 Tyabb Air Show, Tyabb, Victoria [F2014L00235] |  |
| ***Corporations Act 2001*** |  |
| ASIC Market Integrity Rules (Competition in Exchange Markets) Amendment 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00233] |  |
| ***Fisheries Management Act 1991 and Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery Management Plan 2003*** |  |
| Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery Total Allowable Catch (Non-Quota Species) Determination 2014 [F2014L00232] |  |
| Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery Overcatch and Undercatch Determination 2014 [F2014L00234] |  |
| Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery Total Allowable Catch (Quota Species) Determination 2014 [F2014L00230] |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Fisheries Management Act 1991 and Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery Management Plan 2005*** |  |
| Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery Overcatch and Undercatch Determination 2014 [F2014L00231] |  |
| ***Motor Vehicle Standards Act 1989*** |  |
| Vehicle Standard (Australian Design Rule 4/05 – Seatbelts) 2012 Amendment 1 [F2014L00227] |  |
| ***Privacy Act 1988*** |  |
| Privacy (Persons Reported as Missing) Rule 2014 [F2014L00229] |  |
| ***Taxation Administration Act 1953*** |  |
| Taxation Administration Act 1953 – Provision of further time for lodgment of the 2014 Minerals Resource Rent Tax (MRRT) Return – Low volume non-payers’ Instrument (No. 1) 2014 [F2014L00237] |  |

**Instruments received week ending 21 March 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Aged Care Act 1997*** |  |
| Aged Care (Residential Care Subsidy — Amount of Respite Supplement) Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00288] | \* |
| Aged Care (Residential Care Subsidy — Amount of Transitional Supplement) Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00289] | \* |
| Aged Care (Residential Care Subsidy — Amount of Transitional Accommodation Supplement) Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00290] | \* |
| Aged Care (Residential Care Subsidy — Amount of Pensioner Supplement) Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00291] | \* |
| Aged Care (Residential Care Subsidy — Amount of Concessional Resident Supplement) Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00292] | \* |
| Aged Care (Residential Care Subsidy — Amount of Accommodation Supplement) Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00293] | \* |
| User Rights Amendment (March Indexation Measures) Principle 2014 [F2014L00287] | \* |
| ***ASIC Market Integrity Rules (Competition in Exchange Markets) 2011*** |  |
| ASIC Class Rule Waiver [CW 14/6] [F2014L00239] |  |
| ***Australian Education Act 2013*** |  |
| Australian Education (SES Scores) Amendment Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00252] |  |
| ***Australian Prudential Regulation Authority Act 1998*** |  |
| Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (confidentiality) determination No. 2 of 2014 [F2014L00258] |  |
| ***Broadcasting Services Act 1992*** |  |
| Broadcasting Services (Events) Notice (No. 1) 2010 (Amendment No. 2 of 2014) [F2014L00262] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998*** |  |
| CASA EX10/14 - Exemption - Requirement for conversion training to be in a Qualified Synthetic Training Device (QSTD) [F2014L00246] |  |
| CASA EX13/14 - Exemption — take-off with traces of frost [F2014L00247] |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Competition and Consumer Act 2010, Corporations Act 2001, Payment Systems and Netting Act 1998, and Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001*** |  |
| Corporations Laws Amendment (2014 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 33][F2014L00261 |  |
| ***Corporations Act 2001*** |  |
| AASB 1048 - Interpretation of Standards - December 2013 [F2014L00238] | \* |
| ***Crimes Act 1914*** |  |
| Crimes Amendment (Prescribed Law) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 14] [F2014L00282] |  |
| ***Currency Act 1965*** |  |
| Currency (Perth Mint) Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00263] |  |
| Currency (Perth Mint) Determination 2014 (No. 2) [F2014L00265] |  |
| ***Customs Act 1901*** |  |
| Customs Amendment (Maritime Powers Consequential Amendments) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 29] [F2014L00285] |  |
| ***Customs Administration Act 1985*** |  |
| Specification of Prohibited Drugs No. 1 of 2014 [F2014L00264] |  |
| ***Customs Amendment (Anti-Dumping Commission Transfer) Act 2013*** |  |
| Customs Amendment (Anti-Dumping Commission Transfer) Commencement Proclamation 2014 [F2014L00281] | E |
| ***Defence Act 1903*** |  |
| Defence Determination 2014/13, Post indexes – amendment |  |
| ***Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997*** |  |
| Financial Management and Accountability Amendment (2014 Measures No. 3) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 34] [F2014L00284] |  |
| ***Fisheries Management Act 1991*** |  |
| Northern Prawn Fishery (Closures) Direction No. 166 [F2014L00253] |  |
| Northern Prawn Fishery (Closures) Direction No. 167 [F2014L00254] |  |
| Northern Prawn Fishery (Closures) Direction No. 168 [F2014L00255] |  |
| ***Health Insurance Act 1973*** |  |
| Health Insurance Amendment (Specialist Trainee Program) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 28] [F2014L00280] |  |
| ***Higher Education Support Act 2003*** |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 12 of 2014) [F2014L00248] |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 14 of 2014) [F2014L00249] |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 15 of 2014) [F2014L00250] |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 16 of 2014) [F2014L00251] |  |
| ***Legislative Instruments Act 2003*** |  |
| Foreign Affairs and Trade (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 20] [F2014L00266] |  |
| Immigration and Border Protection (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 22] [F2014L00267] |  |
| Infrastructure and Regional Development (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 23] [F2014L00268] |  |
| Social Services (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 24] [F2014L00269] |  |
| Veterans' Affairs (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 26] [F2014L00270] |  |
| Employment (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 17] [F2014L00271] |  |
| Defence (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 15] [F2014L00273] |  |
| Spent and Redundant Instruments Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 25] [F2014L00274] |  |
| Environment (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 18] [F2014L00275] |  |
| Education (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 16] [F2014L00276] |  |
| Health (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 21] [F2014L00277] |  |
| Finance (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 19] [F2014L00278] |  |
| Civil Aviation (Spent and Redundant Instruments) Repeal Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 13] [F2014L00279] |  |
| ***Maritime Powers Act 2013*** |  |
| Maritime Powers Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 31] [F2014L00283] |  |
| ***Migration Act 1958*** |  |
| Migration Amendment (Redundant and Other Provisions) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 30] [F2014L00272] |  |
| Migration Amendment (2014 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 32] [F2014L00286] |  |
| ***Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004*** |  |
| Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act Education and Training Scheme (Income Support Bonus) Repeal Determination 2014 [F2014L00256] |  |
| ***Privacy Act 1988 and Privacy Amendment (Enhancing Privacy Protection) Act 2012*** |  |
| Privacy Public Interest (Enhancing Privacy Protection) Amendment and Repeal Determination 2014 [F2014L00240] |  |
| ***Privacy Act 1988*** |  |
| Privacy (International Money Transfers) Temporary Public Interest Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00241] |  |
| Privacy (International Money Transfers) Generalising Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00242] |  |
| Approval of guidelines issued under Section 95A of the Privacy Act 1988 [F2014L00243] |  |
| Approval of guidelines issued under Section 95AA of the Privacy Act 1988 [F2014L00244] | \* |
| Issuing of guidelines under section 95 of the Privacy Act 1988 [F2014L00245] | \* |
| ***Renewable Energy (Electricity) Act 2000*** |  |
| Renewable Energy (Electricity) Amendment (Percentages) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 27] [F2014L00259] |  |
| ***Telecommunications (Carrier Licence Charges) Act 1997*** |  |
| Telecommunications (Carrier Licence Charges) Act 1997 - Determination Under Paragraph 15(1)(d) No. 1 of 2014 [F2014L00260] |  |
| ***Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986*** |  |
| Veterans’ Children Education Scheme (Income Support Bonus) Repeal Instrument 2014 [F2014L00257] |  |

**Instruments received week ending 28 March 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Administration Ordinance 1990 (Jervis Bay Territory)*** |  |
| Water and Wastewater Services Fees Determination 2014 (Jervis Bay Territory) [F2014L00328] | \* |
| ***Administration Ordinance 1990 (Jervis Bay Territory)*** |  |
| Electricity Supply Fees Determination 2014 (Jervis Bay Territory) [F2014L00329] | \* |
| ***Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act 1997*** |  |
| Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry (Export of Live-stock to Egypt) Repeal Order 2014 [F2014L00312] | \* |
| ***Australian Prudential Regulation Authority Act 1998*** |  |
| Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (confidentiality) determination No. 4 of 2014 [F2014L00346] |  |
| ***Australian Research Council Act 2001*** |  |
| Australian Research Council Funding Rules for schemes under the Linkage Program 2015 - Linkage Infrastructure, Equipment and Facilities [F2014L00324] | E |
| ***Bankruptcy Act 1966*** |  |
| Bankruptcy Amendment (2014 Measures No. 1) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 36] [F2014L00350] |  |
| ***Broadcasting Services Act 1992*** |  |
| Broadcasting Services (Events) Notice (No. 1) 2010 (Amendment No. 3 of 2014) [F2014L00295] |  |
| Broadcasting Services (Events) Notice (No. 1) 2010 (Amendment No. 4 of 2014) [F2014L00325] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998*** |  |
| CASA ADCX 005/14 - Repeal of Airworthiness Directives [F2014L00319] |  |
| CASA EX20/14 - Exemption — single-pilot operations in Cessna 500 series aeroplanes [F2014L00338] |  |
| ***Competition and Consumer Act 2010*** |  |
| Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (Accounting Separation—Telstra Corporation Limited) Direction (No. 1) 2003 Instrument of Revocation 2014 [F2014L00333] |  |
| ***Defence Act 1903*** |  |
| Defence Determination 2014/15, International campaign allowance - amendment |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Defence Force (Superannuation) (Productivity Benenfit) Amendment (Interest Factor) Determination 2014 [F2014L00310] | E |
| ***Defence Service Homes Act 1918, Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997, Commonwealth Authorities and Companies Act 1997, High Court of Australia Act 1979, Natural Heritage Trust of Australia Act 1997, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Act 2005*** |  |
| Finance Minister’s Amendment Orders (Financial Statements for reporting periods ending on or after 1 July 2013) [F2014L00294] |  |
| ***Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*** |  |
| Amendment of List of Exempt Native Specimens - New South Wales Ocean Trawl Fishery (25/03/2014) [F2014L00347] |  |
| ***Federal Financial Relations Act 2009*** |  |
| Federal Financial Relations (National Specific Purpose Payments) Determination 2012-13 [F2014L00323] |  |
| Federal Financial Relations (National Health Reform Payments) Determination 2012-13 [F2014L00317] | E |
| ***Financial Sector (Collection of Data) Act 2001*** |  |
| Financial Sector (Collection of Data) (reporting standard) determination No. 7 of 2014 - SRS 520.0 - Responsible Persons Information [F2014L00343] |  |
| Financial Sector (Collection of Data) (reporting standard) determination No. 8 of 2014 - SRS 530.1 - Investments and Investment Flows [F2014L00345] |  |
| Financial Sector (Collection of Data) (reporting standard) determination No. 9 of 2014 - SRS 533.0 - Asset Allocation [F2014L00348] |  |
| Financial Sector (Collection of Data) (reporting standard) determination No. 5 of 2014 - SRS 320.0 - Statement of Financial Position [F2014L00351] |  |
| Financial Sector (Collection of Data) (reporting standard) determination No. 10 of 2014 - SRS 702.0 - Investment Performance [F2014L00353] |  |
| Financial Sector (Collection of Data) (reporting standard) determination No. 6 of 2014 - SRS 330.0 - Statement of Financial Performance [F2014L00354] |  |
| ***Migration Act 1958*** |  |
| Migration Act 1958 - Instrument of Revocation - IMMI 13/160 [F2014L00326] | E |
| ***Migration Regulations 1994*** |  |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Evidence of Further Funds and Living Costs - IMMI 14/004 [F2014L00316] | \* |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Tests, Scores, Period, Level of Salary and Exemptions to the English Language Requirement for Subclass 457 (Temporary Work (Skilled)) Visas - IMMI 14/009 [F2014L00327] | \* |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Student Visa Assessment Levels - IMMI 14/003 [F2014L00315] | E |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Alternative English Language Proficiency Tests to the International English Language Testing System for Student Visa Purposes - IMMI 14/002 [F2014L00318] | E |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Types of Courses for Student Visas - IMMI 14/015 [F2014L00320] | E |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Classes of Persons - IMMI 14/017 [F2014L00321] | E |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Countries - IMMI 13/161 [F2014L00322] | E |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Class of Persons - IMMI 14/032 [F2014L00344] | E |
| ***National Health Act 1953*** |  |
| National Health (Listing of Pharmaceutical Benefits) Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 3) - PB 17 of 2014 [F2014L00342] |  |
| National Health (Pharmaceutical Benefits - Early Supply) Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 1) - specification under subsection 84AAA(2) (No. PB 25 of 2014) [F2014L00355] |  |
| National Health (Price and Special Patient Contribution) Amendment Determination 2014 (No. 2) (No. PB 18 of 2014) [F2014L00356] |  |
| ***Private Health Insurance Act 2007*** |  |
| Private Health Insurance (Benefit Requirements) Amendment Rules 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00309] | \* |
| Private Health Insurance (Complying Product) Amendment Rules 2014 (No. 2) [F2014L00311] | \* |
| ***Quarantine Act 1908*** |  |
| Quarantine Legislation Amendment (2014 Measures No. 1) Proclamation 2014 [F2014L00352] | E |
| ***Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988*** |  |
| Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation (Licence Eligibility - BWA Group Services Pty Ltd) Declaration 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00341] |  |
| ***Social Security Act 1991*** |  |
| Social Security (Exempt Lump Sum) (HILDA Survey Lump Sum Participant Payment) Determination 2014 [F2014L00298] | \* |
| Social Security Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Determination 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00339] |  |
| ***Telecommunications (Carrier Licence Charges) Act 1997*** |  |
| Telecommunications (Carrier Licence Charges) Revocation Determination 2014 [F2014L00301] |  |
| ***Telecommunications (Numbering Charges) Act 1997, Telecommunications (Consumer Protection and Service Standards) Act 1999, Telecommunications Act 1997, Radiocommunications Act 1992*** |  |
| Australian Communications and Media Authority Omnibus Revocation Instrument 2014 [F2014L00297] |  |
| ***Telecommunications Act 1997*** |  |
| Carrier Licence Conditions (Optus Mobile Pty Ltd) Declaration 1997 Instrument of Revocation 2014 [F2014L00330] |  |
| Carrier Licence Conditions (Telstra Corporation Limited) Declaration 1997 (Amendment No. 1 of 2014) [F2014L00331] |  |
| Carrier Licence Conditions (Vodafone Pty Limited) Declaration 1997 Instrument of Revocation 2014 [F2014L00332] |  |
| Carrier Licence Conditions (Optus Networks Pty Ltd) Instrument of Revocation 2014 [F2014L00340] |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Therapeutic Goods Act 1989*** |  |
| Therapeutic Goods (Medical Devices) Amendment (Auditing Applications) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 38] [F2014L00349] |  |
| ***Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986*** |  |
| Veterans’ Entitlements Income (Exempt Lump Sum – Thalidomide Class Action Payment) Determination No. R19 of 2014 [F2014L00296] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning somatic symptom disorder No. 25 of 2014 [F2014L00299] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning allergic rhinitis No. 23 of 2014 [F2014L00300] |  |
| Amendment Statement of Principles concerning ischaemic heart disease No. 33 of 2014 [F2014L00302] |  |
| Amendment Statement of Principles concerning chronic lymphoid leukaemia No. 28 of 2014 [F2014L00303] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning somatic symptom disorder No. 24 of 2014 [F2014L00304] |  |
| Amendment Statement of Principles concerning ischaemic heart disease No. 34 of 2014 [F2014L00305] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning allergic rhinitis No. 22 of 2014 [F2014L00306] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning restless legs syndrome No. 20 of 2014 [F2014L00307] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning restless legs syndrome No. 21 of 2014 [F2014L00308] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning periodic limb movement disorder No. 26 of 2014 [F2014L00313] |  |
| Statement of Principles concerning periodic limb movement disorder No. 27 of 2014 [F2014L00314] |  |
| Amendment Statement of Principles concerning substance use disorder No. 31 of 2014 [F2014L00334] |  |
| Amendment Statement of Principles concerning alcohol use disorder No. 29 of 2014 [F2014L00335] |  |
| Amendment Statement of Principles concerning alcohol use disorder No. 30 of 2014 [F2014L00336] |  |
| Amendment Statement of Principles concerning substance use disorder No. 32 of 2014 [F2014L00337] |  |

**Instruments received week ending 4 April 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006*** |  |
| Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing (Iran Countermeasures) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 35] [F2014L00371] |  |
| ***Bankruptcy Act 1966*** |  |
| Bankruptcy (Fees and Remuneration) Determination 2014 [F2014L00367] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998*** |  |
| CASA ADCX 006/14 - Repeal of Airworthiness Directives [F2014L00362] |  |
| ***Competition and Consumer Act 2010*** |  |
| Competition and Consumer (Corded Internal Window Coverings) Safety Standard 2014 [F2014L00363] |  |
| ***Corporations Act 2001*** |  |
| AASB 2013-9 - Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Conceptual Framework, Materiality and Financial Instruments - December 2013 [F2014L00370] | \* |
| ***Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*** |  |
| Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Amendment (Heard Island and McDonald Islands) Proclamation 2014 [F2014L00361] | E |
| ***Health Insurance Act 1973*** |  |
| Health Insurance (Pharmacogenetic Testing Kirsten ras (KRAS)) Determination 2014 [F2014L00369] |  |
| ***Higher Education Support Act 2003*** |  |
| Higher Education Provider Approval No. 2 of 2014 [F2014L00373] |  |
| ***Migration Regulations 1994*** |  |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Specified Place - IMMI 14/030 [F2014L00364] | E |
| ***National Health Act 1953*** |  |
| National Health (Listed drugs on F1 or F2) Amendment Determination 2014 (No. 2) (No. PB 22 of 2014) [F2014L00358] |  |
| National Health (Pharmaceutical Benefits - Therapeutic Groups) Amendment Determination 2014 (No. 1) (No. PB 23 of 2014) [F2014L00359] |  |
| National Health (Efficient Funding of Chemotherapy) Special Arrangement Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 3) (No. PB 21 of 2014) [F2014L00360] |  |
| National Health (Highly specialised drugs program for hospitals) Special Arrangement Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 3) (No. PB 20 of 2014) [F2014L00372] |  |
| ***Parliamentary Service Act 1999*** |  |
| Parliamentary Service Amendment (Public Interest Disclosure and Other Matters) Determination 2014 [F2014L00368] | \* |
| ***Workplace Gender Equality Act 2012*** |  |
| Workplace Gender Equality (Minimum Standards) Instrument 2014 [F2014L00365] |  |
| Workplace Gender Equality (Matters in relation to Gender Equality Indicators) Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00366] | \* |

**Instruments received week ending 11 April 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Code Act 1994*** |  |
| Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Code Instrument No. 4 (MRL Standard) Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 4) [F2014L00386] | E |
| ***Australian Prudential Regulation Authority Act 1998*** |  |
| Australian Prudential Regulation Authority instrument fixing charges No. 1 of 2014 [F2014L00383] |  |
| ***Bankruptcy (Estate Charges) Act 1997*** |  |
| Bankruptcy (Estate Charges) (Amount of Charge Payable) Determination 2014 [F2014L00377] |  |
| ***Charter of the United Nations Act 1945*** |  |
| Charter of the United Nations (UN Sanction Enforcement Law) Amendment Declaration 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00378] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Regulations 1988*** |  |
| CASA 66/14 - Authorisation and permission — helicopter winching operations [F2014L00387] |  |
| CASA EX26/14 - Exemptions — compliance with SIDs in the maintenance of Cessna aircraft [F2014L00388] |  |
| ***Corporations Act 2001*** |  |
| ASIC Instrument [14/0234] [F2014L00374] |  |
| ***Currency Act 1965*** |  |
| Currency (Royal Australian Mint) Determination 2014 (No. 2) [F2014L00384] |  |
| ***Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997*** |  |
| FMA Act Determination 2014/05 — Section 32 (Transfer of Functions from DEEWR to Social Services) [F2014L00376] | E |
| FMA Act Determination 2014/06 — Section 32 (Transfer of Functions from Health to Social Services) [F2014L00390] | E |
| ***Higher Education Support Act 2003*** |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 17 of 2014) [F2014L00380] |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 18 of 2014) [F2014L00381] |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 19 of 2014) [F2014L00382] |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - VET Provider Approval (No. 20 of 2014) [F2014L00385] |  |
| ***Motor Vehicle Standards Act 1989*** |  |
| Vehicle Standard (Australian Design Rule 58/00 – Requirements for Omnibuses Designed for Hire and Reward) 2006 Amendment 2 [F2014L00391] | \* |
| ***National Health Act 1953*** |  |
| National Health Determination under paragraph 98C(1)(b) Amendment 2014 (No. 3) (No. PB 19 of 2014) [F2014L00357] |  |
| ***Personal Property Securities Act 2009*** |  |
| Personal Property Securities Amendment (Motor Vehicles) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 37] [F2014L00375] |  |
| ***Taxation Administration Act 1953*** |  |
| Taxation Administration Act 1953 - Pay as you go withholding - Variation to remove the requirement to withhold from payments for certain US resident entertainers and sport persons [F2014L00379] |  |
| ***Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986*** |  |
| Veterans’ Entitlements Income (Exempt Lump Sum – HILDA Survey Lump Sum Participant Payment) Determination No. R21 of 2014 [F2014L00389] | \* |

**Instruments received week ending 18 April 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***A New Tax System (Australian Business Number) Act 1999*** |  |
| A New Tax System (Australian Business Number) Amendment (Display of Trading Names) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 41] [F2014L00419] |  |
| ***Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006*** |  |
| Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing (Iran Countermeasures) Amendment (Transitional) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 42] [F2014L00409] |  |
| ***Australian Research Council Act 2001*** |  |
| Australian Research Council Funding Rules for schemes under the Linkage Program for 2014 – Special Research Initiatives and Learned Academies Special Projects [F2014L00403] | E |
| ***Autonomous Sanctions Regulations 2011*** |  |
| Autonomous Sanctions (Designated Persons and Entities and Declared Persons - Zimbabwe) Amendment List 2014 [F2014L00411] | \* |
| ***Banking Act 1959*** |  |
| Banking (prudential standard) determination No. 1 of 2014 - Prudential Standard APS 111 - Capital Adequacy: Measurement of Capital [F2014L00416] |  |
| ***Broadcasting Services Act 1992*** |  |
| Broadcasting Services (Events) Notice (No. 1) 2010 (Amendment No. 5 of 2014) [F2014L00395] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Act 1988*** |  |
| Civil Aviation Legislation Amendment (Part 21) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 40] [F2014L00414] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998*** |  |
| CASA ADCX 007/14 - Repeal of Airworthiness Directives [F2014L00405] |  |
| ***Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998, Civil Aviation Regulations 1988*** |  |
| CASA 26/14 - Repeal — Directions, Exemptions and Civil Aviation Orders [F2014L00406] |  |
| ***Currency Act 1965*** |  |
| Currency (Royal Australian Mint) Determination 2014 (No. 3) [F2014L00394] |  |
| ***Defence Act 1903*** |  |
| Defence Determination 2014/14, Reserve employer support payments - amendments |  |
| Defence Determination 2014/16, Post indexes - amendment |  |
| Defence Determination 2014/17, Living-in accommodation - amendment |  |
| Defence Determination 2014/18, Dependants, overseas travel costs and psot indexes - amendment |  |
| ***Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*** |  |
| Amendment to the list of threatened species under section 178 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (159) (02/04/2014) [F2014L00418] |  |
| Amendment - List of Specimens Taken to be suitable for Live Import (25/03/2014) [F2014L00420] | E |
| ***Federal Financial Relations Act 2009*** |  |
| Federal Financial Relations Act 2009 - Determination of the GST Revenue Sharing Relativity for 2014-15 [F2014L00407] | E |
| ***Financial Sector (Collection of Data) Act 2001*** |  |
| Financial Sector (Collection of Data) (reporting standard) determination No. 2 of 2014 - ARS 210.0 - Liquidity [F2014L00404] |  |
| ***Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991*** |  |
| Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code — Standard 1.4.2 — Maximum Residue Limits Amendment Instrument No. APVMA 3, 2014 [F2014L00417] | E |
| ***Greenhouse and Energy Minimum Standards Act 2012*** |  |
| Instrument under Section 37 of the Greenhouse and Energy Minimum Standards Act 2012 - Stolway Pty Ltd [F2014L00415] |  |
| ***Higher Education Support Act 2003*** |  |
| Higher Education Support Act 2003 - Revocation of approval as a VET Provider (Navitas Professional Training Pty Ltd) [F2014L00410] |  |
| ***National Health Act 1953*** |  |
| National Health (Listing of Pharmaceutical Benefits) Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 4) (No. PB 27 of 2014) [F2014L00399] | \* |
| National Health (Price and Special Patient Contribution) Amendment Determination 2014 (No. 3)(No. PB 28 of 2014) [F2014L00400] |  |
| National Health Determination under paragraph 98C(1)(b) Amendment 2014 (No. 4) (No. PB 29 of 2014) [F2014L00401] |  |
| National Health (Pharmaceutical Benefits - Early Supply) Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 2) - specification under subsection 84AAA(2) (No. PB 34 of 2014) [F2014L00402] |  |
| Amendment Determination under section 84AH of the National Health Act 1953 (2014) (No. 2) (No. PB 33 of 2014) [F2014L00412] |  |
| National Health (Listed drugs on F1 or F2) Amendment Determination 2014 (No. 3) (No. PB 32 of 2014) [F2014L00413] |  |
| ***Private Health Insurance Act 2007*** |  |
| Private Health Insurance (Complying Product) Amendment Rules 2014 (No. 3) [F2014L00392] | \* |
| Private Health Insurance (Incentives) Amendment Rules 2014 (No. 1) [F2014L00397] | \* |
| Private Health Insurance (Complying Product) Amendment Rules 2014 (No. 4) [F2014L00398] | \* |
| ***Social Security (Administration) Act 1999*** |  |
| Social Security (Administration) - Queensland Commission (Family Responsibilities Commission) Specification 2014 [F2014L00408] |  |
| ***Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993*** |  |
| Superannuation Industry (Supervision) modification declaration No. 1 of 2014 [F2014L00393] |  |
| Self Managed Superannuation Funds (Limited Recourse Borrowing Arrangements – In-House Asset Exclusion) Determination 2014 [F2014L00396] | E |

**Instruments received week ending 25 April 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Migration Act 1958*** |  |
| Migration Amendment (Credit Card Surcharge) Regulation 2014 [SLI 2014 No. 39] [F2014L00421] |  |
| ***Migration Regulations 1994*** |  |
| Migration Regulations 1994 - Specification of Circumstances in which a Credit Card Surcharge is Waived or Refunded - IMMI 14/033 [F2014L00425] | E |
| ***National Health Act 1953*** |  |
| National Health (Weighted average disclosed price - supplementary disclosure cycle A) Determination 2014 (No. PB 26 of 2014) [F2014L00424] | \* |
| National Health (Paraplegic and Quadriplegic Program) Special Arrangement Amendment Instrument 2014 (No. 5) (No. PB 35 of 2014) [F2014L00427] |  |
| ***Radiocommunications Act 1992*** |  |
| Radiocommunications (Allocation of Transmitter Licences – High Powered Open Narrowcasting Licences) Determination 2014 [F2014L00426] | E |
| ***Remuneration Tribunal Act 1973*** |  |
| Remuneration Tribunal Determination 2014/04 - Remuneration and Allowances for Holders of Public Office including Judicial and Related Offices [F2014L00423] | \* |
| Remuneration Tribunal Determination 2014/05 - Members of Parliament - Additional Salary for Parliamentary Office Holders [F2014L00422] | E |

# Appendix 2

## Guideline on consultation



AUSTRALIAN SENATE

**STANDING COMMITTEE ON REGULATIONS AND ORDINANCES**

**Addressing consultation in explanatory statements**

***Role of the committee***

The Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances (the committee) undertakes scrutiny of legislative instruments to ensure compliance with [non-partisan principles](http://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate_Committees?url=regord_ctte/guidelines.htm) of personal rights and parliamentary propriety.

***Purpose of guideline***

This guideline provides information on preparing an explanatory statement (ES) to accompany a legislative instrument, specifically in relation to the requirement that such statements must describe the nature of any consultation undertaken or explain why no such consultation was undertaken.

The committee scrutinises instruments to ensure, inter alia, that they meet the technical requirements of the [*Legislative Instruments Act 2003*](http://www.comlaw.gov.au/Details/C2012C00041) (the Act) regarding the description of the nature of consultation or the explanation as to why no consultation was undertaken. Where an ES does not meet these technical requirements, the committee generally corresponds with the relevant minister seeking further information and appropriate amendment of the ES.

Ensuring that the technical requirements of the Act are met in the first instance will negate the need for the committee to write to the relevant minister seeking compliance, and ensure that an instrument is not potentially subject to [disallowance](http://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate_Committees?url=regord_ctte/alert2012.htm).

It is important to note that the committee's concern in this area is to ensure only that an ES is technically compliant with the descriptive requirements of the Act regarding consultation, and that the question of whether consultation that has been undertaken is appropriate is a matter decided by the rule-maker at the time an instrument is made.

However, the nature of any consultation undertaken may be separately relevant to issues arising from the committee's scrutiny principles, and in such cases the committee may consider the character and scope of any consultation undertaken more broadly.

***Requirements of the* Legislative Instruments Act 2003**

Section 17 of the Act requires that, before making a legislative instrument, the instrument-maker must be satisfied that appropriate consultation, as is reasonably practicable, has been undertaken in relation to a proposed instrument, particularly where that instrument is likely to have an effect on business.

Section 18 of the Act, however, provides that in some circumstances such consultation may be 'unnecessary or inappropriate'.

It is important to note that section 26 of the Act requires that explanatory statements describe the nature of any consultation that has been undertaken or, if no such consultation has been undertaken, to explain why none was undertaken.

It is also important to note that requirements regarding the preparation of a Regulation Impact Statement (RIS) are separate to the requirements of the Act in relation to consultation. This means that, although a RIS may not be required in relation to a certain instrument, the requirements of the Act regarding a description of the nature of consultation undertaken, or an explanation of why consultation has not occurred, must still be met. However, consultation that has been undertaken under a RIS process will generally satisfy the requirements of the Act, provided that that consultation is adequately described (see below).

If a RIS or similar assessment has been prepared, it should be provided to the committee along with the ES.

***Describing the nature of consultation***

To meet the requirements of section 26 of the Act, an ES must *describe the nature of any consultation that has been undertaken*. The committee does not usually interpret this as requiring a highly detailed description of any consultation undertaken. However, a bare or very generalised statement of the fact that consultation has taken place may be considered insufficient to meet the requirements of the Act.

Where consultation has taken place, the ES to an instrument should set out the following information:

*Method and purpose of consultation*

An ES should state who and/or which bodies or groups were targeted for consultation and set out the purpose and parameters of the consultation. An ES should avoid bare statements such as 'Consultation was undertaken'.

*Bodies/groups/individuals consulted*

An ES should specify the actual names of departments, bodies, agencies, groups et cetera that were consulted. An ES should avoid overly generalised statements such as 'Relevant stakeholders were consulted'.

*Issues raised in consultations and outcomes*

An ES should identify the nature of any issues raised in consultations, as well the outcome of the consultation process. For example, an ES could state: 'A number of submissions raised concerns in relation to the effect of the instrument on retirees. An exemption for retirees was introduced in response to these concerns'.

***Explaining why consultation has not been undertaken***

To meet the requirements of section 26 of the Act, an ES must *explain why no consultation was undertaken*. The committee does not usually interpret this as requiring a highly detailed explanation of why consultation was not undertaken. However, a bare statement that consultation has not taken place may be considered insufficient to meet the requirements of the Act.

In explaining why no consultation has taken place, it is important to note the following considerations:

*Specific examples listed in the Act*

Section 18 lists a number of examples where an instrument-maker may be satisfied that consultation is unnecessary or inappropriate in relation to a specific instrument. This list is not exhaustive of the grounds which may be advanced as to why consultation was not undertaken in a given case. The ES should state why consultation was unnecessary or inappropriate, and explain the reasoning in support of this conclusion. An ES should avoid bare assertions such as 'Consultation was not undertaken because the instrument is beneficial in nature'.

*Timing of consultation*

The Act requires that consultation regarding an instrument must take place before the instrument is made. This means that, where consultation is planned for the implementation or post-operative phase of changes introduced by a given instrument, that consultation cannot generally be cited to satisfy the requirements of sections 17 and 26 of the Act.

In some cases, consultation is conducted in relation to the primary legislation which authorises the making of an instrument of delegated legislation, and this consultation is cited for the purposes of satisfying the requirements of the Act. The committee may regard this as acceptable provided that (a) the primary legislation and the instrument are made at or about the same time and (b) the consultation addresses the matters dealt with in the delegated legislation.

***Seeking further advice or information***

Further information is available through the committee's website at <http://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate_Committees?url=regord_ctte/index.htm> or by contacting the committee secretariat at:

Committee Secretary

Senate Regulations and Ordinances Committee

PO Box 6100

Parliament House

Canberra ACT 2600

Australia

Phone: +61 2 6277 3066

Fax: +61 2 6277 5881

Email: [RegOrds.Sen@aph.gov.au](mailto:RegOrds.Sen@aph.gov.au)
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